Al-Burhān Answering the allegations against Sayyidunā Abū Hurayrah

BY:

ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz ibn ʿAlī al-Nāṣir

Book Title:	Al-Burhān: Answering the allegations against Sayyidunā Abū Hurayrah
Author:	Abd Allāh ibn ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz ibn ʿAlī al- Nāṣir
Subject:	Islamic Beliefs
Edition:	1 st Edn
Publication Date:	April 2016
Source:	http://mahajjah.com

This book was downloaded from: www.aqeedeh.com



Email:

book@aqeedeh.com

Our Websites

www.mowahedin.com

www.videofarsi.com www.zekr.tv www.mowahed.com www.aqeedeh.com www.islamtxt.com www.shabnam.cc www.sadaislam.com



contact@mowahedin.com

Contents

Introduction	11
Chapter One	19
The life of Abū Hurayrah	19
Name and lineage	19
مَتَأَنَّتُمَعَيَدِهُوَسَتَّرَ Islam and company of Rasūlullāh مَتَأَنَّتُمَعَيَدِهُوَسَتَّرَ	21
Memorisation and strength of memory	22
Scholastic achievement and knowledge of Abū Hurayrah	23
Praise of Rasūlullāh صَالَتَهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَالَةُ مَعَلَى وَمَالَةُ مَعَلَى وَمَالَةُ مَعَلَى وَعَالَ	23
Ṣaḥābah who narrated from him	26
Tābi īn who narrated from him	27
The number of aḥādīth reported from him	27
The most authentic chain of narration from Abū Hurayrah	28
Abūndant narrations and its reason	29
Illness and demise	32
Chapter two	33
Clearing the doubts raised by the deviants against Abū Hurayrah and his narrations	33
Section one	35
The allegations made by ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Sharf al-Dīn al-Mūsawī	35
Exaggeration of Shīī narrators	42
Abān ibn Taghlib	42
Muḥammad ibn Muslim ibn Rabāḥ	43
Jābir ibn Yazīd al-Juʿfī	43
Scrutiny of Shīʿah narrators	52
ʿAwf al-ʿUqaylī	52
Muḥammad ibn Abī ʿAbbād	52
Ḥafs ibn al-Bukhtarī	53
Ḥammād ibn ʿĪsā	53
Abū Ḥamzah al-Thumālī Thābit ibn Dinār	54
ʿAlī ibn Abī Ḥamzah al-Baṭāʾinī	55
ʿAbd Allāh ibn Abī Yaʿfūr	59

Abū Hurayrah al-Bazzāz	60
Al-Sayyid al-Ḥamīrī	60
Zurārah ibn Aʻyun	64
Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq exposes the lies of Zurārah	68
Zurārah issues rulings according to his own opinion in ḥalāl and ḥarām	69
Zurārah fabricates against al-Ṣādiq	69
Zurārah believed in the termination of the line of Imāmah	69
Zurārah doubts the knowledge of al-Ṣādiq	70
Zurārah belies al-Ṣādiq	71
Zurārah opposes al-Ṣādiq in the definition of al-Istiṭāʿah	72
Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq curses Zurārah three times	73
Zurārah will die forlorn	76
Zurārah did not rely on Imām Jaʿfar	77
Zurārah spies upon al-Bāqir	77
Al-Ṣādiq derides Zurārah and the progeny of Aʿyun	77
Zurārah claims the Qur'ān has been altered	78
Burayd ibn Muʿāwiyah al-ʿAjalī	79
Layth al-Bukhtarī al-Murādī- Abū Bașīr	80
Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam	89
Hishām ibn Sālim al-Jawāliqī	104
Shayṭān al-Ṭāq	106
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayns Criticism of the Morality of the Ṣaḥābah	111
The Definition of Ṣaḥābī and their Position in Islam	111
The View of the Majority Regarding the Ṣaḥābah	112
صَلَىَاتِنَهُمَايَدِهُوَسَدَّة Secondly, The Viewpoint of The Shīʿah on the Ṣaḥābah of Nabī صَلَىَاتَهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَدَّة	127
The Views of Shiʿī Scholars on the Khulafā' and Ṣaḥābah	129
Divine proofs of the Shīʿah for cursing the Ṣaḥābah and	
صَلَّاتَهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَمَّةً Mives of Nabī	132
A Supplication to Curse al-Ṣiddīq and al-Fārūq	136
Who is the Grand-Father of Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq?	137
Answering the First Claim that Abū Hurayrah was	
a Staunch Follower of the Umayyads.	194
Did Abū Hurayrah attribute any Aḥādīth Falsely to Rasūlullāh صَالَةَ عَلَيْهُوَسَتَمَ	202
The Merits of Abū Hurayrah	219

The Aḥādīth which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn Objected to and	000
the Answers to His Objections	232
'Abd al-Ḥusayn's Objections Regarding the Ḥadīth:	000
"Allah Created Ādam According to His Form."	233
'Abd al-Husayn Objects to the Phenomena of Viewing the	
Countenance of Allah on the Day of Qiyāmah	243
Viewing Allah on the Day of Qiyāmah is Possible - Proven from	
the Sayings of the Ahl al-Bayt	255
'Abd al-Ḥusayn Objects to the Ḥadīth, "The Fire Will Not Be Filled	
Until Allah Places His Leg in it."	262
'Abd al-Ḥusayn's Objections Against the Ḥadīth Regarding	
the Descending of Allah Towards the Sky of the Earth Every Night	274
Establishing the Ḥadīth of Nuzūl from the Ahl Al-Bayt	275
The Rabb Descends and He Visits the Graves of the A'immah	
Among Other Acts	286
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Expresses Surprise Regarding the Ḥadīth of	
Sulaymān's عَيْدِمَاتِيَكَمْ Visiting a Hundred Wives in a Single Night	291
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Objects to the Ḥadīth of Nabī Mūsā عَيْدِالنَسَلَمْ	
Slapping the Eye of the Angel of Death	299
The Ḥadīth of Nabī Mūsā عَيَوَالسَلَة Slapping the Angel of Death in	
the Books of the Shī´ah	306
Jibrīl Slaps the Burāq	308
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth of the Stone Fleeing with	
the Clothes of Mūsā عَلَيْهِالسَلَمْ	309
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Finds Fault with the Ḥadīth, 'Intercession will be	
Sought from the Ambiyā' on the Day of Qiyāmah'	312
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Objects to the Ḥadīth, 'Locusts of Gold Rained Down	
upon the Nabī of Allah, Ayyūb'	319
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth of Nabī Mūsā عَيَاسَلَمُ Being Reprimanded	
for Burning an Army of Ants after One of them Pinched Him.	323
Forgetting مَتَأَنَّتُنَعَيَّيُهُوَسَلَّةَ Abd al-Ḥusayn Reject the Ḥadīth Regarding Nabī مَتَأَنَّتُ	327
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth, "Nabī سَأَلَسْمَانَهُ وَسَنَّةُ Would Get	
Angry and Lash"	334
مَتَأَلِّتَمَعَدِيوَسَمَةُ Abd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth, "Shayṭān Interferes with Nabī	
-	

William to in Calaly	226
Whilst He is in Ṣalāħ"	336
'Alī Kills Eighty Thousand Jinn!	339
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "Nabī مَكَالَنَهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ مَعَالَمُ Overslept at	
the Time of Fajr."	342
'Abd al-Ḥusayn Rejects that a Cow and Wolf Spoke in Clear 'Arabic	349
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "The Estate of Nabī مَتَأَنَسَنَعَيْدُوسَنَّهُ is Ṣadaqah."	355
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Denies that Abū Ṭālib Died upon Shirk	357
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects that a Nation was Turned into Rats	362
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "Whoever is in the State of Impurity	
at the time of Dawn Should not Fast."	365
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "There is No Contagious (Illness),	
No (Evil Omen) in Ṣafar and No Hāmah"	370
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn's Surprise and Denial of the Ḥadīth: "Two Infants Speak	
of Unseen Matters"	373
The Children of the A'immah Speak from the Cradle, Their Mothers	
Stomachs and Even Recite from Previous Scriptures	375
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Finds it Hard to Believe the Story of Abū Hurayrah's	
Safeguarding the Wealth of Zakāt al-Fițr and Shayțān's Attempts on	
Three Nights to Steal it	379
ʻAbd al-Ḥusayn Does Not Accept That the Mother of $\operatorname{Ab\bar{u}}$ Hurayrah Accepted	
Islam on Account of the Supplication of Nabī مَتَأَمَّنُهُ عَلَيْهِوَسَلَمَ , and His supplication	
That They Should be Made Beloved to All Believers and All Believers	
Should be Made Beloved to Them	383
The Narrations of Abū Hurayrah Reported by the Shīʿah	391
The Narrations of Abū Hurayrah in the Books of the Shīʿah	412
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "A Woman Entered Hell Due to a Cat"	413
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "A Woman was Forgiven for Giving	
Water to a Dog"	414
He Also Rejects the Ḥadīth: "A Man was Forgiven for Granting Water to a Dog"	414
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "A Sinful Kāfir was Forgiven"	419
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth, ''Nabī مَكَأَنَنَكُ عَوَسَلَة was in an Impure State"	421
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "Nabī مَكَانَسَّعَنَهُ وَمَسَلَّةُ saying he is	
not Superior to Nabī Mūsā متكيماتكام"	423
ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "Nobody's Actions will Gain Him	

	Entry into Jannah, Except by the Mercy of Allah"	424
	ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth that Nabī سَأَلَشَاعَتَهُ وَسَمَاً was a Shepherd	426
	ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: ''Nabī Ibrāhīm عَبَدِالسَكَمْ Underwent	
	Circumcision after Reaching the Age of Eighty"	427
	ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth Regarding the Age of Nabī Ādam عَلِيهَالسَكَمْ	428
	ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "Mūsā and Ādam's Debate"	431
	ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects "The Walking of ʿAlā al-Ḥaḍramī with His	
	Battalions upon the Sea"	433
	The Imām Ascends to the Sky and Fills the Horizon	438
	ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "The Prohibition of Walking in One Sock"	438
	ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "Bad Omen is Confined to	
	Women and Animals"	440
	ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn's Gripe About Abū Hurayrah for Sitting Beside the	
	Room of ʿĀ'ishah Whilst Narrating	441
	ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "When One of You Wakes Up from His Sleep,	
	He Should Wash His Hands"	442
	ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "Whoever Keeps a Dog Will Lose	
	One Qīrāț of His Reward Every Day"	443
	ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Objects the Ḥadīth: "Whoever Attends a Funeral	
	Will be Granted One Qirāt of Reward"	444
	ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Objects to the Ḥadīth: "Whoever Longs to Meet Allah,	
	Allah Loves to Meet Him"	446
	Muḥammad ibn Sinān	454
Sec	ction Two	459
	The Allegations of Maḥmūd Abū Rayyah	459
	Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth: "Where Does the Sun Go To After It Sets"	479
	Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth: "A Slave Keeps Getting Close to Me	
	on Account of Optional Prayers"	483
	Abū Rayyah Objects to the Appetite of Abū Hurayrah	485
	Abū Rayyah Rejects that the Fasting of Ramaḍān Along with Three Fasts	
	of Each Month are Equivalent to Fasting Throughout the Year	492
	Abū Rayyah Rejects the Aḥādīth Concerning the Virtues of Jumuʿah	493
	Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "The Sun and Moon Will be Wrapped	
	up on the Day of Qiyāmah"	496

	Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "Allah Has a Rooster Whose Neck	
	is Below the 'Arsh"	498
	Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, ''The Nile, Sayḥān, Jayḥān and the	
	Euphrates are from the Rivers of Jannah"	501
	Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "In Jannah There is a Tree, A Rider Will	
	Ride in its Shade"	504
	Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "A Child Born from an Illicit Relationship	
	Will Not Enter Jannah"	509
	Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth: 'Dung and Bones are the Food of the Jinn'	511
	Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth of the Fly	513
	Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, ''Ajwah is from Jannah and it Contains	
	the Cure to Poison"	515
	The Sand of Ḥusayn's Grave Cures all Illnesses	517
	Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "In Jannah there will be Music"	518
	Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "The Punishment of the One Who Refuses	
	to Pay Zakāh on the Day of Qiyāmah"	523
	Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth Regarding the Crowing of the Rooster	
	and the Braying of the Donkey	524
	Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "Yawning is from Shayṭān"	525
	Abū Rayyah Rejects the <code>Ḥadīth</code> , "Allah Loves the Sneeze and Dislikes Yawning"	526
	Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "Allah Recited Yāsīn and Ṭāhā	
	Two Thousand Years Before He Created Ādam"	526
	Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "The Reason Behind the Name of Khiḍr"	531
	Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "Avarice and Īmān Cannot Get Together	
	in One Heart"	532
	Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "Wealth is not the Accumulation of Assets,	
	Rather, Wealth is the Contentment of the Heart"	533
	Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "A Man Earns the Status of the One Who	
	Stands in Prayer at Night by Means of His Good Character"	534
	Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "A Mu'min is the Mirror of His Brother,	
	When He Sees a Fault in Him, He Corrects it"	535
See	ction Three	537
	The Allegations Made by Muḥammad al-Tījānī al-Samāwī	537
	Al-Tījānī Rejects the Ḥadīth: "Ṣalāh was Reduced from Fifty to Five"	543

Conclusion	553
My Master, Abū Hurayrah by Walīd al-Aʿẓamī	553
To a Ṣaḥābī by Maḥmūd Dalalī Āl Jaʿfar al-Ḥadīthī	555
Abū Hurayrah-A History and a (cause of) Pride by Ustādh ʿAbd al-Jalīl Rāshid	557
Anwār Ṣāḥib al-Muṣṭafā by Ustādh al-Ḥāj Ṣāliḥ Ḥayāwī	560
Bibliography	

Introduction

All praise belongs to Allah, such praise which befits the bounties He has showered upon us and suffices in drawing more of His mercy, in accordance with his Majesty and immense Might. No praise we utter will suffice for Him; He is as He praised Himself. I testify that there is none worthy of worship except Allah, Who is one and has no partner and Muḥammad مَسْتَعَمَوْسَةُ is His servant and messenger, the best of all those He has selected from his creation, May Allah Taʿālā shower his choicest mercies and blessings upon him, his progeny and all his Ṣaḥābah as well as those who follow them in doing good until the Day of Qiyāmah.

The enemies of the sunnah and Islam in this era have made it a habit and practice to criticise the esteemed Sahābī, Abū Hurayrah and create doubts in the minds of people regarding his services and the authenticity of his narrations, following in the footsteps of their predecessors. They may portray themselves to intend acting upon the Qur'an or what has been authentically reported in hadīth according to them, while they only regard that to be authentic which supports their whimsical notions. They are not the first to attack the sunnah in this manner but in fact they have a line of predecessors from the deviants in this regard, but the revival of this legacy in this day and age is something new. Allah Taʿālā will make apparent the falsity of their cries, laments, plots and schemes. It is astonishing to find that even though what those in this era say may be exactly the same as what those of the past have said, there is a great difference between the two groups. Those of the past, even though deviant and the leaders of the heretics, possessed knowledge, proficiency and familiarity of the sciences, whereas those in this era; you find nothing amongst them except ignorance, impudence and forked tongues. They elevate what has been fabricated, making it seem reliable and adopt various methods to achieve this.

 Accusing the senior scholars — who transmitted the laws of dīn and sunnah and those who memorised it — of being kāfir, presuming that this was what the platform of learning of Rasūlullāh مكالتشتيدية produced. This belief has been expounded by the most relied upon narrations of the Shī'ah. 'Allāmah al-Tastarī writes in his book, Iḥqāq al-Ḥaq:

Just as Mūsā منابع came for the guidance of man and guided a large group of the Banī Isrā'īl and others, and they abandoned dīn during his lifetime such that none of them remained with faith except Hārūn منابع , so too did Muḥammad منابع come and guide a multitude of people; but after his demise they all abandoned their religion.

I say as the poet has said, may Allah reward him accordingly:

شتموا الصحابة دون ما برهان	لا تركن الى الروافض انهم
وودادهم فرض على الانسان	لعنوا كما بغضوا صحابة احمد
القي بها ربي اذا احياني	حب الصحابة و القرابة سنة
حتى تكون كمن له قلبان	احذر عقاب الله و ارجه ثوابه

Do not be inclined towards the Rawāfiḍ Indeed they have reviled the Ṣaḥābah without reason. They curse and hate the Ṣaḥābah of Aḥmad, whereas love for the Sahabah and his family is a sunnah. I hope to meet my Rabb upholding it when He resurrects me. Fear the punishment of Allah and simultaneously hope for His reward, to the extent that it seems as if u have two hearts.

This is what they intend, once they are done with Abū Hurayrah تعَنِينَةُ , they turn their attention to the other Ṣaḥābah of Rasūlullāh مَالَنَتْعَادَيْوَسَلَّهُ العالمَةُ والمُعَالِيةُ والمُ leading to complete rejection of the sunnah of Rasūlullāh مَالَنَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّ ummah, which is their ultimate objective.

Thus they have accused this senior scholar — who transmitted the laws of dīn and sunnah and who memorised it — of being a kāfir. This is what they presume the platform of learning of Rasūlullāh - produced. This belief has been expounded by the most relied upon narrations of the Shī'ah.

 They claim that it is not permissible to act upon a hadīth of Rasūlullāh عكائلتك unless it is reported on the authority of the Ahl al-Bayt, and by Ahl al-Bayt they refer to the twelve A'immah only.¹

قَالُوْا الْتَعْجَبِيْنَ مِنْ اَمْرِ اللهِ رَحْمَتُ اللهِ وَبَرَكْتُهُ عَلَيْكُمْ اَهْلَ الْبَيْتِ * إِنَّهُ حَيْدٌ عَجِيْدٌ

They (the angels) said (to the wife of Ibrāhīm 원교(로): "Are you surprised at the command of Allah? May Allah's mercy and blessings be on you, O Ahl al-Bayt. Verily He is Worthy of all praise, The Exalted." (Sūrah Hūd: 73)

In this verse the masculine pronoun "c" was used because of it referring to the noun "Ahl" (which is masculine in its form) even though the one being addressed in this verse is Sārah (household). Nabī Ibrāhīm (Laure Internet). This is clear proof that the wife of a man is part of his Ahl al-Bayt (household). There are many proofs to support this, all of which establish that the wives of a man are part of his Ahl al-Bayt and not the opposite, as the Shī ah assert, who exclude the wives of a man from being part of his Ahl al-Bayt. In fact, the Shī ah, in order to prove their claim have even asserted that the Qur'ān has been tampered with, as is the opinion of the majority of their scholars. Their most esteemed Shīī scholar-Bāqir al-Majlisī states:

Perhaps the verse of purification was placed where they deemed fit or inserted in the discussion of the wives (of Rasūlullāh حَالَتَ) for worldly purposes. It is evident from the narrations that it has no relation to their story, thus to rely upon the wording and sequence (it was placed in) is clearly baseless. If we were to accept that it is in its correct place then we will say: numerous narrations have been presented proving that a great portion of the Qur'ān has been removed, so it is possible that the verses before it and after it were also removed.

Refer to Biḥār al-Anwār (35/234), Mahjat al-ʿUlamā' (page 163), Faṣl al-Khiṭāb (page 320), al-Ḥadā'iq al-Nādhirah (2/290). ʿAllāmah Ṭabāṭabā'ī has said in Tafsīr al-Mīzān (16/312):

The verse, according to the manner it was revealed, does not form part of the verses referring to the wives of Rasūlullāh حَالَتَعَبَّدَتُلُ nor is it attached to it. However, it was placed there, either on the instruction of Rasūlullāh حَالَتَعَبِّدَتُلُ or when the Qur'ān was compiled after the demise of Rasūlullāh.

The Shīʿī scholar Kāshif al-Ghiṭā writes in his book, Aṣl al-Shīʿah (page 79):

The Imāmiyyah do not accept as the sunnah except what has been authentically narrated to them on the authority of the Ahl al-Bayt from their fore-fathers. In other words what Ja'far al-Ṣādiq المناح narrated from his father- Al-Bāqir المناح, from his father- Zayn al-ʿĀbidīn المناح, from his father- Ḥusayn المناح, from his father- Amīr al-Mu'minīn from Rasūlullāh المناح. As for that which has been narrated by the likes of Abū Hurayrah, Samurah ibn Jundub, Marwān ibn Ḥakam, ʿImrān ibn Ḥaṭān al-Khārijī, ʿAmr ibn al-ʿĀṣ and others like them; they bear no weight to the Imāmiyyah than a mosquito.

This is the reason why one of the Shīī scholars with the title of Āyat Allāh al-Uẓmā, ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Sharf al-Dīn al-Mūsawī, — whose heart was filled with dark hatred — has written a book solely dedicated to disparaging Sayyidunā Abū Hurayrah ﷺ, ¹ In this book he has opted to rely upon fabrications, forgeries and speculative evidence to cast doubt upon the narrations of this esteemed companion. This spawned two more works: *Abū Hurayrah Shaykh al-Muḍīrah*- written by Muḥammad Abū Rayyah, who followed in the footsteps of ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn. However, he surpassed his mentor in falsehood. It was not long before this book was published a second time, on account of the rapid sale of the first edition, due to the Shīʿah and Jews scrambling to purchase a copy.

As for the second book, it is written by a person named Muḥammad al-Samāwī al--Tījānī, a primary figure of the Bāthiniyyah and Sūfiyyah. If the readers were to know all that they have written against the ummah, he would lose hope in all that has been revealed regarding the success of the ummah of Rasūlullāh حَالَتَعَادِيَا , on account of the poison that these wicked scholars have penned. These are the propagators of division, who split

¹ This very same author did not wait long before penning a work opposing and demeaning the first three khulafā'. The book is entitled: *Al-Naṣ wa al-Ijtihād*.

the ranks of the Muslim ummah and drive a wedge through their unity, creating various sects and factions.

This is why I saw it incumbent to reply to the doubts that they have raised and the falsehood that they have transmitted, and while doing so discuss those points that are agreed upon by all, lighting the path of truth with proof and evidence; relying solely upon Allah and seeking His assistance and aid.

In short, as I have already mentioned, their ultimate purpose is not to merely criticise the narrations of Abū Hurayrah is but it is the first step in attempting to bring down the fortress of Islam. The reason being that when these unfortunate individuals intended to refute this pure Sharī ah and oppose it, they set out to criticise those who imparted it, without whom there is no path to acquiring the knowledge of Sharī ah. They secretly work amongst the poor and downtrodden with this accursed and satanic method of propagation.

They curse and swear the best of creation and conceal a deep hatred for Sharīʿah, whereas there is no sin or misdeed of man that is worse, evil or more heinous than this form of treachery. They do not suffice with Abū Hurayrah is alone but attack all of the Ṣaḥābah with the exception of a select few amongst them.

We will discuss later the standpoint of these people and their hatred and vices, such that they have went to the level of decreeing all the Ṣaḥābah to be kāfir. At the top of their list is Abū Bakr, 'Umar, and 'Uthmān نهنی . Amongst the rights which the ummah owes to Abū Hurayrah نهنی is to counter all those who speak against him and refute the fabrications and slander upon his person, because in defending him is the defence of the sunnah of Rasūlullāh نهنی and protecting it from the criticisms of the deviants.

I hope that in these pages that I have written in refutation of the allegations against this esteemed Ṣaḥābī ﷺ, one will find all that is necessary to clear the falsehood penned by the enemies of Abū Hurayrah ﷺ and reveal their falsity and deception.

لَيَهْلِكَ مَنْ هَلَكَ عَنْ بَيِّنَةٍ وَيَحْلِي مَنْ حَيَّ عَنْ بَيِّنَةٍ

So that he who was to be destroyed may be destroyed after a clear proof and so that he who was to live may live after a clear proof.¹

It should be noted that these deviants and absconders have not raised anything new but have merely repeated the allegation again and added to it from whatever their hearts desired.

It was with this in mind that I lifted my pen to write this book, to guide one towards Allah, His Ras \bar{u} l and His d \bar{n} , whether they be scholars or from the general masses. Thus, I have divided this book into two chapters:

1. Chapter one: This comprises of two sections:

Section one: This discusses the life of Abū Hurayrah in general.

Section two: This discusses the scholastic achievements and knowledge of Abū Hurayrah المنتقية, indicating the manner in which he memorised ḥadīth and propagated the sunnah. It highlights his lofty level of knowledge and discusses the praises that Rasūlullāh مَاتَسَعَدَوَسَةُ, the Ṣaḥābah, and Tābiʿīn awarded him.

2. Chapter two: This comprises of three sections:

Section one: This discusses the allegations made by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn Sharf al-dīn al-Mūsawī against Abū Hurayrah and the doubts he cast upon his narrations; scrutinising it and explaining the truth in this regard.

Section two: This discusses the allegations made by Abū Rayyah against Abū Hurayrah

¹ Sūrah al-Anfāl: 42

Section three: This discusses the doubts cast by al-Tījānī upon the blessed sunnah of Rasūlullāh متالشطيته وتند

I ask Allah Taʿālā's assistance and that He guide us to that which He loves and earns His pleasure. I express my gratitude to all those who have assisted me in compiling and extracting references for this book. In conclusion, all praise belongs to Allah, Rabb of the entire universe, peace and salutations upon Muḥammad أَسْكَلَسْتَعَيْدَوَنَهُمْ

The author

ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz ibn ʿAlī al-Nāṣir

Chapter One

The Life of Abū Hurayrah

Name and Lineage

The name of Abū Hurayrah www. Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Sakhr. He was of the progeny of Thaʿlabah ibn Sulaym ibn Fahm ibn Ghanam ibn Dows al-Yemānī. He is Dowsī, on account of his relation to Dows ibn ʿAdnān ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn Zahrān ibn Kaʿb ibn Ḥārith ibn Kaʿb ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn Mālik ibn Naṣr. They are the progeny of Ibn al-Azd and the Azd are one of the largest and most famous tribes of the ʿArabs.¹

According to the 'ulamā', the most authentic opinion is that his name before embracing Islam was 'Abd al-Shams and when he embraced Islam, Rasūlullāh سکالت named him 'Abd al-Raḥmān; since it is impermissible to name any person as a slave of another (besides Allah) or of something. He is a slave of Allah ('Abd Allāh), thus he was named 'Abd Allāh or 'Abd al-Raḥmān.² He became more famous by his common name, such that his real name was close to being forgotten.

¹ Jamharah Anṣāb al-ʿArab page 358, 360, 361, al-Istīʿāb 4/1768, Tārīkh Ibn al-Khuldūn 2/253, Nihāyah al-Irb 91, 253, Muʿjam Qabāʾil al-ʿArab al-Qadīmah wa al-Ḥadīthah 1/394

² *Mustadrak al-Hākim* 3/507. It is indeed strange that the one who wrote against Abū Hurayrah 2000 has a name which is impermissible (i.e. 'Abd al-Husayn) on account of two reasons. Firstly, it is impermissible to name a person as a slave of another human because one is only the slave of Allah and thus should be named 'Abd Allāh or 'Abd al-Raḥmān only (or be attributed to any one of the names of Allah). This has been stated by the illustrious A'immah of the Shī'ah as well. It has been reported in the most authentic book of their books, upon which they have consensus on its authenticity. It is the first book, held in high esteem, most excellent and reliable; as stated by 'Abd al-Husayn. There are numerous narrations reported therein which state that the most beloved name is that which begins with "Abd". Al-Kulaynī has reported with his chain of narration from Fulān ibn Hamīd that he asked Imām Ja'far 2000 to advise him as to which is the best name to keep for his son. Imām Ja'far 2000 replied: "Abd al-Raḥmān." Al-Kulaynī has also reported with his chain of narration from Imām Bāqir 2000; "The most sincere of names is that kept with 'Abūdiyyah and the most virtuous are the names of the ambiyā'." Bāqir al-Majlisī writes under the commentary of this narration: *continued.......*

Al-Ḥākim has reported that Abū Hurayrah هوليكفي said:

I was given the name Abū Hurayrah because I used to shepherd the sheep of my family, and one day I found a kitten in the wilderness. I placed it in my sleeve and when I returned, they heard the sound of the kitten in my sleeve. They asked: "What is this, O 'Abd al-Shams?" I replied that it was a kitten, I had found. They in turn said: "So you are Abū Hurayrah." The name stuck after that.¹

It has been reported in Tirmidhī:

I used to shepherd the sheep of my family and I had a small kitten (which I used to care for). I used to place it in a tree at nights and during the day, I would take it along with me and play with it. Thus, they began calling me $Ab\bar{u}$ Hurayrah.²

continued from page 19

'Abūdiyyah' means servitude to Allah and not names such as 'Abd al-Nabī or 'Abd al-'Alī and the like thereof. The same has been reported on the authority of the opponents (i.e. the Ahl al-Sunnah) that Rasūlullāh said: "The most beloved of your names to Allah are 'Abd Allāh and 'Abd al-Raḥmān." It should be understood that our (Shīī) scholars are in difference of opinion whether the names of 'Abūdiyyah are more virtuous or the names of the ambiyā'. Research scholars of Sharī ah have inclined towards the first and said: "Then name him with a good name; the most virtuous of which is that which indicates 'Abūdiyyah followed by the names of the ambiyā'." This was seconded by 'Allāmah al-Ḥillī. However, we will not rely upon their conclusions solely as this narration does not prove their claim because something being most sincere does not necessarily mean that it is most virtuous. Thus, the narration establishes sincerity and not virtue. Therefore, on account of the context of this narration, al-Shahīd in Lum'ah stated the same and Ibn Idrīs was also of the opinion that the most virtuous names are those of the ambiyā' and the A'immah. The best of which is the name of our Nabī (followed by the names of 'Abūdiyyah. This was seconded by al-Shahīd al-Thānī, and this is more evident. (*Mir'āt al-'Uqūl fi Sharḥ Akhbār Āl al-Rasūl 21/31*) *continued...*

 $1\,$ Al-Mustadrak 3/506, with a Şaḥīḥ chain of narration. Seconded by Al-Dhahabī in Mukhtasar al-Mustadrak.

2 Tirmidhī

However, Abū Hurayrah نفلیکی said:

Rasūlullāh سنتنتشت would call me by Abū Hirr and people would call me by Abū Hurayrah.¹

It was on account of this that he would say:

If you were to call me by Abū Hirr, it would be more beloved to me than calling me Abū Hurayrah.²

مَزَانَتَنْعَلِيووَسَلَر Islam and Company of Rasūlullāh

He embraced Islam in the seventh year after hijrah, between the treaty of Hudaybiyyah and Khaybar. His age at that time was approximately thirty. He then returned to Madīnah along with Rasūlullāh مَرَاتَتَ وَمَاتَ from Khaybar and stayed on Suffah, where he remained constantly in the company of Rasūlullāh مَرَاتَتَ وَعَالَ . He would accompany Rasūlullāh مَرَاتَتَ وَمَاتَ wherever he went and would eat with him majority of the time until the demise of Rasūlullāh مَرَاتَتَ وَعَالَ مَ

1 Al-Mustadrak 3/506

continued from page 20

Secondly, these deviant names oppose the practice of the A'immah of the Ahl al-Bayt as the Ahl al-Bayt used to name their children with the names of the first three khulafā'. 'Alī ﷺ named three of his sons; Abū Bakr, 'Umar and 'Uthmān. He also named one of his sons 'Abd al-Raḥmān. His son, Ḥasan ﷺ, did the same; naming one of his sons Abū Bakr and two of them 'Umar. Ḥusayn ﷺ also named one of his sons Abū Bakr and another 'Umar. This was also the practice of Zayn al-'Ābidīn (the son of Ḥusayn ﷺ), who named one of his sons 'Umar and another 'Uthmān. As for 'Uthmān, he enjoyed being addressed as Abū Bakr. The same goes for Mūsā al-Kāẓim (the son of Imām Ja'far), who named one of his sons Abū Bakr and another 'Umar, his son, 'Alī al-Riḍā, was commonly called Abū Bakr. These are the names of the children of the Ahl al-Bayt. You will not find a single one of them named 'Abd al-'Alī, 'Abd al-Ḥasan or 'Abd al-Ḥusayn. How then is it permissible for a Muslim to name his children with the names of the period of ignorance? Especially when Rasūlullāh ﷺ would change such names; as in the case of 'Abd al-Shams. In fact, the Qur'ān did not mention the name of the uncle of Rasūlullāh ﷺ but referred to him by his common name (Abū Lahab) in Sūrah Lahab because his name was 'Abd al-'Uzzā.

² Ibid 3/507

³ Al-Sunnah wa Makānatuhā fi Tashrīʿ al-Islamī by Muṣtafā al-Sabā'ī

Memorisation and Strength of Memory

The benefit of remaining in the company of Rasūlullāh عَالَيْنَا اللهُ constantly was that he was able to hear from Rasūlullāh عَالَيْنَا اللهُ what others did not and study the practices of Rasūlullāh عَالَيْنَا اللهُ more closely. When he embraced Islam, his memory was weak and so he complained to Rasūlullāh عَالَيْنَا اللهُ about this. Rasūlullāh عَالَيْنَا اللهُ instructed him to open his shawl, which he did. Rasūlullāh عَالَيْنَا اللهُ اللهُ then instructed him to hold it to his chest, which he did as well. Thereafter he never forgot any ḥadīth. This incident is known as the incident of spreading the shawl which has been reported by Bukhārī, Muslim, Aḥmad, Nasā'ī, Abū Ya'lā and Abū Nuʿaym.

Scholastic Achievement and Knowledge of Abū Hurayrah

Praise of Rasūlullāh مَتَأَلَّسَتَنَدوسَتَرَ, the Ṣaḥābah and Tābiʿīn

Allah Taʿālā honoured the Ṣaḥābah in many verses of the Qurʾān confirming both their virtue and reliability. Amongst these verses are those that were revealed regarding a specific Ṣaḥābī or all the Ṣaḥābah who participated with Rasūlullāh نَالَمُعْتَنَاتُ in a specific event; such as the Ṣaḥābah who pledged their allegiance to Rasūlullāh مَالَمَتَنَاتُ at Ḥudaybiyyah. There are also those verses that were revealed regarding all the Ṣaḥābah, including all of them in that virtue.

In a similar manner, Rasūlullāh سَأَلَسْتَعَدَوَسَةُ honoured his Ṣaḥābah by seeking forgiveness for them, announcing their virtue and reliability; either for a specific individual, a group amongst them or all of them in general.

Amongst the verses which are general and include $Ab\bar{u}$ Hurayrah $\overleftarrow{\hbox{\rm subs}}$ in the virtue mentioned:

مُحَمَّدٌ رَّسُوْلُ اللَّه وَ الَّذِيْنَ مَعَةَ آشَدَّاءً عَلَى الْكُفَّارِ رُحَمَاءُ بَيْنَهُمْ تَزِيهُمْ رُكَّعًا سُجَّدًا يَّبْتَغُوْنَ فَضْلًا مَّنَ اللَّه وَ رِضْوَانًا " سِيْمَاهُمْ فِيْ وُجُوْهِهمْ مَّنْ أَثَر السُّجُوْدِ لَالَكَ مَثَلُهُمْ فِي التَّوْزِية * وَ مَثَلُهُمْ فِي الْانِجِيلِ * كَزَرْعِ آخْرَجَ شَطَّةً فَازَرَهَ فَاسْتَغْلَظَ فَاسْتَوْى عَلٰى سُوْقِه يُعْجَبُ الزُّرَّاعَ لِيَغِيْظَ بِهِمُ الْكُفَّارَ خَوَعَدَ اللَّهُ الَّذِيْنَ أُمَنُوًا وَ عَمِلُوا الصَّلِحْتِ مِنْهُمْ مَعْفِرَةً وَ الْجُرًا عَظِيمًا

Muḥammad ﷺ is Allah's Rasūl and those with him (the Ṣaḥābah) are stern against the kuffār and compassionate among themselves. You will see them sometimes bowing, sometimes prostrating, seeking Allah's bounty and His pleasure. Their hallmark is on their faces because of the effect of prostration. This is their description in the Torah. Their description in the *Injīl* (Bible) is like that of a plant that sprouts its shoots and strengthens it, after which it becomes thick and stands on its own stem, pleasing the farmer. So that the kuffār may be enraged by them. Allah has promised forgiveness and a grand reward for those of them who have īmān and who do good deeds.¹

¹ Sūrah al-Fatḥ: 29

Amongst the last verses to be revealed:

Allah has certainly turned in mercy towards the Nabī (حَالِمَتَعَمَوْتَ) and towards the Muhājirīn and the Anṣār, who followed him in the hour of difficulty (to Tabūk) after the hearts of some of them were almost shaken (causing them to hesitate). Without doubt, He is Most Compassionate and Most Merciful towards them.¹

Abū Hurayrah مَوَالَيَّهُ is one of the Ṣaḥābah and he too is included in the virtue mentioned for them, and is awarded the same reliability awarded to all of them in the verses cited above. He is also included in the supplications Rasūlullāh مَوَالَيْتَعَيْدُونَتُ made for his Ṣaḥābah, the virtue of hijrah — as he migrated before the conquest of Makkah — the honour of Rasūlullāh مَوَالَيْتَعَيْدُونَتَدُ as well as the virtue of memorising the ḥadīth of Rasūlullāh مَوَالَيْتَعَيْدُونَتُ and propagating it, all apply to him as well.

Rasūlullāh سَأَنَدْ said to Abū Hurayrah مَتَأَنَدْ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَمَ

I take an oath by the One who has control of my life, I knew that you would be the first to ask be about this from my ummah on account of the desire for knowledge which I see in you.²

In another narration:

I knew that no one would ask me about this ḥadīth before you when I saw the desire you have for (learning) ḥadīth.³

¹ Sūrah al-Towbah: 117

² Musnad al-Aḥmad 15/208

³ Fatḥ al-Bārī 1/203, Siyar Aʿlām al-Nubalā' 2/430

Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī حَالَقَنْعَانَهُ has narrated that Rasūlullāh حَالَقَنْعَانَهُ said:

Abū Hurayrah 🕬 is a vessel of knowledge.1

Zayd ibn Thābit تعَلَيْكَ narrates:

We said: "O Rasūlullāh بالمنتخطة! We also ask for such knowledge that will never be forgotten." Rasūlullāh المنتخبطة replied: "The slave of Dows (Abū Hurayrah المنتخب) has surpassed you all with this."²

A person once came to Ibn ʿAbbās ﷺ asking a ruling, Ibn ʿAbbās said to Abū Hurayrah

You issue the ruling, O Abū Hurayrah 🖏, as this predicament has come to you.³

Imām al-Shāfiʿī has said:

Imām al-Bukhārī said:

Close to eight hundred scholars have reported $had\bar{i}th$ from him and he was the most retentive of those who reported $had\bar{i}th$ in his era.⁵

Imām al-Dhahabī said:

An imām, faqīh, mujtahid and hāfiz, Ṣahābī of Rasūlullāh سَأَلْسَدُعَلَيْهُ وَسَلَمَ

¹ Siyar Aʿlām al-Nubalā' 2/430

² Fatḥ al-Bārī 1/226, Siyar Aʿlām al-Nubalā' 2/432, Hilyat al-Awliyā' 1/381

³ Siyar Aʿlām al-Nubalā' 2/437

⁴ Al-Risālah page 281, Siyar Aʿlām al-Nubalā' 2/432

⁵ Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb 12/265, al-Bidāyah wa l-Nihāyah 8/103

Hurayrah al-Dowsī, al-Yemānī. The leader of the reliable retentive narrators.¹

Imām al-Dhahabī says elsewhere:

Abū Hurayrah المنتخبة is the pinnacle of memorising what he heard from Rasūlullāh مالتنتيسية and narrating it exactly as he heard it.²

He also said:

Abū Hurayrah as had a most reliable memory, we do not know of any instance where he erred in narrating a hadīth.³

He also said:

He is a leader in the Qur'ān, sunnah and fiqh.4

He said:

Where is the equal of Abū Hurayrah and in memory and Abūndance of knowledge?⁵

Ṣaḥābah Who Narrated From Him

Abū Hurayrah 🏾 narrated from many Ṣaḥābah amongst whom are: Abū Bakr, ʿUmar, Faḍl ibn ʿAbbās, Ubay ibn Kaʿb, Usāmah ibn Zayd, and ʿĀʾishah 🖉.

As for those Ṣaḥābah who narrated from him, they were: ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbbās سناینه, ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿUmar شناینه, Anas ibn Mālik شنانه, Wāthilah ibn Asqaʿ شنانه, Jābir ibn ʿAbd Allāh al-Anṣārī سنانه, and Abū Ayyūb al-Anṣārī

¹ Siyar Aʻlām al-Nubalā' 2/417

² Ibid 2/445

³ Ibid 2/446

⁴ Ibid 2/449

⁵ Ibid 2/438

Tābiʿīn Who Narrated From Him

Amongst the Tābiʿīn who narrated from him are Saʿīd ibn Musayyab - his son-inlaw, ʿAbd Allāh ibn Thaʿlabah , Urwah ibn Zubayr , Qubaysah ibn Dhuʿayb , Salmān al-Aghar , Sulaymān ibn Yasār , Iraq ibn Mālik , Sālim ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿUmar , Abū Salamah ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn ʿAwf , Ḥamīd ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn ʿAwf , Muḥammad ibn Sirīn , ʿAṭā ibn Abī Rabāḥ , ʿAṭā ibn Yasār , as well as many others, as Imām al-Bukhārī has mentioned reaching approximately eight hundred.

The Number of Aḥādīth Reported From Him

His aḥādīth have been reported by a number of ḥuffāẓ of ḥadīth in their *Masānīd*, *Ṣiḥāḥ, Sunan, Maʿājim, Muṣanafāt*, etc. There is no reliable book of ḥadīth which does not contain the narrations of this esteemed Ṣaḥābī.

His narrations can be found in all chapters of fiqh, aqāʿid, ʿibādāt, muʿāmalāt, jihād, siyar, manāqib, tafsīr, ṭalāq, nikāḥ, adab, daʿwāt, riqāq, dhikr, tasbīḥ, etc.

Imām Aḥmad ibn Hanbal and ibm has reported 3848 aḥādīth of Abū Hurayrah is *Musnad*, many of which are repetitions either in meaning or wording (reported via a different chain of narration).

Imām Baqī ibn Mukhallad المنتخة (201 A.H- 276 A.H) has reported 5375 aḥādīth of Abū Hurayrah

The authors of the *al-Ṣiḥāḥ al-Sittah*¹ and Imām Mālik المنابعة in his *Muwaṭṭa*' have reported 2218 aḥādīth of his collectively. Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī and Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim have reported 609 aḥādīth of his; 326 of which can be found in both Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī and Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, while Imām al-Bukhārī المنابعة has reported 93 in Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī (which are not reported in *Muslim*) and Imām Muslim المنابعة 190 (which are not found in *al-Bukhārī*).²

¹ Bukhārī, Muslim, Tirmidhī, Abū Dāwūd, Nasā'ī and Ibn Mājah

² Al-Riyāḍ al-Mustathābah page 70, Shadharāt al-Dhahab 1/63

The Most Authentic Chain of Narration from Abū Hurayrah

The most authentic chain of narration reporting from Abū Hurayrah المنافقة, according to Imām Bukhārī المنافقة is:

Abū Zinād — Aʿraj — Abū Hurayrah 🐗.1

The most authentic chain of narration reported from Abū Hurayrah نهي المناقبة according to Imām Aḥmad ibn Hanbal مناقبة is:

Muḥammad ibn Sirīn — Saʿīd ibn Musayyab — Abū Hurayrah 🐗.

According to Imām ʿAlī ibn al-Madīnī they are six: Ibn Musayyab, Abū Salamah, Aʿraj, Abū Ṣāliḥ, Ibn Sirīn, and Ṭāʾūs.²

They are also six according to Ibn Maʿīn, Imām Abū Dāwūd المنتخبة reports:

I asked Ibn Maʿīn who are the reliable narrators from Abū Hurayrah and he replied: "Ibn Musayyab, Abū Ṣāliḥ, Ibn Sirīn, al-Maqbarī, al-Aʿraj, Abū Rāfiʿ."³

Four of them are agreed upon by ʿAlī ibn al-Madīnī and Ibn Maʿīn while Ibn Maʿīn substituted Abū Salamah and Ṭāʾūs for al-Maqbarī and Abū Rāfiʿ.

Aḥmad Muḥammad Shākir has counted all these chains of narration and mentioned the most authentic amongst them, the majority of which is reported by these six chains:

Mālik, Ibn Uyaynah and Maʿmar — Zuhrī — Saʿīd ibn Musayyab — Abū Hurayrah

¹ Al-Tahdhīb 5/204, Mizān al-Iʻtidāl 2/36

² Al-Tahdhīb 9/215

³ Al-Tahdhīb 3/220

Mālik — Abū Zinād — Aʻraj — Abū Hurayrah Hammād ibn Zayd — Ayyūb — Muḥammad ibn Sirīn — Abū Hurayrah Maʿmar — Hummām ibn Munabbih — from Abū Hurayrah Yaḥyā ibn Abī Kathīr — Abū Salamah — Abū Hurayrah Ismāʿīl ibn Abī Ḥakīm — ʿUbaydah ibn Sufyān al-Ḥadhramī — Abū Hurayrah¹

Abūndant Narrations and Its Reason

Abū Hurayrah and has explained the reason for his Abūndant narrations of hadīth:

You people say that Abū Hurayrah an narrates a great deal from Nabī for the Muhājirīn would be preoccupied with their land and tending to it, while I was a poor homeless person. I would stick closely to Rasūlullāh from the Muhājirīn would be preoccupied with their land and tending to it, while I was a poor homeless person. I would stick closely to Rasūlullāh and he would fill my belly. I spent most of my time in the company of Rasūlullāh is hawl so that I may place within in it my ḥadīth and then he should embrace it; after which he will never forget anything he hears from me ever again." I then spread my shawl before Rasūlullāh thereafter held it tightly. I take an oath by Allah; I never forgot anything I heard from Rasūlullāh is a stat."

He would also say:

I take an oath by Allah, if it were not for a verse in the Qur'ān I would never narrate anything to you ever.

¹ Musnad Aḥmad 1/149-150

He then recited the following verse

انَّ الَّذِيْنَ يَكْتُمُوْنَ مَا آنْزَلْنَا مِنَ الْبَيِّنْتِ وَالْهُدٰى مِنْ بَعْدِ مَا بَيَّنُهُ لِلنَّاسِ فِي الْكِتْبِ ` أُولَّئِكَ يَلْعَنُهُمُ اللَّهُ وَيَلْعَنُهُمُ اللَّعنُوْنَ

Certainly those who hide the clear things and guidance which We have revealed, after We had explained it to them in the Book for the people; such people (those who hide these things) are cursed by Allah and by all those who curse.¹

He would invite people to propagate knowledge and not to attribute falsehood to Rasūlullāh مَرَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّا مَعَالَى مُعَالَى مُعَال

Whoever is asked about a matter regarding which he has knowledge and he conceals it, he will be made to wear a collar of fire on the Day of Qiyāmah.

and,

Whoever intentionally attributes falsehood to me should prepare for his abode in Jahannam.²

His companions from the Ṣaḥābah have also attested to him having heard Abūndant aḥādīth from Rasūlullāh مَكَاللَّتَعَيَّدُوتَلَهُ and attaining knowledge from Rasūlullāh مَكَاللَّتَعَيَّدُوتَلَهُ. These testimonies remove all doubts and criticisms surrounding his Abūndant narrations such that some of the Ṣaḥābah would narrate from him because he had heard from Rasūlullāh مَكَاللَّهُ

In this light a person came to Ṭalḥah ibn ʿUbayd Allāh 🕮 and said:

O Abū Muḥammad! Do you not see this Yemānī (referring to Abū Hurayrah سنه), is he more knowledgeable of the aḥādīth of Rasūlullāh المنهنين than

¹ Sūrah al-Baqarah: 159

^{2~} Both aḥādīth are reported in ${\it Bukhār\bar{r}}$ and ${\it Muslim}.$

you all? We hear such things from him which we do not hear from you all. Or is it that he narrates from Rasūlullāh المنافقة what he has not said?

Ṭalḥah ibn ʿUbayd Allāh بَعَلِيَهُمُ replied:

As for him hearing what we have not heard, there is no doubt regarding this. I will inform you the reason for it; we were people with homes, family, livestock and occupation. We would spend time in the company of Rasūlullāh المعتقبة in the morning and evening. On the other hand, he was poor and homeless, a guest at the door of Rasūlullāh مالله الله his hand in the hand of Rasūlullāh المعتقبة . Thus we have no doubt that he heard what we did not. You will not find a person who possesses virtue narrating from Rasūlullāh المعتقبة what he did not say.¹

He said in another narration:

We heard just as he heard but we forgot and he remembered.

Ash'ath ibn Sulaym reports from his father that he heard Abū Ayyūb al-Anṣārī شنائ narrating on the authority of Abū Hurayrah نائي . He was asked: "You are a Ṣaḥābī of Rasūlullāh مَنْ يَعْدَيْهُ yet you narrate on the authority of Abū Hurayrah شنائ ?" Abū Ayyūb al-Anṣārī مَنْ المَاتَ replied: "Abū Hurayrah نائي heard what we did not hear and to narrate on his authority is more beloved to me than me narrating it myself from Rasūlullāh ."

Furthermore, the courage of Abū Hurayrah مَنَاسَعَيْنَ in asking Rasūlullāh مَنَاسَعَيْنَ وَاللَّهُ بَعَانَ وَ questions allowed him to learn more than his own fellow companions; he would never desist from asking Rasūlullāh مَاسَتَعَيْنَاتُ about anything he encountered whereas others would not do the same. Ubay ibn Kaʿb مَنَسَعَنَا وَاللَّهُ

Abū Hurayrah www.was bold before Rasūlullāh www.; he would ask him about matters which we would not ask about.

¹ Tirmidhī 2/247

He was unyielding in his pursuit of knowledge and strove hard in attaining it, during the lifetime of Rasūlullāh حَالَتْهَ عَدَيَتَ and after his demise. After all Abū Hurayrah حَالَتْهُ is the one who narrated that Rasūlullāh حَالَتْهُ فَعَلَيْهُمُ

The one for whom Allah Taʿālā desires good, Allah Taʿālā grants him understanding of dīn.

We have found that Abū Hurayrah تعَلَيْنَهُ loved good and strove for it his entire life how was it then possible for him to desist in achieving the good of this field (i.e. knowledge) as well? He was the one who would accompany Rasūlullāh مَكَالَنَهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّا وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّالَةُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّاللَّالَةُ وَاللَّاللَّهُ وَاللَّا وَاللَّالَةُ وَاللَّاللَّالِيَعْتُواللَّالَةُ وَاللَّالَةُ وَاللَّالَةُ وَاللَّا وَاللَّالَةُ وَاللَّالَةُ وَاللَّالَةُ وَاللَّالَةُ وَاللَّالَ

Illness and Demise

When on his death bed, he said:

Do not erect a tomb over my grave and do not follow my bier with torches, and hurry with my burial as I have heard Rasūlullāh مرابعة say: "When a pious person or believer is placed upon his bier, he says: "Take me (to my grave)!" and when a kāfir or sinner is placed upon his bier, he says: "Woe to you all! Where are you taking me?"

He passed away in the same year as Umm al-Mu'minīn ʿĀ'ishah 🕬 (58 A.H).

¹ Abū Hurayrah Rāwiyah al-Islam by Al-ʿAjjāj, page 117- 121

Chapter two

Clearing the Doubts Raised by the Deviants Against Abū Hurayrah and His Narrations

This is the Abū Hurayrah تعَلَيْتَكَ we know before and after embracing Islam, we know of his hijrah and his companionship of Rasūlullāh عَلَيْتَكَ وَمَالَّهُ عَلَيْتَكَ وَمَالَى . He was a trustworthy companion of Rasūlullāh عَلَيْتَكَ وَمَالَّهُ and a dedicated student. He remained in the company of Rasūlullāh عَلَيْتَكَ وَمَالَّهُ when at home and when on a journey, sharing in the joys and grief of Rasūlullāh عَلَيْتَكَ وَمَالَّهُ وَمَالَى . We know of his adherence to the blessed sunnah of Rasūlullāh عَلَيْتَكَ وَمَالَى أَنْ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَمَالَيْ اللَّهُ وَمَالَيْكَ مَالَيْكَ وَمَالَيْكَ وَمَالْعَا وَمَالَيْ وَمَالَيْكَ وَمَالَيْكَ وَمَالَيْكَ وَمَالَيْكَ وَمَالْمُوالَيْكَ وَمَالَيْكَ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكَ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَالْعُنُوالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَالْعُنُوا مَالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَالُولُوا مَالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكُ وَقَالُي ومَالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَالَيْكُ وَمَا

This is the Abū Hurayrah المنتخفين which history has painted for us after careful analysis. However, a few malicious deviants are unhappy to see Abū Hurayrah المنتخفين holding this lofty position and honourable status. Thus, their prejudice has spurred them to conjure a version of events contrary to the reality. They see his adherence to the company of Rasūlullāh المنتخفين to be only a means of filling his belly, they depict his trustworthiness to be deception, his generosity to be boastfulness, his memory to be trickery, his Abūndant pure narrations to be fabrications upon Rasūlullāh المنتخفين , his poverty to be a blemish, his humility to be disgrace, and his praise to be taunts. They portray his encouraging of good and prohibiting evil to be a mere ploy to deceive people, his seclusion during the fitnah (the battles that ensued during the khilāfah of ʿAlī (منتخفي) as having taken sides, his words of truth to be bias; he is portrayed to be an employee of the Omayyad's and a propagator of their political authority, on account of which he is amongst the liars and fabricators of the blessed ḥadīth of Rasūlullāh -

In the following pages, we will discuss the false allegations, fabrications and doubts they cast upon the noble personality of Abū Hurayrah www. We begin

first with the allegations made by ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn al-Mūsawī in his book- *Abū Hurayrah*, which will be followed with a substantiated reply.

Section one

The Allegations Made by 'Abd al-Husayn Sharf al-Dīn al-Mūsawī

He writes in the introduction of his book- Abū Hurayrah (Page 5):

This is a treatise on the life of the Ṣaḥābī who narrated from Rasūlullāh and narrated so excessively that he exceeded all the limits. His narrations have been reported in the Ṣiḥāḥ of the majority (i.e. the Ahl al-Sunnah) and in all their Masānīd, and they too reported from him excessively such that they too exceeded the limits. It was impossible for us to examine these narrations except by studying the source of it because of it having a direct link to our spiritual lives and logic as well. If this were not the reason then we would have overlooked it and its source, and occupied ourselves with something more important.

However, the thorns of this excess became widespread amongst the furū['] (secondary) and uṣūl (primary) aspects of dīn; and the fuqahā (jurists) of the majority (i.e. the Ahl al-Sunnah) and their mutakallimīn (theologians) substantiate from them in many of the laws of Allah and His Sharī ah, which requires careful scrutiny and deliberation. It is not surprising for them to have acted in this manner after having decided to attribute reliability to all of the Ṣaḥābah. Just as there is no proof for this principle, as will be clarified in its relevant chapter, it became necessary for us to discuss this exaggerator and his narrations entirely so that we may be aware which of his narrations are related to the furū['] and uṣūl of dīn. This is what prompted me to write this treatise on the life of this Ṣaḥābī (and he is Abū Hurayrah), and his lamentable narrations. I have researched extensively and investigated copiously such that the path of truth has been revealed in this book of mine and the light of conviction made apparent.

As for Abū Hurayrah, we will soon discuss his life history and analyse his personality as has been narrated in the books. We have revealed his true nature and character from all his lamentable actions, which you will be able to discern on your own. As for his aḥādīth, I have scrutinised them carefully and have found no option, Allah is my witness, but to discard all of them. Which knowledgeable, impartial, open-minded scholar will be content with such excessive narrating, which cannot be found amongst the narrations of the four khulafā', Ummahāt al-Mu'minīn, or the men and women of the Banū Hāshim? How can you consider it practical that an unlettered one (who embraced Islam late in the life of Rasūlullāh and on account of which you regard him amongst the Ṣaḥābah) could have narrated that from Rasūlullāh which we gauge his narrations of the close and near companions did not narrate. When we gauge his narrations in light of common sense and examination, we find that they did not corroborate the majority of what has been narrated by this exaggerator in his excessiveness and incongruity. The sunnah is far greater than to have thorny weeds, by which Abū Hurayrah had stabbed common sense and wounded the criteria of examination when he distorted the exalted Sharī ah and wronged the Nabī

The truth is that companionship (of Rasūlullāh is a great virtue but it does not make one infallible. Among the Ṣaḥābah were saints, veracious and honest men, who were their 'ulamā' and leaders, and there were those who were unknown. Also there were the munāfiqīn (hypocrites), who committed great sins and crimes. The Noble Qur'ān mentions this clearly:

And from amongst the people of Madīnah, (are those) obstinate upon hypocrisy. You do not know who they are, We know who they are.¹ (Sūrah Tawbah: 101)

So the narrations of the reliable are proof and those who are unknown will be investigated, and those who are guilty of sin have no value nor do their narrations. This is our point of view on those who narrated hadīth from the Ṣaḥābah and others. The Noble Qur'ān and the Sunnah are established upon this opinion; the liars were never excused from criticism even if they were called Ṣaḥābah, because excusing

¹ The verse of Allah: "And from the amongst the people of Madīnah", does not indicate that the munāfiqīn were from amongst the Ṣaḥābah. Instead it indicates that from amongst the munāfiqīn, some were from Madīnah and others from elsewhere. As for being a Ṣaḥābī, this negates being a munāfiq whereas being from Madīnah does not negate being a munāfiq. Instead, it is possible that a munāfiq may come from Madīnah or from Makkah, and be obstinate upon kufr and evil. The narrations, in the Qur'ān and Sunnah, proving the reliability of the Ṣaḥābah are numerous.
them is a deception upon Allah, His Rasūl and His servants. It is sufficient for us to depend upon the 'ulamā', leaders, Ṣiddiqīn and virtuous of the great Ṣaḥābah of the Nabī من عالية and his family, whom he ordered to be at the same rank with the Holy Qur'ān and to be the example for the wise.

Based upon this, we are in agreement upon the result, even if we somehow differed in the beginning because the majority (i.e. the Ahl al-Sunnah) excused Abū Hurayrah, Samurah ibn Jundub, al-Mughīrah, Muʿāwiyah, ʿAmr ibn al-ʿĀs, Marwān ibn al-Ḥakam and the likes thereof, in honour of Rasūlullāh the because they were amongst his companions. We on the other hand criticised them to honour Rasūlullāh to honour and his sunnah, which is befitting of those who are open minded, understanding of honour and greatness.

It is obvious that refuting all that has been narrated from Rasūlullāh بالمنتخبة المنتخبة which is impossible to believe, is more in line with honouring the Nabī مالة المنتخبة and it is more in accordance with that reasoning which Nabī المنتخبة intended for transmitting the Sharī ah and knowledge to his ummah. The Nabī المنتخبة had warned that there would be many liars against him and promised them that their abodes would be in Jahannam.

I publish this study in my book (Abū Hurayrah) solely to reveal the truth and to purify the sunnah and its ascription to the great sacred Nabī:-:

And he does not speak of his own desire... (Sūrah Najm: 3)

I say that his statement of Abū Hurayrah www being an exaggerator is a lie. What exaggeration did Abū Hurayrah www do, when he is the ḥāfiẓ whom we know, the muftī which the ummah relied upon after the demise of the senior Ṣaḥābah. Abū Hurayrah www was amongst those who resided in Madīnah as a recourse for people in their dīn and sharīʿah, after the Ṣaḥābah settled in the various cities of Islam to teach and tutor its people. We will reply in detail to his allegations but first it is imperative to clarify that Abū Hurayrah www was no exaggerator but was the same as all the other ʿulamā' amongst the Ṣaḥābah, who issued rulings when it was sought from them, and answered when they were asked. He was not

excessive, neither during the era of the al-Khulafā' al-Rāshidīn nor after them. On the contrary, people understood his reliability, recognised his status and awarded him the honour he deserved. Numerous were those who travelled great distances just to see Abū Hurayrah and many were those who would come to him seeking a ruling or a ḥadīth, in the presence of the senior Ṣaḥābah.

Abū Hurayrah www did not narrate such a large number of aḥādīth of his own accord but people relied upon his excellent memory and desired to benefit from it. What crime did he commit in this? His deep knowledge and excellent memory was attested to by Ibn 'Umar, Ṭalḥah ibn 'Ubayd Allāh, Zubayr www, and many others. When asked about his Abūndant narrations, he replied:

What sin have I committed, if I remembered and they forgot.

It is reported in the books of the Shīʿah (*Biḥār al-Anwār* 18/13) under the chapter: The miracles of Rasūlullāh where his supplications were accepted:

أن أبا هريرة قال لرسول الله صلى الله عليه و سلم إني أسمع منك الحديث الكثير أنساه ، قال : أبسط رداك قال : فبسطته فوضع يده فيه ثم قال : ضمّه فضممته، فما نسيت كثيرا بعده

Verily Abū Hurayrah منه said to Rasūlullāh بالمنه : "I hear many aḥādīth from you but forget." Rasūlullāh المنهجين instructed him to spread his shawl and he did. Rasūlullāh المنهجين then placed his hand in it and said: "Hold it tightly." Abū Hurayrah منه says: "I then held it tightly and I did not forget thereafter."

What crime did Abū Hurayrah سَالَتُعَيَّدَيَنَةُ supplicated to Allah for him to be granted an excellent memory? On the other hand, the Shīʿah believe that Rasūlullāh سَالَتَعَيَّدَيَنَةُ supplicated for 'Alī مَالَقَتَعَنَّهُ to be granted understanding and knowledge, after which he never forgot any verse of the Qurʾān.

It is reported in *Biḥār al-Anwār* (40/139) in chapter 93 that Rasūlullāh سَأَنَسْتَنْعَيْسَنَا taught ʿAlī سَأَنْسُتَنْ one thousand chapters.

عن سليم بن قيس عن أمير المؤمنين (ع) قال : كنت إذا سألت رسول الله صلى الله عليه و سلم أجابني ، وإن فنيت مسائلي ابتدأني ، فما نزلت عليه آية في ليل ولا نهار ولا سماء ولا أرض ولا دنيا ولا آخرة ولا جنة ولا نار ولا سهل ولا جبل ولا ضياء ولا ظلمة إلا أقرأنيها وأملاها عليّ ، وكتبت بيدي وعلّمني تأويلها وتفسيرها ومحكمها ومتشابهها وخاصها وعامها ، وكيف نزلت وأين نزلت وفيمن أنزلت إلى يوم القيامة ، دعا الله لي أن يعطيني فهماً وحفظاً ، فما نسيت آية من كتاب الله ، ولا على من أنزلت أملاه عليّ

Sulaym ibn Qays reports from Amīr al-Mu'minīn 'Alī www: "Whenever I would ask Rasūlullāh would answer me. When I had no questions to ask, he would exhort me. There was no verse that descended upon him in the night or the day, regarding the heavens or the earth, this world or the ākhirah (hereafter), Jannah or Jahannam, or ease or adversity, light or darkness except that he recited it to me, dictated it to me and I wrote it with my hand. He taught me its interpretation, commentary, clear meaning and unclear meaning, general and specific, the manner in which it was revealed, where it was revealed and regarding whom it was revealed until the Day of Qiyāmah. He supplicated to Allah to grant me understanding and memory; I never forgot a verse from the Book of Allah after that or regarding whom it was revealed, which he dictated to me."

Thus, what crime did ʿAlī مَوَاللَّهُ commit if Rasūlullāh مَرَاللَّهُ supplicated for Allah to grant him an excellent memory? What wrong did he do if Rasūlullāh مَرَاللَهُ عَذِيوَ لَمُ لَعَامَ مُوَاللُّهُ عَلَى مُوَاللُهُ مُوَاللُهُ لَعَامَ مُوَاللُهُ مُوَاللُهُ مُوَاللُهُ لَعَامَ مُوَاللُهُ مُؤَاللُهُ مُوَاللُهُ مُواللُهُ مُوالللهُ مُواللُهُ مُوالللهُ مُواللُواللُهُ مُواللُهُ مُواللُهُ مُوالللهُ مُواللُهُ مُواللللللُهُ مُوالللللللُهُ مُواللُهُ مُ

Abū Ḥamzah al-Thumālī reports from Imām Jaʿfar:

قال على (ع): لقد علّمني رسول الله صلى الله عليه و سلم ألف باب كل باب يفتح ألف باب

'Alī said: "Rasūlullāh in taught me one thousand chapters, each chapter comprising of one thousand sub-chapters."

In another narration:

علّم رسول الله صلى الله عليه و سلم علياً ألف كلمة كل كلمة تفتح ألف كلمة

Rasūlullāh المستعملة taught me one thousand phrases, each phrase opening one thousand phrases.¹

Muḥammad Mahdī has reported in his book- *Al-Jāmi*[°] *li Ruwāt wa Aṣḥāb al-Imām al-Riḍā* (1/244):

'Alī said: "Come close! Come close! Seek a means! Seek a means! For verily knowledge flows like a river." He then began stroking his belly saying: "Food will not satiate him but knowledge will."

Al-Najāshī has reported in his *Rijāl* (2/399-400) under the biography of Hishām ibn Muḥammad ibn Sā'ib:

Well-known to possess great virtue and knowledge, granted eminent distinction in our madh-hab (school). The famous hadīth has been reported in his favour: "A severe illness affected me and I lost my knowledge. I then sat in the company of Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad and he gave me knowledge to drink from a cup, and my knowledge returned to me."

In light of the above, is it not then unscrupulous of the author to reject the Abūndant narrations of Abū Hurayrah ≈ 600 and his knowledge?

Even more astonishing is to make this an issue in the tenth century. Is he astonished at the power of memory granted to man, especially the 'Arabs, who memorised twice as more as what Abū Hurayrah www memorised. They memorised the Qur'ān, ḥadīth and poetry; what will the 'honest' author say about them? What does he say about Abū Bakr www and his memory of the lineage of the 'Arabs, about 'Ā'ishah www and her memory of their poetry? What does this ignorant one say about Ḥammād al-Rāwiyah, who was most knowledgeable of the history of the 'Arabs, their poetry, narrations, lineage and diction? What will he say about him

¹ Bihār al-Anwār 40/131, 132

when he learns that he has reported hundreds of lengthy poems on each of the letters of the alphabet, from the poems of the Period of Ignorance and not Islam?

What does he say about the memory of Imām al-Bukhārī i in hadīth as he memorised one hundred thousand sahīh ahādīth and two hundred thousand which were not sahīh. He compiled his book (*Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī*) from six hundred thousand ahādīth.

What does he say about the memory Ibn 'Aqdah, who memorised one hundred and twenty thousand aḥādīth? Ayatollah al-Gulpāygānī has reported in his book, *Anwār al-Wilāyah* (page 415) in his research of the chains of ḥadīth:

Shaykh Al-Ṭūsī said that he heard a group reporting from him that he said: "I have memorised one hundred and twenty thousand aḥādīth with their chains of narration, and I will learn three hundred thousand aḥādīth."

The problem with 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is that he deceives in every page of his book; he assumes Abū Hurayrah is to be an exaggerator but he forgets or intentionally forgets that those who narrated from his A'immah, whom he regards to be infallible, have narrated loads more then what Abū Hurayrah is has narrated; such that they were the ones who exaggerated and the four (most relied upon) books of the Shī ah or four fundamental books also exaggerated (since they relied upon their narrations).

In addition to the previous chapter which al-Majlisī compiled in his Bihar al-Anwār, which is filled with narrations in this regard (from page 127 to page 200), there is much more in addition to it.

Listen carefully, read and be amazed by the narrations of the 'reliable' narrators of the Shīʿah and their number of narrations.

¹ Rijāl al-Najāshī 1/240

Exaggeration of Shīʿī narrators

Abān ibn Taghlib

The well-known Shīʿī scholar, Abū al-ʿAbbās al-Najjāshī, who is regarded as an expert in the field of scrutiny of narrators, reports in his famous book *Rijāl al-Najjāshī* that Abān ibn Taghlib narrated **thirty thousand aḥādīth** from Imām Jaʿfar.¹

In fact the author himself ('Abd al-Ḥusayn) narrates this in his fabricated book in the name of the Shaykh of al-Azhar entitled: *Al-Murāja*' $\bar{a}t$.² He writes in *Al-Murāja*' $\bar{a}t$ ':

فمنهم أبو سعيد أبان بن تغلب رباح الجريري القارىء الفقيه المحدث المفسر الأصولي اللغوي المشهور،كان من أوثق الناس ، لقى الأئمة الثلاثة فروى عنهم علوماً جمة و أحاديث كثيرة ، وحسبك أنه روى عن الصادق خاصة ثلاثين ألف حديث!! كما أخرجه الميرزا محمد في ترجمة أبان من كتاب منتهى المقال بالإسناد إلى أبان بن عثمان عن الصادق

Amongst them is Abū Saʿīd Abān ibn Taghlib Rabāḥ al-Jarīrī al-Qārī al-Faqīḥ al-Muhaddith al-Mufassir al-Uşūlī al-Lughawī. He was the most reliable of people; he met three A'immah and narrated from them Abūndant knowledge and numerous aḥādīth. It is sufficient for you that **he narrated thirty thousand aḥādīth from al-Ṣādiq alone**, as has been reported by Mirzā Muḥammad under the biography of Abān in his book, *Muntahā al-Maqāl*, with a chain of narrations to Abān ibn ʿUthmān from al-Ṣādiq.³

'Abd al-Ḥusayn says:

قال الصادق لأبان بن عثمان: إن أبان بن تغلب روى عني ثلاثين ألف حديث فاروها عني

Ja'far al-Ṣādiq said to Abān ibn 'Uthmān: "Verily Abān ibn Taghlib has

¹ Rijāl al-Najjāshī 1/78, 79, Khātimah Wasā'il al-Shīʿah 20/ 116

² Further discussion of this book will be mentioned later.

³ Al-Murājaʿāt letter 110, page 722

narrated thirty thousand ahādīth from me, you too report them from me." $^{\!\!\!1}$

In fact the majority of the 'reliable' Shī'ī narrators narrate this amount of ahādīth and even more.

Muḥammad ibn Muslim ibn Rabāḥ

One of the most relied upon scholars by the Shīʿah in the scrutiny of narrators, al-Kashshī, mentions about one of their narrators by the name of Muḥammad ibn Muslim ibn Rabāḥ:

سأل الباقر عن ثلاثين ألف حديث و سأل الصادق عن ستة عشر ألف حديث

He asked al-Bāqir about **thirty thousand aḥādīth** and asked al-Ṣādiq about **sixteen thousand aḥādīth**.²

Jābir ibn Yazīd al-Juʿfī

Amongst the excessive exaggerators is Jābir ibn Yazīd al-Juʿfī, in whose chest the Abūndant narrations from the 'infallibles' raged until it drove him mad, he would then go to the cemetery and 'bury' his aḥādīth.

Al-Kashshī has reported from Jābir al-Juʿfī:

حدثني أبو جعفر (ع) بسبعين ألف حديث لم أحدث بها أحداً قط ولا أحدث بها أحد أبدا ، قال جابر فقلت لأبي جعفر (ع) جعلت فداك أنك قد حملتني وقراً عظيماً بما حدثتني به من سرّكم!! الذي لا أحدث به أحداً!! ، فربما جاش في صدري حتى يأخذني منه الجنون !! قال يا جابر فإذا كان ذلك فاخرج إلى الجبانة فاحفر حفيرة ودل رأسك ثم قل حدثني محمد بن علي بكذا وكذا

Imām al-Bāqir narrated **seventy thousand aḥādīth to me**, which I had not narrated to anyone else before nor will I narrate to anyone in the future. I

¹ Al-Murājaʿāt page 722, also refer to Rijāl al-Najjāshī page 9

² Rijāl al-Kashshī page 163, Khātimah al-Wasā'il 20/343

said to Imām al-Bāqir: "May I be sacrificed for you! You have placed upon me a heavy burden by narrating to me from your secrets, such narrations which I will not narrate to anyone. Many a time these narrations rage within my chest until it drives me mad." Imām al-Bāqir replied: "O Jābir! When that happens go to the cemetery and dig a hole, lower your head (in it) and then say Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī narrated this and this to me."¹

Al-Kashshī has reported with his chain of narration from Jābir al-Juʿfī:

رويت خمسين ألف حديث ما سمعه أحد مني

I have narrated ${\bf fifty\ thousand\ ah\bar{a}d\bar{\imath}th},$ which no other has heard but me.²

Al-Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī reports in *Khātimah al-Wasā`il* that he narrates **seventy thousand aḥādīth** from Imām al-Bāqir and **one hundred and forty thousand aḥādīth** from Imām Jaʿfar. He then states: "It is clear that no other person has narrated in a reliable manner from the A'immah more than what has been narrated by Jābir."³

These very same exaggerators were mentioned by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn in his book entitled *al-Murāja*ʿāt, defending them from criticism and praising them. What exaggeration has Abū Hurayrah committed compared to these exaggerators?

As for the statement that the *Ṣiḥāḥ* and *Masānīd* of the Ahl al-Sunnah being excessive in reporting from Abū Hurayrah *www*, this is a lie and false accusation. We do not accept such an allegation nor will any fair-minded person accept it. This is truly a false accusation; their books are the ones filled with such excessiveness, by their own admission. He says in his book- *al-Murājaʿāt*:

¹ *Rijāl al-Kashshī* page 194

² ibid

³ Khātimah al-Wasā'il 20/ 151

وأحسن ما جمع منها الكتب الأربعة التي هي مرجع الإمامية في أصولهم و فروعهم من الصدر الأول إلى هذا الزمان، وهي : الكافي، و التهذيب، والاستبصار، ومن لا يحضره الفقيه، وهي متواترة ومضامينها مقطوع بصحتها، والكافي أقدمها و أعظمها وأحسنها و أتقنها ، وفيه ستة عشر ألف و مئة وتسعة وتسعون حديثا، وهي أكثر مما اشتملت عليه الصحاح الستة بأجمعها، كما صرح به الشهيد في الذكرى وغير واحد من الأعلام

The best of the books compiled in hadīth are the four, which are references for the Imāmiyyah in their primary and secondary matters, from the first generations until this era. They are: al- $Ka\bar{f}\bar{i}$, al- $Tahdh\bar{i}b$, al- $Istibṣ\bar{a}r$, $Man L\bar{a}$ Yahduruhu al- $Faq\bar{i}h$. They are mutawātir 1 and their contents unquestionably authentic. Al- $Ka\bar{f}\bar{i}$ is the first, the most revered, most excellent and most reliable. It contains 16199 ahādīth, which is more than all the narrations contained in the Ṣihāh Sittah combined, as elaborated by Al-Shahīd in Al-Dhikrā as well as many other scholars.²

Read his words again: "Which is more than all the narrations contained in the *Şiḥāḥ Sittah combined*", O intelligent ones! Whose books have been excessive and exaggerated? The books of ḥadīth of the Ahl al-Sunnah; a ḥadīth is only recorded therein after it has been carefully scrutinised and examined. A ḥadīth is only recorded after careful scrutiny, examination, research and evaluation, the lives of its narrators closely inspected; their character and memory. A ḥadīth is only accepted from a person after his credibility has been established. This scrutiny extends to both the chain of narration and the content of the narration and not superficially but each narration is gauged in light of the Qur'ān and sunnah until one can determine with certainty that it is authentic. Amongst the scholars were those who gathered those narrations whose authenticity was disputed, studying it in detail; its nature, composition meaning and implication, until they were able to clarify the correct stance regarding it. The *Şiḥāḥ* were compiled after deep scholastic research of both the chain of narration and the content of the narration itself. This is opposed to the books of the Shīʿaḥ; ʿAbd Allāh Fayyāḍ says

¹ *Mutawātir* - A mutawātir ḥadīth is one which is reported by such a large number of people that it is inconceivable that they could have all agreed upon a lie.

² Al-Murājaʿāt letter 110 page 729

in his book- al-Ijāzāt al-'Ilmiyyah 'inda al-Muslimīn:

ويبدو أن عملية انتحال الأحاديث من قبل غلاة الشيعة القدامي ودسّها في كتب الشيعة المعتدلين لم تنته بمقتل المغيرة بن سعيد (سنة ١١٩هـ)... بل نجد إشارة للعملية نفسها تعود إلى مطلع القرن الثالث الهجري ولعل ذلك ما يدل على عمق حركة الغلو من جهة واستمراريتها من جهة أخرى

It is apparent that the practice of transmitting hadīth by the early extremist Shīʿah and concealing them in the books of the level-minded Shīʿah did not end with the execution of Mughīrah ibn Saʿīd¹ (119 A.H) but we find an indication of this very practice returning in the third century after hijrah. Perhaps this indicates the depth of the exaggeratory movement and its continuation...

ʿAbd Allāh Fayyāḍ also says:

ومن الجدير بالذكر أنه لم تجر عملية تهذيب وتشذيب شاملة لكتب الحديث عند الشيعة الإمامية على غرار العملية التي أجراها المحدثون عند أهل السنة والتي تمخض عنها ظهور الصحاح الستة المعروفة ونتج عن فقدان عملية التهذيب لكتب الحديث عند الشيعة الأمامية مهمتان هما :

أولاً: بقاء الأحاديث الضعيفة بجانب الأحاديث المعتبرة في بعض المجموعات الحديثية عندهم .

ثـانيـاً : تسرب أحاديث غلاة الشيعة إلى بعض كتب الحديث عند الشيعة وقد تنبه أئمة الشيعة الإمامية وعلمائهم إلى الأخطار المذكورة وحاولوا خنقها في مهدها ولكن نجاحهم لم يكن كاملا نتيجة لعدم قيام تهذيب شاملة لكتب الحديث

Also worthy of mentioning is that the practice of scrutiny and examination is not found in the Shīīī books of ḥadīth as is found to be the stringent practice of the Muḥaddithīn of the Ahl al-Sunnah, which culminated in the compilation of the al-Ṣiḥāḥ al-Sittah. The result of this scrutiny being absent from the ḥadīth books of the Shīʿah are two:

1. Daʿīf aḥādīth remaining alongside reliable aḥādīth in most of their compilations of ḥadīth.

¹ Al-Māmaqānī has reported in the introduction of his book-*Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl* that Mughīrah ibn Saʿīd said: "I have concealed numerous narrations in their books, close to **one hundred thousand aḥādīth**."

2. Continued narration of the aḥādīth reported by extremist Shīʿah in the majority of the Shīʿī compilations of ḥadīth. The A'immah of the Shīʿah and their ʿulamā' have indicated this danger and attempted to contain it but their success was hindered on account of there being no system of scrutiny in their books of ḥadīth.¹

This is contrary to the hadīth books of the Ahl al-Sunnah, as has been hinted at by 'Abd Allāh Fayyād, because they filtered their books from fabricated narrations such that they were able to compile all these fabricated narration in various voluminous works. Hāfiz al-Jūzjānī (d. 543 A.H) was the first to compile all the fabricated ahādīth in one book entitled al-Abātīl, followed by Hāfiz Ibn al-Jowzī (d. 597 A.H) who compiled a book entitled *al-Mowdūʿāt*. Al-Saghānī al-Laghwī (d. 650 A.H) then wrote two booklets in this regard and al-Suyūtī (910 A.H) wrote al-Nukt al-Badīʿāt wa l-Wajīz wa l-La'alī al-Maṣnūʿah wa al-Taʿaqūbāt. Muḥammad ibn Yūsuf ibn ʿAlī al-Shāmī (d. 942 A.H) later wrote al-Fawā'id al-Majmūʿah fi Bayān al-Ahādīth al-Mowdūʿah. ʿAlī ibn Muḥammad ibn ʿIraq (963 A.H) wrote Tanzīḥ al-Sharīʿah al-*Marfūʿah ʿan al-Akhbār al-Shanīʿah al-Mowdūʿah.* After him it was Muhammad ibn Țāhir al-Hindī (986 A.H) who wrote Tadhkirat al-Mowdūʿāt and then Mullā ʿAlī Qārī (1014 A.H) also wrote a book entitled *Tadhkirat al-Mowdū*ʿāt. Shaykh al-Safārīnī al-Hanbalī (1188 A.H) wrote a voluminous book on the same subject entitled al-Durar al-Mașnūʿāt fi al-Ahādīth al-Mowdūʿāt. Qādī al-Showkānī (1250 A.H) wrote a book entitled al-Fawā'id al-Majmūʿah fī al-Ahādīth al-Mowdūʿah. Abū al-Hasan Muhammad ibn Khalīl (305 A.H) wrote al-Lu'lu' al-Mowdūʿah, in which he said (regarding these fabrications): "It has no source" or "Its source is fabricated". Muhammad ibn Bashīr Zāfir al-Azharī (1325 A.H) has a book entitled Tahdhīr al-Muslimīn min al-Aḥādīth al-Mowdūʿah ʿalā Sayyid al-Mursalīn.

Similarly here we have more books indicating the fabricated and false aḥādīth such as *al-Tadhkirah* by Allāmah al-Maqdasī and *al-Mughnī ʿan al-Ḥifẓ wa al-Kitāb* by ʿUmar ibn Badr al-Mowsulī (543 A.H). He also has a book entitled *al-ʿAqīdah al-Ṣaḥīḥah fi al-Mowdūʿāt al-Ṣarīḥah*. In addition there are a number of books

¹ Al-Ijāzāh al-ʻIlmiyyah ʻinda al-Muslimīn page 98

highlighting the fabricated narrations (which may have crept into other books) such as *Takhrīj al-Aḥādīth al-Iḥyā*' of 'Irāqī and the concise version, *Maqāsid al-Ḥasanah fi al-Aḥādīth al-Dā'irah 'alā al-Alsinah* by al-Sakhāwī. Ḥāfiẓ Ibn al-Qayyim has a book by the name of *al-Manār*, which discusses the status of fabricated aḥādīth. Lastly, Shaykh al-Albānī wrote a massive compilation of fabricated aḥādīth which he entitled *Silsilah al-Aḥādīth al-Aḥādīth al-Mowdū'ah*.

This is in direct contrast with the hadīth books of the Shī'ah, who have no such compilations. Instead we find fabricated narrations alongside the authentic and to date no Shī'ah has written a detailed book indicating the manner of identifying these fabricated narrations; disparaging the likes of al-Mughīrah and Abū al-Khaṭṭāb and the multitudes of narrations they are assumed to have narrated from the Ahl al-Bayt. In fact one look at al-Kāfī makes it known that it is in need of such scrutiny; such as the alleged narrations from the Ahl al-Bayt which state that the Qur'ān has been altered or that the A'immah have knowledge of the unseen or that they receive revelation, that they know where they will die and many other aḥādīth of this nature. Is al-Kulaynī— the author of al-Kāfī— not amongst the extremist deviants, has he not stated that al-Ṣādiq was of the opinion that that the Qur'ān has been altered, such that he compiled a chapter in this regard wherein he reported numerous narrations from al-Ṣādiq claiming the Qur'ān is altered and that the verses were not revealed in this manner but in another manner according to their baseless beliefs?

It is because of these reasons that the Shī ah have not compiled a separate book of fabricated narrations, because they act upon them, because it is the madh-hab of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, because their religion is based upon these fabricated narrations.

The renowned Shīʿī scholar Hāshim Maʿrūf says in his book *al-Mowḍūʿāt fi al-Āthār* wa *al-Akhbār* (page 253):

وبعد التتبع في الأحاديث المنتشرة في مجاميع الحديث كالكافي والوافي وغيرهما نجد الغلاة والحاقدين على الأئمة الهداة لم يتركوا باباً من الأبواب إلا ودخلوا منه لإفساد أحاديث الأئمة و الاساءة إلى سمعتهم وبالتالي رجعوا إلى القراّن الكريم لينفثوا سمومهم ودسائسهم لأنه الكلام الوحيد الذي يتحمل مالا يتحمله غيره ففسروا مثات الآيات بما يريدون وألصقوها بأئمة الهداة زورا وبهتاناً وتضليلا وألف علي بن حسان، وعمه عبدالرحمن بن كثير وعلي بن أبي حمزة البطائني كتبا في التفسير كلّها تخريف وتحريف وتضليل لا تنسجم مع اسلوب القرآن وبلاغته وأهدافه

After studying the aḥādīth collected in the compilations of ḥadīth such al-Kāfī, al-Wāfī', etc, we find the extremists and those bearing hatred for the A'immah not leaving a single chapter of it except that they have included some narration in it, so as to ruin the aḥādīth of the A'immah and blemish their reports. Subsequently, they turned their attention to the Noble Qur'ān so as to inject their poison and deviation therein, as it (the Noble Qur'ān) is the one book which carries such weight as no other. Thus, they provided interpretations for hundreds of verses according to their intentions and ascribed it to the A'immah of guidance; falsely and slanderously. Hence, 'Alī ibn Ḥassān and his uncle, 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Kathīr, as well as 'Alī ibn Abī Ḥamzah al-Baṭā'inī wrote commentaries (tafsīr) on the Noble Qur'ān, all of which were distortions, alterations, and deviation, which have no relation to the style of the Qur'ān, its eloquence and purpose.

In light of this, we say to 'Abd al-Ḥusayn: "You have erred in your approach and concealed the path of truth; slandering all the Muslims that they do not know the value of the *Ṣiḥāḥ*, whereas you were the one unaware of the true worth of your own (Shīī) *Ṣiḥāḥ*. However, the author did not mention this so as to cloak their methods from the eyes of the Muslims and cause them to doubt the books they rely upon. He intends for us to acknowledge what he says and sees, whereas we (the readers) do not know anything about his viewpoint. It is not possible for us to make a decision upon what he says until we study his viewpoint in detail, after which we will be able to pass verdict. As for us being the prey of his thoughts and notions, this has no relation to scholastic discourse. In light of this, it would have been more appropriate for the author (ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn) to have begun with scrutinising and amending their (Shīʿī) books of ḥadīth, especially *al-Kāfī*, from the kufr it contains such as the narrations that the Qur'ān has been altered, charging the Ṣaḥābah with kufr, cursing them, condemning the Ummahāt al-Mu'minīn, and elevation of the A'immah; as opposed to making the following statement:

الواجب تطهير الصحاح والمسانيد من كل ما لا يحتمله العقل من حديث أبي هريرة

What is compulsory is to purify the Sihah and Masanīd from all the ahādīth of Abū Hurayrah which are illogical.

It would have been more appropriate for him to have begun with this purification (of their own Shīʿah books) than casting doubts upon Allah and His Rasūl. He should have passed verdict upon *al-Kāfī*, regarding which he rather said:

Al-Kāfī is the first, the most revered, most excellent and most reliable.

...since the Ahl al-Sunnah have already carried out this 'purification' which became apparent in the form of the six famous books of hadīth.

It would have been more appropriate for him to have occupied himself with this task as opposed to occupying himself with writing a book that creates division in the ummah and drives a wedge through it¹, such as his book *al-Fuṣūl al-Muhimmah fi Ta'līf al-Ummah* (Imperative discourses for the contentment of the ummah), which is more deserving of being entitled *al-Fuṣūl al-Muhimmah fi Tashtīt al-Ummah*² (Imperative discourses for the splintering of the ummah). As opposed

¹ The majority of books authored by 'Abd al-Husayn, such as the book we are refuting on Abū Hurayrah www. Which reeks of prejudice, are sectarian in nature. More examples of such books are al-Murāja'āt, which was falsely ascribed to the Shaykh of al-Azhar, his book al-Naş wa al-Ijtihād, which in addition to being a complete deception also condemns the first three khulafā' and the Ummahāt al-Mu'minīn, which we will highlight shortly. A few more sectarian books are Falsafah al-Mīthāq wa al-Wilāyah, al-Majālis al-Fākhirah fi Tafḍīl al-Zahrā, Howl al-Ru'yah, al-Nuṣūş al-Jalīlah fi al-Imāmah, Tanzīl al-Āyāt al-Bāhirah fi al-Imāmah, Sabīl al-Mu'minīn fi al-Imāmah, al-Asālīb al-Badī'ah fi Rujḥān Mātam al-Shī'ah and al-Majālis al-Fākhirah fi Mātam al-'Itrah al-Tāhirah.

² He claim that this book is a source of contentment for the Ummah; in what manner? He intends for the Ahl al-Sunnah to believe that the Ṣaḥābah only brought īmān in the basic fundamentals of dīn so as to seek power- as they assume- and that they would submit to the injunctions of dīn only when it exclusively pertained to dīn and the ākhirah, but those injunctions which were worldly related, they did not submit to them. This was why they (i.e. the majority of the Ṣaḥābah according to the Shī'ah) reneged from the khalīfah, who was verbally appointed by Rasūlulāh . He separated his

to squandering his time with investigating and scrutinising the life of a Ṣaḥābī, whom the ummah has agreed to be reliable on account of the approval of Allah and His Rasūl مرككة به should have discussed the life of their Shaykh al-Nūrī al-Ṭabarsī, who wrote a book attempting to prove that the Qur'ān has been altered which he entitled *al-Faṣl al-Khiṭāb fi Ithbāt Taḥrīf Kitāb Rabb al-Arbāb*¹, wherein he quoted 1800 (Shī ī) narrations claiming the Qur'ān to be altered. At the least it would have befitted him to write a refutation of his Shaykh² and declare him to be a kāfir on account of disbelieving in the word of Allah, instead of him declaring Abū Hurayrah

Shaykh of the Muḥaddithīn in his era and truthful in relaying narrations.

continued from page 50

argument into various sub-sections, attaching to it aḥādīth from the Ahl al-Sunnah supporting his claim, followed by three aḥādīth from the Shī'ah, none of which supports his claim, finally revealing his true purpose and standpoint in the final section after having deceived the readers in the previous sections, that the narrations of bringing īmān in one Deity according to them means bringing īmān in the wilāyah of the twelve A'immah, as they are the door of partitioning; only those who enter are forgiven and bringing īmān in them is one of the fundamentals of dīn. Thus, the purpose of 'Abd al-Husayn's literary works is for Muslims to bring īmān in the twelve A'immah and believe in cursing the Ṣaḥābah and disparaging them. It was with this purpose in mind that he sat out to pen a work dedicated specifically to this deviation, which he entitled *al-Naṣ wa al-Ijtihād*, wherein he mentioned examples of such curses while asserting that his view regarding the Ṣaḥābah is the most level opinion.

1 Al-Mustadrak al-Wasā'il 1/50

2 Al-Nūrī al-Ṭabrasī is the shaykh (mentor) of ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn al-Mūsawī as stated by himself in his book *al-Naṣ wa al-Ijtihād*, when discussing al-Nūrī (page 124). He says in the sub-notes:

Shaykh of the muḥaddithīn in his era and truthful in relaying narrations. Our Shaykh and Mowlānā, the most God-fearing- Mirzā Ḥusayn al-Nūrī, author of *al-Mustadrak*āt ʿalā al-Wasāʾil'.

However he neglected to mention that he is also the author of *al-Faṣl al-Khiṭāb fi Ithbāt Taḥrīf Kitāb Rabb al-Arbāb*, which is dedicated to proving that alterations have taken place in the Qur'ān.

Scrutiny of Shīʿah Narrators

The difficulty Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq المنتخة faced, as recorded in the books of the Shīʿah as well, was that a number of ignoramuses would sit in his company and then proceed to narrate on his authority. They would say that "Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad has narrated to us" and proceed to relate lies, deceit, and fabrications, totally contrary to dīn, which they falsely ascribed to Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq المنتخة to lead people astray and become affluent through them.

This is where we find the chief problem, whereby they say that four thousand narrators reported from Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq منالكة and many of their scholars proceeded to venerate all of those four thousand narrators without exception, thus accepting the narrations of those who lied upon the A'immah, even though Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq منالكة protested against the numerous narrators from him. He even went as far as saying that he does not find even seventeen of those who claim to be his Shī'ah to actually be his supporters.

'Awf al-'Uqaylī

Amongst the Shīʿah narrators are those who would consume intoxicants such as ʿAwf al-ʿUqaylī. Al-Kashshī has reported in his *Rijāl* (page 90) from Furāț ibn Aḥnaf:

العقيلي كان من أصحاب أمير المؤمنين وكان خماراً ولكنه يؤدي الحديث كما سمع

Al-'Uqaylī was amongst the companions of Amīr al-Mu'minīn; he was a regular drunkard but he would relate the hadīth exactly as he heard it.

We are uncertain in what condition he would relate these ahadtim addite data addite data addite data addite data addited add

Muḥammad ibn Abī ʿAbbād

Also amongst their narrators who consumed intoxicants and indulged in sin was Muḥammad ibn Abī ʿAbbād. Muḥammad Mahdī has reported in his book- *al-Jāmi*ʿ li Ruwāt wa Aṣhāb al-Imām al-Riḍā (vol. 2 page 31- no. 500):

```
وكان مشتهراً بالسماع وبشرب النبيذ
```

He was well known to have heard ḥadīth and for his consumption of nabīdh (an intoxicating drink).

Hafs ibn al-Bukhtarī

Amongst their narrators is Ḥafs ibn al-Bukhtarī regarding whom al-Najjāshī has mentioned in his *Rijāl* (vol. 1 page 324- no. 342):

أصله كوفي ثقة !! روى عن أبي عبد الله(ع) وأبي الحسن(ع) ... فغمزوا عليه بلعب الشطرنج

He is actually from Kūfah and reliable. He has narrated from Abū 'Abd Allāh (Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq) and Abū al-Ḥasan (Mūsā al-Kāthim)... he has been accused of playing chess.

Hammād ibn 'Īsā

Amongst their narrators is Ḥammād ibn Īsā, who even at the age of sixty did not know how to perform ṣalāh nor was he aware of any of its laws. It has been reported by Riyāḍ Muḥammad in his book- *al-Wāqifah Dirāsah Taḥlīliyyah* (vol. 1 page 311-317):

ورد في أصحاب الإمام الصادق(ع) حماد بن عيسي الجهني البصري أصله كوفي ...له كتب ثقة

It has been reported regarding the companion of Imām al-Ṣādiq, Ḥammād ibn ʿĪsā al-Juhanī al-Baṣrī, that he is actually from Kūfah, he has many reliable books.

He writes on page 317:

وجاء في كتاب الوسائل الصحيحة المشهورة في باب الصلاة قال: قال لي أبو عبد الله(ع) يوماً: يا حمّاد: أتحسن أن تصلّي قال: فقلت يا سيدي أنا احفظ كتاب حريز في الصلاة قال: لا عليك! قم صل فقمت بين يديه متوجهاً إلى القبلة فاستفتحت الصلاة فركعت وسجدت فقال: يا حمّاد: لا تحسن أن تصلي ما أقبح بالرجل منكم يأتي عليه ستون أو سبعون سنة فلا يقيم صلاة واحدة يحدودها تامة قال حمّاد: فأصابني في نفسي الذل فقلت: جعلت فداك فعلّمني الصلاة فقام أبو عبد الله(ع) مستقبل القبلة ... فصل ركعتين على هذا ثم قال: يا حماد هكذا صل

It is reported in the authentic and well-known book al-Wasā'il in the chapter of ṣalāh: "Abū ʿAbd Allāh (Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq) said to me one day: "O Hammād! Do you know how to perform ṣalāh?" I replied: "O my master! I am a strong impregnable fortress in ṣalāh." Imām Jaʿfar said: "No! Stand and perform ṣalāh!" So I stood before him, facing the Qiblah and began my ṣalāh; performing rukuʿ and sajdah. Imām Jaʿfar said: "O Hammād! You do not know how to perform ṣalāh. Who can be a worse person than you, who reaches the age of sixty or seventy and have still not established one ṣalāh entirely. I felt disgraced and said: "May I be sacrificed for you! Teach me ṣalāh." So Imām Jaʿfar stood and performed two rakʿāt of ṣalāh in this manner, after which he said: "O Hammād! This is how you perform ṣalāh."

Abū Hamzah al-Thumālī Thābit ibn Dinār

Amongst their narrators is Abū Ḥamzah al-Thumālī Thābit ibn Dinār and he was a drunkard.

Al-Kashshī has reported with his chain of narration from Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan ibn Abī al-Khaṭṭāb:

كنت أنا وعامر بن عبد الله بن جذاعه الأزدي وحجر بن زائدة جلوساً على باب الفيل إذ دخل علينا أبو حمزة الثمالي ثابت بن دينار فقال لعامر بن عبد الله: يا عامر أنت حرشت عليّ أبا عبد الله فقلت أبو حمزة يشرب النبيذة !!! فقال له عامر: ما حرشت عليك أبا عبد الله ولكن سألت أبا عبد الله عن المسكر فقال: كل مسكر حرام فقال: لكن أبا حمزة يشرب قال: فقال أبوحمزة :أستغفر الله منه الآن وأتوب إليه! وقال علي بن الحسن بن فضال: وكان أبو حمزة يشرب النبيذ ومتهم به

'Āmir ibn 'Abd Allāh ibn Judhā'ah al-Azdī, Ḥujar ibn Zā'idah and I were sitting at the gate of Fīl, when Abū Ḥamzah al-Thumālī Thābit ibn Dinār came to us and said to 'Āmir ibn 'Abd Allāh: "O 'Āmir! You incited Abū 'Abd Allāh (Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq) against me and you told him that Abū Ḥamzah drinks Nabīdh." ʿĀmir replied: "I did not incite him against you but I asked him about intoxicants and he said that all intoxicants are ḥarām and then he said: "But Abū Ḥamzah drinks it." Abū Ḥamzah then said: "I seek Allah's forgiveness from it at this moment and repent from it." 'Alī ibn Ḥasan ibn Fuḍāl has said: "Abū Ḥamzah would consume Nabīdh and was accused in this regard as well."

ʿAlī ibn Abī Ḥamzah al-Baṭāʾinī

Amongst their narrators is ʿAlī ibn Abī Ḥamzah, who would steal the wealth of the 'infallible' Imām and the Khums (one fifth) of the Shīʿah. This has been stated in the Shīʿī books of *Rijāl*. Riyāḍ Muḥammad (a Shīʿī scholar) reports in his book, *al-Wāqifah Dirāsah Tahlīliyyah* (vol. 1 page 418-428), under the biography of ʿAlī ibn Abī Ḥamzah:

أنه من الواقفة الملعونين الكذَّابين

He is from amongst the $W\bar{a}qifah^2$, the accursed, the liars.

As well as many other disparaging comments. On page 420, he writes:

وقال الصدوق ... عن الحسن بن علي الخزاز قال: خرجنا إلى مكة ومعنا علي بن أبي حمزة ومعه مال ومتاع فقلنا: ما هذا ؟ قال: هذا للعبد الصالح (ع) - أي الامام - أمرني أن أحمله إلى علي ابنه (ع) وقد أوصى إليه . قال الصدوق: إن علي بن أبي حمزة أنكر ذلك بعد وفاة موسى بن جعفر(ع) وحبس المال عن الرضا(ع)

Ṣadūq (Ibn Bābūwayh al-Qummī) has said...from al-Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī al-Khazāz: "We left for Makkah and with us was ʿAlī ibn Abī Ḥamzah. He had some money and goods with him. So we asked him what is this and he replied: "This is for the pious servant (i.e. for the Imām), he has instructed me to take it to his son- ʿAlī (al-Riḍā), he has bequeathed it for him." Ṣadūq

¹ Rijāl al-Kashshī page 76, Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl 1/191

² Those who did not believe in a specific imām after the seventh Imām Mūsā al-Kāthim المنافة.

said: "'Alī ibn Abī Ḥamzah denied this after the demise of Mūsā (al-Kāthim) ibn Jaʿfar and refused to hand over this wealth to al-Riḍā."

'Alī al-Baṭā'inī is not the only Shī'ah who stole the Khums of the Shī'ah and the wealth of the 'infallible' A'immah but there are numerous other Shī'ī narrators, claiming to be devotees of the A'immah, guilty of this very crime. On page 422, he writes:

وقال الشيخ في كتاب الغيبة: روى الثقات: أن أول من ظهر الوقف علي بن أبي حمزة وزياد بن مروان القندي عثمان بن عيسى الرواسي، طمعوا في الدنيا ومالوا إلى حطامها،و استمالوا قوماً فبذلوا لهم شيئاً مما اختانوا من الأموال نحو حمزة بن يزيع وابن المكاري وكرام الخثعمي وأمثالهم

Al-Shaykh (al-Ṭūsī) has said in *Kitāb al-Ghaybah*: "Many reliable narrators have reported that the first to institute the practice of discontinuity was 'Alī ibn Abī Ḥamzah, Ziyād ibn Marwān al-Qindī and 'Uthmān ibn 'Īsā al-Rawāsī. They desired worldly possessions and were inclined towards the vanities of this world. They inclined others towards it as well and gave to them a portion of that which they had usurped, such as Ḥamzah ibn Yazī', Ibn al-Makārī, Kirām al-Khath'amī, and others."¹

¹ For further details refer to *Al-Wāqifiyyah* (1/ 470, 471), under the biography of 'Abd al-Karīm ibn 'Amr al-Khath'amī, and page 476 under the biography of Ḥamzah ibn Yazī', page 479 and page 520-523 under the biography of Manṣūr ibn Yūnus al-Qurashī. Page 563, 567 under the biography of Aḥmad ibn Abī Bashar al-Sirāj, page 589, 592, 593, 595, 596 under the biography of Ḥayyān al-Sirāj, page 609, 612, 416, 617 under the biography of Ziyād ibn Marwān al-Qindī. For the sake of brevity, I will make mention of the chapters of the above mentioned book.

Page 81- Chapter on the first cause: Ambition, love for wealth and worldly affluence

Page 134- Imām Kāẓim and his ordeal with the fiends of his era and companions

Page 164- Prohibition of sitting in the gatherings of the Wāqifah

Page 168- Economic warfare of the Wāqifah

Page 176- Practicing upon the narrations of those who follow deviant sects

Page 179- Relying upon the narrations of the Wāqifah as opposed to others

Page 190- The leaders of the Wāqifah regarding whom disapproval has been reported

Page 192- Manners of expenditure by those deputed to collected taxes

Page 201- Hesitation of the Imām in deputing others to collect taxes and doubts which arise around it

Page 317- Those of consensus and the condition of Waqf

As for those reports indicating that he is cursed, a liar, an evil person and a dweller of Jahannam, on page 423, 424, 429, *Al-Kashshī* has reported numerous narrations disparaging him:

عن حمدوه عن الحسن بن موسى عن داود بن محمد عن أحمد بن محمد قال: وقف على أبي الحسن وهو رافع صوته: يا أحمد، قلت لبيك، قال: أنه لما قبض رسول الله صَلَّاتَهُ عَيَّهُ مَتَكَمَةُ جهد الناس في اطفاء نور الله فأبى الله إلا أن يتم نوره بأمير المؤمنين . فلما توفى أبو الحسن(ع) جهد علي بن أبي حمزة وأصحابه في اطفاء نور الله فأبى إلا أن يتم نوره.

Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad narrates that ʿAlī Abū al-Ḥasan (al-ʿAskarī) stopped and said with a raised voice: "O Aḥmad!" I replied that I was present and he said: "When Rasūlullāh ﷺ passed away, people attempted to conceal the Nūr of Allah but Allah denied this to occur except that His Nūr be completed by Amīr al-Mu'minīn. When Abū al-Ḥasan (ʿAlī al-Riḍā) passed away then ʿAlī ibn Abī Ḥamzah and his companions attempted to conceal the Nūr of Allah but Allah denied this to occur except that His Nūr be completed."

It is also reported in *al-Kashshī* on the authority of Ibn Masʿūd:

حدِّثني أبو الحسن علي بن الحسن بن فضال قال: علي بن أبي حمزة كـذَّاب متـهم .

Abū al-Ḥasan ʿAlī ibn Ḥasan ibn Fuḍāl informed me that ʿAlī ibn Abī Ḥamzah is deceptive liar.

In another narration it is mentioned:

قال ابن مسعود: سمعت علي بن الحسين يقول: ابن أبي حمزة كذاب معلونإلاّ إنّي لا استحل أن أروي عنه حديثاً واحداً .

Ibn Masʿūd said: "I heard ʿAlī ibn Ḥusayn saying: "Ibn Abī Ḥamzah is a deceptive liar, accursed...be aware! I do not permit for even one ḥadīth to be narrated from him."

On page 423 it is reported:

الحسن بن علي بن أبي حمزة رجل سوء .

Hasan ibn ʿAlī ibn Abī Hamzah is a wicked person.

As for his dīn, he followed a deviant school and beliefs; on page 427:

```
قال الوحيد في تعليقته في البطائني: قال جدي ( رحمه الله) مطعون باعتبار مذهبه الفاسد، ولذا روى عنه
مشامخنا الثقات !!
```

Al-Waḥīd has said regarding Al-Baṭā'inī: "My grandfather said that he is (only) criticised on account of his deviant beliefs and this is why our reliable scholars narrated from him."

The reason for him being described as following a deviant school and having deviant beliefs was on account of being from the Wāqifah and the Wāqifah are kuffār¹ (disbelievers) according to the Imāmiyyah because they do not believe in all of the twelve A'immah.²

On page 423, it is mentioned:

وفي معالم العلماء ترجمة لابيه: علي بن ابي حمزة البطائني أقائد ابي بصير أواقفي

The biography of his father is reported in Maʿālim al-ʿUlamā': ʿAlī ibn Abī Ḥamzah al-Baṭā'inī, supervisor of Abū Baṣīr, Wāqifah.

As for the reason why they rule this narrator to be reliable; they are forced to do so because vilification of this narrator is equivalent to the vilification of the religion

¹ Al-Majlisī says in *Biḥār al-Anwār* 37/34: "I say: Our books of narration are filled with narrations establishing the kufr of the Zaydiyyah and others like them such as the Faṭaḥiyyah and Wāqifah, as well as others like them from the deviant innovative sects..."

² For further details refer to 'Aqā'id al-Shīʿah fi al-Islam wa al-Muslimīn.

of the Imāmiyyah. Since the Wāqifah, and followers of other deviant sects, are the ones who report the narrations regarding the authority of the A'immah.

If the respected reader were to ponder over the books scrutinising narrators amongst the Shīʿah, he will find that the ones who established the principles of the Shīʿī doctrines are the likes of these very same individuals mentioned aboveall ascribing themselves to deviant sects¹, as you have just read. They comprise of the likes of the Faṭaḥiyyah, Wāqifah, Nāwūsiyyah, and Ismāʿīliyyah; as well many other deviant sects numbering more than a hundred.²

ʿAbd Allāh ibn Abī Yaʿfūr

Amongst there narrators if 'Abd Allāh ibn Abī Ya'fūr who would consume intoxicants and a habitual drunkard, just as his 'pious' predecessors.

Their most esteemed scholar in the science of *jarḥ wa taʿdīl* (scrutiny of narrators) al-Kashshī, has reported from Ibn Maskān from Ibn Abī Yaʿfūr, who said:

كان إذا أصابته هذه الأوجاع فإذا اشتدت به شرب الحسو من النبيذ فسكن عنه فدخل على أبي عبد الله فأخبره بوجعه وانه إذا شرب الحسو من النبيذ سكنه فقال له: لا تشرب ، فلما أن رجع إلي الكوفة هاج به وجعه فأقبل أهله فلم يزالوا به حتى شرب فساعة شرب منه سكن عنه فعاد إلى أبي عبد الله فأخبره بوجعه وشربه فقال له: يا أبن أبي يعفور لا تشرب فأنه حرام إنما هو الشيطان موكل بك ولو قد يئس منك ذهب

He would suffer from body pain and whenever it would get severe then he would drink a broth of Nabīdh, which would grant him relief from the pain. He entered upon Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq and informed him of his ailment and that he is relieved from it when he drinks a broth of Nabīdh. Imām Jaʿfar said to him: "Do not drink this!" When he returned to Kūfah, the pain returned too. He went to his family and the pain did not dissipate until he drank the broth. As soon as he drank it the pain stopped. He then returned to Imām

¹ Refer to *al-Wāqifiyyah*, 1/16, 17, 176, 181, 404, 405, 426, 428, 448, 465, 514, 515, 526, 536, 551, 559, 560, 563, 607. Also refer to *Hāwī al-Aqwāl 3\162*, *al-Fahrist* page 28-29

² Așl al-Shīʿah wa Uṣūluhā by Kāshif al-Ghițā page 60

Ja'far and informed him of his pain and the drink (that alleviates it). Imām Ja'far replied: "O Ibn Abī Ya'fūr! Do not drink this as it is ḥarām. Verily it is Shayṭān who is affecting you, if he loses hope in affecting you then he will leave."

Abū Hurayrah al-Bazzāz

Amongst them is Abū Hurayrah al-Bazzāz.

Al-Aqīqī has narrated that Imām Jaʿfar supplicated for mercy upon him (Abū Hurayrah al-Bazzāz) and someone asked: "(But) He used to drink Nabīdh?" Imām Jaʿfar replied: "Is it difficult for Allah to forgive a lover of ʿAlī on account of him drinking Nabīdh and wine?"¹

Al-Sayyid al-Hamīrī

Amongst the narrators regarded as reliable by them is their poet, whom they awarded the title of 'Poet of the Ahl al-Bayt', al-Sayyid al-Ḥamīrī, who had no qualms with drinking wine. Muḥammad ibn Nuʿmān narrates:

دخلت عليه في مرضه بالكوفة فرأيته وقد أسود وجهه وازرق عيناه وعطش كبده فدخلت على الصادق (ع) وهو يومئذ بالكوفة راجعا من عند الخليفة ، فقلت له : جعلت فداك إني فارقت السيدبن محمد الحميري وهو – لما به – على أسوء حال من كذا وكذا. فأمر بالاسراج وركب ومضينا معه حتى دخلنا عليه ،وعنده جماعة محدقون به فقعد الصادق(ع) عند رأسه فقال: يا سيد! ففتح ينظر إليه ولا يطيق الكلام فحرّك الصادق(ع) شفتيه ، ثم قال له : يا سيد! . قل الحق ، يكشف الله ما بك ويرحمك ويدخلك جنته التي وعد أوليائه

I entered upon him during his illness in Kūfah and I saw that his face had become black, his eyes had become blue and he had become extremely weak. I then went to visit Imām Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq, who happened to be in

¹ Jāmiʿ al-Ruwāt of al-Ardabīlī 2/423, al-Mustadrak 10/391 sub-note: 5

Kūfah at that time after returning from the khalīfah, I said to him: "May I be sacrificed for you! I left al-Sayyid ibn Muḥammad al-Ḥamīrī and when I was with him he was in such and such a condition." Imām Jaʿfar then ordered a saddle to be brought and he mounted, and he all accompanied him until we entered upon him. At that time there was a group surrounding him. Imām Jaʿfar sat at his head and said: "O Sayyid!" he opened his eyes and looked at Imām Jaʿfar, he did not have the strength to speak so al-Ṣādiq moved his lips. He then said: "O Sayyid! Say "Al-Haqq", Allah will remove your ailment and have mercy upon you, and He will enter you into His Jannah which he has promised for his Awliyā' (devoted friends)."¹

It has also been reported from Imām Jaʿfar:

ذكر عنده السيد بعد وفاته ، فترحم عليه ، فقيل : إنه كان يشرب النبيذ ! فقال (ع) ثانياً : رحمه الله ! ثم قيل له : إني رأيته يشرب نبيذ الرستاق ! قال: تعنى الخمر ؟ قلت: نعم ! قال (ع) رحمه الله، وما ذلك على الله أن يغفر لمحبّ علي (ع) شرب النبيذ...

Al-Sayyid was mentioned in his presence after he had passed away and Imām Jaʿfar supplicated for mercy upon him. It was then said to him that he used to consume Nabīdh. Imām Jaʿfar said for a second time: "May Allah have mercy upon him!" Someone said to him: "Verily I saw him consuming Nabīdh of Rustāq." Imām Jaʿfar asked: "Do you mean wine?" when the person replied in the affirmative, Imām Jaʿfar said: "May Allah have mercy upon him! What is it upon Allah to forgive the consumption of Nabīdh from the lover of ʿAlī..."²

This habitual drunkard and consumer of intoxicants died in this condition but despite this he is still regarded to be from the dwellers of Jannah. He cared not (for his sins) nor had any fear, because the fire of Jahannam is forbidden upon the Shī ah with the exception of a few (according to the Shī ah). These are a few lines from the poetic renditions of this poet:

¹ Al-Rowḍāt 1/104, Rijāl al-Kashshī page 242-245, al-La'ālī 4/216

² Al-Rowḍāt 1/10 and page 111, al-Rasā'il 1/247, al-La'ālī 4/216

Those who assume that 'Alī will not save his lovers from tribulation have lied By the oath of My Rabb! He entered Jannah and had my sins forgiven by the Lord

Rejoice today, O friends of ʿAlī! And cling to ʿAlī until death

Thereafter each and everyone will gather before him in rows

Al-Khājū'ī has also reported a portion of the poems of this drunkard from the poets of the Ahl al-Bayt. One of them is as follows:

تملقاه بالبشري لدي الموت يضحك	أحب إلي من مـات مـن أهل وده
فليس لـــه إلاّ إلـى النــار مسـلك	ومن مات يـهوي غيره من عـدّوه

The most beloved people to me are his lovers who have passed away. You will meet Him receiving glad tidings at your death and He will be smiling

Adversely, whoever dies with a liking for his enemies, will find for himself no path except to Hell.

Reference: *Al-Rasā'il* 1/247

All of these narrators are deemed reliable by the Shīʿah simply because they all believe in the alleged wilāyah (succession) of ʿAlī ¹, whereas the Ṣaḥābah are kuffār because they did not believe in this wilāyah. Have you ever seen a religion similar to this? An approach such as this? A madh-hab such as this?

We will conclude this chapter with the statement: Fabricators were the ones who narrated from al-Bāqir, al-Ṣādiq, al-Riḍā and the other A'immah.

¹ For further reading refer to ʿAqāʾid al-Shīʿah fī Wilāyah ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib wa l-Aʾimmah

Al-Kashshī has reported (page 195) under the biography of Mughīrah ibn Saʿīd with his chain of narration from Yūnus:

وافيت العراق فوجدت بها قطعة من أصحاب أبي جعفر ووجدت أصحاب أبي عبد الله متوافرين فسمعت منهم وأخذت كتبهم فعرضتها من بعد على أبي الحسن الرضا فأنكر منها أحاديث كثيرة أن يكون من أحاديث أبي عبد الله وقال لي : أن أبا الخطاب كذب على أبي عبد الله لعن الله أبا الخطاب وكذلك أصحاب أبي الخطاب يدسون هذه الأحاديث إلى يومنا هذا في كتب أصحاب أبي عبد الله فلا تقبلوا علينا خلاف القرآن

I arrived in Iraq; I found a small group of the companions of Imām al-Bāqir and I found a large number of the companions of Imām Jaʿfar. I heard narrations from them and collected their books. I then presented it before Abū al-Ḥasan al-Riḍā, who rejected a number of narrations contained in it from being the aḥādīth of Imām Jaʿfar. He said to me: "Verily Abū al-Khaṭṭāb has lied against Imām Jaʿfar! May Allah's curse be upon Abū al-Khaṭṭāb! Similarly the companions of Abū al-Khaṭṭāb continue to this day injecting these narrations into the books of the companions of Imām Jaʿfar. Do not accept any narration in our name that contradicts the Qurʾān."

Al-Kashshī has reported (page 196) with his chain of narration from Hishām that he heard Imām Jaʿfar saying:

كان المغيرة بن سعيد يتعمد الكذب على أبي ويأخذ كتب أصحاب أبي فيدفعونها إلى المغيرة فكان يدس الكفر والزندقة ويسندها إلى أبي ثم يدفعها إلى أصحابه فيأمرهم أن يثبتوها في الشيعة فكل ما كان في كتب أصحاب أبي من الغلو فذاك مما دسه المغيرة بن سعيد في كتبهم

Mughīrah ibn Saʿīd would deliberately fabricate in the name of my father (Imām al-Bāqir). The books of the companions of my father would be taken and handed over to Mughīrah ibn Saʿīd, who would then inject (narrations of) kufr and deviation into it, which he would then attribute to my father. He then passed these books on to his companions, instructing them to establish them amongst the Shīʿah. Thus, whatever exaggeration is found in the books of my father are the insertions of Mughīrah ibn Saʿīd. Their renowned scholar in the scrutiny of narrators al-Māmaqānī, has reported in the introduction of his book, *Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl* (1/174) that Mughīrah ibn Sa'īd said:

دسست في أخباركم أخباراً كثيرة تقرب من مائة ألف حديث

I have inserted into their narrations a massive amount of narrations, close to one hundred thousand.

This is the 'madh-hab' of the Ahl al-Bayt, wherein Mughīrah ibn Saʿīd has injected numerous narrations of kufr and deviation, which can be found profusely in *al-Kāfī*, *Tafsīr al-Qummī*, *Tafsīr al-ʿAyyāshī*, and *Biḥār al-Anwār*; yet ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn al-Mūsawī comes along and claims that all of these narrations have been reported by the reliable companions of the A'immah.

It is thus necessary to have a cursory glance at a few of those narrators who were praised by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn in his Murāja'āt, which he fabricated. Keep in mind, O beloved reader, the statement of Imām Ja'far al-Ṣādiq:

إنا أهل بيت صادقون لا نخلو من كذاب يكذب علينا ، ويسقط -بكذبه علينا - عند الناس

We — the Ahl al-Bayt — are truthful. We are not safe from liars who fabricate in our name and belittle us, on account of his lies, in the eyes of the people.

Zurārah ibn Aʿyun

The Shīʿah are in consensus upon declaring this individual as reliable and ruling his narrations to be authentic despite him being cursed by the A'immah of the Ahl al-Bayt, as has been elucidated by al-Ṭusī in his *al-Fahrist*¹. In spite of this, ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn still praised him in his *al-Murājaʿāt*, which he fabricated in the name of the Shaykh of al-Azhar. He said:

¹ Al-Fahrist page 104

وهناك أبطال لم يدركوا الإمام زين العابدين وإنما فازوا بخدمة الباقرين الصادقين (ع) فمنهم أبو القاسم بريدين معاوية العجلي وأبو بصير ليث بن مراد البختري المرادي وأبو الحسن زرارة بن أعين وأبو جعفر محمد بن مسلم ...أما هؤ لاء الأربعة فقد نالوا الزلفي وفازوا بالقدح المعلى والمقام الأسمى حتى قال فيهم الصادق وقد ذكرهم : " هؤلاء أمناء الله على حلاله وحرامه " وقال " ما أجد أحداً أحيا ذكر نا إلا زرارة وأبو بصير ليث ومحمد بن مسلم وبريد " ولو لا هؤ لاء ما كان أحد يستنبط هذا ثم قال : " هؤ لاء حفاظ الدين وأمناء أبي على حلال الله وحرامه وهم السابقون إلينا في الدنيا والسابقون إلينا في الآخرة "وقال " بشر المخبتين بالجنة كان أبي ائتمنهم على حلاله وحرامه وكانوا عيبة علمه وكذلك اليوم هم عندي مستودع سرى وأصحاب أبى حقاً وهم نجوم شيعتي أحياءاً وأمواتاً بهم يكشف الله كل بدعة ينفون عن هذا الدين انتحال المبطلين وتأويل الغالين، إلى غير ذلك من كلماته الشريفة التي أثبتت لهم الفضل والشرف والكرامة والولاية ما لا تسع بيانه عبارة ، ومع ذلك فقد رماهم أعداء أهل البيت !! بكل أفك مبين .. وليس ذلك بقادح في سمو مقامهم وعظيم خطرهم عند الله ورسوله ! والمؤمنين ! كما أن حسدة الأنبياء ما زادوا أنبياء الله إلا رفعة ولا أثروا في شرائعهم إلا انتشاراً عند أهل الحق وقبولاً في نفوس أولى الألباب كان أبي ائتمنهم على حلاله وحرامه وكانوا عيبة علمه وكذلك اليوم هم عندي مستودع سرى وأصحاب أبى حقاً وهم نجوم شيعتي أحياءاً وأمواتاً بهم يكشف الله كل بدعة ينفون عن هذا الدين انتحال المبطلين وتأويل الغالين، إلى غير ذلك من كلماته الشريفة التي أثبتت لهم الفضل والشرف والكرامة والولاية ما لا تسع بيانه عبارة ، ومع ذلك فقد رماهم أعداء أهل البيت !! بكل أفك مبين .. وليس ذلك بقادح في سمو مقامهم وعظيم خطرهم عند الله ورسوله ! والمؤمنين ! كما أن حسدة الأنبياء ما زادوا أنبياء الله إلا رفعة ولا أثروا في شرائعهم إلا انتشاراً عند أهل الحق وقبو لاً في نفوس أولى الألياب

And here we have a number of protagonists who did not meet Imām Zayn al-ʿĀbidīn but excelled in rendering services to Imām al-Bāqir and Imām al-Ṣādiq. Amongst them is Abū al-Qāsim Burayd ibn Muʿāwiyah al-ʿAjalī, Abū Baṣīr Layth ibn Murād al-Bukhtarī al-Murādī, Abū al-Ḥasan Zurārah ibn Aʿyun, Abū Jaʿfar Muḥammad ibn Muslim... As for these four, they attained close proximity, and were successful in becoming the foremost agents and reaching the highest levels, such that al-Ṣādiq said when remembering them:

These are the trustees of Allah with regards to what He has deemed lawful and unlawful.

He also said:

I have not found anyone reviving mention of us except Zurārah, Abū Baṣīr Layth, Muḥammad ibn Muslim, and Burayd; and if it were not for them then none would have been able to substantiate (the laws of dīn). He then said:

They are the guardians of dīn and the trustees of my father regarding the lawful and unlawful of Allah. They are amongst our vanguards in this world and our vanguards in the hereafter. Give glad tidings of Jannah to the humble ones.

Thereafter while discussing these four he said:

My father entrusted them with the lawful and unlawful, and they were the carriers of his knowledge. Similarly to me, today, they are the protectors of my secrets. The companions of my father are upon truth and they are the stars of my Shīʿah in life and in death. Through them Allah exposes all bidʿah (innovation); they rebut all devious plots of the schemers from this dīn and interpretations of the extremists...

As well as many other praiseworthy statements, establishing their virtue, honour, status, and sainthood; the level of which cannot be articulated in words. Yet despite this, the enemies of the Ahl al-Bayt have attacked them with all sorts of slander. However, this does not denigrate their elevated status and significance with Allah, His Rasūl and the mu'minīn in the least, just as those who were jealous of the ambiyā' did nothing but elevate their status, and they had no effect upon the Sharī'ah of the ambiyā' except that they assisted in propagation of the truth and it gaining acceptance amongst those of understanding.¹

This very author ('Abd al-Ḥusayn) then adds:

إنالم نجد أثراً لشيء مما نسبوه إلى كل من زرارة بن أعين ومحمد بن مسلم ومؤمن الطاق وأمثالهم مع إنا قد استفرغنا الوسع والطاقة في البحث عن ذلك وما هو إلا البغي والعدوان والأفك والبهتان

Verily we do not find any narrations supporting that which they ascribe to each of them: Zurārah ibn A'yun, Muḥammad ibn Muslim, Mu'min al-Ṭāq and others like them, despite extensive research and study. It is but defiance, enmity, slander and defamation.²

¹ Al-Murājaʿāt page 727

² Al-Murājaʿāt page 731

The author of the sub-notes of *Khātimah al-Wasā'il* (20/196) says:

والروايات التي ذكرها الكشي في شأن زرارة تنقسم إلى قسمين ، فبعض منها فيه المدح والثناء له والإشارة بمكانته السامية ومنزلته العظيمة عند الإمام الصادق وأبيه وتقدمه على أصحابه في العلم والمعرفة وحفظ أحاديث أهل البيت عن الضياع والتلف، وبعض منها يدل على عكس ذلك وأن الرجل كان كذاباً وضاعاً مرائياً داساً في الأحاديث

The narrations reported by al-Kashshī regarding Zurārah can be divided into two categories. Some contain praise and commendation for him, and an indication of his elevated status and noble rank in the eyes of Imām al-Ṣādiq and his father (al-Bāqir), as well as his superiority over his companions in knowledge, recognition, and protecting the ḥadīth of the Ahl al-Bayt from being emaciated and lost. While some (narrations) prove the opposite; that he was a liar, fabricator, hypocrite and an interpolator in ḥadīth.

I say that when we scrutinise these 'aḥādīth', of praise and disparagement, we conclude that he was indeed a liar, fabricator and hypocrite. He would fabricate in the names of the A'immah and belie them. He displayed the most depraved character with them, especially with Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq, such that it has been reported that he passed wind in the beard of al-Ṣādiq as will be mentioned later.

As for the 'aḥādīth' which praise him, they do not benefit in the least and they are all weak. If we were to accept that they are <code>ṣaḥīḥ</code> (authentic), then too it does not establish his virtue or praiseworthiness because if *jarḥ* (disparagement) and *ta*'*dī*l (commendation) are both mentioned for a narrator then jarḥ is given preference over ta'dīl. In addition, the Imām often practised *taqiyyah* (dissimulation) with him, as is their belief with regards to taqiyyah.

I have found the latter day scholars of the Shīʿah, such as the author of Muʿjam Rijāl al-Ḥadīth, Abū al-Qāsim al-Khoʾī (7/ 230, 234, 238), making a mockery in attempting to establish credibility of this narrator, who was cursed by the Aʾimmah. They do this with statements such as:

The narrations disparaging him fall into three categories:

Category one: Those which indicate that Zurārah doubted the Imāmah of al-Kāẓim, because his (Zurārah's) son sent slaves to Madīnah after the demise of al-Ṣādiq to explore the matter of Imāmah.

Category two: Those narrations indicating that such acts were perpetrated by Zurārah which negate his īmān.

Category three: That which has been reported from the Imām disparaging Zurārah.

We will now present before you those narrations reported in condemnation of Zurārah, which have been reported by al-Kashshī.

Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq exposes the lies of Zurārah

حدثنا محمد بن مسعود قال:حدثنا جبرئيل بن أحمد الفاريابي قال:حدثني العبيدي محمد بن عيسى عن يونس بن عبد الرحمن عن ابن مسكان قال : سمعت زرارة يقول: رحم الله أبا جعفر وأما جعفر فإن في قلبي عليه لفتة فقلت له: وما حمل زرارة على هذا ؟ قال : حمله على هذا أن أبا عبد الله أخرج مخازيه

Ibn Maskān narrates that heard Zurārah saying: "May Allah have mercy upon Abū Jaʿfar (Imām al-Bāqir)! As for Jaʿfar, verily my heart has turned away from him." I asked what has caused Zurārah to make such a statement and I was told: "Abū ʿAbd Allāh (Jaʿfar) has exposed his lies, this is what has prompted him to say this."¹

¹ Rijāl al-Kashshī page 145 sub-note: 228

Zurārah issues rulings according to his own opinion in halāl and harām

It is reported in *Rijāl al-Kashshī* (page 156, sub-note: 257) on the authority of Ibn Maskān:

حدثني محمد بن مسعود قال حدثني جبرئيل بن أحمد قال حدثني العبيدي عن يونس عن ابن مسكان قال تذاكرنا عند زرارة في شيء من أمور الحلال والحرام فقال قولاً برأيه فقلت أبرأيك هذا أم برواية ! فقال إني أعرف أو ليس رب رأي خير من أثر

We were discussing some aspects pertaining to halāl and harām in the presence of Zurārah when he expressed his own opinion regarding it. I asked him: "Is this based upon your own opinion or narration?" he replied: "I know better! Are not some opinions better than narration?"

Zurārah fabricates against al-Ṣādiq

It is reported in *Rijāl al-Kashshī* (page 157 sub-note: 258) on the authority of Hishām ibn Sālim:

حدثني أبو صالح خلف بن حماد بن الضحاك قال حدثني أبو سعيد الآدمي قال حدثني ابن أبي عمير عن هشام بن سالم قال قال لي زرارة بن أعين لا ترى على أعوادها غير جعفر ، قال فلما توفى أبو عبد الله أتيته فقلت له تذكر الحديث الذي حدثتني به ؟ وذكرته له وكنت أخاف أن يجحدنيه فقال إني والله ما كنت قلت ذلك إلا برأي

Zurārah said to me: "You will not see upon the wood (of this pulpit) except Jaʿfar (that is he is the promised al-Mahdī)." When Abū ʿAbd Allāh (Jaʿfar) passed away, I went to him and asked him to repeat the ḥadīth he had narrated to me. I related it to him and I was afraid that he would deny it. He replied: "Verily, by the oath of Allah, I did not say this except of my own accord."

Zurārah believed in the termination of the line of Imāmah

Al-Kashshī reports from Zurārah (page 157 sub-note: 260):

محمد بن مسعود قال : حدثنا عبد الله بن محمد بن خالد الطيالسي قال : حدثني الحسن بن علي الوشاء عن محمد بن حمران قال : حدثني زرارة قال : قال لي أبو جعفر: حدث عن بني إسرائيل ولا حرج قال : قلت:جعلت فداك والله إن في أحاديث الشيعة ما هو أعجب من أحاديثهم قال : وأي شيء هو يا زرارة ؟ قال : فاختلس من قلبي فمكث ساعة لا أذكر شيئاً مما أريد قال : لعلك تريد الغيبة ؟ قلت : نعم قال : فصدق بها فإنها حق

Abū Jaʿfar (Imām al-Bāqir) said to me: "Narrate from the Banū Isrā il, it is not a problem." I then said to him: "May I be sacrificed for you! By Allah, in the aḥādīth of the Shīʿah are matters more astonishing than there aḥādīth." He then asked: "And what is that, O Zurārah?" So I dispelled this thought from my heart, and remained silent, not mentioning anything which I intended to say. Imām al-Bāqir then said: "Perhaps you refer to al-Ghaybah (occultation)?" I replied that it was and he said: "Attest to it for verily it is the truth."

The narration indicates the misgivings of Zurārah because he did not remain silent and did not attest to what was said to him except under the pretence of taqiyyah. This is supported by a number of narrations which state that he ceased believing in the line of Imāmah until he died, thus applying to himself the famous Shīʿī narration:

Whoever dies and he does not recognise the imām of his time, has died upon a death of ignorance.

Zurārah doubts the knowledge of al-Ṣādiq

Al-Kashshī has reported (page 158 sub-note: 261):

حدثني محمد بن مسعود قال حدثني جبرئيل بن أحمد قال : حدثني محمد بن عيسى عن يونس عن ابن مسكان قال سمعت زرارة يقول : كنت أرى جعفراً أعلم ممن هو وذاك يزعم إنه سأل أبا عبد الله عن رجل من أصحابنا مختف من غرامه فقال : أصلحك الله أن رجلاً من أصحابنا كان مختفياً من غرامه فإن كان هذا الأمر قريباً صبر حتى يخرج مع القائم وإن كان فيه تأخير صالح غرامه فقال أبو عبد الله يكون إن شاء الله تعالى فقال زرارة : يكون إلى سنة ؟ فقال أبو عبد الله: يكون إن شاء الله فقال زرارة : فيكون إلى سنتين ؟ فقال: أبو عبد الله: يكون إلى سنة ؟ فقال أبو عبد الله: يكون إن شاء الله فقال زرارة : فيكون إلى سنتين ؟ ما كنت أرى جعفراً إلا أعلم مما هو

Ibn Maskān narrates that he heard Zurārah say: "I used to regard Jaʿfar to be more knowledgeable than what he actually is." The reason for this

is that he once asked Imām Jaʿfar about one of our companions, who had concealed himself on account of his fines. He asked: "May Allah elevate you! One of our companions has concealed himself on account of his fines; if this matter will be resolved quickly then he will be patient and come forth with al-Qā'im and if this matter is going to be delayed then attend to his fines." Imām Jaʿfar replied: "It will happen, Allah willing." Zurārah asked: "Will it happen in a year?" Imām Jaʿfar replied: "It will happen, Allah willing." Zurārah asked: "Will it happen in two years?" Imām Jaʿfar again replied: "It will happen, Allah willing." Zurārah then left and decided for himself that it will happen in two years but it did not, he thus said: "I used to regard Jaʿfar to be more knowledgeable than what he actually is."

Zurārah belies al-Ṣādiq

On page 158 (sub-note 262) of *Rijāl al-Kashshī* it is mentioned:

محمد بن مسعود قال : كتب إليه الفضل بن شاذان يذكر عن ابن أبي عمير عن إبراهيم بن عبد الحميد عن عيسى بن أبي منصور وأبي أسامة الشحام ويعقوب الأحمر قالوا : كنا جلوساً عند أبي عبد الله (ع) فدخل عليه زرارة فقال : إن الحكم بن عيينة حدث عن أبيك إنه قال : "صل المغرب دون المزدلفة فقال له أبو عبد الله أنا تأملته: ما قال أبي هذا قط كذب الحكم على أبي قال : فخرج زرارة وهو يقول: ما أرى الحكم كذب على أبيه

'Īsā ibn Abī Manşūr, Abū Usāmah al-Shiḥām and Yaʻqūb al-Aḥmar narrated that they were sitting with Imām Jaʿfar, when Zurārah entered and said: "Al-Ḥakam ibn ʿUyaynah has reported that your father said: "Perform your Maghrib ṣalāh elsewhere than Muzdalifah." Imām Jaʿfar replied: "I have reflected upon this; my father has never said this. al-Ḥakam has lied upon my father." Zurārah then left and he was saying: "I do not see that al-Ḥakam has lied upon his father."

Most certainly Imām Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq حَمَالَتَكَ has spoken the truth when he said:

We the Ahl al-Bayt are all truthful and have not been spared from liars who fabricated against us and tarnish our honesty with their falsehood.

and amongst those guilty of this, is this narrator (Zurārah).

Zurārah opposes al-Ṣādiq in the definition of al-Istițāʿah

Al-Kashshī has reported (page 145) that Hishām ibn Ibrāhīm al-Khatalī al-Mashriqī said:

عن هشام ابن إبراهيم الختلي – وهو المشرقي – قال : قال لي أبو الحسن الخراساني: كيف تقولون في الاستطاعة بعد يونس فذهب فيها مذهب زرارة ومذهب زرارة هو الخطاء ؟ فقلت لا، ولكنه بأبي أنت وأمي ما يقول زرارة في الاستطاعة وقول زرارة فيمن قَدر ونحن منه براء وليس من دين آبائك قال فبأي شيء تقولون ؟ قلت بقول أبي عبد الله وسأل عن قول الله: { ولله عَلَى النَّاسِ حِجُّ الْبَيْتِ مَن اسْتَطَاعَ إليْه عبد الله هذا هو الحق

Abū al-Ḥasan al-Khurāsānī said to me: "What do you say regarding al-Istiṭāʿah (ability) after Yūnus, following the opinion of Zurārah and the opinion of Zurārah is incorrect?" I replied: "No! May my parents be sacrificed for you! What Zurārah says regarding al-Istiṭāʿah and with regards to taqdīr; we absolve ourselves from it. It is not from the dīn of your forefathers." Abū al-Ḥasan then asked: "Then what do you all say?" I replied: "The opinion of Abū ʿAbd Allāh (Imām Jaʿfar). He was asked regarding the verse:

وللهِ عَلَى النَّاسِ حِجُّ الْبَيْتِ مَنِ اسْتَطَاعَ إِلَيْهِ سَبِيْلا

Ḥajj to the House (the Kaʿbah) is a duty that people owe to Allah, who have the ability to find a way there.

What is the meaning of al-Istițāʿah and he replied: "Health and wealth." Thus, we adhere to the opinion of AbūʿAbd Allāh. Abū al-Ḥasan said: "This is the truth."

This is what al-Najjāshī in his Rijāl and al-Ṭusī have referred to when they said he (Zurārah) has a book on *al-Istițāʿah* and Jabr (denouncing the belief of taqdīr).

Most certainly Imām Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq مَعَانَةُ has spoken the truth when he said:

We the Ahl al-Bayt are all truthful and have not been spared from liars who fabricated against us and tarnish our honesty with their falsehood.
Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq curses Zurārah three times

It is reported in Rijāl al-Kashshī (page 147):

حدثني أبو جعفر محمد بن قولويه قال : حدثني محمد بن أبي القاسم أبو عبد الله المعروف بماجيلويه عن زياد بن أبي الحلال قال قلت لأبي عبد الله إن زرارة روى عنك في الاستطاعة فقبلنا منه وصدقناه وقد أحببت أن أعرضه عليك ! فقال هاته ! قلت فزعم إنه سألك عن قول الله : { ولله عَلَى النَّاس حجُّ الَبَيْتِ مَن اسْتَطَاعَ إليه سَبيلا} فقلت: من ملك زاداً وراحلة فقال: كل من ملك زاداً وراحلة فهو مستطيع للحج وإن لم يحج ؟ فقلت: نعم فقال: ليس هكذا سألني ولا هكذا قلت: كذب علي والله كذب عليّ والله، لعن الله، وقد ر زرارة لعن الله زرارة لعن الله زرارة إنما قال لي من كان له زاد وراحلة فهو مستطيع للحج ؟ وجب عليه ، قال فمستطيع هو ؟ فقلت لا حتى يؤذن له قلت فأخبر زرارة بذلك قال نعم قال زياد فقدمت الكوفة فلقيت زرارة فأخبرته بما قال أبو عبد الله وسكت عن لعنه فقال أما إنه قد أعطاني الاستطاعة من حيث لا يعلم وصاحبكم هذا ليس له بصيرة بكلام الرجال

Ziyād ibn Abī Ḥalāl narrates: I said to Imām Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq المناحة: "Zurārah has narrated from you regarding al-Istiṭāʿah, so we have accepted what he has said from him and attested to it. However, I would like to present what he has said before you." Imām Jaʿfar المناحة asked me to relate it and I said: "He alleges that he asked you regarding the verse:

وللهِ عَلَى النَّاسِ حِجُّ الْبَيْتِ مَنِ اسْتَطَاعَ إِلَيْهِ سَبِيْلا

Ḥajj to the House (the Kaʿbah) is a duty that people owe to Allah, who have the ability to find a way there.

and you replied: "Whoever has provision and a conveyance." He then asked: "So whoever has provision and a conveyance, he has the ability for hajj, even if he did not perform hajj?" and you affirmed this. Imām Jaʿfar ﷺ replied: "This is not how he asked the question nor did I reply in this manner. He has lied upon me, by the oath of Allah. He has lied upon me, by the oath of Allah. May the curse of Allah be upon Zurārah! May the curse of Allah be upon Zurārah! May the curse of Allah be upon Zurārah! In actual fact he said to me: "Whoever has provision and a conveyance, does he have al-Istițāʿah for ḥajj?" I replied that it is wājib (obligatory) upon him. He asked: "So he is the one who has al-Istițāʿah?" I replied: "No! Not until he is given permission." Go and inform Zurārah about this" Ziyād reports: "I then entered Kūfah and met Zurārah, and informed him of what Imām Jaʿfar ﷺ had said. He fell silent when hearing that he had been cursed (by the Imām). He then said: "As for him, he has granted me al-Istițāʿah from avenues which he does not have knowledge of. This companion of yours lacks the faculty of conversation."

In place of apologising for his error, Zurārah insisted that the Imām does not know, and the Imām lacks insight or the faculty of conversation, according to his own understanding. However, the supporters of Zurārah are still bent upon elevating Zurārah and instead cast aside the word of their Imām, whom they regard as 'infallible' and the ultimate proof. They still regard Zurārah as truthful even when he belies the infallible Imām. This is despite the fact that they have reported from the Imām in an authentic ḥadīth from Yaḥyā al-Khathʿamī:

سأل حفص الكناسي أبا عبد الله وأنا عنده عن قول الله: { ولله عَلَى النَّاس حِبُّ الْبَيْت مَن اسْتَطَاعَ إليْه سَبِيلا} ما يعنى بذلك ؟ قال : من كان صحيحاً في بدنه مخلى سر به له زاد وراحلة فهو ممن يستطيع الحج أو قال : ممن كان له مال فقال له : حفص الكناسي فإذا كان صحيحاً في بدنه مخلي في سربه له زاد وراحلة فلم يحج فهو ممن يستطيع الحج ؟ قال : نعم

Ḥafṣ al-Kanāsī asked Imām Jaʿfar when I was present, about the verse:

وللهِ عَلَى النَّاسِ حِجُّ الْبَيْتِ مَنِ اسْتَطَاعَ إِلَيْهِ سَبِيْلا

Ḥajj to the House (the Kaʿbah) is a duty that people owe to Allah, who have the ability to find a way there.

What does it mean? Imām Ja'far replied: "He who is in good health, his path is clear, he has provisions and a conveyance; he is the one who has ability for ḥajj." Or he said: "the one who has wealth." Ḥafṣ al-Kanāsī then asked: "So if he is in good health, his path is clear, he has provisions and a conveyance, but does not perform ḥajj; is he of those who have the ability to perform ḥajj? Imām Ja'far replied: "Yes!"¹

¹ Al-Wasā'il 8/22 sub-note: 4

It is reported in *Rijāl al-Kashshī*¹:

حدثنا محمد بن مسعود قال حدثني جبرئيل بن أحمد قال حدثني محمد بن عيسى بن عبيد قال: حدثني يونس بن عبد الرحمن عن عمر ابن أبان عن عبد الرحيم القصير قال قال لي أبو عبد الله أئت زرارة وبريدا فقل لهما ما هذه البدعة التي ابتدعتماها ؟ أما علمتا إن رسول الله صلى الله عليه و سلم قال كل بدعة ضلالة ؟ فقلت له إني أخاف منهما فأرسل معي ليثا المرادي ! فأتينا زرارة فقلنا له ما قال أبو عبد الله فقال والله لقد أعطاني الاستطاعة وما شعر فأما بريداً فقال لا والله لا أرجع عنها أبداً

'Abd al-Raḥīm al-Qaṣīr reports that Imām Jaʿfar said to him: "Go to Zurārah and Burayd, and say to them both: "What is this bidʿah that you have innovated? Do you not know that Rasūlullāh has said that every bidʿah is innovation?" 'Abd al-Raḥīm replied: "I am afraid of them, send Layth al-Murādī along with me." We then went to Zurārah and relayed to him what Imām Jaʿfar had said, he replied: "By Allah! He has granted me ability and he does not know." As for Burayd he said: "I will never retract what I have said ever."

On page 150, sub-note 243, it is reported:

عن محمد بن مسعود قال حدثني محمد بن عيسى عن حريز قال خرجت إلى فارس وخرج معنا محمد الحلبي إلى مكة فإتفق قدومنا جمعاً إلى حين فسألت الحلبي فقلت له إطرفنا بشيء قال : نعم جئتك بما تكره قلت لأبي عبد الله ما تقول في الاستطاعة ؟ فقال ليس من ديني ولا دين آبائي ، فقلت الآن ثلج عن صدري والله لا أعود لهم مريضاً ولا أشيع لهم جنازة ولا أعطيهم شيئاً من زكاة مالي قال فاستوى أبو عبد الله جالساً وقال لي: كيف قلت: ؟ فأعدت عليه الكلام فقال أبو عبد الله: كان أبي يقول: أولئك قوم حرم الله وجوههم على النار فقلت جعلت فداك: فكيف قلت لي ليس من ديني ولا دين آبائي ؟ قال: إنما أعني بذلك قول زرارة وأشباهه .

Harīz reports: I left for Persia and Muḥammad al-Ḥalbī left for Makkah at the same time, and we happened to meet on route. I asked him to inform us of something new. He replied: "Yes! I come to you with something you will not be pleased with. I informed Imām Jaʿfar ale about what you have said regarding al-Istiṭāʿah and he replied: "It is not of my dīn nor of the dīn of my forefathers." So I said: "Now you have hardened my heart, I take

^{1 2/148} sub-note: 236

an oath by Allah, I will not visit them when they are sick, I will not attend their janāzah, nor will I give them anything from the zakāh of my wealth." Imām Jaʿfar then sat up and asked what I was saying, so I repeated it to him. He then answered: "My father used to say that they are a nation whose faces have been forbidden from the fire of Jahannam." I then replied: "May I be sacrificed for you! Why then did you say to me that it is not of your dīn nor of the dīn of your forefathers?" Imām Jaʿfar replied: "I intended by my statement to refer to Zurārah and others like him."

On page 146, sub-note: 231, it is also reported:

حدثني محمد بن نصير قال حدثني محمد بن عيسى عن حفص مؤذن علي بن يقطين يكنى أبا محمد عن أبي بصير قال قلت لأبي عبد الله { الَّذَينَ آمَنُوا وَ لَمَ يَلْبَسُوا إِيمَـــنَّهُم بِـظُلْم } قال: أعاذنا الله وإياك يا أبا بصير من ذلك الظلم ذلك ما ذهب فيه زرارة وأصحابه وأبو حنيفة وأصحابه

Abū Baṣīr reports that he asked Imām Jaʿfar 🇤 about the verse:

Those who have īmān and do not mix their īmān with wrong-doing.

He replied: "I seek Allah's protection for us and you, O Abū Baṣīr, from that wrong-doing. This is that which Zurārah and his companions have committed and Abū Ḥanīfah and his companions."

Zurārah will die forlorn

It is reported in Mu'jam al-Rijāl (7/241) that Imām Ja'far said:

محمد بن مسعود قال حدثني جبرئيل بن أحمد عن العبيدي عن يونس عن هارون بن خارجة قال سمعت أبا عبد الله(ع) يقول لا يموت زرارة إلا تائهاً .

Zurārah will not die except forlorn.

Zurārah did not rely on Imām Jaʿfar

It is reported in Rijāl al-Kashshī (page 152 sub-note: 247) from Walīd ibn Ṣabīḥ:

حدثني حمدويه قال حدثني محمد بن عيسى عن ابن أبي عمير عن هشام بن سالم عن محمد بن حمران عن الوليد بن صبيح قال : دخلت على أبي عبد الله فاستقبلني زرارة خارجاً من عنده فقال لي أبو عبد الله يا وليد أما تعجب من زرارة يسألني عن أعمال هؤلاء أي شيء كان يريد ؟ أيريد أن أقول له لا !! فيروي عني ؟ ثم قال يا وليد متى كانت الشيعة تقول من أكل طعامهم وأكل شرابهم واستظل بظلهم متى كانت الشيعة تسأل عن مثل هذا

I entered upon Imām Jaʿfar ﷺ and Zurārah came towards me, leaving the house of Imām Jaʿfar ﷺ. Imām Jaʿfar ﷺ then said to me: "O Walīd! Are you not astonished with Zurārah, he asks me about the actions of these people? What does he intend? Does he wish for me to tell him: No! So that he may relate this from me?" He then said: "O Walīd! Since when do the Shīʿah dispute eating their food, and consuming their drink, and resting in their shade since when do the Shīʿah ask about things such as this?"

Zurārah spies upon al-Bāqir

On page 140, it is reported that Hishām ibn Sālim said:

حمدويه بن نصير قال : حدثنا محمد بن عيسى عن الوشا عن هشام بن سالم عن زرارة قال : سألت أبا جعفر عن جوائز العمال ؟ فقال : لا بأس به قال ثم قال : إنما أراد زرارة أن يبلغ هشاماً إني أحرم أعمال السلطان

Zurārah said that he asked Imām al-Bāqir about the stipends of those who perform tasks for the government and he said it is not a problem." Imām al-Bāqir then said: "Zurārah's intention is to inform Hishām (who was the khalīfah) that I have ruled the stipends of the khalīfah to be ḥarām."

Al-Ṣādiq derides Zurārah and the progeny of A'yun

Al-Kashshī reports on page 149, sub-note: 238:

حدثني محمد بن مسعود قال : حدثني جبرئيل بن أحمد عن محمد بن عيسى عن يونس عن إسماعيل بن عبد الخالق عن أبي عبد الله قال : ذكر عنده بنو أعين فقال: الله ما يريد بنو أعين إلا أن يكونوا على غلب

The progeny of A'yun was mentioned in the presence of Imām Ja'far and he said: "By Allah! The progeny of A'yun does not intend except to gain control."

On page 153 (sub-note: 250) it is reported from Ḥanān ibn Sadīr:

حدثني حمدوية قال : حدثني أيوب عن حنان بن سدير قال: كتب معي رجل أسأل أبا عبد الله عما قالت اليهود والنصارى والمجوس والذين أشركوا هو ممن يشاء أن يقولوا قال قال لي: أن ذا من مسائل آل أعين ليس من ديني ولا دين آبائي قال قلت ما معي مسألة غير هذه

A person wrote a letter in my presence to Imām Jaʿfar asking about what the Jews, Christians, Zoroastrians, and polytheists say; is what they say of that which He has desired that they speak? Imām Jaʿfar said to me: "Verily these are the proclamations of the progeny of Aʿyun. It is not of my dīn nor of the dīn of my forefathers." I said: "I do not have except this proclamation."

The narrations regarding al-Istițāʿah have already been discussed, and with certainty it is not of the dīn of al-Ṣādiq المنتج nor of his esteemed forefathers. Imām al-Ṣādiq Vas refuted this belief, which Zurārah has ascribed to him, but Zurārah distorted his speech. This left Imām al-Ṣādiq نمتنج with no option but to expose his deceit and fabrications, and curse him three times.

Zurārah claims the Qur'ān has been altered

On page 155 (sub-note: 254) it is reported that 'Abd Allāh ibn Zurārah reported:

حدثني محمد بن قولويه قال حدثني سعد عن أحمد بن محمد بن عيسى ومحمد بن عبد الله المسمعي عن علي بن أسباط عن محمد بن عبد الله بن زرارة عن أبيه قال : بعث زرارة عبيد ابنه يسأل عن خبر أبي الحسن فجاءه الموت قبل رجوع عبيد إليه ، فأخذ المصحف فأعلاه فوق رأسه وقال : إن الإمام بعد جعفر بن محمد من اسمه بين الدفتين في جملة القرآن منصوص عليه من الذين أوجب الله طاعتهم على خلقه انا مؤمن به قال: فأخبر بذلك أبو الحسن الأول فقال : والله كان زرارة مهاجراً إلى الله تعالى Zurārah sent his son's slave to enquire about Abū al-Ḥasan (Mūsā al-Kāẓim) but he passed away before his slave could return to him. He then took the muṣḥaf, raised it above his head and said: "Verily the Imām after Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad is he whose name is between these two covers, explicitly mentioned in the Qurʾān, amongst those whom Allah has made compulsory upon His creation to obey. I believe in him." Abū al-Ḥasan (al-Riḍā) was informed of this and he said: "By Allah! Zurārah was migrating to Allah Taʿālā."

Ibn Bābuwayh al-Qummī has reported in Kamāl al-Dīn (page 80):

روى ابن بابويه القمي بإسناده عن محمد بن عبد الله بن زرارة عن أبيه قال : لما بعث زرارة عبيداً ابنه إلى المدينة يسأل عن الخبر بعد مضي أبي عبد الله فلما أشتد به الأمر أخذ المصحف ! وقال: من أثبت إمامته هذا المصحف فهو إمامي

When Zurārah sent the slave of his son to Madīnah to make enquiries after the passing of Imām Ja'far and things became desperate for him, he took the muṣḥaf and said: "The one whose Imāmah is established in this muṣḥaf, he is my imām."

Burayd ibn Muʿāwiyah al-ʿAjalī

Al-ʿĀmilī has said regarding him in *al-Wasā'il* (20/145-146):

وجه من وجوه أصحابنا ، ثقة فقيه، له محل عند الأئمة قاله العلامة ونحوه النجاشي وعده الكشي من أصحاب الاجماع كما مر، وروى له مدحا جليلا ، وفيه بعض الذم يأتي الوجه في مثله في زرارة .

A facet of our companions, a reliable faqīḥ. He has a unique position with the A'immah, as stated by 'Allāmah (al-Ḥillī), and al-Najjāshī has made a similar statement. Al-Kashshī has included him amongst the companions of ijmā' as stated previously. Great praise has been reported for him, and amongst the narrations is a little disparagement, the reason behind it being the same as for Zurārah. They have exaggerated in the praise for this individual even though he has been cursed by the 'infallibles'. Al-Kashshī reports that Imām Jaʿfar مَعْمَاتَكُ said:

عن مسمع كردين أبي سيار قال سمعت أبا عبد الله يقول لعن الله بريدا ولعن الله زرارة .

May the curse of Allah be upon Burayd and may the curse of Allah be upon Zurārah.

Layth al-Bukhtarī al-Murādī- Abū Başīr

Amongst their narrators is Abū Baṣīr and he used to partake in intoxicants and was persistent in its consumption just as his 'pious predecessors'.

فعن كليب بن معاوية قال: كان أبو بصير وأصحابه يشربون النبيذ يكسرونه بالماء فحدَّث بذلك أبا عبدالله(ع) فقال لي: وكيف صار الماء يحلَّل المسكر ، مرهم لا يشربوا منه قليلا ولا كثيرا، قلت: إنهم يذكرون أن الرضا من آل محمد يحلّه لهم ، فقال: وكيف كان آل محمد يحلّون المسكر وهم لا يشربون قليلا ولا كثيرا فامسكوا عن شربه فاجتمعنا عند أبي عبدالله(ع) فقال له أبو بصير: إن جائنا بكذا وبكذا وكذا فقال(ع): صدق يا أبا محمد إن الماء لا يحلّل المسكر فلا تشربوا منه قليلا ولا كثيراً

Kulayb ibn Muʿāwiyah reports: "Abū Baṣīr and his companions would drink nabīdh, which they would dilute with water. I informed Imām Jaʿfar about this and he said to me: "How can water become a purifier of intoxicants. Order them not to drink even a little from it." I said that they say, al-Riḍā from the family of Muḥammad (عَالَيْنَا اللَّهُ مَالَعُوْمُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّالِيَّةُ اللَّ والمَاللَّةُ اللَّهُ عَالَةُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ عَالَةُ اللَّهُ عَالَةُ اللَّهُ عَالَةُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ عَاللَّهُ اللَّ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ عَالَةُ عَالَةُ اللَّهُ عَالَةُ اللَّهُ عَالَةُ اللَّهُ عَالَةُ اللَّهُ عَالَةُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ عَاللَّهُ اللَّهُ عَالَةُ اللَّهُ عَالَةُ اللَّالَةُ الللَّالَةُ اللَّالَةُ اللَّهُ عَالِيلُواللَّالَةُ اللَّالل

The Shī ah are in consensus in ruling this individual as reliable despite his condition being the same as Zurārah in being disparaged, as will be discussed.

¹ Furūʿ al-Kāfī 6/411-412

Al-Ardabīlī says:

قال الغضائري : كان أبو عبدالله(ع) يتضجر به ويتبرم وأصحابه يختلفون في شأنه قال وعندي أن الطعن وقع على دينه لا على حديثه وهو عندي ثقة والذي اعتمد عليه قبول روايته وأنه من أصحابنا الامامية للحديث الصحيح الذي ذكرناه أولاً وقول ابن الغضائري لا يوجب الطعن

Al-Ghaḍā'irī has said: "ImāmJaʿfar would express disgust with him and would be annoyed by him. His companions differed regarding him." According to me, the disparagement was regarding his dīn, not his narrations, and according to me he is reliable and one upon whose narrations one can rely upon. He is amongst our companions of the Imāmiyyah, who report ṣaḥīḥ aḥādīth which we mentioned first. The statement of al-Ghaḍā'irī does not necessitate disparagement."¹

They continue to defend him despite the derision from the 'infallible' Imām such that they were forced to search for imaginary excuses for him, saying:

وقد ذكرنا شطراً مما صدر من ساحتهم في حقه وأمثاله في ترجمة بريد ابن معاوية العجلي..

We have discussed a little of that which emanated of their politics regarding him under the biography of Burayd ibn Muʻāwiyah al-ʻAjalī.²

Their Shaykh, Jaʿfar al-Sabḥānī said:

وقع في إسناد كثير من الروايات تبلغ ألفين ومائتين وخمسة وسبعين موردا عنوان " أبي بصير " فاختلف في تعيين المراد منه كما اختلف في تحقيق عدد من يطلق عليه هذه الكنية ، فذهب بعضهم إلى إطلاقها على أثنين وبعض آخر على ثلاثة وجمع كثير على أربعة وربما يظهر من بعضهم أكثر من هذا العدد أيضاً

In many chains of narration, approximately 2275, the name "Abū Baṣīr" appears, thus there is difference of opinion regarding who is implied just as there is difference of opinion regarding the number of people who hold this title. The minority are of the opinion that there are two, while a few

¹ *Rijāl al-Kashshī* page 159, sub-note: 265

² Hāmish Rijāl al-Najjāshī 2/163

others are of the opinion that there are three, while a great many are of the opinion that they are four. While many a time it becomes apparent from some there are more than this as well.¹

However, the most famous opinion, as mentioned above, is that it is shared by four individuals, as elucidated by Ibn Dāwūd, al-Tafrashī and ʿAllāmah Māmaqānī. Ibn Dāwūd says that the four are:

- 1. Layth ibn al-Bukhtarī
- 2. Yūsuf ibn al-Ḥārith al-Batrī
- 3. Yaḥyā ibn Abī al-Qāsim
- 4. ʿAbd Allāh ibn Muḥammad al-Asadī²

These four are not all reliable narrators as indicated in $Mu'jam Rij\bar{a}l al-Had\bar{t}h$. Some of them have stated that the name "Abū Baṣir" is shared by both reliable and unreliable narrators. As a result of this the majority of these narrations can no longer be substantiated from.³

Al-Najjāshī has said under the biography of Abū Baṣīr al-Bukhtarī al-Murādī:

Abū Muḥammad, and it has been said that he is Abū Baṣīr al-Aṣghar.

Just as al-Ṭūsī did not consider him reliable and disregarded him....That is why al-Tastarī said: "Al-Shaykh and al-Najjāshī disregarded him."⁴

As for Ibn al-Ghaḍā'irī, he said:

ليث بن البختري المرادي أبو بصير يكنى أبا محمد، كان أبو عبد الله يتضجر به، ويتبرم وأصحابه مختلفون في شأنه وعندي أن الطعن إنما وقع على دينه لا على حديثه وهو عندي ثقة

¹ Kulluyāt fī 'Ilm al-Rijāl by Jaʿfar al-Sabḥānī

² *Rijāl Ibn Dāwūd* page 214

³ Muʻjam Rijāl al-Ḥadīth 21/47

⁴ Kulliyāt fī ʿIlm al-Rijāl pg. 467, Qāmūs al-Rijāl 11/119

Layth ibn al-Bukhtarī al-Murādī Abū Baṣīr whose agnomen was Abū Muḥammad, Abū ʿAbd Allāh (Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq) would be infuriated and annoyed with him. His (Abū ʿAbd Allāh) companions have differed regarding him. According to me, the criticism against him was only about his dīn, not his ḥadīth; and according to me he is reliable.¹

Al-Kashshī narrates from Hammād al-Nāb who says:

جلس أبو بصير على باب أبي عبد الله ليطلب الإذن ، فلم يؤذن له ، فقال لو كان معنا طبق لأذن قال ، فجاء كلب فشغر في وجه أبي بصير ، قال أف أف ما هذا ؟ قال جليسه : هذا كلب شغر في وجهك

Abū Baṣīr sat at the door of Abū 'Abd Allāh seeking permission. He was denied permission upon which he remarked: "If we had with us a dish, he would have definitely granted permission." Thereafter a dog appeared and defecated on the face of Abū Baṣīr. He cried: "Oof! Oof! What is this?" His companions replied: "It is a dog that defecated on your face."²

Another narration, on the authority of Hammād al-Nāb, who says:

خرجت أنا وابن أبي يعفور وآخر إلى الحيرة أو إلى بعض المواضع فتذاكرنا الدنيا فقال أبو بصير المرادي : أما أن صاحبكم لو ظفر بها لاستأثر بها ، فأغفى فجاء كلب يريد أن يشغر عليه فذهبت لأطرده ، فقال لي ابن أبي يعفور دعه قال ، فجاء حتى شغر في أذنه

I, Ibn Abī Yaʿfūr, and another individual went to al-Ḥayrah or to another place. We began discussing the world, so Abū Baṣīr al-Murādī said: "Listen well, if your companion (referring to the Imām) could be successful in acquiring it, he would have kept it to himself!" Thereafter, he went to take a nap. As he done so, a dog came by and was about to defecate on him. I stepped forward to dispel it, but Abū Yaʿfūr said: "Leave it!" The dog then proceeded on to defecate in his ear.³

¹ Muʿjam Rijāl al-Ḥadīth pg. 142, Biography of Layth ibn al-Bukhtarī

² Rijāl al-Kashshī pg. 172 Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl 2/45 (1998), Mu'jam al-Rijāl 14/148, Majmaʿ al-Rijāl li l-Qahbāʾī 5/85

^{5/85}

³ ibid

How does ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn explain the statement of Abū Baṣīr: "If we had with us a dish, he would have definitely granted permission"? O ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn, is this the great service rendered by Abū Baṣīr to al-Ṣādiq?

Al-Kashshī narrates (pg. 169 ḥadīth: 285), from Abū Yaʿfūr who says:

خرجت إلى السواد نطلب دراهم !!لنحج ونحن جماعة وفينا أبو بصير المرادي قال ، قلت له يا أبا بصير اتق الله وحج بمالك فإنك ذو مال كثير ! فقال اسكت فلو أن الدنيا وقعت لصاحبك لاشتمل عليها بكسائه

I went out to the suburb seeking some dīnārs by means of which I could perform ḥajj. Amongst our group was Abū Baṣīr. I said to him: "O Abū Baṣīr, fear Allah, for indeed you are a wealthy man!" He replied: "Remain silent! If the entire world were to fall in the destiny of your companion (Imām Jaʿfar), he would have wrapped it under his garment!"

It is well-known that "your companion" in the above texts refer to none other than the infallible Imām, as explained by the commentator of *al-Kashshī* and Hāshim Maʿrūf.¹

As if it was not enough to attack the personality of al-Ṣādiq without any grounds, he even desires to perform ḥajj using the wealth of others, despite being a wealthy person. It was nothing but jealousy that had blinded his heart, to the extent that he alleged that al-Ṣādiq had the same agenda and mentality as him, that if the entire world were to fall in his destiny, he would have wrapped it under his garment. The question arises: Is it possible that he repented from his unethical conduct? The reality is that he only increased his criticism, blasphemy and mockery of al-Ṣādiq. Thus we find him accusing the Imām of having insufficient knowledge and being ignorant of the laws of Sharīʿah.

Al-Kashshī (pg. 171-172 ḥadīth: 292) narrates from Shuʿayb al-ʿAqraqūfī who narrates that Abū Baṣīr said:

¹ Dirāsāt fi l-Āthār wa l-Akhbār pg. 233

سألت أبا عبد الله عن امرأة تزوجت ولها زوج فظهر عليها ؟ قال : ترجم المرأة ويضرب الرجل مائة سوط لأنه لم يسأل ، قال شعيب : فدخلت على أبي الحسن فقلت له امرأة تزوجت ولها زوج ؟ قال ترجم المرأة ولاشيء على الرجل ، فلقيت أبا بصير فقلت له إني سألت أبا الحسن عن المرأة التي تزوجت ولها زوج قال ترجم المرأة ولاشيء على الرجل، قال فمسح على صدره وقال ما أظن صاحبنا تناهى حلمه بعد!

I asked Abū 'Abd Allāh (Imām Jā'far) regarding a woman who marries even though she has a husband who done ẓihār¹ upon her? He answered: "The woman will be stoned and the man will be lashed one hundred times, since he did not enquire." Shu'ayb says: "Thereafter, I went to Abū al-Ḥusayn (Imām al-Riḍā) and asked him: "What is the law regarding a woman who marries despite having a husband?" He replied: "The woman will be stoned and there will be no action against the man." Later, I met Abū Baṣīr and told him: "I asked Abū al-Ḥasan regarding a woman who marries despite having a husband and he replied: 'The woman will be stoned and there will be no action against the man." Later, I met Abū Baṣīr and told him: "I asked Abū al-Ḥasan regarding a woman who marries despite having a husband and he replied: 'The woman will be stoned and there will be no action against the man." Abū Baṣīr responded by wiping his hand on his chest and saying: "I don't think that the intelligence of our companion (the illustrious Imām) has reached its climax!"

The author of the footnotes of al-Kashshī says under the commentary of this: "Tanāhā means that which has reached its climax and culmination."

The author of the footnotes of *Majma*^c *al-Rijāl* says: "We seek the protection of Allah from these two narrations."

These two narrations have also been narrated by al-<code>Ţūsi</code> in *Tahdhībayn*, i.e. al-*Tahdhīb* and al-Istibṣār.²

The author of *Mu'jam al-Rijāl* says: "Al-Shaykh narrated this narration with a slight difference in the text."

¹ *Zihār*: when the husband compares his wife to his mother implying that he has taken her to be forbidden for him.

² *Al-Istibşār* 3/190, ḥadīth: 687 'Chapter of a Man who Marries a Woman and only Finds out that She was Married after Sleeping with Her', *al-Tahdhīb* 7/487 ḥadīth 1957 'Chapter of the Additional Laws of Nikāḥ'

He also narrated the meaning of this text with his chain of narrators from Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad from Ibn Abī ʿUmayr from Shuʿayb who said:

سألت أبا الحسن عن رجل تزوج امرأة لها زوج قال : يفرق بينهما ، قلت فعليه ضرب ؟ قال: لا ما له يضرب ! فخرجت من عنده وأبو بصير بحيال الميزاب فأخبرته بالمسألة والجواب ، فقال لي: أين أنا ؟ فقلت بحيال الميزاب ، قال فرفع يده : ورب هذا البيت أو رب هذه الكعبة لسمعت جعفرا يقول : أن علياً قضى في الرجل تزوج امرأة لها زوج فرجم المرأة وضرب الرجل الحد ، ثم قال: لو علمت إنك علمت لفضخت رأسك بالحجارة ، ثم قال ما أخوفني إلا يكون أوتي علمه

I asked Abū al-Ḥasan (al-Riḍā) about a man who marries a married woman. He said: "They will be separated." I asked: "Will the man be whipped?" He replied: "No, what crime did he commit that he should be whipped?" I left his presence and Abū Baṣīr was in front of the gutter (of the Ka'bah), so I informed him of the question and the answer that was offered. He asked me: "Where am I?" I replied: "In front of the Ka'bah." Thereafter he lifted his hand and said: "By the oath of the Rabb of this house (or he said the Rabb of this Ka'bah)! I heard Ja'far saying: "Indeed 'Alī passed the verdict regarding a man who marries a married woman, that he will be flogged according to the ḥadd (prescribed punishment) and the woman will be stoned to death. Thereafter he ('Alī) said (to the man): 'If I knew that you already had knowledge about it, I would have crushed your head with a stone." Thereafter Abū Baṣīr said: "The only thing that scares me is that he has been given the knowledge of his predecessor."

The most amazing fact is that they have found fault with their infallible Imām and defended Abū Baṣīr! This was done by fabricating a few theories exonerating him of his offences. These include the following preposterous explanations; 'the narration does not indicate that he condemned the Imām', 'at most, he was not completely cognisant of the knowledge of the Imām at that time, due to a doubt that occurred to him. That is, he imagined that the verdict of the Imām contradicted the verdict that reached him from the ancestors of the Imām. This does not affect his reliability, over and above the fact that it cannot be proven that he remained upon this view' and 'this narration was an act of Taqiyyah (dissimulation)'.

¹ Al-Tahdhīb 10/25, hadīth 76, 'Chapter on the Punishment of Adultery'

Their claim that Abū Baṣīr was not completely cognisant of the knowledge of the Imām is indeed astonishing! Did he not take an oath by the Rabb of this house or the Rabb of this Kaʿbah that he heard Jaʿfar saying: "Indeed ʿAlī passed the verdict regarding a man who marries a married woman, that he will be flogged according to the hadd and the woman will be stoned to death," and thereafter he said: "If I knew that you already had knowledge about it, I would have crushed your head with a stone." After which Abū Baṣīr added: "The only thing that scares me is that that he has been given the knowledge of his predecessor."

Further, the claim of Taqiyyah also does not make sense. This is so because Abū Baṣīr was adamant that the Imām has insufficient knowledge and he is the one who attacked the Imām. He himself was not an Imām, due to which it could be falsely claimed that the Imām resorted to Taqiyyah. Therefore this answer is absolutely fatuous.

This narration also reveals to us that Abū Baṣīr belied the Imām al-Riḍā or he falsely attributed something to al-Ṣādiq, thus he attacked both of his infallible A'immah. Now, who will be called a liar, one of the two A'immah or Abū Baṣīr?

As for the statement of Abū Baṣīr: "I think the knowledge of our Imām has not reached its culmination", we will leave the responsibility of explaining it upon this compiler. It appears in *Wasā'il al-Shī'ah* (16/287) from Shu'ayb al-ʿAqraqūfī who said:

كنت عند أبي عبد الله ومعنا أبو بصير وأناس من أهل الجبل يسألونه عن ذبائح أهل الكتاب فقال لهم أبو عبد الله قد سمعتم ما قال الله تعالى في كتابه إشارة إلى قوله تعالى: {وَلاَ تَأْكُلُو مِمَّا لَمْ يُذْكَرِ اسْمُ الله عَلَيْهِ } فقالوا له نحب أن تخبرنا فقال لهم لا تأكلوها فلما خرجنا قال أبو بصير : كلها في عنقي ما فيها فقد سمعته وسمعت أباه جميعاً يأمران بأكلها فرجعنا إليه فقال لي أبو بصير: سله فقلت له: جعلت فداك : ما تقول في ذبائح أهل الكتاب ؟ فقال : أليس شهدتنا بالغداة وسمعت ؟ قلت : بلى : فقال ! لا تأكلها .

I was in the presence of Abū ʿAbd Allāh with a few others. Amongst us was Abū Baṣīr. The people of the mountain were asking him regarding the slaughtered animals of the people of the Book (Jews and Christians). Abū

'Abd Allāh replied: "You have definitely heard that which Allah says in his Book." Referring to the verse: "And do not eat from that on which Allah's name was not taken..."¹ They responded: "We would like you to inform us." So he said to them: "Do not eat it." When we left from there, Abū Başīr said: "I take responsibility for all of it. There is no sin in consuming it. Indeed I have heard both, him and his father commanding that it should be consumed and thus we consumed it." Thereafter Abū Başīr said to me: "Ask him." Hence I said to him: "May I be sacrificed for you, what is your opinion regarding the slaughtered animals of the people of the Book?" He replied: "Were you not present this morning? Did you not hear?" I said: "Indeed I was present!" thereupon he said: "Do not partake of it."

This narration has been reported by al- \bar{T} ūsī in his *Tahdhīb*. The last portion is as follows:

Abū Baṣīr then said: "Partake of it." Thereafter he said to me: "Ask him a second time." He replied in the same manner that he replied the first time. Abū Baṣīr came back to me and repeated his previous statement, i.e. "I take responsibility, eat it." Then he said to me: "Ask him (once more)." I replied: "I cannot ask him more than twice."

I say, Abū Baṣīr is insisting upon the incumbency of the Imām retracting his verdict. Despite his insistence and his repeated questioning of al-Ṣādiq and the reply of al-Ṣādiq that it is not permissible in each instance, whereas there was no third person with them in his company, they (the Shīʿah) still unsuccessfully try their best to defend him and prove that he was definitely the correct one. This demands that they concede that their Imām was mistaken, even though they believe that he was totally infallible. They use all their abilities to defend this narrator who has been criticised, to the extent that one of them even said: "The narration of Abū Baṣīr should be understood to be an act of Taqiyyah".

¹ Sūrah al-Anʿām: 121

I say, that means, Abū Baṣir was *al-Ṣādiq* (the truthful one) and Imām al-Ṣādiq was *al-Kādhib* (the liar) according to them.

Furthermore, Abū Baṣīr would visit the infallible Imāms whilst he was in an impure state. Al-Sayyid Aḥmad writes in *al-Taḥrīr*:

ومن ذلك أنه دخل عليه وهو جنب فنهاه عن ذلك

And from that is, he would enter his presence whilst being impure, so he prohibited him from that.

Abū Bukayr narrates; I met Abū Baṣīr al-Murādī so I asked him: "Where are you of to?" He replied: "I am on my way to your master." I said to him that I will go along with him. So we continued until we entered upon him, whereupon he gave Abū Baṣīr a stern look and rebuked him saying:

هكذا تدخل بيوت الأنبياء ! وأنت جنب! قال : أعوذ بالله من غضب الله وغضبك فقال : أستغفر الله ولا عود

Is this how you enter the homes of the ambiyā'; whilst you are impure? Abū Baṣīr replied: "I seek the protection of Allah from his anger and your anger. I seek forgiveness from Allah, I will not repeat this."¹

Al-Dehlawī has definitely spoken the truth when he said that some of the narrators of the Shī ah were expelled by Jaʿ far al-Ṣā diq from his gathering, yet the Shī ah rely upon them as explained.

Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam

According to the Shīʿah, he is the individual who was responsible for stitching together the concepts of Imāmah, Wiṣāyah and ʿ*Iṣmah* (infallibility of the A'immah). He formed the rules and principles regarding it. Al-ʿĀmilī states in his Wasā'il:

¹ Al-Kashshī pg. 171, al-Tanqīḥ 2/45, Muʿjam al-Rijāl 14/148, Majmaʿ al-Rijāl 5/83

ممن فتق الكلام في الإمامة وهذَّب المذهب بالنظر

He is amongst those who stitched together the discussion on Imāmah, and he systemised the madh-hab (Shīʿism) by means of logical proofs.¹

The Shī ah have defended this anthropomorphist to the extent that 'Abd al-Husayn stated in his trumped up, *al-Murāja āt*:

ورماه بالتجسيم وغيره من الطامات مريدو إطفاء نور الله من مشكاته حسداً لأهل البيت وعدواناً ونحن أعرف الناس بمذهبه وفي أيدينا أحواله وأقواله وله في نصرة مذهبنا من المصنفات ما أشرنا إليه فلا يجوز أن يخفى علينا من أقواله وهو من سلفنا وفرطنا ما ظهر لغيرنا مع بعدهم عنه في المذهب والمشرب

He has been accused of anthropomorphism and other outrageous crimes by those who wish to extinguish the light of Allah from its lantern, due to jealousy and enmity for the Ahl al-Bayt. We are the most acquainted

¹ Al-Wasā'il 20/360, Jāmi' al-Ruwāt 2/313 - therefore, Hishām ibn al-Hakam is the first person to systemise and develop the doctrine of the Shī ah, according to them. He shares this claim with Shaytān al-Ṭāq. Al-Kashshī narrates in his Rijāl that which indicates that the news of Hishām ibn al-Hakam's development of the doctrine of Imāmah reached Hārūn al-Rashīd, when Yahyā al-Barmakī said to him: "O Amīr al-Mu'minīn, I have investigated the matter of Hishām. He claims that Allah has placed an Imām on his land besides you, whose obedience is compulsory." Hārūn exclaimed in surprise: "Allah is above all inconsistencies!" Yahyā said: "Yes, and he claims that if Allah commands him to emerge then he will do so." It is apparent, as proven by this text that Hārūn was taken aback by this news. Therefore, it is Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam, Shayṭān al-Ṭāq and their followers, as will be explained, who revived the idea of Ibn Saba' regarding Amīr al-Mu'minīn 'Alī 🕬 and then spread it amongst the rest of the followers of the Ahl al-Bayt. They exploited some of the occurrences which were not in favour of the Ahl al-Bayt, like the martyrdom of 'Alī and al-Ḥusayn 🕬, to stir up the emotions of the masses. Thus, this doctrine found some ground and thereafter the scholars of the Shīʿah held onto it and penned it down in their books under the section of beliefs. Al-Kashshī narrates from Yūnus who says: "I was with Hishām ibn al-Hakam at his masjid in the evening when Sālim came to him. Sālim told him that Yahyā ibn Khālid said that he (Hishām) had made the dīn of the Rāfidah incomprehensible, as they are of the belief that the dīn cannot exist without a living Imām and they do not know whether their current Imām is dead or alive." Upon this Hishām said: "It is only necessary for us to believe that the Imām is alive and present, but he is hidden from us, until the news of his death reaches us. As long as the news of his death does not reach us, we will remain firm on the belief that he is alive." Refer to Rijāl al-Kashshī pg. 258 (477), pg. 266-267 (480)

ones with his stance and we have in our possession his biography and his sayings. He has authored in defence of our religion that which we have already indicated to. Hence it is impossible that any of his sayings remain hidden from us, especially since he is from our predecessors and amongst those who will receive us. This is more so when it is known to others, since they are far from our religion and viewpoint.¹

He also states:

None of our predecessors were tainted by any of the crimes attributed to them by the opposition. We cannot find any trace of that which was attributed to Zurārah ibn A'yun, Muḥammad ibn Muslim, Mu'min al-Ṭāq and their likes, notwithstanding how we had exhausted our resources and energies in trying to find them. It is nothing but injustice, enmity, slander and accusations.Is it possible that these type of crimes be attributed to man of excessive virtue the like of Hishām! Never, instead the opposition have preferred false speech and rumours as a result of their oppression and jealousy of the Ahl al-Bayt and those who subscribe to their views.²

I say, in refutation of these people, especially this author who asserts that he exhausted resources and energies in trying to find them. You described al- $K\bar{a}f\bar{i}$ in your al- $Mur\bar{a}ja'\bar{a}t$ (pg. 390) in the following manner:

وأحسن ما جمع منها – أي من الأصول الأربعمائة – الكتب الأربعة التي هي مرجع الإمامية في أصولهم وفروعهم من الصدر الأول إلى هذا الزمان وهي : الكافي والتهذيب والاستبصار ومن لا يحضره الفقيه وهي متواترة ومضامينها مقطوع بصحتها والكافي أقدمها وأعظمها وأحسنها وأتقنها ...

And the best of compilations that are based on them (the four hundred sources) are the four books, which have remained the references of the Imāmiyyah in all their primary as well as subsidiary matters from the first century up until the present era. They are *al-Kāfī*, *al-Tahdhīb*, *al-Istibṣār* and

¹ Al-Murājaʿāt (the dialogues), dialogue 110 pg. 390

² Al-Murājaʿāt pg. 391-392

Man Lā Yaḥḍuruhu al-Faqīh. These books are mutawātir and their contents are undisputedly accurate. *Al-Kāfī* is the earliest of them, the greatest, best and the most well-preserved.

Therefore, we will quote those aḥādīth of *al-Kāfī*, regarding which he claims 'the contents are undisputedly accurate'. This will serve as proof against him and his cohorts who claim that they exhausted their resources. Just as it will serve as proof against those who claim that the scholars are unanimous regarding the reliability and high rank of this anthropomorphist in the sight of the A'immah, but the Ahl al-Sunnah have criticised him and he was condemned in certain reports for being an anthropomorphist.

Al-Kulaynī, who they have dubbed Thiqat al-Islam, states in his *al-Kāfī* with his isnād from ʿAlī ibn Abī Ḥamzah who said:

قلت لأبي عبد الله سمعت هشام بن الحكم يروي عنكم أن الله جسم ، صمدي نوري ، معرفته ضرورة يمن بها على من يشاء من خلقه فقال: سبحان من لا يعلم أحد كيف هو إلا هو ليس كمثله شيء وهو السميع البصير لا يحسد ولا يحس ولا يجس ولا تدركه الأبصار ولا الحواس ولا يحيط به شيء ولا جسم ولا صورة ولا تخطيط ولا تحديد

I said to Abū 'Abd Allāh: "I heard Hishām ibn Ḥakam narrating from you people that Allah is a body who is independent and full of light. His recognition is necessary. He favours any of his creation that he wills." He replied: "Free from inconsistencies is He who none know His reality except Himself. There is none like Him and he is the All Hearing, All Seeing. He does not harbour jealousy, have feelings or spy on others. Neither eyes nor any of the other senses are able to perceive Him. None can encompass Him. He has no body, form, lines or limits."¹

The authors of discourses and books on different sects have indicated towards the views held by this anthropomorphist. Al-Baghdādī states in *al-Firaq*:

¹ *Uṣūl al-Kāfī* 1/104 Chapter of prohibition of a Body or Form, ḥadīth 1, *al-Tawḥīd* of Ibn Bābuwayh al-Qummī pg. 98

Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam asserts that His Deity is a body which has an end and a boundary. He has a length breadth and height. His length and breadth are equal.¹

Al-Baghdādī and al-Ashʿarī state that Abū al-Hudhayl mentioned in one of his books that Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam said to him that his Rabb is a body who goes, comes, sits and stands, so he asked him: "So who is greater, this mountain or your Rabb?" He answered: "This mountain is obedient to him", i.e. He is greater.²

Al-Sharastānī and al-Ashʿarī state:

Ibn al-Rāwandī narrates from Hishām that his deity resembles the creation to a certain degree. If this was not the case, the creation would not have directed one towards him.³

The statement of Hishām that he allegedly narrates from Abū ʿAbd Allāh al-Ṣādiq, that Allah is an independent luminous body has been pointed out by al-Ashʿarī, al-Isfarā'īnī and al-Baghdādī in their works. They report:

He believes that He is a radiant illumination who has a definite size and a fixed place, like a pure bullion. He shines from all sides like a round pearl.⁴

Al-Kulaynī in his *al-Kāfī* and Ibn Bābuwayh al-Qummī who has been titled *al-Ṣadūq* (the truthful one) by them, narrate from Muḥammad ibn Ḥakīm who says:

I described to Abū Ibrāhīm the statement of Hishām al-Jawālīqī and narrated to him the statement of Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam: "Indeed He is a body." He replied: "Nothing resembles Allah! Is there any blasphemy greater than

¹ Al-Farq bayn al-Firaq of al-Baghdādī pg. 65

² Maqālāt al-Islamiyyīn 1/107

³ Al-Milal wa al-Niḥal of al-Shahrastānī 1/184, Maqālāt al-Islamiyyīn 1/107

⁴ Maqālāt al-Islamiyyīn 1/106, Al-Farq bayn al-Firaq pg. 65, al-Tabṣīr fi al-Dīn pg. 37

the statement of the one who describes the Creator of all objects to be a body, form, creation or confine Him to a space or attribute limbs to Him? Allah is far above all of that!"¹

Al-Kulaynī who was given the title *Thiqat al-Islam* (the most reliable person in Islam) by the Shīʿah and al-Qummī, who was given the title al-Ṣadūq, both narrate from al-Ḥasan Mūsā ibn Jaʿfar:

Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam claims that Allah is a body. There is none like Him. He is the possessor of knowledge, All Hearing, All Seeing, All Powerful, One who speaks and makes utterances. Speech, power and knowledge are in the same category, none of them are creations.

He replied:

قاتله الله، أما علم أن الجسم محدود والكلام غير المتكلم معاذ الله و أبرأ إلى الله من هذا القول لا جسم ولا صورة ولا تحديد وكل سواه مخلوق إنما تكون الأشياء بإرادته ومشيئته من غير كلام ولا تردد في نفس ولا نطق بلسان

May Allah destroy him, does he not know that bodies have parameters and speech is separate from the one who speaks, I seek Allah's protection! I free myself from such statements in the court of Allah! There is no body, form or limits. Everything besides Him is creation. Everything is brought into existence by His will and desire, without Him having to say it out. He does not reconsider anything or speak with a tongue.²

The authors of books on sects have indicated to this base statement. Al-Shahrastānī states:

¹ Uṣūl al-Kāfī 1/105 ḥadīth 4, al-Tawḥīd pg. 99 ḥadīth 6

² Uṣūl al-Kāfī 1/106 ḥadīth 7, al-Tawḥīd pg. 100 ḥadīth 8

The madh-hab of Hishām is that he says: "Allah was always well-informed regarding Himself and he learns of other occurrences after they come into existence. However this knowledge is not considered as something new, nor is it considered eternal. This is because it is an attribute and attributes cannot be described... His view regarding power and life are not the same as his view on knowledge, except that he does not believe that they are non-eternal. He also says that He desires objects, and His wish is a movement which is neither part of Him nor separate from Him."

Al-Baghdādī says:

It has been reported that Hishām has deviated as far as the attributes of Allah are concerned, after deviating from monotheism. He claims regarding the power, sight, hearing, life and will of Allah that they are neither eternal nor brought into existence as they are the attributes of Allah, which cannot be described. He further claims that "if He is aware of that which His bondsmen are going to do even before they do it, then it is neither possible for them to be making decisions, nor should they be accountable for their actions."¹

Al-Kulaynī and al-Qummī have narrated with their own asānīd from Muḥammad ibn Hakīm that he said:

وصفت لأبي الحسن قول هشام الجواليقي وما يقول في الشاب الموفق ، وصفت له قول هشام بن الحكم فقال : أن الله عز و جل لا يشبهه شيء

I explained the belief of Hishām al-Jawālīqī to Abū al-Ḥasan, as well as that which he says about an inspired youth, and the belief of Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam. He replied: "Nothing resembles Allah, the most Exalted and Glorified."²

¹ Ibid

² Al-Kāfī 1/106 ḥadīth 8, pg. 98 ḥadīth 1

Al-Kulaynī and al-Qummī narrate from Muḥammad ibn al-Faraj that he said:

كتب إلى أبي الحسن أسأله عما قال هشام بن الحكم في الجسم وهشام بن سالم في الصورة ، فكتب: دع عنك حيرة الحيران واستعذ بالله من الشيطان ، ليس القول ما قال الهشامان

I wrote to Abū al-Ḥasan to ask him about the view of Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam regarding the body, and the view of Hishām ibn Sālim about the form. He responded: "Disregard the confusion of the befuddled and seek the protection of Allah. The truth has nothing to do with the statements of the two Hishāms."

Al-Ṣaduq has narrated with his isnād from al-Ṣaqr ibn Abī Dalaf, who said:

: سألت أبا الحسن علي بن محمد بن علي بن موسى الرضا (ع) عن التوحيد وقلت له : إني أقول بقول هشام ابن الحكم ، فغضب ثم قال : مالكم ولقول هشام ، إنه ليس منّا من زعم أن الله (ع) جسم ونحن منه برآء في الدنيا والآخرة ، يا ابن أبي دلف إن الجسم محدث ، والله محدثه ومجسمه

I asked Abū al-Ḥasan ʿAlī ibn Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī ibn Mūsā al-Riḍā al-Aiḍā about tawḥīd and said to him: "I agree with the view of Hishām ibn al-Hakam." He became infuriated and then said: "What is the matter with you people and the view of Hishām? Whoever claims that Allah Taʿālā is a body is not from us, we dissociate ourselves from them in this world as well as the hereafter! O Ibn Abī Dalaf, a body is brought into existence. Allah is the one who brings it into existence and creates it."¹

Now look at the boldness in his claim: "Is it possible that these type of crimes be attributed to man of excessive virtue, the likes of Hishām? Never, instead the opposition have preferred false speech and rumours as a result of their oppression and jealousy of the Ahl al-Bayt and those who subscribe to their views." It should also be remembered that most of the narrators of the Shīʿah subscribed to the belief of anthropomorphism. A few examples are; Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam, Hishām ibn Sālim, Yūnus ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān, Shayṭān al-Ṭāq (who they refer to

¹ Al-Tawhīd, Chapter Proving That Allāh is not body or a form.

as Mu'min al-T̄āq) and others. One of the Shīʿah asked al-Mufīd regarding this matter, to which he replied:

إني لا أزال أسمع المعتزلة يدّعون على أسلافنا أنهم كانوا كلهم مشبهة ، وأرى جماعة من أصحاب الحديث من الإمامية يطابقونهم على هذه الحكاية ، ويقولون أن نفي التشبيه إنما أخذناه من المعتزلة

I have always heard the *Muʿtazilah* (another deviant sect) claiming that all our predecessors were anthropomorphist.¹ I have also seen a group of ḥadīth scholars from the Imāmiyyah who agreed with that claim. They assert that we took the belief of anti-anthropomorphism from the Muʿtazilah.²

That is why you find them repeatedly asking the 'infallible' A'immah about the correct tawhīd. There is an Abūndance of narrations under this chapter in the book *al-Tawhid* of al-Qummī, which one may refer to.³

These are the narrations of *al-Kāfī*, regarding which they claim, "the contents thereof are undisputedly authentic". Al-ʿĀmilī goes on to state in his *al-Wasā'il* under the fourth note:

A list of authentic books from which the narrations of this book (*al-Wasā'il*) have been quoted. Their authors have testified to its authenticity. Other scholars have also testified regarding its authenticity. Another book is *al-Kāfi*[‡]

¹ It is stated under the biography of Hārūn ibn Muslim ibn Saʿdān al-Kātib, one of their narrators, in the book, *Hāwī al-Aqwāl* 3/232 number 1186: "His agnomen is Abū al-Qāsim, he is a reliable narrator and a great person. He had certain beliefs conforming to anthropomorphism and jabr (the belief that humans have no choice with regards to their actions). He met Abū Muḥammad and Abū al-Ḥasan المنابع." Al-Fahrist states: "He narrates from the companions of al-Ṣādiq المنابع." Another narrator is Muḥammad ibn Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad ibn ʿAwn al-Asadī. Al-Najāshī mentions him in his *Rijāl* 2/284 number 1021: "He is a reliable narrator, and his aḥādīth are ṣaḥīḥ, except that he believed in jabr and anthropomorphism."

² *Kitāb al-Ḥikāyāt* by al-Shaykh al-Mufīd pg. 77

³ Refer to these narrations in *al-Tawhīd*. They are eight in number. Pg. 100-103

⁴ Al-Wasā'il 20/36

Al-Isfarā'īnī states in *al-Tabṣīr*¹:

The Hāshimiyyah have openly stated such anthropomorphic beliefs that are kufr according to all Muslims. They are the ones who introduced this concept, which they adopted from the Jews, who attributed a son to Allah by saying: "Uzayr is the son of Allah". They confine Allah to a place, limits, an end point and that he moves. Allah is way above all of that."

Some of them have tried their utmost to vindicate this anthropomorphist using every available avenue, the easiest one being, claiming that these narrations, which are 'undisputedly authentic' according to them, are in fact fabrications. In this manner, all those $ah\bar{a}d\bar{a}th$ which expose this anthropomorphist are discarded. The greatest paradox is that the one who presents this laughable explanation is the author of a book on the science of narrators, i.e. $Mu'jam al-Rij\bar{a}l$ of al-Kho'ī.

He states:

و إني لأظن الروايات الدالة على أن هشاما يقول بالجسمية كلها موضوعة وقد نشأت هذه النسبة عن الحسد كما دل على ذلك رواية الكشي المتقدمة بإسناده عن سليمان بن جعفر الجعفري قال:سألت أبا الحسن الرضا(ع) عن هشام بن الحكم قال : فقال رحمه الله كان عبدا أوذي من قبل أصحابه حسداً منهم له

I am of the opinion that all those narrations in which it is mentioned that Hishām was an anthropomorphist are fabrications. This attribution (of anthropomorphism to him) was a result of jealousy, as indicated by the narration of al-Kashshī that already passed with its isnād from Sulaymān ibn Jaʿfar al-Jaʿfarī who said: "I asked Abū al-Ḥasan al-Riḍā about Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam." He replied: "May Allah have mercy on him, he was put though difficulty by his companions, as they were jealous of him."²

Subḥān Allāh! If one of your most authentic books, regarding which you claim that all the contents are undisputedly authentic, contains fabrications, then

¹ Al-Tabșīr fi al-Dīn pg. 38

² Muʻjam Rijāl al-Ḥadīth pg. 294

what is the status of the rest of the books that you rely upon? Nevertheless, their most outstanding scholars have admitted that this irreligious individual was an anthropomorphist.

Shaykh al-Mufīd states in his book, al-Ḥikāyāt, answering the query of a Shīī:

وإنما خالف هشام وأصحابه ،جماعة أبي عبد الله بقوله في الجسم ، فزعم أن الله تعالى " جسم لا كالأجسام

Hishām and his companions only opposed the group of Abū 'Abd Allāh with regards to the body. He claims that Allah Taʿālā is a body, unlike other bodies.¹

Al-Kashshī narrates from Abū Rāshid who narrates from Abū Jaʿfar al-Thānī who says:

I said: "May I be sacrificed for you, our companions have differed, do you deem it correct if I perform şalāh behind Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam?" He replied: "O Abū ʿAlī, seek Ibn Abī al-Ḥadīd." I asked: "Should I take his verdict?" He replied in the affirmative. Thereafter I met Ibn Abī al-Ḥadīd and asked him: "Are we allowed to perform ṣalāh following one of the companions of Hishām?" He replied: "No!"

Al-Kashshī also narrates from ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn al-Ḥajjāj:

وروى الكشي عن عبد الرحمن بن الحجاج قال أبو الحسن أئت هشام بن الحكم فقل له : يقول لك أبو الحسن أيسرك أن تشرك في دم امرىء مسلم فإذا قال لا فقل له ما بالك شركت في دمي

Abū al-Ḥasan said: "Go to Hishām and say to him: 'Abū al-Ḥasan asks if it would bring pleasure to you to assist in killing a Muslim brother?' If he replies in the negative then say to him: 'What is the matter with you, why have you assisted in taking my life?'

¹ Al-Ḥikāyāt pg. 78-81

Al-Kashshī further narrates from ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn al-Ḥajjāj:

I heard him delivering Abū al-Ḥasan's message to Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam. He said: "What is the matter with Hishām that he speaks even though I have maintained silence." He has instructed me to command you not to speak! I am his messenger unto to.' Abū Yaḥyā said: "Hishām refrained from speaking for one month, after which he began speaking." Thereupon 'Abd al-Raḥmān approached him and said: "O Abū Muḥammad, are you speaking even though you have been prohibited from doing so?" He retorted: "People like me cannot be prohibited from speech!" The next year, 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn al-Ḥajjāj came to him and said: "O Hishām, would it bring pleasure to you to assist in killing a Muslim brother?" He replied: "No." "Then how is it that you have assisted in killing me? If you remain silent, it will compensate for your past; otherwise it will be as if you are slaughtering me." He did not desist from speech until that which is known about him had transpired.¹

Al-Kashshī narrates from Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad ibn Ḥakīm al-Khathʿamī who reports:

اجتمع هشام بن سالم ، وهشام بن الحكم ، وجميل بن دراج ، وعبد الرحمن بن الحجاج ، ومحمد بن حمران ، وسعيد بن غزوان ، ونحو من خمسة عشر رجلاً من أصحابنا ، فسألوا هشام بن الحكم أن يناظر هشام بن سالم فيما اختلفوا فيه من التوحيد وصفة الله ، وعن غير ذلك لينظروا أيهم أقوى حجة ، فرضي هشام بن سالم أن يتكلم عند محمد بن أبي عمير ، ورضي هشام بن الحكم أن يتكلم عند محمد بن هشام فتكلما وساق ما جرى بينهما وقال : قال عبد الرحمن بن الحجاج لهشام بن الحكم : كفرت والله وبالله العظيم وألحدت فيه ، ويحك ما قدرت أن تشبه بكلام ربك إلا العود تضرب به ، قال جعفر بن محمد بن حكيم : فكتب إلى أبي الحسن موسى يحكى لهم مخاطبتهم وكلامهم ويسأله أن يعلمه ما القول الذي ينبغي أن يدين الله به من صفة الجبار ، فأجابه في عرض كتابه : فهمت رحمك الله واعلم رحمك الله أن الله أجل وأعلى وأعظم من أن يبلغ كنه صفته ، فصفوه بما وصف به نفسه ، وكفوا عما سوى ذلك

Approximately fifteen of our scholars gathered including, Hishām ibn Sālim, Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam, Jamīl ibn Darāj, ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn al-Ḥajjāj, Muḥammad ibn Ḥumrān and Saʿīd ibn Ghazwān. They asked Hishām ibn

¹ Rijāl al-Kashshī pg. 278-279 number 498

al-Hakam to debate Hishām ibn Sālim regarding those subjects in which they differed, like Tawhīd, the attributes of Allah and other subjects, so that they could see who had stronger proofs. Hishām ibn Sālim agreed to speak in the presence of Muhammad ibn Abī 'Umayr and Hishām ibn al-Hakam agreed to speak in the presence of Muhammad ibn Hishām. They began the debate and he narrated that which took place between them. He says: "Abd al-Raḥmān ibn al-Ḥajjāj said to Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam: 'By the oath of Allah, you have disbelieved in Allah – the Almighty – and apostatised regarding Him. Woe unto you, have you found nothing better than a stick with which you hit to compare the speech of you Rabb?' Ja'far ibn Muhammad ibn Hakīm said: "Thereafter, he wrote to Abū al-Hasan Mūsā al-Kāzim, narrating to him their debate and views, requesting him to enlighten him on the view that he should adopt regarding the attributes of al-Jabbār (the Omnipotent) in order for his belief in Allah to be correct." He replied with a letter in which the following was also mentioned: "You have understood, May Allah have mercy upon you! Know well, May Allah have mercy upon you, that Allah is extremely Sublime, Lofty and Mighty. Hence it is impossible to entirely understand the attributes of Allah! Therefore, describe Him with that which he described Himself, and shun all other descriptions."

This heretic was tutored by the infamous infidel Abū Shākir, whose irreligiousness has already been highlighted. This is also indicated to by those who authored works on the subject of sects. *Al-Kashshī* (pg. 278 number 497) narrates on the authority of Abū Muḥammad al-Ḥijāl that once Imām al-Riḍā once mentioned al-ʿAbbāsī saying:

He is one of the cronies of Abū al-Ḥārith (Yūnus ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān), who was a student of Hishām and Hishām was a student of Abū Shākir. Abū Shākir was an infidel.

Al-Barqī has disparaged him in his *Rijāl*:

أن هشام من غلمان أبي شاكر الزنديق وهو جسمي رديء وسيأتي في الضعفاء

Hishām is from the companions of Abū Shākir the infidel. He was a lowly anthropomorphist. His details will appear under the section of unreliable narrators.¹

Al-Baghdādī quotes the statement of Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam regarding his deity in *al-Firaq*:

"Seven hand spans by one hand span." He assumed that He is the same as humans, may Allah disgrace him.

Ibn Qutaybah says in *Mukhtalaf al-Ḥadīth*:

We move on to Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam. He was an extremist Rāfidī who believed that Allah had boundaries, limits; He was confined to a few hand spans and other beliefs which are too blasphemous to narrate.²

Ibn Ḥajar mentions him in Lisān al-Mizān:

He was from the famous and senior Rawāfid. He was an anthropomorphist who claimed that the diameter of his deity seven hand spans long and one hand span wide.³

All the above quotations reveal to us that this individual was filled with anthropomorphism up until the fleshy part of his ears. Their Shaykh, al-Mufīd, even admitted this as stated previously. His precise words were:

وإنما خالف هشام وأصحابه ، جماعة أبي عبد الله(ع) بقوله في الجسم ، فزعم أن الله تعالى " جسم لا كالأجسام " ، وقد روي أنه رجع عن هذا القول بعد ذلك وقد اختلفت الحكايات عنه ولم يصح منها إلا ما ذكرت، وأما الرد على هشام، والقول بنفي التشبيه ، فهو أكثر من أن يحصى من الرواية عن آل محمد . أخبرني أبو القاسم جعفر بن محمد بن قولويه عن محمد بن يعقوبعن محمد بن زياد قال : سمعت يونس يونس بن ظبيان يقول : دخلت على أبي عبد الله فقلت له : أن هشام بن الحكم يقول في الله قولا

¹ Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl 1/295

² Mukhtalaf al-Ḥadīth of Ibn Qutaybah pg. 35

³ Lisān al-Mizān 6/194

عظيماً ، إلا أني أختصر منه أحرفا : يزعم : أن الله سبحانه " جسم لا كالأجسام " لأن الأشياء شيئان : جسم وفعل الجسم ، فلا يجوز أن يكون الصانع بمعنى الفعل ، ويجب أن يكون بمعنى الفاعل، فقال أبو عبد الله: يا ويحة ! أما علم أن الجسم محدود ، متناه ، محتمل للزيادة والنقصان ، وما احتمل ذلك كان مخلوقا ؟ فلو كان الله جسماً لم يكن بين الخالق والمخلوق فرق. فهذا قول أبي عبد الله، وحجته على هشام فيما اعتل به هشام من المقال

Hishām and his companions only opposed the group of Abū 'Abd Allāh with regards to the body. He claims that Allah Taʿālā is a body, unlike other bodies. It is reported that he later retracted from this view, however the narrations regarding this are contradictory and only that which I have mentioned is established and authentic. As far as refuting Hishām and establishing the view of anti-anthropomorphism, the number of narrations from the household of Muḥammad regarding this is uncountable. Abū al-Qāsim Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad ibn Qūluwiyah narrated to me from Muḥammad ibn Yaʿqūb from Muḥammad ibn Ziyād who said:

I heard Yūnus ibn Zabyān saying: "I went to Abū 'Abd Allāh and said to him: 'Indeed Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam utters outrageous statements regarding Allah. Briefly, he says that Allah is a body unlike other bodies as objects are of two types; bodies and the actions of bodies. It is not possible that the creator can be an action, hence He is the one who does the actions.' Abū 'Abd Allāh replied: 'Woe unto him! Does he not know that a body is confined and has an end-point? Does he not know that a body can be elongated and compressed? Does he not know that only created objects can accept the above changes? If Allah is a body, there will remain no difference between the Creator and the creation!'"

This is the statement of Abū 'Abd Allāh and his proof against Hishām's deviated views. $^{\rm 1}$

The name Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam, as attested to by the author of *Muʿjam al-Rijāl*, appears in the asānīd of many narrations. The exact number reaches one hundred and sixty places.

¹ Al-Hikāyāt pg. 78-81

Hishām ibn Sālim al-Jawāliqī

Al-ʿĀmilī states in *Khātimat al-Wasā'il* (20/362):

ثقة ثقة ، قاله النجاشي والعلامة ، وروى الكشى له مدحا

Reliable and authentic. This was said by al-Najāshī and al-ʿAllāmah. Al-Kashshī has narrated praise of him.

They praise the man, despite him being criticised by them and being a man of corrupt beliefs!

Al Baghdādī states in *al-Firaq*, and *al-Isfarā'*īnī in *al-Tabṣīr*:

The Hishāmiyyah are from amongst them. They are further divided into two groups; the group of Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam al-Rāfiḍī and the group of Hishām ibn Sālim al-Jawālīqī. Both groups believe in anthropomorphism. Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam claims that his deity is effulgence that glitters like a piece of pure bullion or a white pearl. Al-Jawālīqī on the other hand claims that his deity has a form, flesh, blood, a hand, a leg, a nose, ears, eyes and a heart. Any intelligent person will immediately realise that the one who subscribes to these beliefs has nothing to do with Islam.¹

It will not be inappropriate for us to prove our argument by quoting al-Kafi, which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has described in his Murāja'āt in the following manner: "The contents of which are undisputedly accurate. Al-Kafi is the earliest, greatest, best and most precise from all of them." Therefore, we will quote the aḥādīth of al-Kafi, the contents of which (according to him) are undisputedly accurate. Thus it will be a proof against him and his cohorts who claim that they have exhausted their resources!

Al-Kulaynī narrates in *al-Kāf*ī (1/106) - Chapter on the Prohibition of the Body and Form, and al-Qummī (who they have titled al-Ṣadūq) each with his own isnād

¹ Al-Farq bayn al-Firaq pg. 64-65, al-Tabṣīr fī al-Dīn pg.38

from Muhammad ibn Hakīm who said:

وصفت لأبي الحسن قول هشام الجواليقي وما يقول في الشاب الموفق ، وصفت له قول هشام بن الحكم فقال : أن الله عز و جل لا يشبهه شيء

I explained the view of Hishām al-Jawālīqī to Abū al-Ḥasan and that which he say regarding an inspired youth. I explained to him the view of Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam. He replied: "Nothing resembles Allah, the Exalted and Glorified."

He also narrates (1/105 - Chapter on the Prohibition of the Body and Form from the Book of Tawḥīd) on the authority of Muḥammad ibn al-Faraj, and al-Qummī has narrated it from him with a complete isnād:

I wrote a query to Abū al-Ḥasan regarding the view of a body that was held by Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam and the view of a form that was upheld by Hishām ibn Sālim. He responded: "Disregard the confusion of the befuddled and seek the protection of Allah. The truth has nothing to do with the statements of the two Hishāms."

Al-Kashshī narrates (pg. 284-285 number 503) from ʿAbd al-Malik ibn Hishām who says:

قلت لأبي الحسن الرضا أسألك جعلني الله فداك ؟ قال سل يا جبلى عماذا تسألني فقلت جعلت فداك زعم هشام بن سالم أن الله صورة وأن آدم خلق على مثال الرب ويصف هذا ويصف هذا و أوميت إلى جانبي وشعر رأسي ، وزعم يونس مولى آل يقطين وهشام بن الحكم : أن الله شيء لا كالأشياء بائنة منه وهو بائن من الأشياء وزعما أن إثبات الشيء أن يقال جسم فهو جسم لا كالأجسام شيء لا كالأشياء ثابت موجود غير مفقود ولا معدوم خارج من الحدين حد الإبطال وحد التشبيه فبأي القولين أقول قال ، فقال: أراد هذا الإثبات وهذا شبه ربه تعالى بمخلوق ، تعالى الله الذي ليس له شبيه ولا عدل ولا مثل ولا نظير ولا هو في صفة المخلوقين ، لا يقل بمثل ما قال هشام بن سالم وقل بما قال مولى آل يقطين وصاحبه قال قلت فنعطي الزكاة من خالف هشاما في التوحيد فقال برأسه لا

I said to Abū al-Ḥasan al-Riḍā: "May Allah allow me to be sacrificed for you, may I ask you something?" He replied: "O Jabalī ask regarding that which you wish to ask me." I said: "May I be sacrificed for you, Hishām ibn Sālim claims that Allah is a form and that Ādam has been created as a replica of the Rabb. He describes this and this' and indicated towards my side and the hair of my head. Yūnus Mowlā Āl-Yaqtīn and Hishām ibn al-Hakam claim that Allah is an entity unlike other entities. He is distinct from them and they are distinct from Him. They claim that an entity can only be established by saying that it is a body. Therefore, He is a body unlike other bodies and an entity unlike other entities. He is established and present. He is not lost or non-existent. He is beyond the two limits, the limit of nullification and the limit of comparison, so which of the two views should I adopt?" He replied: "The one wished to establish and the other wished to compare his Rabb to the creation. Allah is above everything, He is beyond having someone who resembles Him, someone who is equal to Him, somebody who is like Him, someone who is similar to him and He does not have any of the attributes of the creation. Do not accept that which Hishām ibn Sālim claims, instead, accept the view of Mowlā Āl Yaqtīn and his companion." I asked" "Should we give Zakāh to those who oppose Hishām in Tawhīd?" He indicated with his head in the negative.

Shayțān al-Ţāq

Al-ʿĀmilī states in *Khātimat al-Wasā'il* (20/337):

محمد بن على بن النعمان الأحول مؤمن الطاق ثقة، كثير العلم، حسن الخاطر، قاله العلامة، ووثقه الشيخ ، وأثنى عليه النجاشي

Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī ibn al-Nuʿmān al-Aḥwal Muʾmin al-Ṭāq: He is reliable and possessed a lot of knowledge and beautiful ideas. This was said by al-ʿAllāmah. Shaykh also considered him reliable and al-Najāshī praised him.

They have praised him excessively despite the fact that he is condemned according to them and he is from the anthropomorphist. He is responsible for systemising and laying the principles and logical rules that the Imāmiyyah claim to have.¹

¹ It has been mentioned that this narrator, Shayṭān al-Ṭāq (who the Shī'ah have named Mu'min al-Ṭāq), is the person responsible for spreading the view that Imāmah is confined to specific individuals

¹We reproduce the text of *al-Kāfī* which serves as a proof against him as claimed by the author in his Murājaʿāt, al-Kulaynī in his *al-Kāfī* from Ibrāhīm ibn Muḥammad al-Khazzāz and Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥusayn, both say:

دخلنا على أبي الحسن الرضا فحكينا له أن محمد رأى ربه في صورة الشاب الموفق في سن أبناء ثلاثين

continued from page 106

1 from the Ahl al-Bayt. When Zayd ibn ʿAlī learnt of this, he sent someone to find out the reality of the matter. *Al-Kashshī* (pg. 186) narrates that Mu'min al-Ṭāq said:

كنت عند أبي عبد الله فدخل زيد بن علي فقال له زيد : " بلغني إنك تزعم أن في آل محمد إماما مفترض الطاعة ؟ قال شيطان الطاق : نعم وكان أبوك علي بن الحسين أحدهم فقال : وكيف وقد كان يؤتى بلقمة وهي حارة فيبردها بيده ثم يلقمنيها افترى أنه كان يشفق علي من حر اللقمة أولا يشفق عليّ من حر النار ؟ قال -شيطان الطاق - قلت له : كره أن يخبرك فتكفر فلا يكون له فيك الشفاعة لا والله فيك المشية..

وفي رواية للكليني وتنقيح المقال قال زيد بن علي لأبي جعفر :يا أبا جعفر كنت اجلس مع أبي على الخوان فيلقمني البضعة السمينة ويبرد لي اللقمة الحارة ...- إلى أن قال – إذ أخبرك بالدين ولم يخبرني به ؟ فأجابه شيطان الطاق: جعلت فداك من شفقته عليك من حر النار لم يخبرك خاف عليك أن لا تقبله فتدخل النار وأخبرني أنا فأن قبلت نجوت وأن لم أقبل لم يبال أن أدخل النار..

I was in the company of Abū 'Abd Allāh when Zayd ibn 'Alī came to me and said: "It has reached me that you believe that there is an Imām from the lineage of Muḥammad المنابعة whose obedience is compulsory?" Shayṭān al-Ṭāq replied: "Yes indeed, and your father, 'Alī ibn al-Ḥusayn, was one of them." Zayd said: "How is this possible? A morsel of food would be brought to him whilst it was hot. He would cool it with his hand before feeding it to me. Do you think that he took pity on me regarding a morsel of hot food, but neglected me as far as the heat of the fire of Jahannam is concerned?"

Shayṭān al-Ṭaq says: "I said to him that he feared that you might reject it if he did tell you. The result of that will be that you will be deprived of his intercession. By the oath of Allah he would not have a choice regarding you."

The narration of al-Kulaynī and Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl state that Zayd ibn 'Alī said to Abū Ja'far: "O Abū Ja'far, I would sit with my father 'Alī at the tablecloth. He would feed me the fleshy pieces and he would cool down the hot morsels... do you think he taught you the dīn and left me out?" Shayṭān al-Ṭāq replied: "May I be sacrificed for you, the only reason why he did inform you was his compassion for you, and that he did not want you to burn in hell. He did not inform you because if he did and you rejected it, you would have entered hell. The reason why he informed me is because if I accept it I will be saved and if I reject it, it does not bother him that I will go to hell." سنة وقلنا : أن هشام بن سالم وصاحب الطاق والميثمي يقولون : إنه أجوف إلى السرة والبقية صمد ، فخر ساجدا لله ثم قال : سبحانك ما عرفوك و لا وحدوك فمن أجل ذلك وصفوك ، سبحانك لو عرفوك لوصفوك بما وصفت به نفسك ، سبحانك كيف طاوعتهم أنفسهم أن يشبهوك بغيرك اللهم لا أصفك إلا بما وصفت به نفسك و لا أشبهك بخلقك أنت أهل لكل خير ، فلا تجعلني من القوم الظالمين !! ، ثم التفت إلينا فقال : ما توهمتم من شيء فتوهموا الله غيره ثم قال : نحن آل محمد النمط الأوسط الذي لا يدركنا الغالي ولا يسبقنا التالي ، يا محمد أن رسول الله حين نظر إلى عظمة ربه كان في هيئة الشاب الموفق وسن أبناء ثلاثين سنة يا محمد عظم ربي عز و جل أن يكون في صفة المخلوقين

We entered the gathering of Abū al-Hasan al-Ridā. We narrated to him that Muhammad مَرَاتَعَكِدُوَمَا seen his Rabb in the form of a young inspired lad who was approximately thirty years of age. We said to him, Hishām ibn Sālim, Sahib al-Tāg and al-Mīthamī says: "He is hollow until His navel and the rest is solid." He fell prostrate and then said: "You are free from all inconsistencies! They have neither recognised You nor believed in Tawhīd regarding You. That is why they have described You. You are free from all inconsistencies! If they had recognised You, they would have only described You with that which You have described Yourself. You are free from all inconsistencies! How did they delude themselves to describe You in a way that makes You resemble others? O Allah! I do not describe You except in the manner that You have described Yourself and I do not liken You to Your creation. You are worthy of all goodness, so do not place me amongst the wrong-doing nation!" Thereafter he turned towards us and said: "If your imagination creates a picture of Allah for you, then immediately understand that that is not Allah." Later, he said: "We, the descendants of Muhammad مَالْتَعَيْدَةُ are upon the middle path. An extremist will not reach us and a follower will not surpass us. O Muhammad! When Rasūlullāh was an inspired youth, thirty years of age when he seen the grandeur of his Rabb. O Muhammad, My Rabb's grandeur does not permit that He should adopt the attributes of the creation."1

The authors of books on sects have indicated towards this sect that affiliates itself with this Shayțān. Al-Isfarā'īnī, al-Baghdādī and others have stated:

¹ *Uṣūl al-Kāfī*, *Kitāb al-Tawḥīd* 1/100, Chapter of the prohibition of describing with that which He did not describe himself.
These are the followers of Muḥammad ibn Nuʿmān, the rāfiḍī who was given the title Shayṭān al-Ṭāq. He was from the era of Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq. He lived on after him and then declared his son, Mūsā, the Imām and this ended with the death of Mūsā. Thus he formed the group of Imāmiyyah who believed that Imāmah came to an end. He used to say that Allah is unaware of evil before it happens, just as Hishām ibn Sālim used to say. He agreed with Hishām ibn Sālim al-Jawālīqī in many of his innovations. Amongst them were the belief that the actions of humans are bodies and that it is possible for actions to be bodies.¹

Their magnum opus on the subject of disparagement and commendation, al-Kashshī narrates the following under the title, "Condemnation that was Narrated Regarding Him (Shayṭān al-Ṭāq)":

Al-Mufaddal ibn 'Umar narrates:

Abū 'Abd Allāh said to me: "Go to the squint-eyed and command him not to speak!" I went to his house whereupon he glanced at me. I told him that Abū 'Abd Allāh commands that you should refrain from speaking. He retorted: 'I fear that I do not have the patience to do so.'²

Al-Kashshī also narrates from Fuḍayl ibn ʿUthmān who says:

دخلت على أبي عبد الله في جماعة من أصحابنا فلما أجلسني قال ما فعل صاحب الطاق ؟ قلت صالح قال أما أنه بلغني إنه جدل وإنه يتكلم في تيم قذر ؟ قلت أجل هو جدل قال أما أنه لو شاء طريف من مخاصميه أن يخصمه فعل ؟ قلت كيف ذاك؟ فقال يقول أخبرني عن كلامك هذا من كلام أمامك ؟ فإن قال نعم : كذب علينا وإن قال لا : قال له كيف تتكلم بكلام لم يتكلم به إمامك ثم قال أنهم يتكلمون بكلام إن أنا أقررت به ورضيت به أقمت على الضلالة وأن برئت منهم شق عليّ نحن قليل وعدوّنا كثير قلت

¹ Al-Farq bayn al-Firaq pg. 70, al-Tabṣīr fī al-Dīn pg. 37

² Rijāl al-Kashshī pg. 191

جعلت فداك فابلغه عنك ذلك ؟ قال أما أنهم قد دخلوا في أمر ما يمنعهم عن الرجوع عنه إلا الحمية قال فأبلغت أبا جعفر الأحول ذاك فقال صدق بأبي وأمي ما يمنعني من الرجوع عنه إلا الحمية

I visited Abū 'Abd Allāh along with a group of our companions. After seating me down, he asked: "What is Sahib al-Tāg doing?" I replied that he is in a good condition. He then said: "It has reached me that he had an argument and he utters shameful statements?" I replied: "Yes he has argued." He said: "If some of his opponents wish to defeat him, they can do so." I asked: "How is that possible?" He answered: "Ask him "Inform me regarding this view of yours, is it from the A'immah? If he replies in the affirmative then he has forged a lie against us and if he replies negatively then says to him: 'How can you speak regarding that which your Imām has not spoken about?" Thereafter he said: "They have spoken regarding such things that if I agree to it and be happy with it then I will be upon misguidance and if I dissociate myself from it then matters will become difficult as we are less in number and our enemy are many in number." I asked him: "May I be sacrificed for you, should I relate to him what you said?" He answered: "Indeed they have involved themselves in such a matter that they are not being prevented from retracting from it by anything besides pride!" I then informed Abū Jaʿfar, the squint-eved, about that to which he replied: "By the oath of my mother and father, nothing prevents me from retracting except pride."1

Along with all of this, Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam authored a book in refutation of this Shayṭān, which was named, al-Radd ʿala Shayṭān al-Tāq. The Shaykh of their group, al-Ṭūsī has stated this in his *al-Fahrist* and al-Najāshī in his *Rijāl.*² If these were really the brave men who had the good fortune of serving al-Bāqir and al-Ṣādiq, then we might as well say goodbye to (such) Islam!

¹ Rijāl al-Kashshī pg. 191

² Al-Fahrist pg. 355, Rijāl pg. 305 refer to al-Dharīʿah 10/203

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayns Criticism of the Morality of the Ṣaḥābah

As for his claim that there exists no evidence to prove the morality of the Ṣaḥābah, which he claims in statements such as this:

This is our opinion regarding the narrators of hadīth irrespective of whether they were Ṣaḥābah or not. The Qur'ān and Sunnah have echoed this opinion. The majority have exceeded the bounds in venerating all those who they name 'Ṣaḥābah', thus overstepping the limits of justice. They take all their narrations to be proofs.

Indeed his discussion regarding Abū Hurayrah will reveal the degree of preservation and defence that he offers regarding the Sunnah. Defence and reverence of Rasūlullāh مَالَمَاتَ cannot co-exist with Abūsing, belying, accusing and ridiculing his Ṣaḥābah, as he مَالَتَكَبَيْنَا is the one who said: "Do not condemn my Ṣaḥābah" and "Guard me by revering my Ṣaḥābah." Thus, I will discuss the subject, 'Integrity of the Ṣaḥābah' in the light of the views of three sects, i.e. the Ahl al-Sunnah, the Imāmiyyah Shīʿah (the madh-hab of the author, who claims that he is following the Ahl al-Bayt) and the Muʿtazilah. Before moving onto that; it is necessary to discuss the definition of the word 'Ṣaḥābī', as well as the position granted to them in Islam.

The Definition of Ṣaḥābī and their Position in Islam

The 'ulamā' and a'immah of ḥadīth have defined a Ṣaḥābī to be "one who met Nabī whilst believing in him and passed away in that condition." Therefore, those who turned renegade; will cease to be among them, whereas those who renegaded and thereafter repented and returned to Islam will retain the title. Similarly, those who acted like Muslims, but were in fact hypocrites, are not granted the honorary title of Ṣaḥābah. Allah and His Rasūl أَسَتَعَدَوْسَةُ have fulfilled the responsibility of exposing these individuals.

The majority of 'ulamā' are of the opinion that lengthy companionship, jihād and spending in the way of Islam are not a pre-requisite for being a Ṣaḥābī. However,

some 'ulamā' are of the opinion that being a Ṣaḥābī is conditional to spending lengthy periods in the companionship of Nabī حَالَتُنْعَادِينَةُ, interacting with him and joining him on one or two expeditions. Although the majority do not agree with this, they do accept that one who has spent more time in the company of Nabī مَالَتُعَادِينَةُ, heard from him, fought at his side, or spent his wealth and life in helping him has a greater virtue and is given preference over the one who has not done so.

Al-Ḥāfiẓ Ibn Ḥajar writes in Sharḥ Nukhbat al-Fikr:

It is quite obvious that the one who stayed in his company and fought along with him or fought under his banner is of a greater rank than the one who did not accompany him equally or witness an expedition along with him. The rank of those who only had a brief conversation with him, walked with him a few metres, seen him from a distance or in the state of childhood is also apparent. However, the honour of being counted among the Ṣaḥābah will apply to all of them. The narrations of those who did not hear from him, from amongst them, will be classified mursal. Despite this they will be considered Ṣaḥābah, since they were blessed with noble companionship. The following verse indicates towards this:

None of you can equal those who spent and fought before the conquest. These people are greater in status than those who fought after. However, Allah has promised good for all and Allah is informed of what you do.¹

The View of the Majority Regarding the Ṣaḥābah

Majority of the Muḥaddithīn, fuqahā' and theologists have stated that the all the Ṣaḥābah are ʿudūl. What they mean by this is that they will not intentionally attribute something falsely to Nabī عَلَاسَتَعَدِيسَةُ. This is because of the great

¹ Sūrah al-Ḥadīd: 10

characteristics that they possessed, such as strong īmān, adopting taqwā and scrupulousness, lofty character and refraining from trivial activities. It does not mean that they are divinely protected from sins, forgetting or mistakes. That is not the view of any of the scholars. None have disputed their integrity except a few of the innovators and followers of their desires. Their views do not deserve any attention as they are not backed by any proof besides the face of Shayṭān, as will be explained, Allah willing.

The morality of Ṣaḥābah is established and well-known, as Allah Taʿālā has extolled. The verses which announce their praise, and testify to their īmān and Abūndant virtue are well-known.

From among the verses in which Allah $Ta^{\dot{a}}\bar{a}\bar{l}\bar{a}$ informs us of their purity and that they are the best of nations as well as the most refined and pious of people is:

```
وَكَذَّلِكَ جَعَلْنَاكُمْ أُمَّةً وَسَطًّا
```

Thus We have made you such a group that is moderate in nature.¹

Al-wasaț refers the exemplary and balanced ones. The wasaț of something is the finest and balanced part of it.

Allah Taʿālā further says:

كُتْتُمْ خَيْرَ أُمَّةٍ أُخْرِجَتْ لِلنَّاسِ تَأْمُرُونَ بِالْمَعْرُوفِ وَتَنْهَوْنَ عَنِ الْمُنكَرِ وَتُؤْمِنُونَ بِاللَّهِ

You are the best of all nations, who have been raised for mankind you command what is right, forbid from evil and believe in Allah.²

There can be no doubt that the first addressees of these two verses were the $a\bar{a}$

¹ Sūrah al-Baqarah: 143

² Sūrah Āl ʿImrān: 110

Allah Taʿālā says:

ۅؘالسَّابِقُونَ الأَوَّلُونَ مِنَ الْمُهَجِرِينَ وَالأَنصَارِ وَالَّذِينَ اتَّبَعُوُهُم بِإِحْسَبٍ رَضِيَ اللَّهُ عَنْهُمْ وَرَضُواعَنْهُ

Allah is pleased with the first to lead the way from the Muhājirīn, the Anṣār and those who followed them with sincerity and they are pleased with Him.¹

لَقَدْ رَضِيَ اللَّهُ عَنِ الْمُؤْمِنِينَ إِذْ يُبَايِعُونَكَ تَحْتَ الشَّجَرَةِ

Allah was well pleased with the Mu'minīn when they pledged their allegiance to you beneath the tree.²

مُحَمَّدٌ رَّسُوْلُ اللَّهِ * وَ الَّذِيْنَ مَعَةٌ أَشِدًاءً عَلَى الْكُفَّارِ رُحَمَاءً بَيْنَهُم

Muḥammad نام is Allah's Rasūl and those with him (the Ṣaḥābah) are stern against the kuffār and compassionate among themselves.

Allah has certainly turned in mercy towards the Nabī and towards the Muhājirīn and the Anṣār, who followed him in the hour of difficulty after the hearts of some of them were almost shaken (causing them to hesitate). Without doubt, He is Most Compassionate and Most Merciful towards them.³

The hour of difficulty, the expedition of Tabūk. The Muhājirīn refers to those who migrated before the conquest of Makkah, those who migrated later and all the others who were with them besides the Anṣār. None stayed behind in

¹ Sūrah al-Towbah: 100

² Sūrah al-Fatḥ: 18

³ Sūrah al-Towbah: 117

Madīnah besides those who were unable to join, or those who were commanded to remain behind.¹ It has been narrated in al-Ṣaḥīḥ (*al-Bukhārī*) that Rasūlullāh أركانتغيريت , whilst returning from Tabūk said: "Indeed in Madīnah, there are some who cannot join you but they will be equally rewarded for every piece of land that you traverse and every valley that you cross… they have been held back by a valid excuse."

It is stated in *al-Fath* that al-Muhallab proved the meaning of this $had\bar{t}h$ from the verse:

لا يَسْتَوِى الْقَـعِدُونَ مِنَ الْمُؤْمِنِينَ غَيْرُ أُوْلِي الضَّرَرِ والْمُجَهِدُونَ

The Mu'minūn who sit back without excuse cannot be equal to those who strive in Allah's way. $\ensuremath{^2}$

This is a wonderful proof, but those who were commanded to stay behind will deserve greater virtue.

In this verse as well as other verses, the Muhājirīn and those who joined them later have been praised. There is no proof pointing out that this praise applied to specific individuals only. As far as the Anṣār are concerned, the verse includes all those who went out to Tabūk, those who stayed behind (and were later forgiven) as well as those who were unable to go out. The only people who were not included were the group of hypocrites.

و على الثلاثة الذين خلفوا...ثم تاب عليهم ليتوبوا

¹ This excludes the following Ṣaḥābah, Kaʿb ibn Mālik, Murārah ibn Rabīʿ and Hilāl ibn Umayyah ﷺ, who stayed behind without a valid reason. They were duly taken to task (which included a social boycott against them) after which the acceptance of their repentance was declared in the Qur'ān:

And upon the three whose matter was postponed... then Allah turned towards them so that they would turn to Him. (Sūrah al-Towbah: 118)

Further details may be found in Bukhārī and Muslim.

² Sūrah al-Nisā': 95

Al-Bukhārī narrates, in the ḥadīth of Kaʿb ibn Mālik, who was one of the three whose matter was delayed:

When I would go out and wander amongst the people, after the departure of Rasūlullāh مواليتينية, I would be saddened by the fact that I would only see the despicable hypocrites or those who Allah had excused due to their feebleness.

This proves that the hypocrites were well-known even before the expedition of Tabūk. Their failure to join the expedition further exposed their realities, and thereafter Sūrah al-Barā'ah was revealed which defaced them to the core. All of the above makes it quite clear to us that they were referred to in person even before the demise of Rasūlullāh مَكَانَتَكَانِينَانَ.

This verse may raise doubts:

لاَ تَعْلَمُهُمْ نَحْنُ نَعْلَمُهُمْ

You do not know them but We know them.¹

The word 'know' here means certainty, i.e. you do not know with certainty. And Allah knows best. This does not contradict the fact that they were doubted and suspected of being hypocrites. At most, this verse could be explaining that some of those who were being doubted were in fact innocent. Another verse pointing them out is:

You will surely recognise them by their manner of speech.²

Further, Allah Taʿālā exposed a group of them by describing their characteristics in detail in Sūrah al-Towbah. Nabī مَرَالَتُعَادِينَةُ also pinpointed and named few of

¹ Sūrah al-Towbah: 101

² Sūrah Muḥammad: 30

them. Therefore, it is highly possible that after saying "You do not know them", Allah later informed Nabī مَرَالَسْتَعَدِّمَتَ regarding them.

Nevertheless, the Ṣaḥābah were aware of the hypocrites even before the demise of Nabī المكتشينية. The hypocrisy of some was known with certainty, whilst others were doubted and suspected. Thus, none of the hypocrites went unnoticed. A further indication towards the fact that they were known, despised and only a few in number is that they were impassive regarding the demise of Rasūlullāh أولا المعالية ال المعالية المعالية

The matter of the village-dwellers was also clarified. Allah exposed them upon the demise of His Rasūl مَرَاتَنَعْ يَدِوتَمُ Those who were hypocrites renegaded, stripping them of the title of Ṣaḥābī which they earned through the companionship of Rasūlullāh مَرَاتَنَعْ يَدُوتَكُ

The matter of those who accepted Islam upon the conquest of Makkah has been misconstrued by those who ask: "How is it possible that all of them accepted Islam overnight?" This doubt is backed by the presumption that they only accepted Islam as they were under duress and remaining upon shirk would have been detrimental to their comfort. The truth is that Islam began penetrating the hearts as soon as it was revealed. Many indications can be cited to prove this, including:

1. Their statements which Allah conveys:

لاَ تَسْمَعُوا لِهَذَا الْقُرْءَانِ وَالْغَوْا فِيهِ لَعَلَّكُمْ تَغْلِبُون

Do not listen to this Qur'ān and make a noise so that you may be victorious.¹

and:

إِن كَادَ لَيُضِيُّنَا عَنْ ءَالِهَتِنَا لَوْ لاَ أَن صَبَرْنَا عَلَيْهَا

He would have led us away from our gods if we had not persevered with them. $^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$

- 2. Those narrations which inform us of their effort on stopping people from listening to the Qur'ān, to the extent that no visitor entered Makkah except that they warned him against listening to Nabī المكتشفينية. Also, the condition stipulated by them for the one who took the responsibility of protecting Abū Bakr المكتشفين , that he should prevent Abū Bakr from reciting the Qur'ān in a way that others could hear.
- 3. This is the clearest of all. A large group of the sons of the prominent members of the Quraysh accepted Islam and left their fathers even before the conquest of Makkah. Amongst them were, 'Amr and Khālid, the two sons of Abū Uḥayḥah, Saʿīd ibn al-'Āṣ, al-Walīd ibn al-Walīd ibn al-Mughīrah, Abū Ḥudhayfah ibn 'Utbah ibn Rabī'ah, Hishām ibn al-'Āṣ ibn Wā'il, 'Abd Allāh and Abū Jandal, the sons of Suhayl ibn 'Amr etc. These were the sons of some of the leaders, dignitaries and wealthy men of the Quraysh. They forsook them for the sake of Islam.

Usually, authors only mention the names of the less influential when discussing those who accepted Islam in the early stages. This leads the reader to assume that they accepted Islam only as a result of their weakness and vendetta against the prominent individuals. If the reader does not get this impression, then the least that he deduces from it is that there was nothing to prevent them from accepting the truth and undertaking difficulties in its path, such as honour and wealth.

¹ Sūrah al-Fuṣṣilat: 26

² Sūrah al-Furqān: 42

The truth, as you have seen, is far too great for this to be the case. The only reason why it was not readily accepted was because the leaders were held back by their obstinacy and pride, and majority of the masses simply followed in their footsteps. This is despite the fact that they were greatly influenced by Islam. However, there were those amongst the youngsters who were of high morale and courage. Thus they sacrificed their leadership, honour and wealth, embracing the difficulties that were to come, while the rest were continuously drawing closer to Islam.

Islam continued to spread amongst them until the hijrah of Rasūlullāh Thereafter, the treaty of Ḥudaybiyyah took place, which played a pivotal role in the spread of Islam, as it afforded the Muslims the opportunity of intermingling with the Mushrikīn and inviting their close ones to Islam. In this way, Islam began to spread to the degree that leaders such as; Khālid ibn al-Walīd, ʿAmr ibn al-ʿĀṣ, ʿUthmān ibn Ṭalḥah among others. The rest were also beginning to consider Islam.

Thus we can say without doubt that Islam dispelled shirk and its dirty baggage from the intelligent ones before the conquest of Makkah. They were only being held back by their obstinacy. As soon as Makkah was conquered, this obstinacy was extinguished and they accepted Islam, which had been growing in their hearts, on the occasion of distribution of the spoils of war.

The love of Islam continued to grow in their hearts due to the kind treatment that they received until the remaining obstinacy was completely removed. Later, after the demise of Rasūlullāh مَنْ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ اللَّهُ الللَّهُ اللَّهُ الللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ الللَّهُ اللَّهُ الللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ الللَّهُ اللَّهُ الللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَ اللَّاللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّا اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْلُولُ اللَّالِي اللَّالِي اللَّالِي الللَّالِ

How could this not be the case when it gathered for them every metre that they revered from the valleys of Makkah and thousands of miles beyond that as well? They were granted the honour of being the kings of this world as well as the hereafter. This love is easily proven from the fact that those who were extremely obstinate up until the day of the conquest, later proved themselves to be the most truthful of people on the battlefield such as Suhayl ibn ʿAmr, ʿIkrimah ibn Abī Jahal, his uncle al-Ḥārith and Yazīd ibn Abī Sufyān.

Many authors have painted a picture of tribal fanaticism between the Banū Hāshim and the Banū Umayyah. Compare that to the reality which is as follows: Islam comprised of members from both tribes. Just as many of the Banū Hāshim accepted Islam in the early days, similarly, many of the Banū Umayyah accepted Islam in its early days, the likes of the two sons of Saʿīd ibn al-ʿĀṣ, 'Uthmān ibn 'Affān and Abū Ḥudhayfah ibn 'Utbah. While many of the Banū Hāshim only accepted Islam later on along with certain members of the Banū Umayyah. There were those amongst the Banū Hāshim who had enmity for Rasūlullāh 'Such as Abū Lahab and Abū Sufyān ibn al-Ḥārith ibn 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib. Revelation came down in the form of Qur'ān condemning Abū Lahab, yet no verse was revealed regarding any specific individual from the Banū Umayyah. Further, Nabī 'married the daughter of Abū Sufyān, the Umawī, whilst he did not marry anyone from Banū Hāshim. Three of his daughters were married to men from Banū Umayyah and only one was married to a Hāshimī.

Islam was not specific to either of the two tribes, which could have caused one tribe to use it as an excuse to hate and target the other tribe. In fact, Allah united their hearts on the basis of Islam, and thus they became by means of his clemency, brothers. Islam was the reason behind their unity and brotherhood. Each one of them loved it, revered it and regarded it a great honour to among its adherents. They tried their utmost to receive a full and complete share in serving Islam.

There was no ill-feelings between the two parties from the conquest of Makkah right up until the Khilāfah of 'Uthmān ﷺ. When the post of Khilāfah was to be decided by mutual consultation and none remained candidates besides 'Uthmān and 'Alī ﷺ, after which 'Uthmān was elected, negative judgements began finding their paths into the minds. Added to that suspicion was the complaints that surfaced about his governors — who belonged to his family — which were

accompanied by statements attributed to Alī the warned of dismissing them, taking away their wealth and so on if he were to be appointed as the khalīfah.

This was where the trouble started, in which some who claimed affiliation with 'Alī is had a fair share in stirring up. This continued until 'Uthmān is was eventually assassinated, after which his assassins pushed for 'Alī is to be appointed as the next khalīfah. Thus he was appointed to the post and many of them remained in his army. Pondering over the above-mentioned events will reveal the strong role played by these external factors in all that took place after this.

None felt the need to rekindle the losses experienced at Badr and Uḥud, as Islam had effaced all ill-feelings. Those narratives which indicate that these feelings were rekindled have no basis and are unauthentic, except the provocation of wayward poets in the era of Banū ʿAbbās, which was a result of exceeding the limits in opposing the other group. It was not amongst the causes of the differences. Furthermore, whatever happened between Ṭalḥah and Zubayr is well known. What revenge did they seek from Banū Hāshim?

This further highlights that there are no grounds for interpreting the differences of Muʿāwiyah to be an attempt of avenging those members of his family who were killed at Badr, which then leads to questioning the sincerity of his Islam and the Islam of those who took the same viewpoint as him. If anyone objects by saying:

Whatever the status of the Ṣaḥābah may have been, they were not infallible. Therefore, the rule that should apply to them is that they will all be regarded as people of integrity unless proven otherwise. Why then, do we find the scholars of ḥadīth commending those of them who committed such acts which necessitate criticism?

This can be answered in a few different ways:

- They found that these incidents were of one of the following three categories; the narration regarding this was not authentically transmitted, it was a blunder from which the Ṣaḥābī repented or he understood the matter in a different way.
- 2. The Qur'ān states that false attribution of anything to Allah is kufr. Allah Taʿālā says:

وَ مَنْ أَظْلُمُ مِمَّنِ افْتَرَى عَلَى اللَّهِ كَذِباً أَوْ كَذَّبَ بِالْحَقِّ لَمَّا جَاءَهُ أَلَيْسَ فِي جَهَنَّمَ مَنْوًى لِلْكَفِرِينَ

Who can be more unjust than the one who invents lies against Allah or rejects the truth when it comes to him? Is there not an abode for the disbelievers in Jahannam?¹

Forging lies against Nabī حَالَتَعَادِينَةُ in the matters of dīn and the unseen is in fact forging lies against Allah. That is why some of the scholars have unequivocally stated that it is kufr, whilst others regard it to be among the greatest of sins.

Ibn Taymiyyah حَمْاتَكَ differentiated between the one narrates directly from Nabī حَرْاللَّهُ and the one who does not do so. He was inclined to the opinion that the first one will be committing kufr and he was uncertain regarding the second one. The fact that they slipped up or did something that was inappropriate does not, in any way, indicate that they could have committed kufr. Even if we have to take into consideration the view of those who say that forging lies against Nabī مَرْاللَّهُ نَعْرَا اللهُ is not kufr, then too it is a far greater sin than all that was authentically narrated regarding them.

3. The scholars of hadīth have weighed the narrations of those whose integrity could have been doubted in the light of the Qur'ān and that which was narrated by others, taking into consideration their condition

¹ Sūrah al-ʿAnkabūt: 68

as well as their possible motives. The result was that they found nothing worthy of consideration that could have been the grounds for discrediting them. In fact, they found that most of that which was narrated was also narrated by other Ṣaḥābah, against whom no accusations can be levelled or the same has been stated elsewhere in the sharīʿah.

Take a look at al-Walīd ibn ʿUqbah ibn Abī Muʿīṭ. The sceptics whine about the fact that he was neither from the Anṣār nor from the Muhājirīn, and that he accepted Islam at the time of the conquest of Makkah. They further allege that when Nabī أَسْتَعَدَّوَالَّهُ commanded that his father be killed after the battle of Badr, he asked: "O Muḥammad, who will be there for his children?" Rasūlullāh أَسْتَعَدُوْسَالُ "Hellfire is there destination." They also claim that he is the person regarding whom the following verse was revealed:

يَـأَ أَيُّهَا الَّذِينَ ءَامَنُوا إِن جَاءَكُمْ فَاسِقٌ بِنَبَإٍ فَتَبَيَّنُواْ

O you who have īmān! If any sinner brings you any news, then verify it.¹

And that the Qur'ān has emphatically commanded us to verify his narrations. Another claim regarding him is that he was the governor of Kūfah during the era of 'Uthmān ﷺ. During this period, testimony was given against him that he consumed liquor. 'Alī ﷺ brought the matter to the attention of 'Uthmān \$\$\$ who instructed him to lash al-Walīd, and 'Alī \$\$\$ who instructed him to lash al-Walīd, and 'Alī \$\$\$ in turn instructed 'Abd Allāh ibn Ja'far to carry out this duty. 'Abd Allāh obliged and meted out the punishment to him. Some of them add onto this that he once performed four rak'āts whilst leading \$alāt al-Fajr and thereafter turned around and offered: "Should I increase it for you?" Al-Walīd was the half-brother of 'Uthmān. When 'Uthmān was assassinated he began composing poetry in which he accused 'Alī \$\$\$ would encourage Mu'āwiyah \$\$\$ to kill 'Alī

¹ Sūrah al-Ḥujurāt: 6

Those who are unsatisfied with the view that the integrity of all the Ṣaḥābah is beyond question, have singled out al-Walīd with as the greatest reason to oppose this view. However, after studying his narrations to find how many narrations he reported in favour of his brother and benefactor Uthmān, or in condemnation of the one who plotted against him and according to him was one of the accomplices guilty of murdering his brother (i.e. ʿAlī with), or if he narrated anything to exonerate himself after becoming infamous for drinking alcohol, we were taken aback by the fact that we could not even find one narration authentically attributed to him.

Yes, we found one narration attributed to him. However this narration is neither authentic, nor is it related, in any way, to the points mentioned above. It is narrated by Abū Dāwūd and Aḥmad from a person by the name of Abū Mūsā ʿAbd Allāh al-Ḥamdānī who narrates it from al-Walīd ibn ʿUqbah:

لما فتح النبى صلى الله عليه و سلم مكة جعل اهل مكة ياتونه بصبيانهم فيمسح على رؤوسهم و يدعوا لهم فجيء بى اليه وانا مطيب بالخلوق فلم يمسح رءسى ولم يمنعه من ذلك الا ان امى خلقتنى بالخلوق فلم يمسنى من اجل الخلوق

On the occasion of the conquest of Makkah, the people of Makkah brought their children to Nabī المنافعة who passed his hand over their heads and made duʿā for them. I was also brought to him, but he did not touch my head. Nothing prevented him from doing so besides the fact that my mother applied perfume to my hair and thus my hair was giving off perfume. There was nothing besides the perfume that prevented him from touching me.

This is all that we managed to find from the narrations of al-Walīd from Nabī آسکانلیکیوسک . If the isnād of this narration is scrutinised, it will be found that it is not saḥīḥ (authentic) due to al-Ḥamdānī being an unknown individual. Further, if we have to scrutinise the text of the ḥadīth, then too there is nothing peculiar in it and it cannot be used to discredit al-Walīd. In fact, if this is established, it can be used in his favour as he did not mention that Nabī أَسْمَانَكُوْسَاتُوْ did not pass his hand over his head. It is due to this very narration that some of the opposition assert that Allah Taʿālā knew what his condition was going to be and thus deprived him of the blessings of the hand of Nabī $abcar{a}$.

Do you not see, as I do, a clear sign in that which was mentioned above that there was a strong barrier between the Ṣaḥābah مَعْلَقَهُمُ and the grave sin of attributing something falsely to Nabī مَرَالَقَمَعُ مُوالًا اللهُ عَالَمُ اللهُ عَالَى اللهُ عَالَى اللهُ عَالَهُ ع

Indeed it is a great calamity to claim that the majority of the Ṣaḥābah were not people of integrity, or reject their narrations or pass the verdict of kufr against them simply due to their participation in the dispute between Muʿāwiyah and ʿAlī ﷺ. How are their political views and errors relevant to that? Is that not similar to discrediting one of the local activists who fought tooth and nail, using his pen, wealth and life against colonial rule and to strip him of his nationality and all his virtue simply on the basis of the fact that he later joined a party who made a few errors whilst governing? Or because he had a dispute with one of the other activists, which was in reality a provocation by the enemy?

If this, in the light of history, justice and truth is inconceivable, then view of the Shīʿah and Khawārij regarding the Ṣaḥābah, who did not agree with ʿAlī نوايت in certain political matters, deserves to a greater degree to be rejected. These views include discrediting them of their integrity, disparaging them regarding their narrations, describing them using such derogatory terms the likes of which cannot even be used for common people and declaring all of them with the exception of three or five to be kāfir, as stated by al-Kashshī. How can this be done to the Ṣaḥābah of Rasūlullāh أَسَوَالَي who duly and sincerely served the cause of Islam and supported Rasūlullāh أَسَوَالَي ? These services are indispensable to the cause of Islam, to the degree that had it not been then we would have been wandering in the darkness without the slightest clue of how to navigate ourselves out of it!²

¹ Al-Anwār al-Kāshifah pg. 259-264

² Al-Sunnah wa Makānatuhā fi l-Tashrīʿ al-Islamī by Muṣtafā Sibāʿī pg. 133

That which we have presented explains and distinguishes the truth from falsehood on the subject of Ṣaḥābah المنتخفين المعند I have also repeatedly explained that the Muslims were aware of the exact condition of the hypocrites as Allah and his Rasūl المنتخفين shredded their veils. As far as the renegades, who turned renegade within the lifetime of Rasūlullāh متكنت or after his demise, and did not return to Islam until their death, they have no share in this great honour of being Ṣaḥābah. Obviously this means that they are not referred to in the statement of the vast majority of the 'ulamā' when they say that the integrity of all the Ṣaḥābah is beyond question.

In fact, the very definition of the word Ṣaḥābī as explained by the 'ulamā' necessitates that these individuals should be excluded. Similarly, I have repeatedly explained that integrity is not synonymous to being infallible. Those who have stated that the Ṣaḥābah were all people of integrity have not claimed for a moment that they were divinely protected from sins, mistakes, omissions and forgetfulness. The intended meaning of the statement of the 'ulamā' is that the ṣaḥābah were far too noble to intentionally forge lies against Nabī .

Even those who were prosecuted due to a violation of the law, or sinned and repented thereafter, or were caught up in the trials and wars that took place cannot be suspected of intentionally attributing something falsely to Rasūlullāh عَانَةُ اللهُ الللهُ اللهُ الل

Amongst those whom the critics of the Ṣaḥābah have singled out as justifications for their criticism are such individuals from who even one narration cannot be traced. Some of them narrate one or two or three aḥādīth, all of which are well known and established by the means of the aḥādīth of others. Thus, neither are any primary nor subsidiary matters of dīn based on their narrations. This further

convinces the objective researcher of the accuracy of the view of the 'ulamā' regarding the integrity of the Ṣaḥābah.

Can there be a greater proof than the situation of Busr ibn Arṭāt whose suḥbah (being a Ṣaḥābī) is debated. He only narrates two aḥādīth. The first one, which explains that a thief's hand will not be severed whilst on a journey, appears in Sunan Abī Dāwūd. The second one is regarding a supplication. Ibn Ḥibbān has reported that he heard Nabī سَرَالَتَعَادَ مَعَالَاً مَعَادَ مَعَالَاً

اللهم أحسن عاقبتنا في الأمور كلها وأجرنا من خزي الدنيا وعذاب الآخرة

O Allah! Let all our matters conclude in the most favourable manner and protect us from the disgrace of this world as well as the punishment of the afterlife.

We, who believe in the integrity of all the Ṣaḥābah, believe that this applies especially to their narrations. As for getting involved in the trials and wars, and supporting Muʿāwiyah ﷺ, these were all matters wherein difference of opinion was allowed. All of this took place during the era of that trial which left the perspicacious baffled. Hence it does not have any negative implications on their integrity. May Allah forgive us and them. May Allah shower his mercy upon the one who said: "Allah kept our swords clean from this blood, so let us not pollute our tongues by disparaging them."¹

Secondly, The Viewpoint of The Shīʿah on the Ṣaḥābah of Nabī مَرَأَسَّعَيْدِوَسَةُ

'Abd al-Ḥusayn claims their view regarding the ṣaḥābah is the most moderate one. He writes on page 200:

ونحن الإمامية لنا في الصحابة رأي هو أوسط الآراء عقدنا لبيانه في أجوبة موسى جار الله فصلا مخصوصا وعقدنا لتأييده فصلا آخر فليراجعها من أراد التحقيق من أولى الألباب والحمد لله على الهداية للصواب

¹ Difaʿʿan al-Sunnah by Abū Shuhbah pg. 247

We, the Imāmiyyah have chosen the middle path regarding the Ṣaḥābah. We have dedicated a special chapter to explain it as part of our answer to Mūsā Jār Allāh. Another chapter has also been dedicated to emphasise our view. Whoever wishes to find the truth from among the intelligent ones should refer to it. All praise is due to Allah upon his guidance to the right path.

He even tries, through Taqiyyah, to deny that the Shī ah curse Abū Bakr and 'Umar and the other saḥābah:

This discussion should take place from both the minor as well as the major perspectives. To simplify that, it should be discussed in relation to two questions. The first being: Do they (Shī'ahs) revile (the Ṣaḥābah) or not? And secondly: is the verdict of kufr passed against the one who does curse (we seek Allah's protection!) or not? I deem it a pure futility and an absolute frivolity to discuss this as it is impossible to convince and pacify the opposition regarding the innocence of the Shī'ah in this matter, even if we have to take an oath by the Rabb of the Ka'bah¹. In fact he will not believe that they are free from this even if we have to present to him every possible proof. The Imāmiyyah have been proclaiming and announcing this for a long period of time, only for their announcement and proclamation to fall on deaf ears. Therefore, it is more sensible for the people of intelligence that they desist from discussing this.² There is no power and no might except through Allah.³

¹ If you (the Shīʿah) wish to take an oath in accordance to your practice of Taqiyyah then it is a different matter. However, if you are honestly trying to prove that you are of the opposite view, i.e. that you do not revile the khulafā', the Ṣaḥābah and the Ummaḥāt al-Mu'minīn, then it is incumbent upon you to burn all those books of yours which state otherwise. In other words, this would mean destroying your madh-hab at its roots. Is there anyone to take up the challenge?

² This 'author' is well-aware of the stance of his madh-hab (which is to revile Ṣaḥābah and the khulafā' in a specific manner). There are many narrations and statements of their scholars on the subject. Hence, he takes refuge by shutting the door on the subject, even though they are the ones who opened it. If you are able to prove that you do not revile the Ṣaḥābah in the light of proof, and not just a trumped up speech, then why did you resort to this dissimulation?

³ Al-Fuṣūl al-Muhimmah by ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn pg. 157

Let us first take a look at their views regarding the khulafā', which is a calculated statement of theirs. Thereafter we will take a glance at the views of their infallible A'immah on the subject (based on their narrations which they attribute to the Ahl al-Bayt). Finally, we will pay attention to the view of this author regarding the khulafā', which was stated in a very specific way. This will reveal the falsity of their claim that their view is the most moderate one. Indeed their view is the most blasphemous one and it is filled with curses. This individual is drowning up until his nostrils in lies, dissimulation and deception!

The Views of Shiʿī Scholars on the Khulafā' and Ṣaḥābah

The leader of the Shīī scholars, Niʿmat Allāh al-Jazāʾirī states in his *al-Anwār* (2/244-245) wherein he defines his sect:

الإمامية قالوا بالنص الجلي على إمامة علي وكفّروا الصحابة ووقعوا فيهم وساقوا الإمامة إلى جعفر الصادق وبعده إلى أولاده المعصومين ومؤلف هذا الكتاب من هذه الفرقة الناجية !! إن شاء الله، وقد تتبعنا كتب الفرق الإسلامية ورأينا إن الحق مع الإمامية بالبراهين العقلية والنقلية

The Imāmiyyah believe that there is a clear proof (from the Qur'ān or ḥadīth) that 'Alī was the rightful Imām. They have declared the Ṣaḥābah disbelievers and reviled them. Imāmah was passed on to Ja'far al-Ṣādiq and thereafter to his infallible progeny. The author of this book belongs to this group that will attain salvation if Allah wills. We have studied the books on Islamic sects and we have concluded that the Imāmiyyah are on the true path which is backed by both intellectual as well as divine proof.

Al-Jazā'irī has emphatically stated that after studying the different sects, his sect who declares the Ṣaḥābah to be kāfir and reviles them is the only group that will attain salvation. He further asserts that their view is the absolute truth, backed by intellectual and divine proof. Is this view, as stated by Niʿmat Allāh al-Jazā'irī the moderate view regarding the Ṣaḥābah? We beseech Allah for sound dīn and intelligence and we beg of Him that He protects us from all types of lies and deception. Nevertheless, we will quote their intellectual and divine proofs regarding the apostasy of the Ṣaḥābah. Ḥannān ibn Sadīr narrates from his father who narrates from Abū Jaʿfar:

كان الناس أهل ردة بعد النبي ! إلا ثلاثة فقلت: ومن الثلاثة ؟ فقال : المقداد بن الأسود ، وأبو ذر الغفاري وسلمان الفارسي

All turned renegade after the demise of Rasūlullāh with the exception of three. I asked: "Who were these three?" He replied: "Al-Miqdād ibn al-Aswad, Abū Dhar al-Ghifārī and Salmān al-Fārsī."

وعن حمران قال : قلت لأبي جعفر(ع): ما أقلنا لو اجتمعنا على شاة ما أفنيناها؟ قال: فقال ألا أخبرك بأعجب من ذلك قال : فقلت بلي قال : المهاجرون والأنصار ذهبوا إلا وأشار بيده – ثلاثة

Al-Ḥumrān says: "I said to Abū Jaʿfar: 'We are so few in number that if we had to partake of a lamb, we will not be able to finish it!' He replied: 'Should I not tell you of something more amazing than that?' I answered: 'Definitely?' He said: 'The Muhājirīn and Anṣār all renegaded except...' and he indicated the number three with his hand."²

Al-Nūrī comments after quoting these alleged narrations:

وتحصل من تلك الأخبار وغيرها مما لم نذكرها أصل أصيل وهو الحكم بارتداد جميع من بقى بعد النبي ممن صحبه في حيوته إلا ثلثة منهم أو أربعة ، والوجه في ذلك مضافا إلى تلك الأخبار هو إنكارهم ما سمعوه منه من النص على خلافة أمير المؤمنين(ع) مما هو مذكور مفصلا في كتب الإمامية ، وليس بغريب منهم ، فإن أكثر الخلائق ضلّوا عن الأنبياء الماضين وعبدوا غير رب العالمين ، بل لو لم تضل أكثر هذه الأمة كان ذلك ناقضا للعادات وخلاف ما تقتضيه طبايع البشر واختلافهم في الاعتقادات ، بل الذين كابروا واشتبه عليهم الحال بين علي(ع) وبين من تقدمه من الخلفاء أولى بالضلالة من الذين إشتبه عليهم الحال بين الله وبين خشبة عبدوها من دونه ، فانهم ما كان يحصل لهم من الأصنام ذهب ولا فضة ولا ولاية ولا إنعام ، وقد حصل لهؤلاء ما كانوا يرجون من الأموال و الآمال

¹ Rijāl al-Kashshī pg. 6 ḥadīth 12, pg. 8 ḥadīth 17, Nafs al-Raḥmān pg. 23

² Al-Kashshī pg. 7 ḥadīth 15, pg. 7 ḥadīth 14, pg. 8 ḥadīth 18, pg. 11-12 ḥadīth 24, al-Ikhtiṣāṣ pg. 5-6, al-Rowḍah min al-Kāfī 356

A fundamental principle is established from these narrations as well as others, which was not quoted by us. That is, the judgement that all those who were the Sahābah of Nabī مَاللَّعَنَدِينَةُ in his lifetime had turned renegade after his demise with the exception of three or four. The reason behind that, in relation to those narrations, is that they rejected that which they had heard directly from him vis-à-vis the Khilāfah of Amīr al-Mu'minīn. This has been discussed at length in the books of the Imāmiyyah. Their turning renegade is not something peculiar as most of the previous nations would deviate from the teachings of the ambiya and worship deities other than Rabb of the universe. What would have been surprising and abnormal, was if most of this ummah did not deviate. This would go against the demand of the nature of humans and their differences in beliefs. In fact, those who were arrogant and were misled regarding the matter of the khilāfah of ʿAlī and that he was more entitled to it than those who proceeded him are even more deviant than those who mistook their deity to be a piece of wood which they worshipped instead of Allah. This is because they did not receive any gold, silver, position or gifts from their wood, whereas these people received exactly that which they hoped for in terms of wealth and aspirations.

Here is your Shaykh who accuses all the Ṣaḥābah of Rasūlullāh أَسَلَنَكَ وَعَلَى of apostasy, excluding only three or four. How does this corroborate with your deceptive statement which was nothing but dissimulation: "We, the Imāmiyyah have chosen the middle path regarding the Ṣaḥābah. We have dedicated a special chapter to explain it as part of our answer to Mūsā Jār Allāh."

The only crime that they can accuse the ṣaḥābah of perpetrating is their rejection of the supposed Wilāyah of ʿAlī نَشَيْعَنَّهُ, which was proclaimed by ʿAbd Allāh ibn Saba' and the fact that they did not accept him as the immediate khalīfah of Rasūlullāh أَسَالَيْ اللَّهُ اللَّ Rasūlullāh اللَّهُ الللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّ In the Imāmah of ʿAbd Allāh ibn Jaʿfar al-Afṭaḥ, who they took to be the successor of his father Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq and others (such as the Wāqifiyyah) deliberated and rejected the Imāmah of al-Riḍā and all those who succeeded him. Thus, the very reason on the basis of which they deny the integrity of the Ṣaḥābah is found in their narrators, but they have turned a blind eye to this. If we have to weigh matters using their scale, then both groups are equally guilty. However, they have commended those who neither Allah nor his Rasūl متَأَسْتَنَدِيسَةُ have praised and they declared the Ṣaḥābah of Rasūlullāh متأسفية to be kāfir. This is despite the fact that they have narrated from those whom they claim are infallible that the Faṭīḥah are kuffār and the Wāqifiyyah are heretics and kuffār!

Divine Proofs of the Shīʿah for Cursing the Ṣaḥābah and Wives of Nabī مَكَاتَنْتَعَيْدَوَيَتَمُ

Al-Jazā'irī states in his book Qaṣaṣ al-Ambiyā' (pg. 292):

(قال (ع): إن أشد الناس عذاباً يوم القيامة لسبعة نفر : أولهم ابن آدم الذي قتل أخاه ، ونمرود الذي حاج ابراهيم في ربه ، واثنان من بني اسرائيل هودا قومهم ونصراهم ، وفرعون الذي قال: { أنا ربكم الأعلى } ، واثنان من هذه الأمة

He عَيالتَكَمَ said: "Indeed the most severe punishment will be meted out on the day of Qiyāmah to seven people; the first of them is the son of Ādam who killed his brother, Namrūd who disputed Ibrāhīm regarding his Rabb, two individuals from Banū Isrā'īl who converted their people to Judaism and Christianity, Fir'awn who said: "I am your Rabb Most High!" and two persons from this ummah.

Al-Kulaynī narrates in his *al-Kāfī* from al-Ḥusayn ibn Thuwayr and Abū Salamah al-Sirāj who say:

سمعنا أبوعبدالله(ع) وهو يلعن في دبركل مكتوبة أربعة من الرجال وأربعة من النساء فلان وفلان وفلان ويسميهم ومعاوية وفلانة وفلانة وهند وأم الحكم أخت معاوية

We heard Abū ʿAbd Allāh cursing four men and four women after every farḍ ṣalāh; fulān, fulān, fulān he would name them and Muʿāwiyah, fulānah, fulānah, Hind and Umm al-Ḥakam the sister of Muʿāwiyah.¹

¹ *Al-Wasā'il* 4/1037-Chapter of the Desirableness of Cursing the Enemies of Islam by Name after every salāh, '*Ayn al-Ḥayāt* pg. 599 Chapter on What to do Immediately after salāh

Their Shaykh, al-Majlisī, has commented in his book *Mir'āt al-ʿUqūl* (15/174):

والكنايات الأول عبارة عن الثلاثة بترتيبهم والكنايات الأخيرتان عن عائشة وحفصة

The first ambiguous indication was a reference to the three khulafā' in the same order of their khilāfah and the second two are a reference to 'Ā'ishah and Ḥafṣah.

Al-ʿAyyāshī narrates in his *Tafsīr al-ʿAyyāshī* (1/200 ḥadīth 152) from ʿAbd al-Ṣamad ibn Bashīr who narrates that Imām Jaʿfar معنائلة said:

تدرون مات النبي أو قتل إن الله يقول:{ أفإن مات أو قتل انقلبتم على أعقابكم } فسمّ قبل الموت أنهما سقتاه قبل الموت فقلنا إنهما وأبوهما شر من خلق الله

Do you think the Nabī كالمنتخبينة passed away (a natural death) or was he killed? Allah says: "If he passes away or is martyred, would you then turn back on your heels?" he was poisoned before his death. The two of them gave it to him in a drink. We said: "Indeed, the two of them and their fathers are the worst of Allah's creation."

Al-Majlis \overline{i} describes this hogwash (the narration) as reliable. He further commented regarding it:

إن العياشي روى بسند معتبر عن الصادق(ع) أن عائشة وحفصة لعنة الله عليهما وعلى أبويهما قتلتا رسول الله ! بالسم دبرتاه

Al-ʿAyyāshī narrated with a reliable isnād from al-Ṣādiq that ʿĀʾishah and Ḥafṣah (May Allah curse them) killed Rasūlullāh حَالَتَنْ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ . They plotted and poisoned him.¹

Al-Kāshānī states in his *Tafsīr*, "This refers to the two women May Allah curse them and their fathers."²

¹ *Ḥayāt al-Qulūb* by Majlisī 2/700 Chapter of the Demise of Rasūlullāh.

 ² Tafsīr al-ṣāfī 1/305, This refers to the two women May Allah curse them and their fathers, al-Biḥār
6/504, Hayāt al-Qulūb 2/700, al-Burhān 1/320 and al-Qummī 2/375

'Abd al-Ḥusayn says in his book al-Naṣṣ wa l-Ijtihād (pg. 292 under discussion 79):

لمثل العظيم في آخر سورة التحريم : ألا وهو قوله تعالى: { ضَرَبَ اللهُ مَثَلاً لَـلَّذِينَ تَفَرُّواْ امْرَأَتَ نُوح وَامْرَأَتَ لُوط كَانَتَا تَحْتَ عَبَدَيْنِ مِنْ عِبَادِنَا صَـلِحَيْنِ فَخَانَتَاهُمَا فَلَمْ يُغْنِيَا عَنْهُمَا مِنَ اللهِ شَيْئًا وَقِيلَ ادْخُلاً النَّارَ مَعَ الذَّاحِلِينَ} التحريم/ ١٠] [وَضَرَبَ اللهُ مَثَلاً لَـلَّذِينَ ءَامَنُواْ امْرَأَتَ فِرْعَوْنَ إِذْ قَالَتْ رَبِّ ابْنِ لِي عِندَكَ بَيْتًا فِي الْجَنَّةِ } [التحريم/ ١٠] .

هذا ما ضربه الله لهما لينذرهما به ، ولتعلما ان الزوجية بمجردها لأي كان لا تنفع ولا تضر والنافع للمرء إنما هو علمه

There is a great parable at the end of Sūrah al-Taḥrīm. Allah says:

For the kuffār Allah gives the example of the wife of $N\bar{u}h$ and the wife of $L\bar{u}t$. They were both in the marriage of two pious men of My bondsmen but they betrayed them. So they were of no assistance to their wives against Allah and the two will be told 'Enter the fire with those entering!' (10)

For the Mu'minīn Allah gives the example of the wife of Firʿawn when she said: 'O my Rabb! Build for me a home by You in Jannah and save me from Firʿawn, his actions and save me from the oppressive nation.' (11)

This is the parable drawn by Allah to warn these two that marriage alone, irrespective of who a person marries, has no harm or benefit. A person's knowledge is the only thing that will be of benefit to him.

The one who they have titled *'Umdat al-'Ulamā' wa al-Muḥaqqiqīn* (the cream of the scholars and researchers), Muḥammad al-Tūrsīrkānī states:

تنبيه إعلم إن أشرف الأمكنة والأوقات والحالات وأشبها للعن عليهم – عليهم اللعنة – إذا كنت في المبال فقل عند كل واحد من التخلية والاستبراء والتطهير مراراً بفراغ من البال : اللهم العن عمر ثم أبابكر وعمرثم عثمان وعمرثم معاوية وعمر ثم يزيد وعمر ثم ابن زياد وعمرثم ابن سعد وعمرثم شمراً وعمر ثم عسكرهم وعمر، اللهم العن عائشة وحفصة وهند وأم الحكم والعن من رضي بأفعالهم إلى يوم القيامة

Note: indeed the best time and place for cursing them - may they be cursed - is when you are in the toilet. When you are in the toilet then

do not hesitate to repeatedly say whilst you are urinating or defecating, clearing out the last drops of urine and purifying yourself: "O Allah curse 'Umar then Abū Bakr and 'Umar then 'Uthmān and 'Umar then Mu'āwiyah and 'Umar then Yazīd and 'Umar then Ibn Ziyād and 'Umar then Ibn Sa'd and 'Umar then Shimr and 'Umar then their armies and 'Umar. O Allah, curse 'Ā'ishah, Ḥafṣah, Hind and Umm al-Ḥakam and all those who are happy with their actions till the day of Qiyāmah!"¹

Al-Muḥaqqiq al-ʿĀrif Muḥammad Riḍā al-Māzandarānī comments on one of their narrations:

والمراد بوجوب البراءة منهم وبغضهم، لعنهم والإكثار من سبهم وشتمهم والقول فيهم والوقيعة ، واعتقاد أنهم مبعدون عن رحمة الله، ومطرودون عن ساحة عز الحضور . وفائدته أن يحذرهم الناس ولا يتعلموا من بدعهم ، فأقول : اللهم العن الذين هدموا بيت النبوة والبرهان وسلبوا أهل العزة والسلطان ، وأطفئوا مصابيح النور العرفان ، وعصوا في صفوة الملك الديّان وخاصه أبا ركب وزفر فإنهم أول من أحيوا بدع الشيطان، وأماتوا سنة الرحمن

The incumbency of distancing oneself from them and hating them means cursing, reviling, condemning and insulting them excessively and believing that they are far from the mercy of Allah and have been expelled from His honourable court. The benefit of this is that the masses will be wary of them and will not learn any of their innovations. Thus I say: "O Allah, curse those who have destroyed the house of nubuwwah and guidance, usurped from the people of honour and royalty, extinguished the lanterns of illumination and recognition and violated the law in respect of the choicest ones of the supreme King, especially Abū Bakr and 'Umar as they are the first ones who gave life to the innovations of shayṭān and ruined the sunnah of al-Raḥmān."²

¹ La'ālī al-Akhbār by Muḥammad al-Tūrsīrkānī 4/92 The supplications that have been narrated regarding ta'qīb.

² Al-Rasā'il 1/ 484, 440, 439, 174

A Supplication to Curse al-Ṣiddīq and al-Fārūq

This supplication is named 'Du'ā of the two idols of Quraysh'. 'Two idols of Quraysh', according to them, refers to Abū Bakr and 'Umar . May the curse of Allah be upon all those who harbour ill-feelings against them. This du'ā appears in a number of their books including *al-Kaf'amī* (which is a commentary of this bunkum), *al-Nafaḥāt* of al-Karkī, *Mir'āt* of al-Majlisī, *Shir'at* of al-Ḥusaynī, *Iḥqāq* of al-Tastarī, *Ilzām* of al-Ḥā'irī and *Faşl al-Khiţāb* of al-Nūrī.

The Exact Wording of this concocted supplication:

اللهم صل على محمد وآل محمد والعن صنمي قريش وجبتيهما وطاغوتيهما و افكيهما وابنتيهما الذين خالفا أمرك وأنكرا وحيك وجحدا أنعامك وعصيا رسولك وقلًبا دينك وحرفا كتابك وأحبا أعداءك وجحد الآئك وعطلا أحكامك وابطلا فرائضك والحدا في آياتك وعاديا أوليائك وواليا أعداءك وخربا بلادك وأفسد عبادك اللهم العنهما واتباعهما وأولياءهما وأشياعهما ومحبيهما ...

O Allah send şalāh upon Muḥammad and the family of Muḥammad and curse the two idols of Quraysh, their two garments, their two devils, their two slanders and their two daughters who opposed Your law, denied Your revelation, rejected Your bounties, disobeyed Your messenger, turned Your dīn around, distorted Your Book, befriended Your enemies, negated Your favours, destroyed Your commands, trivialised your instructions, disbelieved in Your signs, took Your friends as enemies and Your enemies as friends, caused mayhem on Your land and corrupted Your servants. O Allah! Curse them, their followers, their associates, groups and lovers.....

The Shīʿah have paid particular attention to this supplication and they regard it as one of the divinely revealed supplications¹. Thus they mentioned it in many of their books. To list a few: al-Kafʿamī², al-Kāshānī³, al-Nūrī al-Ṭabarsī⁴, Asad Allāh

¹ *Al-Dharīʿah* by al-Ṭahrānī 8/192

² Al-Balad al-Amīn pg. 511-514. al-Miṣbāḥ pg. 548-557

^{3 &#}x27;Ilm al-Yaqīn 2/701-703, Qurrat al-'Uyūn pg. 426

⁴ Fașl al-Khițāb pg. 221-222

al-Ḥā'irī¹, Murtaḍā Ḥusayn², Manẓūr Ḥusayn³, al-Karkī⁴, Dāmād al-Ḥusaynī⁵, al-Majlisī⁶, al-Tastarī⁷, Abū al-Ḥasan al-ʿĀmilī⁸, ʿAbd Allāh Shibr⁹, al-Ḥā'irī¹⁰, Mīrzā Ḥabīb Allāh¹¹ etc. Since this supplication holds an important position according to them, it has been explained and expounded more than ten times.

Has the deception and lies of the statement of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, 'The truth is that *suhbah* (being a Ṣaḥābī) is a great virtue, but it does not make one infallible. Amongst the Ṣaḥābah were some who's integrity cannot be questioned and amongst them....This is our opinion regarding the narrators of ḥadīth from the Ṣaḥābah and others and the Sunnah are based on this...' not become apparent?

How despicable indeed are their acts. They even curse the grand-father of their own infallible Imām, Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad, who said that al-Ṣiddīq was the cause of my birth in two different ways (as will be explained later) — on the basis of these fabricated narrations. This is despite the fact that they would not tolerate any such curses and revilement of their grand-fathers.

Who is the Grand-Father of Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq?

Al-Arbīlī (who is a Shī'ī scholar) reproduces the lineage of Ja'far al-Ṣādiq in *Kashf* al-Ghummah:

- 5 Shirʿat al-Tasmiyah fī Zaman al-Ghaybah
- 6 Mir'āt al-'Uqūl 4/356
- 7 Fī Iḥqāq al-Ḥaqq pg. 58, 133-134

- 10 Ilzām al-Nāșib 2/95
- 11 *Minhāj al-Barāʿah* 14/396 (second print)

¹ Miftāḥ al-Jinān pg. 113-114

² Ṣaḥīfah ʿAlawiyyah pg. 200-202

³ Tuḥfat al-ʿUlūm Maqbūl pg. 213-214

⁴ Nafḥāt al-Lāhūt fī Laʿn al-Jibt wa al-Tāghūt

⁸ Tafsīr Mir'āt al-Anwār pg. 113, 174, 226, 250, 290, 294, 313, 339

⁹ Ḥaqq al-Yaqīn 1/219

قال محمد بن طلحة: أما نسبه أبا وأما فأبوه أبو جعفر محمد الباقر، وأمه أم فروة بنت القاسم بن محمد بن أبي بكر. وقال الحافظ عبدالعزيز: أمه(ع) أم فروة بنت القاسم بن محمد بن أبي بكر وأمها أسماء بنت عبدالرحمن بن أبي بكر

Muḥammad ibn Ṭalḥah says, his lineage from his father and mother is as follows; his father is Abū Jaʿfar Muḥammad al-Bāqir and his mother is Umm Farwah bint al-Qāsim ibn Muḥammad ibn Abī Bakr al-Ḥāfiẓ. Her (Umm Farwah's) mother was Asmā' bint ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Abī Bakr¹

The mother of Jaʿfar is Fāṭimah bint al-Qāsim ibn Abī Bakr al-Ṣiddīq, and her mother is Asmā' bint ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Abī Bakr al-Ṣiddīq. Therefore Qāsim is the maternal grand-father of Jaʿfar, which means that Abū Bakr al-Ṣiddīq is the great-grandfather of Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq. This is what al-Ṣādiq was highlighting when he said: "Al-Ṣiddīq was the cause of my birth in two different ways." Regarding this, al-Sharīf al-Radī says:

وحزناً عتيقاً وهو غاية فخركم بمولد بنت القاسم بن محمد

Grief over 'Atīq (a title of Abū Bakr) who is the origin of your pride, Due to the birth of the daughter of Qāsim ibn Muḥammad.

How is it possible that al-Ṣādiq would curse his grand-father and command his followers to do so after every ṣalāh? To assume that he was proud of his grand-father in one sense, yet he cursed him defies logic. Inconsistent speech of this nature is not even expected from the most ignorant commoner!

Is it, in any way permissible to criticise the khalīfah using unethical statements, as is found in most of their books? Such statements which contradict all Islamic and ethical values. They even contradict the statement of 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib '''''''', who praised and eulogised them. What is the view of those who claim to be his followers? Will they say that dissimulation was his religion and the religion of his fore-fathers? Those who claim to follow him were indeed the ones who played the

¹ Al-Biḥār 47/5-6, 42/162-163, 36/194, Lawlā al-Sanatān of Ḥakīmī pg. 23

greatest role in being offensive towards him and all the other alleged A'immah, who they claim to have helped.

As for his statement: "The majority have overlooked Abū Hurayrah…in honour of Rasūlullāh عَرَسَتَ مَعَانَةُ مَعانَةُ مَعَانَةُ مَعْنَا مُعَانَةًا مَعَانَةًا مَعَانَةًا مَعَانَةً مَعَانَا المَعانَةُ مَعَانَا وَعَانَا المَعانَةُ مَعَانَا وَعَانَا المَعانَ مَعَانَا وَعَانَا مُعَانَا مُعَانَا مُعَانَا مُعَانَعُنَا مُعَانَا مُ مُعَانَعُنَا مُعَانَا ع ما معانا معاناتُهُ عانا معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُ معانَّا معانَاتُهُ معانَا معانَاتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُ معا ما معانا معاناتُهُ عاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ عالمًا معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُ معاناتُ معاناتُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُ معاناتُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُهُ معاناتُ معاناتُ معانا

The fundamental books of rijāl (ḥadīth narrators) of the Shīʿah like *al-Fahrist*, *Rijāl al-Ṭūsī* both of which were authored by al-Ṭūsī, *Rijāl al-Najjāshī* of Shaykh al-Najjāshī, *Rijāl al-Kashshī* by Abū ʿAmr al-Kashshī, the revised version which was named *Ikhtiyār Maʿrifat al-Rijāl* by al-Ṭūsī, *Rijāl al-Ghaḍāʾirī* as well as other equally important books such as *Rijāl al-ʿAllāmah al-Ḥillī*. These are all the books which I turned every single page trying to find the biography, or at least some disparagement mentioned by the way, of Abū Hurayrah ^{au}. The only book that does mention him is *Rijāl al-Ṭūsī*². Further, *Rijāl Ibn Dāwūd al-Ḥillī*⁴ (who was born in the year 647 A.H) included his name in the first section of the book which is specifically regarding the praised narrators. He praised him in unequivocal terms:

عبد الله أبو هريرة،معروف ، من أصحاب الرسول

ʿAbd Allāh, whose agnomen was Abū Hurayrah. He was well known and from the companions of Rasūlullāh ---اللتنتينية.

 $^{1\,}$ He claims that he is the custodian of the Imāmī madh-hab in his Fuṣūl pg. 203 $\,$

² *Rijāl al-Ṭūsī*-The companions of Rasūlullāh مَالَقَتَعَيْمَةُ pg. 23 refer to *Jāmiʿ al-Ruwāt* of al-Ardabīlī 1/466

³ *Rijāl Ibn Abī Dāwūd al-Ḥillī*-category one pg. 116 number 833

Look at his lies and allegations, which he does not even hold back from the 'ulamā of disparagement and commendation! He claimed: "We, on the other hand have only criticised him in honour of Rasūlullāh سَلَقَتَعَدَوَتَكَ." By using the word 'We', he implied that this is not his own view, rather it is a representation of his madhhab. Reality disproves this claim.

Who is he to disparage Abū Hurayrah نفي in this belated era? Who is he to judge a Ṣaḥābī, on the basis of his whims, he whom Rasūlullāh مرابعة was pleased with when passing away? Undoubtedly, the innovation of attacking and belying Abū Hurayrah was non-existent up until the era of Ibn Dāwūd al-Ḥillī as you have seen O honourable reader! Further proof of this is that Ibn Khuzaymah, in his defence of Abū Hurayrah

"وإنما يتكلم في أمر أبي هريرة : إما معطل "جهمي" وإما "خارجي "أو "قدري"، أو "جاهل يتعاطى الفقه "

Only the Muʿaṭṭal Jahmī's, the Khārijī's, the Qadarī's and the ignorant ones who presume that they understand fiqh have negative remarks regarding Abū Hurayrah.

Note that he did not mention the Shīʿah. Thus Ibn Abī al-Ḥadīd was the first to introduce this bizarre idea to the madh-hab. The rest of the Shīʿah simply followed suit. We will, in the upcoming chapters prove that the former Shīʿah would narrate from Abū Hurayrah with their isnād and act upon his fiqh and narrations without any hesitation just as the Ahl al-Bayt, a great number of Shīʿah from Kūfah and the supporters of ʿAlī accepted his narrations.

He says:

بأنه لم يكن لنا بد من البحث عن هذا المكثر نفسه ، وعن حديثه كماً وكيفاً لنكون على بصيرة فيما يتعلق من حديثه بأحكام الله فروعاً وأصولاً ...

We had no choice but to do research regarding the personality of this individual who narrates excessively. Added to that, we needed to research his narrations from

the perspectives of quality and quantity. This was necessary so that we could have a good background of his aḥādīth relating to the primary and subsidiary commands of Allah.

Our comments: He has created an impression for himself that the aḥādīth of Abū Hurayrah are concoctions and fabrications, and that these concoctions have infiltrated the primary and subsidiary matters of dīn, without the Muslims being able to detect it! Thus he considered it incumbent upon himself to defend the most sublime sharīʿah and to protect it from lies and conjectures.

The building block of this would be a study on Abū Hurayrah (w), which would reveal the reality- as he claims. However this study revealed something else, i.e. the hidden agenda in the hearts of the enemies of the sunnah and antagonists of the Ṣaḥābah. It definitely revealed the hatred harboured by them against the Ṣaḥābah in general and specifically Abū Hurayrah (Any person who reads this book of his will be left doubtless that it is but a link in the chain of discussions initiated by the prejudiced orientalists and their followers who (due to ulterior motives) claim to be adherents of Islam. This is being done as a service to the enemies of Islam to halt the process of unity between Muslims.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn believes that he has academically decrypted the reality of Abū Hurayrah from all perspectives, which will enable us to fully grasp his matter with all our senses. Similarly, he believes that he has done a thorough study of his aḥādīth, concerning their quality and quantity. He says:

فلم يسعنا – شهد الله – إلا الإنكار عليه في كل منهما

We were left with no option — Allah be witness — but to disparage him regarding both of them.

He excessively disparages Abū Hurayrah and questions his memory along with his Abūndant narrations. He also picks on him for being illiterate. Thereafter he says:

ونحن حين نحكم الذوق الفني والمقياس العلمي نجدهما لا يقران كثيراً مما رواه هذا المفرط في اكثاره وعجائبه

When applying the rationale of the subject and using the academic scale, we find that they do not corroborate with a great deal of that which was narrated by this person who was surpassed the limit in his excessive and weird narrations.

The author repeatedly attempts to decrease the status of Abū Hurayrah attempts are as follows:

فالسنة أرفع من أن تحتضن أعشاباً شائكة ، وخّز بها أبو هريرة ضمائر الأذواق الفنية ، وأدمى بها تفكير المقاييس العلمية

The Sunnah is too lofty to groom the thorny patch by means of which Abū Hurayrah pierces the core of the rationale of subjects and spills the blood of the attitude of adopting academic scales.

This author claims 'rationale of subjects' and 'academic scales'. What exactly is he referring to by these two terms? The entire ummah, from the era of Rasūlullāh المستعندين up until today are unanimous regarding the absolute genius possessed by the muḥaddithīn regarding the rationale of the subjects which was applied to their knowledge and methodology.¹ Their research and meticulousness is indeed proverbial.

They did not overlook any minor or major point. Everything was adequately explained, thus they recognised the authentic, unreliable, sound and questionable narrations. They were not influenced by emotions and desires, which allowed them to weigh all using their precise academic scale. This made them leading examples due to their sincerity and trustworthiness. There uprightness can be gauged from the fact that at times they would not narrate from their own

¹ The term Muḥaddithīn is not always confined to the latter day ḥadīth scholars. The subject under discussion can be proven from the narrations of the Ṣaḥābah who would narrate aḥādīth. Many narrations point out to their scrupulousness and special care not to change the meaning in the slightest way.

fathers or brothers whose virtue and piety was beyond doubt and they would even explain this to people. An example of this is the statement of 'Alī ibn al-Madīnī regarding his father when he was asked about him. He said: "Ask someone else regarding him." However the questioner repeated his query. Thereupon he dropped his head and said: "This is a matter of Dīn, he is undependable."

Similarly, they would refuse to narrate from those whom they doubted despite that persons piety or status. Aḥmad ibn Abī al-Ḥawārī says:

جاء رجل من بني هاشم ليسمع من ابن المبارك فامتنع ، فقال الهاشمي لغلامه : قم بنا ، فلما أراد الركوب ، جاء ابن المبارك ، ليمسك بركابه ، فقال : يا أبا عبدالرحمن لا ترى أن تحدثني وتمسك بركابي .. !! ؟ قال : رأيت أن أذل لك بذلي ولا أذل لك الحديث !!

A man from the Banū Hāshim came to ibn al-Mubārak to hear aḥādīth from him, but he remain tight-lipped. The Hāshimī then said to his slave boy: "Let us go." When he was about to mount his conveyance, Ibn al-Mubārak stepped forward to hold the reigns of the conveyance. The Hāshimī exclaimed: "O Abū ʿAbd al-Raḥmān! You do not deem it appropriate to narrate to me, yet you hold the reigns of my conveyance?" Ibn al Mubārak replied: "I am happier to bring down myself to serve you instead of lowering the status of the ḥadīth for you."

These are the giants of knowledge and the men of the science, whose opinion we have accepted regarding Abū Hurayrah. If they were aware of anything objectionable concerning him, they would have never remained silent about it despite the fact that he is a a, a, \bar{a} . The sunnah and sharī ah are not compromised for any individual. The reality is that they did not find anything of questionable nature regarding him. Instead, they found him to be a reliable and upright narrator, purely on the basis of academic scales and the rationale of the subject.¹

Indeed Abū Hurayrah تعَنِيَّةُ has punctured the core of those who seek falsehood by his true speech. He narrated from Rasūlullāh مَرَاتَنْتَنَهُ للهُ that which suits neither

¹ Abū Hurayrah Rāwiyat al-Islām pg. 163-164 by ʿAjāj

their egos nor their beliefs. Thus they erected him as their target of enmity. Could he have pierced the core of anything else, especially since these narrations, which this author rejects, have been narrated by the 'infallible' A'immah? The details will appear shortly.

This author asserts: "When applying the rationale of the subject and using the academic scale, we find that they do not corroborate with a great deal of that which was narrated by this person who was surpassed the limit in his excessive and weird narrations."

The response to this statement lies in the proverb:

She accused me of having her sickness and escaped.

They narrate much more than Abū Hurayrah نهینه and their narrations contain such ridiculous information that no human has ever imagined. Notwithstanding this, they have the nerve to criticise Abū Hurayrah نهینه, due to a few simple matters that he narrated from Rasūlullāh مکانیته با , which were narrated by them as well. Maybe ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn is referring to the criticism levelled against some of the aḥādīth narrated by Abū Hurayrah

He says: "There is no logic that justifies remaining silent regarding this innovation which taints the core and lofty spirit of Islam, which pleads for freedom and liberation from the shackles of despicable beliefs and corruption..."

Our comment: Yes, you have spoken the truth. There is no logic that justifies remaining silent regarding this innovation which taints the core and lofty spirit of Islam, which pleads for freedom and liberation from the shackles of despicable beliefs and corruption. However, there is nothing that can be done about them as these despicable beliefs and corrupt ideas have been narrated by those who you regard as infallible.

These are your exact remarks regarding them:
وأحسن ما جمع منها الكتب الأربعة التي هي مرجع الإمامية في أصولهم و فروعهم من الصدر الأول إلى هذا الزمان ، وهي : الكافي ، و التهذيب ، والاستبصار ، ومن لا يحضره الفقيه ، وهي متواترة ومضامينها مقطوع بصحتها ، والكافي أقدمها و أعظمها و أحسنها و اتقنها

And the best of compilations that are based on them (the four hundred sources) are the four books, which have remained the references of the Imāmiyyah in all their primary as well as subsidiary matters from the first century up until the present era. They are Al-Kāfī, al-Tahdhīb, al-Istibṣār and Man Lā Yaḥḍuruhu al-Faqīh. These books are mutawātir and their contents are undisputedly accurate. Al-Kāfī is the earliest of them, the greatest, best and the most well-preserved.

As for the narrations of Abū Hurayrah a spirit of Islam? We, along with the entire Muslim ummah, are prepared to defend Islam and to cleanse it, even from the traces of corruption, if there truly were any. However, what traces of corruption can be found in the narrations of Abū Hurayrah?

The author, who paints a picture of himself being a target of oppression, realised the gravity of the subject matter being discussed. Thus, he says:

I say this while seeing faces frowning towards me, and emotions unjustifiably drawing away from me. This is bound to happen due to certain hereditary teachings, nurturing and the environment. More so, when this discussion reveals a reality that was opposed by that which became the norm, i.e. honouring the Ṣaḥābah and believing in the integrity of every single one of them, without weighing their actions and speech on the scale set up by Rasūlullāh is because according to them, suḥbah (companionship) alone elevates one to the position of being beyond criticism. Whoever holds onto it cannot be condemned and no kind of disparagement affects him, even if he did what he did. This is certainly an encroachment upon logic and an indifference towards proofs.

Our comment: How is it possible that clean souls do not draw away from falsehood? How is it that a level-headed person who stands for the truth is not affected when seeing all of this drivel and fabricated claptrap being attributed

to the Ahl al-Bayt? Does he want from us that we remain cool and calm? How is it possible that clean souls do not draw away from falsehood? How is it that a level-headed person who stands for the truth is not affected when lies are forged against the Ṣaḥābah, who transmitted and safeguarded dīn? Does he wish that we remain undisturbed?

Further, who are those Ṣaḥābah who 'did what they did' and were looked upon as innocent by the majority? I have already explained that those whose integrity was disputed from amongst them can be counted on the fingers of one hand. Notwithstanding this, ibn al-ʿArabī has written in defence of them and debunked the claims of the opposition.

We return to our previous discussion. Does freedom of thought mean that anyone may say anything at any time in any manner that he wishes? Or is freedom of thought, understanding the temperament of the subject and being honoured with intellect specific to a certain group? Or are they simply used as shields to defend a new argument irrespective of the correctness thereof? I do not believe that anyone will agree with the above. Academic thought and understanding the temperament of a subject are two such qualities which are based on strong foundations which are not affected by emotions and desires. These foundations are general by nature and are not confined to any dogmatic and specific group. They are based on a methodology that is purely academic and free from inconsistencies.

This study, which was taken up by the 'oppressed' writer revealed the hidden agenda in the hearts of the enemies of the Sunnah and antagonists of the Ṣaḥābah. It definitely revealed the hatred harboured by them against the Ṣaḥābah in general and specifically Abū Hurayrah and second the state of the sunhor will be left doubtless that it is but a link in the chain of discussions penned down by the tails of the colonialists in the lands of Islam.

On page 10-11, he quotes a few ahad addith of $Ab\bar{u}$ Hurayrah claiming that they infringe upon his intellect, beliefs and moral law. We will soon mention these

aḥādith when refuting the section, 'quality of his aḥādīth', Allah willing.

On page 19, under the subject 'His Name and Lineage' he says:

كان أبو هريرة غامض الحسب، مغمور النسب ، فاختلف الناس في اسمه واسم أبيه إختلافاً كثيراً ، لا يحاط به ولا يضبط في الجاهلية والاسلام وإنما يعرف بكنيته ، وينسب الى دوس

Abū Hurayrah was a person of unknown status and obscure lineage; hence people have differed greatly regarding his name and his father's name. It cannot be completely comprehended or distinguished, neither from the era of Jāhiliyyah nor from the era of Islam. He is only known by his agnomen and he is affiliated with the Dows tribe.

Our comment: the author intended to decrease the status of Abū Hurayrah and hide his lineage due to it not being well-known before Islam and due to the difference of opinion that people have regarding his name. If there is difference of opinion regarding the name of a person, does that taint his reputation and discredit him of his integrity? It is sufficient for us to know him by his agnomen just as we know Abū Bakr, Abū 'Ubaydah, Abū Dujānah al-Anṣārī and Abū al-Dardā', all of whom are well known by their agnomens due to which many are not aware of their names.

Further, we have never heard that lineage and social status affects a person's academic standing. Abū Hurayrah was known by his agnomen from his childhood and was always referred to by it. So what harm does it cause him that his agnomen is well-known and there is difference of opinion regarding his name? This difference of opinion is obvious and bound to happen, not only in the case of Abū Hurayrah, but in the case of every person who is more well-known by his agnomen from his childhood.

When this is the reality, then why was there an attack on him in which the reader was left with the impression that his name cannot be completely comprehended or distinguished? Especially since there are only three opinions ('Umayr, 'Abd Allāh and 'Abd al-Raḥmān); as stated by Ibn Ḥajar. There were others whose names were disputed to a greater degree, yet none counted that as a reason to find fault or criticise them on account of that.¹

Why was this attitude of ignorance adopted? We cannot fathom that a person who has self-honour, claims knowledge and awareness and is given the title 'Āyat Allāh' by his people stoops to this type of stance in disparaging a famous Ṣaḥābī. Abū Hurayrah was well-known by his contemporaries as well as the generations thereafter. We cannot understand the wisdom behind speech such as the above-quoted.

How does this author reply to the ignorance that exists regarding the name of the mother of their awaited Mahdī? They cannot agree upon her name. At times she is said to be Narjis, at times Sowsan and at times Ṣaqīl. *Al-Biḥār* (15/51 and 360) reports from Ghiyāth ibn Asad:

: ولد الخلف المهدي(ع) يوم الجمعة وأمه ريحانة ويقال لها نرجس ويقال صقيل ويقال سوسن

The successor, al-Mahdī was born on a Friday. His mother is Rayḥānah who is also called Narjis, Sowsan and Ṣaqīl.

What will he say regarding those narrators who were famous, the likes of Zurārah ibn A'yun, whose grandfather was a monk? We have no information regarding him. Al-Ṭūsī says in his *Fahrist*:

زرارة بن أعين واسمه عبد ربه ، يكنى أبا الحسن وزرارة لقب له وكان أعين بن سنسن عبداً رومياً لرجل من بني شيبان تعلم القرآن ثم أعتقه فعرض عليه أن يدخل في نسبه فأبى أعين يفعله وقال له أقرني على ولائي ، وكان سنسن راهباً في بلد الروم

Zurārah ibn Aʿyun. His name is ʿAbd Rabbih. His agnomen is Abū al-Ḥasan and his tiltle was Zurārah. Aʿyun ibn Sinsin was a roman slave of a man from the Banū Shaybān. He learnt the Qurʾān and then set him free. He

¹ Abū Hurayrah Rāwiyat al-Islam by Dr. Muḥammad ʿIjāj al-Khaṭīb pg. 168-169

then offered to add A'yun to his lineage which was declined by A'yun who said, 'Allow me to remain your freed-slave.' Sinsin was a monk in the Roman lands.¹

'Abd al-Ḥusayn states on page 21, under the title, 'His early life, Islam and companionship':

نشأ في مسقط رأسه (اليمن) وشب ثمة حتى أناف على الثلاثين جاهلياً لا يستضيء بنور بصيرة ، ولا يقدح بزناد فهم ، صعلوكاً قد أخمله الدهر ويتيماً أزرى به الفقر ، يخدم هذا وذاك ، وتي وتلك مؤجراً نفسه بطعام بطنه حافياً عارياً ، راضياً بهذا الهوان لكن لما أظهر الله أمر نبيه في المدينة الطيبة بعد بدر وأحد والأحزاب وبعد اللتيا والتي، لم يكن لهذا البائس المسكين حينئذ مذهب عن باب رسول الله فهاجر اليه بعد فتح خيبر فبايعه على الاسلام وكان ذلك سنة سبع للهجرة باتفاق أهل الأخبار .أما صحبته فقد صرح أبو هريرة في حديث أخرجه بأنها إنما كانت ثلاث سنين

He (referring to Abū Hurayrah (1996)) grew up in Yemen until he drew close to age of thirty in the era of ignorance. He was bereft of the light of foresight and he was not granted any understanding as well. He was a loafer who was weakened by time and an orphan who was humiliated due to poverty. He served people randomly as a means of acquiring something to fill his belly. He remained without clothes and shoes, unaffected by this disgrace. However, when Allah made the matter of His Nabī dominant, after Badr, Ḥunayn, Aḥzāb and other expeditions, this hopeless loafer found no better place of refuge than the door of Rasūlullāh (1996). Thus he migrated to him after the Conquest of Khaybar and accepted Islam. This was in the seventh year after hijrah according to all historians. As for his companionship, he himself narrates that he spent only three years in the company of Rasūlullāh

We leave it to the honourable reader to judge and deduce, in the light of the above passage, the inner sentiments of this author, who assumes that he is going to pass a fair judgement on behalf of Islam on the personality of Abū Hurayrah and he will grant him his deserving position.

O ignorant one! Do you think any honest person searching for the truth will accept such drivel regarding Ab \bar{u} Hurayrah after seeing the unadulterated truth of the

¹ *Al-Fahrist* by al-Ṭūsī, refer to al-Fahrist by Ibn al-Nadīm pg. 308

matter which is not polluted by base desires, bigoted inclinations and sectarianism that has been passed down from generation to generation? We accept academic scales and depth of the sciences which the author proclaims, thus we ask, 'Since when was ignorance a reason to strip a person of his integrity?' Does he suppose that everyone in the pre-Islamic times were students and scholars?

Were not many of the Ṣaḥābah ignorant and illiterate prior to Islam? Thereafter Allah expanded their bosoms towards īmān and established it in their hearts. The result was that they awoke to be the luminaries, scholars and intellectuals of their era. It is really strange how the author concluded that Abū Hurayrah was bereft of understanding! Did he weigh him using the scales of memorisation and intelligence, or is this a display of the inner hatred and a calculated attack? Or is this a new theory which is not backed by any constructive thoughts?

Moving on, how does this affect Abū Hurayrah is if he was not well known across the globe? Was this a trait specific to him alone? Can the same not be said about Abū Bakr, 'Umar, 'Uthmān, Sa'd, 'Abd al-Raḥmān and majority of the Ṣaḥābah is as they were unknown before Islam? Is anyone bold enough to strip all of them as well as others on account of them not being famous prior to Islam?

He claims that Abū Hurayrah was a $s\bar{a}$ $l\bar{u}k$. This cannot be accepted from a vagabond like himself! If he means that which the present-day commoners understand it to be (a person who is lowly, despicable and one who eats off others without their permission) then he has judged him without any basis or proof. Alternatively, if he meant by this word poverty and destitution then there was no need to repeat the meaning, using the word *faqr* (poverty), for a second time in the same sentence. This is not becoming of one who considers himself worthy of writing a book, as unnecessary lengthening of a book becomes burdensome and is distasteful to the reader. A normal author will ensure that his readers are not put through this. Thus, it has become clear that he intended the first meaning which is quite repugnant. Yes, Abū Hurayrah was neither a wealthy nor an aristocratic person. He was one amongst the millions of poor people who lived honourable lives despite their poverty. Since when was poverty a lowly quality or a fault? We have never heard that in any era a person's integrity was doubted and he was considered lowly simply on the basis of his poverty. This mentality only exists in environments of materialism, where the kids grow up on extravagance and wastage or a society which is overtaken by the habits of aristocracy and all that goes with it.

We did not expect this author to accuse Abū Hurayrah and despicable on account of his poverty. This is because we can say without any doubt that this is not from that which we have mentioned, which is his declaration in the preface of the book, that he will only judge by that which Allah and His Rasūl have judged and he will make the truth the object of his research. Hence we ask upon what was this judgement based? Is there any verse in the Qur'ān or any hadīth which highlights poverty as a reason to look down upon someone? This is nothing but an academic methodology that he has invented to suit his whims.

Further, is there any reason to disparage Abū Hurayrah for working to earn his livelihood instead of being a burden upon his people? Was there ever a time where being a labourer was considered a defect? The Shīī scholars who allege that they are the deputies of the absent Imām suck out the perspiration of the hard-working labourers and workers from their followers in the name of "Khums Ahl al-Bayt", which they take — allegedly — on behalf of the awaited Imām. These scholars, like the author, have seated themselves in such positions which remind us of the popes and monks of the synagogues. The greatest paradox is that it is established from their 'infallible' A'immah that they exhorted their followers to work for their living.

Here is a narration in which Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad حمَانَة explains to their followers that honour belongs to those who toil and work hard for their sustenance, not those who undeservingly devour the wealth of others in the name of dīn and live in luxury. Al-Kulaynī narrates with his isnād from ʿAbd al-Aʿlā Mawlā Āl Sālim in al-Kāfī under the chapter, 'It is necessary to follow the Imāms in making an effort to earn sustenance' (5/74):

: استقبلت أبا عبدالله في بعض طرق المدينة في يوم صايف شديد الحر فقلت : جعلت فداك حالك عند الله وقرابتك من رسول الله وأنت تجهد لنفسك في مثل هذا اليوم ؟ فقال : يا عبد الأعلى خرجت في طلب الرزق لاستغني عن مثلك.

I met Abū ʿAbd Allāh on one of the streets of Madīnah on a hot summer's day. I said to him: "May I be sacrificed for you, your position by Allah (is quite lofty) and you are closely related to Rasūlullāh محققة, yet you exert yourself for your sustenance on a day like this?" He replied: "O ʿAbd al-Aʿlā, I have come out in search of my sustenance so that I can be independent from people like you."

He also narrates with his isnād from Ayyūb the brother of Adīm:

We were seated with Abū 'Abd Allāh when all of a sudden al-'Alā' ibn Kāmil appeared and sat in front of Abū 'Abd Allāh. He said: '''Ask Allah to make me rich instantly. Abū 'Abd Allāh replied: "No, work for it as Allah commands."

This author sits at home without doing any work and receives the wealth of the Shīʿah and then squanders it according to his fancies. Thereafter he attacks Abū Hurayrah the due to his poverty. Is this not a joke? He also narrates from Abū Ḥamzah (5/75):

رأيت أبا الحسن يعمل في أرض له قد استنقعت قدماه في العرق فقلت له : جعلت فداك أين الرجال ؟ فقال : يا علي قد عمل باليد من هو خير مني في أرضه ومن أبي فقلت له: ومن هو ؟ فقال: رسول الله وأمير المؤمنين وآبائي(ع) كلهم كانوا قد عملوا بأيديهم وهو من عمل النبيين والمرسلين والأوصياء والصالحين I seen Abū al-Ḥasan toiling on a piece of land that belonged to him. His feet were drenched in perspiration. I said to him: "May I be sacrificed for you, where are the other men?" He responded: "O 'Alī, people who were greater than me and my father worked on their lands." I asked: "Who were they?" He replied: "Rasūlullāh المالية , Amīr al-Mu'minīn and the rest of my forefathers. All of them toiled to earn their sustenance. It is from the acts of the ambiyā', mursalīn, awşiyā' and şāliḥīn."

This raises the question, 'On the basis of which book or religion do they receive their funds?' Every second person, such as this collector of Khums, devours the wealth of the commoners among the Shī ah who have no choice in the matter. In spite of all of this, he discredits Abū Hurayrah for not owning shoes and claims that he was naked and unaffected by this humiliation.

Another question that deserves an answer, 'Did everyone have shoes and sandals?' when did owning a sandal become the yardstick of integrity? We, who live in the twentieth century, have never heard of a man's integrity being disputed due to not owning footwear, nor have we heard that a person is considered a man of integrity on account of him having footwear. There are thousands of people who are bare footed. There is no difference between the bare-footed and the one who has footwear. The differentiating factors are piety and good character, as Allah says:

Verily, the most honoured of you in Allah's sight is the one with most taqwā (piety). $^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$

I am astonished by his claim that Abū Hurayrah www was unclothed. I would like to know, how did he arrive at this conclusion? Who narrated it to him? Further, in all that passed, is there anything that indicates that Abū Hurayrah www was

¹ Sūrah al-Ḥujurāt: 13

lowly and despicable? I have already explained that poverty and destitution do not decrease the value of a human in any way, except according to the standards of those who are blinded by materialism.

Entrance into Jannah does not depend on clothes and a pompous lifestyle. One <code>hadīth states:</code>

فرب أشعث مدفوع بالأبواب لو أقسم على الله لأبره

There are some who are dishevelled and rejected from the doors (of people), yet if they take an oath in the name of Allah, he will fulfil it.¹

Maybe that author will reject this ḥadīth as it is also narrated by Abū Hurayrah ^{***} He has forgotten, or he acts as if he has forgotten that the senior scholars of his madh-hab, the likes of al-Shaykh al-Ṣadūq, have narrated this ḥadīth with their asānīd to Abū Hurayrah. In *al-Amālī*, al-Ṣadūq narrates:

عن الحسن بن عبدالله بن سعيد عن عبدالله بن محمد بن عبدالكريم عن محمد بن عبدالرحمن عن عمرو بن أبي بسلمة عن أبي عمر الصنعاني عن العلا بن عبدالرحمن عن أبيه عن أبي هريرة أن رسول الله قال: رب أشعث أغبر ذي طمرين مدقع بالأبواب لو أقسم على الله لأبره

Hasan ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn Saʿīd — ʿAbd Allāh ibn Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd al-Karīm —Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān — ʿAmr ibn Abī Baslamah — Abū ʿUmar al-Ṣanʿānī — al-ʿAlā ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān — His father — Abū Hurayrah المنتخف — Rasūlullāh المنتخفين

There are some who are dishevelled and rejected from the doors (of people), yet if they take an oath in the name of Allah, he will fulfil it.³

What are the views of this author? We have always known that some wealthy, famous and influential people look down upon the poor class. The enemies of

¹ Muslim

² *Al-ʿIjāj* pg. 169-171

³ Al-Biḥār 36/72 and 75/143

the ambiyā' and those who opposed their missions have always said to them that which the people of Nabī Nūḥ a and to him:

وَمَا نَرَئِك اتَّبَعَكَ إِلاَّ الَّذِينَ هُمْ أَرَاذِلْناَ بَادِ الرأى

We see that only those people follow you who are of low class.¹

It has always been the norm for aristocratic societies to look down upon the poor; belittling them and considering them to be worthless. We were aware of all the above realities. However, we did not expect it from this author. What logic is he using when he criticises Abū Hurayrah's poverty and lack of status? Is it the same logic that rejected the ambiyā' and messengers of Allah? If he belongs to those who believe in Allah, His messengers and that which was revealed in His book, then Allah mentions the answer that Nabī Nūḥ كَمَاتَكُ offered to those who belittled his poor Muslim followers:

وَمَا أَنَا بِطَارِدِ الَّذِينِ ءامَنُوا إِنَّهُم مُّلَقُوا رَبِّهِمْ وَلَكِنِّي أَرَئَكُمْ قَوْمًا تَجْهَ لُونَ

I cannot discard those who have $\bar{1}m\bar{a}n$. Surely they will meet their Rabb. However I deem you to be foolish people.²

I cannot say concerning those whom you look down upon that Allah will never grant them good. Allah knows best what is in their hearts. In that case, I will certainly be of the wrong-doers.³

If he is adopting the logic of the affluent in Islamic civilisations, then he knows that Islam annuls all materialistic yardsticks by means of which people are judged.

¹ Sūrah Hūd: 27

² Sūrah Hūd: 29

³ Sūrah Hūd: 31

There is only one yardstick in Islam by means of which virtue is established, and that is taqwā. Allah says:

```
إِنَّ أَكْرَمَكُمْ عِندَ اللَّهِ أَتْقَـكُمْ
```

Verily, the most honoured of you in Allah's sight is the one with the most taqwā. $\ensuremath{^1}$

Indeed I find no justification for this author and his student's impudent and humiliating view, which they have publicised, disparaging Abū Hurayrah """ purely on the basis of his poverty, hunger and lack of possessions. Bilāl """ was the mu'adhin of Rasūlullāh to declare the word of Islam, leaving beneath him the leaders and influential personalities of Makkah on the occasion of its conquest. Umar """ would grant preference to the likes of Bilāl, Şuhayb and other weak Muslims instead of influential individuals when they would seek permission to visit him.

It is well known that majority of those who accepted Islam in its early stages were from the weak, poor and slaves. Did that decrease their status in the court of Rasūlullāh in any way? Did that discredit them in the light of Islamic history, or were their confrontations in the path of Allah rejected? Did Islamic history not dedicate some of its most glorious pages regarding heroism, honour, sincerity towards the truth and self-sacrifice in the path of Allah and spreading His dīn to these weak, poor and few individuals who were despised by the kuffār of Quraysh and the likes of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn and Abū Rayyah? How can those who were described by the kuffār of Quraysh and the likes of Abū Rayyah as 'wealthy', 'leaders' and 'honourable' ever reach the pedestals of glory occupied by them?²

As for the companionship of Abū Hurayrah (), which he himself had counted to be three years, it was not an exact figure. Little did he know that towards the end of time a bigoted extremist will count the days of his companionship against

¹ Sūrah al-Ḥujurāt: 13

² Al-Sunnah by Muṣtafā Sibāʿī pg. 324-325

him, hunt for his mistakes and disparage him due to his poverty, counting it to be amongst the causes of inferiority and disgrace. The reality is that the expedition of Khaybar took place in Muḥarram in the year 7 A.H. i.e. in the beginning of the year and it continued for thirty days. Abū Hurayrah entered al-Madīnah, according to the most famous narration whilst Khaybar was being conquered and he seen Rasūlullāh مَتَاسَتَنَعَدَوَسَتَرَ immediately after that, i.e. in the first ten days of Ṣafar.

Rasūlullāh مَرَاتَعَابَدِوَمَا passed away on Monday the 13th Rabī al-Awwal 11 A.H. corresponding to June 633 C.E. Knowing the above allows us to understand that Abū Hurayrah was in fact blessed with four years and thirty-three days of the companionship of Rasūlullāh مَرَاتَعَابَدُوَتَا. If Abū Hurayrah نُعَاقَدُ intended to give an exact figure when saying that he spent three years in the companionship of Rasūlullāh مَرَاتَعَادِوَتَا, then this would be in the case of him deducting the time that he spent with al-ʿAlā al-Ḥaḍramī in Bahrain in the year 8 A.H.¹

We have already stated that Abū Hurayrah منتفعة accepted Islam in the 7 A.H. during the Conquest of Khaybar. However, we now wish to expand by saying that he accepted Islam long before that, but only migrated to Rasūlullāh متأستندوستد that time. We prefer this view on the basis of the following two proofs:

- Ibn Hajar has stated in *al-Iṣābah*, under the biography of al-Ṭufayl ibn ʿAmr al-Dowsī www that he accepted Islam before the hijrah and then returned to his people — the tribe of Abū Hurayrah www — to call them towards Islam, but none accepted his message except his own father and Abū Hurayrah www. This is clear proof that Abū Hurayrah www accepted Islam many years before his arrival at the Conquest of Khaybar.
- Bukhāri, Muslim and others report a dispute that took place between Abū Hurayrah and Abān ibn Saʿīd ibn al-ʿĀṣ نواينه at the time of distribution of the spoils of Khaybar. Abān نواينه requested that Rasūlullāh تواينه allot a share for him upon which, Abū Hurayrah نواينه exclaimed: "Do not grant

¹ *Al-ʿIjāj* pg. 172

him a share O Rasūlullāh; indeed he is the killer of Qowqal (al-Nuʿmān ibn Mālik ibn Thaʿlabah whose agnomen is Qowqal ibn Aṣram)." This happened during the Battle of Uḥud, when Abān was still an idolater.

This incident proves to us that Abū Hurayrah was not a new-Muslim when he migrated to Rasūlullāh مَرَاتَتَكَبَرُوَتَهُ at the Conquest of Khaybar. In fact, he had been following all the battles and incidents, due to which he knew that Abān ibn Saʿīd ibn al-ʿĀṣ مَوَتَكَانَةُ was the killer of ibn Qowqal مُوَاتَكَةُ on the Day of Uḥud.

Most of the narrations state that his arrival was upon the termination of the conquest, while the booty was being distributed. Some narrations — which are more authentic — establish that Rasūlullāh عَالَنْهُ commanded the Muslims to set aside a share for him. Thereafter he remained attached to Rasūlullāh مَالَمُ to the extent that his only occupation after that was to learn the aḥādīth of Rasūlullāh مَالَمُ and relate it to the Muslims, forsaking everything of the world. It is obvious that his residence would be at Ṣuffah, which was a portion of the Masjid reserved for those who detached themselves from everything occupying themselves only with knowledge and jihād. They did not have any wealth or family in Madīnah. Some of the greatest Ṣaḥābah belonged to Ṣuffah. Rasūlullāh مَالَمُ

This remained the lifestyle of Abū Hurayrah المنتقفة, he remained with Rasūlullāh مكاتلة عليه مسلكة and went with him wherever he went until Allah chose for Rasūlullāh مكاتلة to return to Him. This continuous attachment from the year 7 A.H. onwards along with an exceptional zeal for acquiring the aḥādīth of Rasūlullāh

from those who preceded him, as well as the honourable spouses of Rasūlullāh سَاللَّنَعَيْدَيَالَ , led Abū Hurayrah مَعَانَيَ to acquiring a distinguished collection of aḥādīth, which was unparalleled amongst the Ṣaḥābah. This should obviously be the case, as none freed himself to the same extent for the sake of ḥadīth, and none accompanied Rasūlullāh مَاتَعَيْدَيَالَ everywhere that he went (in the manner that Abū Hurarayh

That is the reality of Abū Hurayrah's Islam. Al-Bukhārī and others like al-Dowlābī (in *al-Kunā*) have narrated the incident of his migration from the people of al-Dows to Rasūlullāh مَرَاتَتَ اللَّهُ in Madīnah and then Khaybar. He would sing the following couplets on his way:

فياليلة من طولها وعنائها معلى أنها من دارة الكفر نجت

O what a lengthy and tiring night, but it was salvation from the land of Kufr!

Further, a slave of Abū Hurayrah had escaped on route to Madīnah. The slave reappeared once he reached Madīnah, so Rasūlullāh مَرَالَنَهُ said to him: "Here is your slave O Abū Hurayrah!" He replied: "He is free for the pleasure of Allah, I set him free out of happiness that he met Rasūlullāh مَرَالَنَهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّالِيَّا وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّالِعُلَيْ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّالِعُلَيْ وَاللَّالَالَةُ وَاللَّالِي وَاللَّالَةُ وَاللَّالِعُلَيْلَةُ وَاللَّالِي وَاللَّالْعُلَيْ وَاللَّالَةُ وَاللَّالِي وَاللَّالِي وَاللَّالِي وَاللَّالَةُ وَاللَّالَعُلَيْ وَاللَّالَّالَةُ وَاللَّالَةُ وَاللَّالَةُ وَاللَّالَةُ وَاللَّالِي وَاللَّالِي وَاللَّالَةُ وَاللَّالِي وَاللَّالَةُ وَاللَّالَيْلُولُ وَاللَّالِي وَاللَّالِي وَالَيْلُولُ وَاللَّالُولُولُولُ وَاللَّالُولُ

This story is undoubtedly a beautiful example of true love for Rasūlullāh عَنَاسَتُعَدِيتَمَ sincere embracement of Islam and showing gratitude to Allah upon His favour of meeting Rasūlullāh عَنَاسَتُعَدِيتَمَة and pledging allegiance to him. He freed the only slave that he owned. This definitely leaves the genuine believers content, satisfied and warm-hearted towards his personality.

The bigots however, have filled their hearts with hatred for Abū Hurayrah Thus the incident of his acceptance of Islam was only seen by them as another example of a homeless person who was compelled by hunger to hop from city to city in order to fill his belly. Even his devotion and companionship was misinterpreted. They view him as a beggar, whose only purpose in life was to dispel his hunger and feed his greed.

How strange is their view! Would they be happy if they were viewed in the same light? Or if their children, or any of their associates were viewed in that manner? How is it that they are comfortable with such a view regarding a Ṣaḥābī of Rasūlullāh مَالَيْتَعَيْدِينَدُ ? The view of these few antagonists is meaningless, since the remainder of Muslims, with the scholars at the forefront have always considered him a noble vessel who carried the trust of the knowledge of Rasūlullāh .¹

Abd al-Ḥusayn writes on pages 22-27 under the title, "During the Era of Rasūlullāh المنافعة " that Abū Hurayrah المنافعة was afflicted with poverty and belonged to Suffah, who neither had any food nor any helpers. Did he forget to mention, or did he intentionally ignore the fact that they were the guests of Islam? They dedicated themselves to jihād in the path of Allah and acquiring knowledge. They were also the messengers of Rasūlullāh المنافعة to the rest of the Muslims. If he ever needed to convey revelation or gather the Muslims for any other reason, he would send them to call the Muslims to congregate. Most of them belonged to the Muhājirīn and amongst them were some of the leading Ṣaḥābah المنافعة. Rasūlullāh

Thereafter he accuses Abū Hurayrah توالله of accompanying Rasūlullāh توالله simply to fill his stomach. He forgets or intentionally plays ignorant to the fact that Rasūlullāh توالله would hardly find low-quality dates by which he could satiate his own hunger? Al-Nuʿmān ibn Bashīr narrates:

لقد رأيت نبيكم وما يجد من الدقل ما يملأ به

Indeed I had seen your Nabī in such a condition that he did not even have low-quality dates by which he could satiate his hunger.³

¹ Al-Sunnah of al-Sibāʿī pg. 325-328

² *Al-ʿIjāj* pg. 173

³ Muslim

ʿĀ'ishah رَحَالِيَّهُمَ narrates:

ما شبع آل محمد من خبز شعير يومين متتابعين حتى قبض رسول الله

The household of Muḥammad would not eat barley bread to their fill for two consecutive days until the demise of Rasūlullāh -1.

Did he forget that Rasūlullāh سَالَسَّعَيْدَوَتَكُ passed away and met with his Rabb whilst his shield was kept as guarantee (of payment) by a Jew as narrated by some? If this Ayatollah forgot about it, then let him be reminded now so that he does not repeat this mistake. If on the other hand, he is practising Taqiyyah, then the calamity is beyond our control! Their most reliable author, al-Kulaynī, narrates in his al-Kāfī from Abū ʿUbaydah who narrates from Abū Jaʿfar:

ما كان شيء أحب إلى رسول الله من أن يظل جائعاً خائفاً في الله

There was nothing more beloved to Rasūlullāh then to remain hungry and fearful for the pleasure of Allah.²

Al-Tuwaysīrkānī (Shī'ī) narrates many a narration in his book³ on the virtues of hunger. I will suffice on a few of them. He says:

I say, it is understood from this <code>hadīth</code> and others of its kind that the harms of filling the belly with food and drink is worse for the dīn of a man

¹ Al-Bukhārī and Muslim

² *Al-Wasā'il* 16/408 The Chapter on the Apprehensiveness of Eating to Ones Fill and Eating after Satiation.

³ Al-La'ālī al-Akhbār 1/144, Chapter of the virtue of not eating to ones fill, pg. 145

Chapter on establishing that satiation is the greatest harm to a person's dīn, pg. 147

Criticism of satiation and excessive eating, pg.149

The story of Yaḥyā with the devil in criticism of satiation, pg. 151

The fruits of hunger and its wonderful benefits, pg. 152

The narrations regarding the virtues of hunger, pg. 154

The description of the eating of a mu'min and the statements of the predecessors regarding it, pg. 155

The hunger of Rasūlullāh مَالْسَعَيْدَوَنَهُ and his training by means of it, pg. 156

The story of the hunger of $Ab\bar{u}$ Juḥayfah

than a container which is filled with alcohol, unlawful wealth, and other substances similar to them. Similarly it is established from his previous statement that there is nothing more harmful for the heart of a mu'min than excessive eating. The degree to which it corrupts it is unmatched. His statement also included the following: "Jibrīl said to me: 'Indeed my Rabb says to you, I take an oath on you O Muḥammad, I have never despised a filled container besides a filled stomach and that the furthest of the creation from Allah is the one who fills his belly and that the furthest that a person is from Allah is when his concern is his belly and his private part."¹

Nabī Mūsā ﷺ said: "O my Rabb I am really hungry!" Allah Taʻālā replied: "I am well aware of your hunger!" Nabī Mūsā responded: "O my Rabb, feed me!" He was given the answer: "Where do you wish to go?"

A man said to Ibn Sīrīn: "Teach me worship?" Ibn Sīrīn replied: "How do you eat?" He replied: "I eat to my fill." Ibn Sīrīn replied: "That is the way of the animals; you should first learn the etiquettes of eating and then learn the etiquettes of worship!"

He also said: "Undoubtedly the closest people to Allah on the day of Qiyāmah will be those who underwent the longest periods of hunger, thirst and grief in the world. They are the pious ones who are hidden. When they are present, they are not recognised and when they are absent they are not missed."

Al-Ṣādiq said: "Rasūlullāh من never ate bread of wheat and he did not eat barley bread to his fill."²

Another ḥadīth mentions that Rasūlullāh said to Fāṭimah as: "By the oath of Allah, I have not tasted food for the past three days." He would tie stones to his belly due to severe hunger. At times it would become so

¹ Al-La'ālī al-Akhbār 1/145-146, pg. 152-153

² Al-La'ālī al-Akhbār 1/155, 2/360

severe that he would have to lie on his back and he would not have the strength to stand for sal $\bar{a}h$.¹

One narration states that a Ṣaḥābī entered upon Nabī المستكفية whilst he had a stone tied to his stomach due to hunger and was laying on his back, unable to sit up. He was saying: "O Allah, I seek your protection from such sleep which is enhanced by a comfortable bed and distracts me from your worship."²

Our comment: Hunger was not a difficulty that was confined to Abū Hurayrah Rather, Nabī سَالِمَا اللهُ المُعَانِينَ himself endured great hunger. 'Alī نَعَانَ would also endure hunger to the degree that he once had to borrow a dīnār to dispel his hunger. In fact, even his children, Ḥasan and Ḥusayn, as well as his wife Fāṭimah would endure hunger. Thus, the criticism of this dishonest author and his mockery is not confined to Abū Hurayrah. It is directed to Nabī mockery is not confined to Abū Hurayrah. It is directed to Nabī

أن رسول الله توفى ودرعه مرهونة عند رجل من اليهود على ثلاثين صاعاً من شعير، أخذها رزقا لعياله

Rasūlullāh 2 passed away whilst his armour was given as guarantee (of payment) to a Jew for thirty $s\bar{a}^{3}$ of barley which he took to feed his dependants.⁴

Since we are discussing this subject, let us also add those narrations in which Fāṭimah المناقبة described her condition to Rasūlullāh متاللة عليه

وفي رواية: قالت فاطمة (ع) : إنك زوجتني فقيراً لا مال له ...

Fāțimah said: "You have married me to one who is poor, he has no wealth." $^{\scriptscriptstyle 5}$

¹ Al-La'ālī al-Akhbār 1/155

² Al-La'ālī al-Akhbār 1/155

³ A measurement of volume roughly equivalent to 2.5 litres.

⁴ Makārim al-Akhlāq pg. 25, al-Iḥtijāj pg. 120, Qurb al-Isnād pg. 44, al-Biḥār 16/239, 17/297, 103/144

⁵ al-Irshād pg. 16, al-Biḥār 40/17,18,85,178, 18/398,37,91, 37/91, 38/5, 43/139, Kashf al-Yaqīn pg. 158, Amālī al-Ṣadūq pg. 356, Ta'wīl al-Āyāt 1/272, al-Muḥtaḍar pg. 143, al-Manāqib 1/180, I'lām al-Warā pg. 164

Another narration confirms the same:

وفي رواية: قالت فاطمة (ع) : إنك زوجتني فقيراً لا مال له ...

Fāțimah çass said: "You have married me to one who is poor, he has no wealth."

A third narration states:

قال : ما يبكيك يا بنتي؟ قالت: قلة الطعام وكثرة الهم وشدة السقم، قال لها: أما والله ما عند الله خيراً لك مما ترغبين إليه، يا فاطمة أما ترضين أن زوجتك خير أمتي و أقدمهم سلما وأكثرهم علما و أفضلهم حلما

Rasūlullāh asked: "What brings tears to your eyes, O my beloved daughter?" She answered: "Insufficient food, excessive grief and severe illness." Rasūlullāh asket: "Listen well! There is nothing better in the treasures of Allah for you than what you have. O Fāṭimah! Does it not bring joy to you that your husband is the best of my ummah, the first Muslim, the most knowledgeable and the one with the greatest forbearance?"

We will suffice upon the following disturbing narration which adequately describes to us the hunger of Fāțimah, Ḥasan and Ḥusayn. Al-Qummī narrates in his book *Amālī al-Ṣadūq* (page 215):

... وعمدوا إلى ما كان الخوان فاتوه وباتوا جياعاً وأصبحوا مفطرين عندهم شيئ، قال شعيب في حديثه وأقبل علي بالحسن والحسين (ع) نحو رسول الله وهما يرتعشان كالفراخ من شدة الجوع، فلما بصربهم النبي قال يا أبا الحسن شد ما يسوءني ما أرى بكم ، انطلق إلى ابنتي فاطمة فانطلقوا إليهما وهي في محرابها قد لصق بطنها بظهرها من شدة الجوع .

They desired that which the tablecloth lacked and spent the night hungry. They awoke the next morning searching for something to eat. Shu'ayb narrates: "'Alī took Ḥasan and Ḥusayn to Rasūlullāh المنابعة whilst they were shivering like nestlings due to severe hunger. As soon as Nabī

¹ Kashf al-Ghummah 1/84, al-Biḥār 38/19

seen them he said: "It is quite distressing for me to see you people in this condition. Let us go to my daughter Fāṭimah." Thus they went to her and found her in her cubicle; her stomach had drawn close to her back due to severe hunger.

All of this is sufficient proof to exonerate the personality of Abū Hurayrah and establish his pure nature as well as his excellent mannerisms. However, hatred has already found its place in the heart of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, over and above his ignorance regarding the narrations of the Ahl al-Bayt. Therefore, he attempted to paint a picture for his readers of a penniless and homeless pauper who would beg from the Ṣaḥābah and accompany Rasūlullāh مَالَيَتَعَادِيَاتَ only to fill his belly. He did not acknowledge his hunger for knowledge and his disinclination from the worldly possessions of Rasūlullāh

He created the impression that Abū Hurayrah المنتخفين was a poor person, dying out of hunger, who would collect the left-overs from everybody's table-cloths and desired nothing more than this worldly life. He ignored the other narrations which explain the real reason of his companionship of Rasūlullāh abstinence from this world and his total dedication towards serving Rasūlullāh to acquire knowledge. Rasūlullāh المنتخبوتية to acquire knowledge. Rasūlullāh مركة once asked him: "Will you not ask me for a portion of these spoils as your companions do?" Abū Hurayrah

'Abd al-Ḥusayn then mentions that Abū Hurayrah نفاق praised Jaʿfar ibn Abī Ṭālib for being magnanimous towards the poor, honouring them and sympathising with them. However, the author believes that Abū Hurayrah نفاق preferred Jaʿfar over everyone else after Rasūlullāh متابعين on account of Jaʿfar feeding him. This claim contains a number of fabrications, lies and misguidance. His praise for Jaʿfar نفاق was due to the fact that whenever he was asked to be hospitable, he would not reply except by taking him to his home.

Abū Hurayrah the says: "He would take us and feed us all that was in his house to the extent that sometimes he would give us a fat container which we would

tear and lick all that was in it."¹ This is the reason why Abū Hurayrah نفي said regarding him that he was the best of people towards the poor. This is a fact. The magnanimity, generosity and love that Jaʿfar نفي had for the poor was wellknown to Nabī مَرَاتَنَعَيْمَوْسَةُ and his companions. This is why Nabī مَرَاتَنَعَيْمَوْسَةُ gave him the agnomen Abū al-Masākīn (father of the poor). Is Abū Hurayrah still worthy of criticism for praising Jaʿfar مَرَاتَيَعَانَهُ , even after Nabī مَرَاتَنَعَانَ blesses him with the agnomen Abū al-Masākīn?

The narration in which Abū Hurayrah is reported to have said: "None who wore a sandal, mounted a conveyance or walked upon sand is nobler than Jaʿfar ibn Abī Tālib except Rasūlullāh تَكَانَتَكَانَةُ ," should be understood in this manner. He was speaking about those who loved the poor and were compassionate towards the destitute. He did intend to single out the most virtuous companion of Rasūlullāh in a general sense. Thus the claim of this author and his like, such as his student Abū Rayyah, that he deemed him more virtuous than Abū Bakr, 'Umar and the rest of the Ṣaḥābah تَعَانَيَّنَا is baseless. Where do these bigots get so much of courage to disparage the Ṣaḥābah of Rasūlullāh

Our explanation is supported by the comments of Hāfiẓ Ibn Hajar. After quoting the statement of Abū Hurayrah are regarding Jaʿfar are he says: "He was the best of people to the poor." This detail 'to the poor' explains the general narration which is narrated by 'Ikrimah from Abū Hurayrah who said: "None who wore a sandal…"

The author says on pg. 28 under the title, "During the era of the two khulafā":

We have read up the narrations of the two khulafā' and covered all that took place during their eras. We found no mention of Abū Hurayrah besides an incident which mentions that 'Umar sent him as a governor to Bahrain in the year 21 A.H. He dismissed him in the year 23 A.H. and replaced him with 'Uthmān ibn Abī al-ʿĀṣ al-Thaqafī. He did not just dismiss him, rather he also retrieved from him, on behalf of

¹ Bukhārī

the bayt al-māl, ten thousand which he claimed that he stole from the wealth of Allah in a well-known judgement. That which Ibn ʿAbd Rabbihī has mentioned will satisfy you (under the chapter: Resolution and Determination needed by a Ruler, in the beginning of the first part of his al-Iqd al-Farīd). He says whilst mentioning ʿUmar:

ثم دعا أبا هريرة فقال له : علمت إني استعملتك على البحرين وأنت بلا نعلين ثم بلغني إنك ابتعت أفراساً بألف دينار وستماية دينار قال كانت لنا أفراس تناتجت وعطايا تلاحقت ، قال : حسبت لك رزقك ومؤنتك وهذا فضل فأده قال : ليس لك ذلك قال : بلى والله وأوجع ظهرك ثم قام اليه بالدرة فضربه حتى أدماه ثم قال : ائت بها قال : احتسبها عند الله، قال : ذلك لو أخذتها من حلال وأديتها طائعا ، أجئت من أقصى حجر البحرين يجبي الناس لك لا لله ولا للمسلمين ؟ ما رجعت بك أميمة إلا لرعية الحمر

Thereafter, he summoned Abū Hurayrah and said to him: "I know that I appointed you the governor of Bahrain when you did not even have shoes. Later, it reached me that you sold horses to the value of one thousand six hundred dīnārs (gold coins)?" Abū Hurayrah responded: "We had horses which reproduced and many gifts which were added together." 'Umar said: "I calculated your sustenance and labour. This is an added benefit." He replied: "You cannot do that!" 'Umar then said: "Most definitely I can, and I will whip your back!" He then went towards him and whipped him until he bled. Further he demanded: "Go bring them!" Abū Hurayrah said: "I seek the reward of it by Allah." 'Umar replied: "That would have been possible if you earned it lawfully and handed it in happily. Have you come from the furthest rock of Bahrain with the taxes of people for yourself, without giving it to Allah or the people? Umaymah will not return¹ with you except that you will be taking care of asses."

Ibn ʿAbd Rabbihī said:

In the ḥadīth of Abū Hurayrah: "When 'Umar dismissed me from the governance of Bahrain, he said to me: 'O Enemy of Allah and His Book, you usurped the wealth of

¹ The bigot added a footnote to explain that the 'Arabic word used here is al-Rajⁱ and al-Rajⁱ, which means faeces. He goes on to explain that they have been named as such because they transform into dirt after initially being food and fodder. I (the author) say; the lack of understanding and desires of this bigot have lead him to explain this word in the way he explained it. The reality is that this word means; to return. Further, the context does not allow for any other meaning or interpretation, so why was there a forced attack? Is this the way of a balanced researcher?- *Al-'tjāj* pg. 176

Allah?' I replied: 'Neither am I the enemy of Allah nor am I the enemy of His Book. Rather I am the enemy of the one who has enmity for you. I have not usurped the wealth of Allah.' He asked: 'Then where did you get ten thousand from?' I replied: 'Horses who reproduced gifts which were collected and shares which kept coming my way.' He then took it from me. Later, after I performed Ṣalāt al-Fajr, I sought forgiveness on behalf of Amīr al-Mu'minīn.'

Ibn Abī al-Ḥadīd also narrated it when discussing a portion of the life of 'Umar import three of Sharḥ Nahj al-Balāghah. Ibn Saʿd has also narrated it under the biography of Abū Hurayrah import in his Ṭabaqāt al-Kubrā from Muḥammad ibn Sīrīn who narrates from Abū Hurayrah import impo

Ibn Ḥajar al-ʿAsqalānī has also mentioned it under the biography of Abū Hurayrah in his al-Iṣābah, but he altered it out of compassion for Abū Hurayrah alteration twisted the reality that is established in accordance with the consensus of the people of knowledge. He did not realise the negative implications that are created as a result of this alteration regarding the one who struck his back and made him bleed, dismissed him and took his wealth.

Our comment: he claims that he went through the narrations regarding the first two khulafā' and covered all that occurred during the two eras? And he found no mention of Abū Hurayrah ⁽¹⁾ This is a baseless claim and assertion. Abū Hurayrah ⁽²⁾ Participated in the wars against the renegades in the era of Abū Bakr. Imām Aḥmad narrates that which transpired between Abū Bakr, 'Umar and Abū Hurayrah ⁽²⁾. The narration goes on to state:

كانت الردة قال عمر لأبي بكر تقاتلهم وقد سمعت رسول الله يقول كذا وكذا ؟ قال فقال أبوبكر : والله لا أفرق بين الصلاة والزكاة ، ولأقاتلن من فرق بينهما ، قال – أبو هريرة – فقاتلنا معه فرأينا ذلك رشداً

When the people turned renegade, 'Umar said to Abū Bakr sais: "Will you kill them even though I have heard Rasūlullāh sais say such and such...?" Abū Bakr sais responded: "By the oath of Allah, I will not differentiate between salāh and zakāh and I will fight those who differentiate between them." Thus we fought along with him and we deemed that to be a guided step.¹

He would cherish the view of Abū Bakr and he praised him for it. Al-Bayhaqī and Ibn ʿAsākir have narrated from Abū Hurayrah that he said:

والذي لا إله إلاّ هو ... لو لا أن أبابكر استخلف ما عبدالله تعالى ، ثم قال الثانية ، ثم قال الثالثة ، فقيل له : مه يا أباهريرة ! فقال: إن رسول الله وجه أسامة بن زيد في سبعمائة إلى الشام ، فلما تزل بذي خشب قبض النبي ، وارتدت العرب حول المدينة، واجتمع إليه أصحاب رسول الله فقالوا : ردّ هؤلاء ، توجه هؤلاء إلى الروم وقد ارتدت العرب حول المدينة ؟ فقال: والذي لا إله إلا هو لو جرت الكلاب بأوجل أزواج النبي ما رددت جيشا وجهه رسول الله، ولا حللت لواء عقده ، فوجه أسامة ، فجعل لا يمر بقبيل يريدون الارتداد إلا قالوا : لولا أن هؤلاء قوة ما خرج مثل هؤلاء من عندهم ، ولكن ندعهم حتى يلقوا الروم ، فلقوهم فهزموهم وقتلوهم ، ورجعوا سالمين فثبتوا على الاسلام

By the oath of the one besides whom there is no deity... if Abū Bakr was not appointed khalīfah, Allah Taʿālā would not have been worshipped. He repeated himself twice more so someone said to him: "Enough O Abū Hurayrah!" He replied: "Rasūlullāh مكاللتكية despatched Usāmah ibn Zayd with an army of seven hundred towards Shām. As soon as they dismounted at Dhī Khashab, Rasūlullāh مكاتشتين passed away. The 'Arabs around Madīnah then turned reneged. The Sahābah of Rasūlullāh مَالَشَعَيْدِوَسَةُ all came to him and said, "Call back that army! Are you going to send them to Rome even though the 'Arabs around Madīnah have reneged?" He replied: "By the oath of the one besides whom there is no deity, if the wild dogs have to walk with the flesh of the wives of Nabī مَالْتَعَلَيْنَةُ I will not call back an army that was despatched by Rasūlullāh مراتشتانية and I will not untie a flag that was tied by him." Thus, he sent out Usāmah was. This army did not pass any tribe who were on the verge of becoming renegade except that they said: "If these people did not have strength, an army of this size would not have been sent out by them. We will leave them to face the Romans." They went on to defeat the Romans, killed a large number of them and returned safely. Upon seeing this, those tribes remained firm upon Islam."2

¹ Musnad Aḥmad 1/181 with an authentic isnād.

² Al-Bidāyah wa l-Nihāyah 6/305, Tārīkh al-Khulafā' of al-Suyūţī pg. 74, al-Kāmil of Ibn 'Adī 2/62

During the era of 'Umar ¹⁴, he remained occupied with acquiring and imparting knowledge. He even accompanied Amīr al-Mu'minīn on ḥajj when he narrated to him the ḥadīth on wind when it became severe upon them. None besides him recalled it at that moment.¹ Similarly, he participated in the Battle of Yarmūk as mentioned previously. Thus, the narrations regarding Abū Hurayrah ¹⁴/₁₆ during the era of the two khulafā' were not obscure. However, this author did not read them as he claimed to have done.

The author has taken support from a narration that has no isnād. If it had an isnād, he would have at least afforded the opportunity of deducing its authenticity. The second narration — which he omitted — appears in many books (*Ḥilyat al-Awliyā'*, *Ṭabaqāt Ibn Saʿd*, *Tārīkh al-Islam*, *al-Iṣābah* and *ʿUyūn al-Akhbār*) with authentic chains of narration. I have already mentioned all of this under his biography. The narration used by this author will have to be rejected as it contradicts a narration that is more authentic than it. If for argument sake, we were to accept its authenticity, then the narration following it does not mention that he was lashed by 'Umar www. Instead it has the reply of Abū Hurayrah to 'Umar www, the explanation of how he acquired his wealth as well as a refutation of the allegation levelled against him.

Our comment: this narration corrects the errors and clarifies the previous narration as it quotes Abū Hurayrah www who said: "Thereafter he took the

¹ Musnad Aḥmad 4/521 with an authentic isnād.

dirhams from me, so I sought forgiveness on behalf of Amīr al-Mu'minīn after I performed the Ṣalāt al-Fajr." Abū Hurayrah ﷺ seeks forgiveness on behalf of Amīr al-Mu'minīn who took away half of his wealth. This is despite him being fully aware that whatever was taken by Amīr al-Mu'minīn was his rightful share and gifts that he had received. The point worthy of most attention at this juncture is that he did not hold any grudge against 'Umar ﷺ for transferring his wealth to the bayt al-māl; rather he simply considered himself an oppressed person and sought forgiveness for his Amīr.

All of the above will only apply in the case of that narration being proven correct. This is because the other narration states:

قال: فمن أين هي لك؟ قلت: خيل نتجت، وغلة رقيق ل ، وأعطية تتابعت عليّ، فنظروا ، فوجدوه كما قال

'Umar asked: "How did you acquire this?" I replied: "Horses which reproduced the income of my slave and gifts that were sent to me, one after the other." Thereafter they calculated it and found it as he explained.¹

According to some narrations, 'Umar www took from him twelve thousand.² I prefer the narration that 'Umar www divided his wealth and gave him half, just as he had done with many of his governors. However, he did not lash him. Ibn 'Abd Rabbihī reports:

ولما عزل عمر أبا موسى الأشعري عن البصرة وشاطره ماله ، وعزل أباهريرة عن البحرين وشاطره ماله ، وعزل الحارث بن كعب بن وهب وشاطره ماله .. ودعا أبو موسى .. ثم دعا أبا هريرة ..

When 'Umar and took away half of his wealth and he dismissed Abū Hurayrah from Bahrain and took away half of his wealth and he dismissed Hārith ibn Ka'b ibn Wahb and took away half of his wealth... he called Abū Mūsā... then he called Abū Hurayrah...³

¹ Tārīkh al-Islam 2/338, Ḥilyāt al-Awliyā' 1/380, Al-Bidāyah wa l-Nihāyah 8/111

² Țabaqț Ibn Saʿd 4/59

³ Al-ʻIqd al-Farīd 1/33

It is stated in *Ṭabaqāt Ibn Saʿd*:

وقاسم عمر سعد بن أبي وقاص ماله حين عزله عن العراق

'Umar divided the wealth of Sa'd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ when he dismissed him from governorship of Iraq.'

"Is it due to my inability or some corruption?" 'Umar نهینه replied: "None of the two. The reality is that I do not wish that your intelligence be utilised upon the masses."²

سر إلى عتبة بن غزوان – كان والياً على البصرة – فقد وليتك علمه ، وأعلم أنك تقدم على رجل من المهاجرين الأولين الذي سبقت لهم من الله الحسنى لم أعزله الا يكون عفيفاً صليباً شديد البأس ، ولكن ظننت أنك أعنى عن المسلمين في تلك الناحية منه ، فاعرف له حقه ، وقد وليت قبلك رجلا فمات قبل أن يصل، فإن يرد الله أن تلى وليت ، وإن يرد الله أن يلى عتبة فالخلق والأمر لله رب العالمين

Go to ʿUtbah ibn Ghazwān (who was the governor of Baṣrah at that time) as I have appointed you to his post. Know well that you are going to a man

^{1 3/105}

² Al-ʻIqd al-Farīd 1/60

from amongst the very first Muhājirīn, those whom goodness from Allah has already been decreed for them. I did not dismiss him due to some weakness. He is an unblemished, firm and extraordinary person; however I am of the opinion that you will be more beneficial for the Muslims in that domain. Therefore, accept his rights. I appointed another person before you but he passed away before reaching there. If Allah wishes that you should be the governor then you will govern and if Allah wishes that 'Utbah should remain the governor then the entire creation and matters are in the control of Allah.¹

As for his claim that 'Umar lashed him with a whip, we challenge him and all those who are entertain such boldness against Abū Hurayrah is to bring forward an unambiguous and reliable historic record from an authentic book to prove their claim. Neither should it be one of those books of fables which narrate all types of fabricated tales, nor should it be one of the books of the Shī ah which are well-known for their enmity towards Abū Hurayrah is and their accusations against him.

These books lack authenticity and have no value according to all those who have the faintest idea of academics. These bigots will exhaust themselves trying to find such a narration, but we can guarantee that it will never be found. Allah has refused that they should find it. If that narration appears in a book like '*Uyūn al-Akhbār*, *Badā'i*' *al-Zuḥūr*, *al-Iqd al-Farīd* or from narrators such as Ibn Abī al-Ḥadīd and al-Iskāfi or accused persons such as al-Niẓām and company... then these books, narrators and critics have no link with knowledge and scholars!

Ibn Abī al-Ḥadīd is from those who call towards *Iʿtizāl*² and Rafḍ and he participated in conspiracies against Islam. His condition is well-known. Al-Iskāfī is also among those who call towards Iʿtizāl and Rafḍ. He existed in the third century and there is no isnād that reaches him. This type of pointless narrations is to be found in

¹ Țabaqāt ibn Saʿd 4/78

² A deviant ideology which centres around the idea of granting supreme authority to the intellect.

Abūndance in the books of the Rāfiḍah Nāṣibiyyah¹ and others. They disparage Abū Bakr, ʿUmar, ʿAlī, ʿĀʾishah among others. Only those who have no understanding will cling onto such narrations.

قلت قد ذكر ابن قتيبة هذا كله في كتاب المعارف في ترجمة أبي هريرة وقوله فيه حجة لأنه غير متهم عليه

I say, Ibn Qutaybah has mentioned all of this in Kitāb al-Maʿārif under the biography of Abū Hurayrah. His word is proof as he was never accused.

This is an indication towards the fact that al-Iskāfī had been suspected. Just as we do not suspect Ibn Qutaybah, similarly we do not suspect al-Iskāfī of concocting lies. However, we do suspect him of grabbing and holding onto lies that were fabricated by his Rāfiḍah and Muʿtazilah companions. The people of knowledge do not accept narrations with incomplete asānīd even though they may be narrated by the greatest Imāms of ḥadīth. If that is the case, then what do you think of that which Ibn Abī al-Ḥadīd narrates from al-Iskāfī who narrates from someone who existed before him², who is not even reliable³.

¹ The Rāfiḍah Nāṣibiyyah are those who have rejected the khilāfah of Abū Bakr and 'Umar نهي , criticised them and Abūsed them. They also attack the Ahl al-Bayt of Nabī نهي in the form of 'Ā'ishah and Ḥafṣah نهي . They accuse them of adultery and declared war against them. Both these traits are found in this bigot and his colleagues like al-Qummī, al-Majlisī, al-Bayāḍī, al-Jazā'irī, al-Baḥrānī and others.

² Al-Anwār al-Kāshifah pg. 152-153

³ *Al-ʿIjāj* pg. 213

standing during the reign of 'Umar ^{Mage}. The neighbouring countries were conquered and as a result Abūndant spoils of war and wealth poured into the Muslim lands. Added to that, none of the authentic narrations mention any of that.

فقال لي بعد ذلك : ألا تعمل ؟ قلت: لا . قال: قد عمل من هو خير منك يوسف صلوات الله عليه . قلت: يوسف نبي وأنا ابن أميمة ، أخشى أن يشتم عرضي ، وويضرب ظهري وينزع مالي

He said to me after that, "Will you not be a governor?" I replied: "No." He said: "One who is better than you became a governor, Yūsuf ﷺ." I said: "Yūsuf was a Nabī. I am the son of Umaymah, I fear that my honour will not be upheld, my back will be lashed and my wealth will be taken."¹

This portion appears at the end of the same narration that the 'trustworthy' author quoted. However, he chose to omit it due to his hatred for the 'narrator of Islam'. This portion also highlights that 'Umar did not lash Abū Hurayrah and the trust of the 'narrator of Islam'. This is because if it is true that 'Umar and the lashed him, then he would have said: "I will not return, as my honour was tainted and my back was lashed." In this way, Abū Hurayrah has been proven innocent from the allegations which the author invented.²

'Abd al-Ḥusayn states under the chapter: during the reign of 'Uthmān (page 30):

Abū Hurayrah was became loyal to the progeny of Abū al-ʿĀṣ and the entire Banū Umayyah during the era of ʿUthmān. He joined up with Marwān and ingratiated

¹ Al-'Iqd al-Farīd 1/34-35, 60

² Abū Hurayrah Rāwiyat al-Islam li lʿijāj pg. 175-178

with Ibn Abī Muʿīṭ, which earned him a position. He was greatly elevated after the day of al-Dār (the day ʿUthmān was martyred), when ʿUthmān's house was surrounded by the enemy, and he remained in the house. These acts earned him fame after being downtrodden and raised his status in general. This provided him with a perfect opportunity to protect the house and score points with the progeny of Abū al-ʿĀṣ as well as the other Umayyads, their supporters and allies.

Thus they removed his clothes of shame and replaced it with excessive mention of him. This is despite the fact that they were fully aware that he only handed himself over to those who were surrounding the house and he only entered the house after the Khalīfah commanded his men not to retaliate in any way and he ordered that they should maintain peace. This command was issued purely on the basis of his own safety and the safety of his companions.

Abū Hurayrah was fully cognisant that the rebels were only targeting 'Uthmān and Marwān. This is what prompted him to act brave and join those who were under siege. Whatever else took place is irrelevant. The crux of the tale is that he exploited this opportunity which then turned out to be an extremely profitable deal struck by him. From this day onwards, the Banū Umayyah and their allies held firmly onto his discourses and narrations. They left no stone unturned in spreading his narrations and using them as proof. He would then concoct for them any narration that they desired. Among his narrations for them is that he narrated that Nabī Lawa and the store as the store as a store as the store as

إن لكل نبي خليلا من أمته وان خليلي عثمان

Every nabī had a bosom friend from his ummah and my bosom friend is 'Uthmān.

'Abd al-Husayn then comments in the footnotes:

The people of knowledge are unanimous upon the falsity of this hadīth. However, the friends of Abū Hurayrah have dumped the blame upon Ishāq ibn Najī al-Malţī, who is one of the narrators in the chain of transmission to Abū Hurayrah. Al-Dhahabī has narrated it under the biography of Ishāq in Mīzān al-I'tidāl, expressing certainty regarding its falsity. He also narrates that Rasūlullāh كالتغريب said: "'Uthmān is extremely modest, even the angels are modest before him." and "Every Nabī has a companion in Jannah and my companion in Jannah is 'Uthmān."

Thereafter he comments:

This hadīth is false according to everyone. The friends of Abū Hurayrah attribute the forgery to 'Uthmān ibn Khālid ibn 'Umar ibn 'Abd Allāh ibn al-Walīd ibn Uthmān ibn 'Affān, one of those who appear in the unbroken chain leading up to Abū Hurayrah. Al-Dhahabī has mentioned it under the biography of the abovementioned 'Uthmān ibn Khālid in Mīzān al-I'tidāl and counted it amongst his unauthentic narrations.

They have also narrated the following from him with an unbroken chain:

أتاني جبريل فقال لي : إن الله يأمرك أن تزوج عثمان أم كلثوم على مثل صداق رقية

Jibrīl came to me and said: "Allah commands you to marry Umm Kulthūm to 'Uthmān in lieu of the same amount of dowry to Ruqayyah."

He adds his footnote:

Ibn Munduh narrated this and said: "A narration that is gharīb (only narrated by one person at some point). Muḥammad ibn 'Uthmān ibn Khālid al-'Uthmānī is the only one who narrates it. I say that Ibn Ḥajar al-'Asqalānī has also narrated this ḥadīth at the end of the biography of Sayyidah Umm Kulthūm at the end of the fourth part. He stated that it is gharīb due to it being narrated by Muḥammad ibn 'Uthmān ibn Khālid al-'Uthmānī alone. One may refer to it if he so wishes.

Our comment:

The innovators kept up their habit — which was exposed along the course of this book — by quoting fabricated and unauthentic aḥādīth which were wrongfully attributed to Abū Hurayrah by those who falsely attributed statements to

Rasūlullāh حَالَتَعَبَّدَوَمَةُ regarding matters which are correct. Further, they used this to prove the dishonesty of Abū Hurayrah and accuse him of being responsible for those aḥādīth. All of this was done even though theses narrations were taken by them from books which were compiled specifically with the purpose of refuting them and explaining their weak status.

They narrated these aḥādīth and created an impression in the mind of the reader that these are authentically transmitted from Abū Hurayrah, overlooking the refutation that was mentioned along with it. However, the strange and new aspect of this legend, and indeed he is a legend in the field of deceit, is that he insists upon attributing a narration to Abū Hurayrah even though it was proven to be forged in his name. Have you ever seen this kind of strange insistence?

The greatest of scholars on the sciences of hadīth scrutiny have exposed and pinpointed these narrators to be unreliable and liars, yet the enemy of Abū Hurayrah finds no other way out except regarding them to be truthful and regarding the liar to be Abū Hurayrah. By the oath of Allah, we have not heard of this even from the Jewish orientalists. The height of what they have done was creating the impression amongst people that certain fabricated aḥādīth were deemed authentic. Reflect upon the enmity displayed by this author!

We have never seen up until now, that a person narrates these fabricated and unauthentic aḥādīth, highlighting their lack of authenticity and yet still chooses to be dim-witted by accusing Abū Hurayrah of lying and attributing false narrations to Nabī Jari The Like of which was never heard of before. He narrates aḥādīth which were falsely attributed to 'Alī The exact manner as he narrated aḥādīth regarding 'Uthmān from Abū Hurayrah which are found in the books of the Ahl al-Sunnah. He classifies these narrations — regarding the virtues of 'Alī — as authentic despite them being fabrications, whilst he accuses Abū Hurayrah of lying simply because those narrations are regarding the virtues of 'Uthmān Me exact show are classified as fabrications. Have you ever seen a 'legend of the time' such as this one? Indeed he is a legend of lies and deceit!

The author narrates these aḥādīth and adds his footnote that the scholars of ḥadīth criticism have regarded it to be a fabrication. Despite this he shamelessly accuses Abū Hurayrah of lying. We will cite one example of this kind. The author says:

The Banū Umayyah and their allies held firmly onto his discourses and narrations. They left no stone unturned in spreading his narrations and using them as proof. He would then concoct for them any narration that they desired. Among his narrations for them is that he said Nabī موانيتينية said:

إن لكل نبي خليلا من أمته وان خليلي عثمان

Every nabī had a bosom friend from his ummah and my bosom friend is 'Uthmān.

^cAbd al-Husayn then comments in the footnotes:

The people of knowledge are unanimous upon the falsity of this ḥadīth. However, the friends of Abū Hurayrah have dumped the blame upon Isḥāq ibn Najī al-Malṭī, who is one of the narrators in the chain of transmission to Abū Hurayrah. Al-Dhahabī has narrated it under the biography of Isḥāq in Mīzān al-Iʿtidāl, expressing certainty regarding its falsity.

Have you ever seen the like of this highly skilled genius?

Over and above this allegation, this 'genius' has invented a new method of authenticating aḥādīth by saying "The scholars are unanimous regarding the falsity of this ḥadīth". We have no idea as to who is he referring to when he says "the scholars". It is perhaps those who have the same views as him like Ibn Abī al-Ḥadīd, al-Iskāfī, al-Niẓam and their likes. The scales of true scholars would produce the same reading and conclusion as stated by al-Dhahabī in the foreword of his *Mīzān*:

أما الصحابة فلا أذكرهم لجلالتهم في هذا المصنف فإن الضعف جاء من جهة الرواة عنهم

As for the ahaba, their glory does not permit that I should include them in this compilation. Weakness in transmission can only be traced to the narrators after them.¹

O esteemed genius, this is the scale of the scholars! What do we now do with the author and his scale as we have not come across this type of methodology in deducing authenticity? None, as far as we know, have ever followed this methodology irrespective of whether they belonged to the Ahl al-Sunnah or the Shīʿah. The only ones who have adopted this methodology are those who possess a special magnifying glass which is used exclusively by them in their academic research and discussions. It is possible that this author owns one of them in his library by which he is able to pass verdicts regarding the aḥādīth of Rasūlullāh accordance to his whims and fancies.

The author has admitted that this ḥadīth is a forgery against Abū Hurayrah as Ḥāfiẓ al-Dhahabī stated under the biography of Isḥāq. Despite this, he still accuses Abū Hurayrah of fabricating this ḥadīth. This is the pinnacle of dim-wittedness. How can he be considered guilty if others have forged aḥādīth in his name, especially since al-Dhahabī stated in the forward of his book *Mīzān* that he will not criticise any of the Ṣaḥābah as discrepancies had only set in after them?

Is it justifiable to say, on the basis of the fabricated aḥādīth which he quoted on page thirty-two of his book, that 'Alī (may Allah honour him) was a liar? Is it permissible for us to apply his methodology to the narrations attributed by the Shī'ah to 'Alī, Muḥammad ibn 'Alī, Ja'far ibn Muḥammad and others? Their scholar, al-Nūrī narrates one thousand eight hundred narrations from the Imāms to prove that adulteration took place in the Qur'ān. Similarly, the best of their narrators, al-Kulaynī and al-Kashshī have narrated many traditions to prove that with the exception of three Ṣaḥābah, the rest turned renegade. There are many

¹ Mīzān al-I'tidāl pg.2
other narrations of this type. Is it permissible for us to quote all these ahādīth which have been fabricated in the names of these pure Imāms and then claim, on the basis of this thoughtless methodology that all of them ('Alī, al-Ṣādiq, al-Bāqir) are great liars? (Allah forbid!)

The Ahl al-Sunnah have not done this as this was never part of their methodology. The approach has been a consistent one. Thus, regarding those aḥādīth which are narrated exclusively by the Shī ah from the A'immah and no other group of Muslims have heard such narrations — such as their view on *Naṣṣ*¹, *Badā*², *Rajʿah*³, *Mutʿah*⁴ etc. — they are considered the fabrications of those who claim to narrate from them, such as the likes of Abū Baṣīr, Hishām, Shayṭān al-Ṭāq etc.. The Ahl al-Sunnah do not accuse any of the Imāms, be it al-Bāqir, al-Ṣādiq, al-Riḍā or any of the others of being liars and fabricators.

As far as the narrations on adulteration of the Qur'ān are concerned, we hold al-Qummī responsible for their forgery. The same applies to his student al-Kulaynī who asserts that all the narrations of his book *al-Kāfī* are authentic. We suspect him of falsely attributing these narrations to al-Ṣādiq and al-Bāqir. Al-Kashshī narrates under the biography of al-Mughīrah ibn Saʿīd with his isnād from Yūnus:

وافيت العراق فوجدت بها قطعة من أصحاب أبي جعفر (ع) ووجدت أصحاب أبي عبدالله(ع) متوافرين فسمعت منهم وأخذت كتبهم فعرضتها من بعد على أبي الحسن الرضا(ع) فأنكر منها أحاديث كثيرة أن يكون من أحاديث أبي عبد الله(ع) وقال لي: أن أبا الخطاب كذب على أبي عبد الله(ع) لعن الله أبي الخطاب وكذلك أصحاب أبي الخطاب يدسون هذه الأحاديث إلى يومنا هذا في كتب أصحاب أبي عبد الله(ع) فلا تقبلوا علينا خلاف القرأن

I arrived at Iraq where I found a small group of the companions of Abū Jaʿfar. However there were many companions of Abū ʿAbd Allāh, so I heard

¹ The belief that ʿAlī مَطْلَقَتْ was appointed as the immediate successor to Nabī مَطْلَقَتْ اللهُ ع

² The belief that Allah learns about events only as they occur.

 $^{3\,}$ A Shīʿī doctrine that the hidden Imām will reappear.

⁴ Temporary marriage.

narrations from them and took their books. Thereafter I presented them to Abū al-Ḥasan al-Riḍā who found it difficult to believe that many of those narrations were indeed the words of Abū ʿAbd Allāh. He said to me: "Undoubtedly Abū al-Khaṭṭāb lied in the name of Abū ʿAbd Allāh. May the curse of Allah be upon Abū al-Khaṭṭāb and his companions. Up until today, they continue to insert these narrations into the books of the companions of Abū ʿAbd Allāh. Do not accept anything from us if it does not conform to the Qur'ān."¹

The case of Abū Hurayrah تعلی was very similar. Unreliable narrators, liars and fabricators have attributed baseless narrations or narrations from the Jews and Christians to him. Can he be held responsible for any of this? Is he any different in this sense to Rasūlullāh مستقدمة who was aggrieved in this manner by means of Musaylamah the great liar, 'Alī مالة نعلي who was aggrieved by means Ḥārith al-Aʿwar the propagandist² and 'Abd Allāh ibn Saba', 'Alī ibn al-Ḥusayn who was tested by means of the blasphemous Mukhtār, Muḥammad al-Bāqir who was tested by Mughīrah ibn Saʿīd or Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq who was tested in this regard by means of Abū al-Khaṭṭāb?

Al-Kashshī narrates from ʿAbd Allāh ibn Sinān:

قال أبو عبد الله اناً أهل بيت صديقون لا نخلو من كذّاب يكذب علينا ويسقط صدقنا بكذبه علينا عند الناس ، كان رسول الله أصدق الناس لهجة وأصدق البرية كلها ،وكان مسيلمة يُكذّب عليه، وكان أمير المؤمنين (ع) أصدق من برأ الله بعد رسول الله وكان الذي يُكذّب عليه ويعمل في تكذيب صدقه ويفتري على الله الكذب عبد الله بن سبأ

Abū ʿAbd Allāh says: "We the Ahl al-Bayt are people of absolute honesty. However, we are not free from liars who forge sayings and attribute them to us, due to which our honesty is tarnished in the eyes of people. Rasūlullāh was the most truthful of all humans, but Musaylamah would attribute lies to him. Amīr al-Mu'minīn was the most truthful

¹ *Rijāl al-Kashshī* pg. 224 ḥadīth 401-the Biography of al-Mughīrah ibn Saʿīd

² Rijāl al-Kashshī pg. 441

person to worship Allah after Rasūlullāh. However, 'Abd Allāh ibn Saba' would attribute lies to him."¹

Al-Kashshī narrates from Ḥabīb al-Khathʿamī who narrates from Abū ʿAbd Allāh:

كان للحسن كذّاب يكذّب عليه ولم يسمه ، وكان للحسين كذّاب يكذّب عليه ولم يسمه ، وكان المختار يكذّب على علي بن الحسين وكان المغيرة بن سعيد يكذّب على أبي

There was a person who would attribute his lies to Ḥasan, but he did not name him. There was also a person who attributed his lies to Ḥusayn, but he did not name him as well. Mukhtār would attribute his lies to ʿAlī ibn Ḥusayn and Mughīrah would attribute his lies to my father.²

It seems as if false attribution of aḥādīth to Abū Hurayrah ﷺ is nothing new. Ibn ʿAdī narrates that ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Hurmuz and al-Aʿraj said:

When anyone narrates from Abū Hurayrah, we can immediately tell whether he is truthful or not. $^{\scriptscriptstyle 3}$

¹ Rijāl al-Kashshī pg. 108 ḥadīth 174

² *Rijāl al-Kashsh*ī pg. 226 ḥadīth 404-the Biography of al-Mughīrah ibn Saʿīd

³ Al-Kāmil of Ibn ʿAdī 1/14, al-Tahdhīb 6/291

⁴ Al-Jarḥ wa al-Taʿdīl 395/ vol. 4

Another fabricator who would lie in the name of Abū Hurayrah www Was Yazīd ibn Sufyān Abū al-Mihzam. He was amongst those who studied under Abū Hurayrah www, however he has been classified as unreliable. He is taken to be a person from Baṣrah and he is more well-known by his agnomen. It is said that his name was 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Sufyān. Shu'bah would narrate from him but later abandoned him. Ḥusayn al-Mu'allim 'Abd al-Wārith and a group of others have narrated from him. Ibn Ma'īn said that he is unreliable. Al-Nasā'ī said that he should be abandoned.

Muslim ibn Ibrāhīm said that he heard Shuʿbah saying: "Abū al-Mihram was a pauper in the Masjid of Thābit. If anyone gave him a coin he would narrate for him seventy narrations." Muslim said that he heard Shuʿbah saying: "I saw Abū al-Mihzam. If he was given a coin, he would fabricate a ḥadīth." Thereafter he says that most of that which he narrates from him is not free from error. He also mentions an example of his fabrications in the name of Abū Hurayrah and the that is worthy of reflection at this point is that this began in the era of the Tābiʿīn, not in the later periods.¹

A study of the book *Mīzān al-I'tidāl fī Naqd al-Rijāl* by al-Ḥāfiẓ al-Dhahabī will reveal to a person the names of many liars who fabricated narrations and attributed them to Abū Hurayrah and the strength in the strength is a number of narrators who have not been classified as liars, however it is agreed upon that they are unreliable. These individuals also narrate unacceptable narrations in the name of Abū Hurayrah and the strength is a strength in the name of Abū Hurayrah is a strength to the strength the strength to the strength the strength

'Abd al-Mun'im Ṣāliḥ states in his book *Difā*' 'an Abī Hurayrah:

Since I have listed in the previous chapter a number of reliable asānīd to Abū Hurayrah was by which you can identify many of his authentic narrations, I wish to compile a similar list of the names of fabricators and liars as well as such narrators regarding whom it is agreed upon that they are

¹ Difāʿʿan Abī Hurayrah pg. 442

unreliable. This will help you to identify the weakness of those narrations attributed to Abū Hurayrah and due to their appearance in the isnād.

It will also enable you to identify them without much effort. This is because I have compiled them alphabetically, in the exact same order as al-Dhahabī. This will add to those narrations which you already learnt are fabrications against Abū Hurayrah or that they are highly unreliable. Al-Dhahabī has also recorded the names of many other narrators in *al-Mīzān*, who fall under one of the following categories:

- The scholars of hadīth criticism have not agreed upon them being unreliable but they have narrated unacceptable narrations from Abū Hurayrah and a scholars.
- 2. He narrates something, the inaccuracy of which is quite apparent but none have stated that he is a liar.
- 3. He was a negligent narrator, due to which he collected the narrations of fabricators.

Thus there are many narrators mentioned by al-Dhahabī in *al-Mīzān*, however they cannot all be recounted here. Al-Dhahabī has also mentioned the names of narrators who concocted thousands of aḥādith without mentioning who they would attribute them to. There is a great possibility that a large number of them attributed their narrations to Abū Hurayrah . With these lists, you will be able to pinpoint the authentic narrations from Abū Hurayrah and you will also be able to identify those narrations which are attributed to him but they are unreliable. It will be of great help to the reader who will be able to differentiate between the different narrations quoted in the books of the opposition.¹

Thereafter 'Abd al-Mun'im presents a four-page list of the names of those liars who amount to approximately one hundred and fifty-five narrators. These are only the most notable liars who attributed baseless narrations to Abū Hurayrah

¹ Difāʿʿan Abī Hurayrah pg. 443

"We will conclude this chapter by refuting the misconception created by this 'expert' author i.e. if a hadīth is fabricated, the responsibility lies on the shoulders of the one in whose name it was fabricated. This is nothing but ignorance.

As explained above, the problem is created by the one who allegedly narrates from that person. If the matter was as explained by the author, then most of the Ṣaḥābah would become subject to criticism. This would not be confined to Abū Hurayrah جماع , rather it would even include Imām ʿAlī, Ḥasan and Ḥusayn جماع , whom they have taken as the appointed deputies of Nabī we will conclude this chapter by citing a few examples from the books of the Shīʿah followed by an acknowledgement of this very author regarding the correct methodology.

Their great scholar, al-Ḥillī (who is one of their greatest ḥadīth critics) states under the biography of Ḥasan ibn Muḥammad ibn Yaḥyā ibn al-Ḥasan ibn Jaʿfar ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn al-Ḥusayn ibn ʿAlī ibn al-Ḥusayn ibn ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib:

Abū Muḥammad; commonly known as Ibn Akhī Ṭāhir (the son of the brother of the pure one). He narrates from his grand-father Yaḥyā ibn al-Ḥasan and others. He also narrates unacceptable narrations from unknown people.

Al-Najāshī says, "I have seen our scholars classifying him as unreliable."

Ibn al-Ghaḍā'irī said: "He was a liar who would openly fabricate aḥādīth which he claimed were from strange men who were unknown. He relied

¹ Difāʿʿan Abī Hurayrah pg. 447

upon unknown people who were not mentioned. His narrations do not sit well with the conscience. I believe that the strongest view regarding his narrations is that hesitance should be shown towards all of them."¹

If he lied despite being the son of the pure ones, then what should we expect from those who attributed lies to Abū Hurayrah from the wretched ones?² Are they not the same as him in this sense, as he fabricated narrations in the names of his honourable, great and pure forefathers? 'Abd al-Ḥusayn admits that our methodology is the correct one in his book *al-Fuṣūl* when defending anthropomorphists such as Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam, al-Jawālīqī and Shayṭān al-Ṭāq. His exact words were:

وقد أعرضنا عن بعض أولاد أئمتنا مع شدة اخلاصنا لهذا البيت الطاهر، وكفرنا جماعة ممن صحبهم وفسقنا آخرين وضعفنا قوما وأمسكنا عن قوم آخرين كما يشهد به الخبير بطريقتنا

We have turned away from some of the children of our A'immah despite our great sincerity towards this pure household. We have considered some of their companion's disbelievers and others sinners. We have classified a group among them and held back from commenting on another group. One who is well-versed with our methodology will stand witness to this.³

When this is his methodology then why has he chosen to be condescending, stubborn, and ignorant, to the extent that he has chosen to even oppose his own method in this instance? Hold on to your deception, O innovators! Keep it up!

Furthermore, let us study the claim of this author that "Abū Hurayrah only done that to protect himself... Abū Hurayrah was aware that the rebels were not seeking anyone besides 'Uthmān and Marwān. This is what prompted him to remain amongst those who were under siege." Indeed this author simply does

¹ Rijāl al-ʿAllāmah pg. 214

² Difāʿʿan Abī Hurayrah pg. 482

³ Al-Fuṣūl al-Muhimmah by ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn al-Mūsawī pg. 170

not present the truth in his discussions. This is quite obvious from his portrayal of the trial in which the khalīfah of the Muslims, ʿUthmān Dhū al-Nūrayn was murdered.

When the Khalīfah was held under siege, Abū Hurayrah sigs still had two more options. He could have emerged or fled the scene. However, he chose to die along with the khalīfah and he encouraged others to defend him as well. This author, on the other hand, found no better way of twisting the facts than saying: "Abū Hurayrah only done that to protect himself and his companions. Abū Hurayrah was aware that the rebels were not seeking anyone besides 'Uthmān and Marwān. This is what prompted him to remain amongst those who were under siege."

I have no idea how he managed to figure out and read the feelings of Abū Hurayrah We cannot judge except on the basis of that which is apparent. He was under siege in the same house as 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar, 'Abd Allāh ibn al-Zubayr, Ḥasan and Ḥusayn We cannot reached regarding him should apply equally to the others. Will this author accept these allegations in respect of the two leaders of the youth of Jannah? Indeed this judgement reflects an alarming amount of idiocy, yet it emanates from the one who is referred to as Ayatollah!

As for his statement: "The crux of the tale is that he exploited this opportunity which then turned out to be an extremely profitable deal struck by him. From this day onwards, the Banū Umayyah and their allies held firmly onto his discourses and narrations. They left no stone unturned in spreading his narrations and using them as proof. He would then concoct for them any narration that they desired. Among his narrations for them is that he narrated that Nabī المنافية aid..."

Our comment: Undoubtedly the īmān of a person can never be intact if he has any disinclination from ʿAlī . Notwithstanding this, deceivers — such as this author — lie regarding Abū Hurayrah to create the impression that he was an enemy of ʿAlī and his offspring, that he hated them and continuously conspired against them. This is contrary to the reality. He loved them dearly.

In fact, he is the one who narrates the virtues of the Ahl al-Bayt¹. This will be elucidated upon under the chapter wherein the allegations against him 'during the era of Mu'āwiyah' will be refuted.

Is it permissible for us to claim that since $Ab\bar{u}$ Hurayrah is narrates $ah\bar{a}d\bar{u}th$ on the virtues of the Ahl al-Bayt that they are fabrications? The reality is as expressed in the proverb:

She accused me of having her sickness and slipped away.

The author disparages Abū Hurayrah for narrating aḥādīth regarding the virtues of 'Uthmān www, and claims that by this criticism he is defending the Ahl al-Bayt. These are his claims even though they are the ones who have disparaged the Ahl al-Bayt and fabricated all types of falsehoods and mendacities in their name.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn goes on to claim under the title, 'during the era of 'Alī' on page 34:

خفت صوت أبي هريرة على عهد أمير المؤمنين واحتبى برد الخمول وكاد أن يرجج إلى سيرته الأولى حيث كان هيان بن بيان وصلعمة بن قلعمة قعد عن نصرة أمير المؤمنين فلم ينضو إلى لوائه ، بل كان وجهه ونصيحته إلى أعدائه .

وقد أرسله معاوية مع النعمان بن بشير – وكانا عنده في الشام – إلى علي (ع) يسألانه أن يدفع قتلة عثمان إلى معاوية ليقيدهم بعثمان ، وقد أراد معاوية بهذا أن يرجعا من عند علي إلى الشام وهما لمعاوية عاذران و لعلي لائمان ... و أقام النعمان بعده عند علي ثم خرج فارا إلى الشام فأخبر أهلها بما لقي إلى آخر ما كان من هذه الواقعة

Abū Hurayrah became extremely quiet during the era of Amīr al-Mu'minīn and he adopted the garb of humility. He was very close to returning to his initial status

¹ Refer to the book *Iḥqāq al-Ḥaqq* of Ayatollah al-Marʿashī for more details. This book consists of 24 volumes. The virtues of the Ahl al-Bayt are established in this book through Abū Hurayrah ﷺ. Beyond the truth, there is only deviation.

when he was completely downtrodden and unknown. He chose not to support Amīr al-Mu'minīn and thus did not enter under his banner. His alliance and advice were reserved for the enemies of Amīr al-Mu'minīn.

Muʿāwiyah sent him and Nuʿmān ibn Bashīr, who were residing with him in Shām, to ʿAlī demanding that he hand over the murderers of ʿUthmān so that he may take revenge from them. Muʿāwiyah's intention in doing so was so that they could return to him in a state where they would regard him innocent and place the blame on ʿAlī . Nuʿmān stayed on in the company of ʿAlī and then fled from there towards Shām to inform the citizens thereof regarding all that took place.

Our comment: al-ʿIjaj says:

I have already proven that Abū Hurayrah was not involved in any of the happenings after the death of 'Uthmān was. However, the author insists upon using unreliable narrations to prove that he was involved in some of them. If only he had sufficed upon doing that much. Instead, he chose to take it one step further by mocking him. He says: "Abū Hurayrah became extremely quiet during the era of Amīr al-Mu'minīn and he adopted the garb of humility. He was very close to returning to his initial status when he was completely downtrodden and unknown. He chose not to support Amīr al-Mu'minīn and thus did not enter under his banner. Rather, his alliance and advice were reserved for the enemies of Amīr al-Mu'minīn."

To support this he quotes a baseless narration, the crux of which is that Muʿāwiyah ﷺ sent Abū Hurayrah and Nuʿmān ﷺ to negotiate with ʿAlī ﷺ that he hand over the murderers of ʿUthmān ﷺ to Muʿāwiyah ﷺ so that the Muslims could be united. Thereafter Nuʿmān stayed with ʿAlī whilst Abū Hurayrah {this is not apparent from the passage quoted two paragraphs ago} returned to Muʿāwiyah ﷺ to inform him of what transpired.

The author goes on to claim:

فأمره معاوية أن يعلم الناس ففعل ذلك وعمل أعمالا ترضى معاوية

Thereafter Muʿāwiyah ordered him to inform the people to which he duly complied. He continued to do certain acts with the sole purpose of gaining favour with Muʿāwiyah.

This narration cannot be backed by any authentic isnād. I could not find it in any book except *Nahj al-Balāghah*. Furthermore, if this narration is accepted to be authentic, what sin is Abū Hurayrah guilty of if he was the middle-man during a peace-process that would unite the Muslims? Ibn Qutaybah has mentioned that Abū al-Dardā' and Abū Hurayrah approached 'Alī and Mu'āwiyah advising the latter not to spill the blood of Muslims and speaking to the former regarding the murderers of 'Uthmān age. This narration despite its weakness indicates that they abstained from these trials and attempted to unite the Muslims.

Thereafter the author states:

وحين حمى وطيس الحرب ورد على أبي هريرة من الهول ما هزم فؤاده وزلزل أقدامه ، وكان في أول تلك الفتنة لا يشك في أن العاقبة ستكون لعلي، فضرب الأرض بذقعنه قابعاً في زوايا المخمول يثبط الناس عن نصرة أميرالمؤمنين بما يحدثهم به سراً ، وكان مما قاله يومئذ : سمعت رسول الله يقول: "ستكون فتن القاعد فيها خير من القائم

When the fighting became intense, Abū Hurayrah's heart became overtaken with fear and his feet lost their grounding. At the beginning of this trial he was convinced that 'Alī www would emerge victorious. Thus he kept his chin attached to the ground, withdrawing to the corner of obscurity. He tried to dissuade people from helping Amīr al-Mu'minīn by narrating a few words to them in secrecy. Among his narrations during those days was that he told the people: "I heard Rasūlullāh www.saying: 'There will be such trials wherein the one who sits will be better off than the one who stands."

After looking at this passage, can there remain any doubt that the author is carrying out an attack against Abū Hurayrah

and professionalism and then allows his base desires to steer him in any direction and strike the 'side of the wall'. He stubbornly refuses to accept the clear indications in the narrations that Abū Hurayrah www stayed away from all the conflict that took place between ʿAlī and Muʿāwiyah www.

The author then attempts to deduce from the campaign of Busr ibn Arṭāt against Ḥijāj and Yemen that Abū Hurayrah accepted governance of Madīnah. He says:

وفي ختام هذه الفظائع أخذ (بسر) البيعة لمعاوية من أهل الحجاز واليمن عامة ، فعندها باح أبو هريرة بما في صدره واستراح إلى بسر من أرطأة بمكنون سره ، فوجد بسر منه إخلاصاً لمعاوية ونصحاً في أخذ البيعة له من الناس فولاه على المدينة حين انصرف عنها وأمر أهلها بطاعته

At the end of all of these tragedies, Busr ibn Arțāt took allegiance on behalf of Muʿāwiyah from the people of al-Ḥijāj and Yemen. At this point Abū Hurayrah revealed his inner feelings. He found solace in relating to Busr ibn Arțāt his inner feelings who in turn found him to be a sincere well-wisher of Muʿāwiyah due to him taking allegiance from the masses on behalf of Muʿāwiyah. This resulted in him appointing Abū Hurayrah as the governor of al-Madīnah when he departed from there and he instructed the people to obey him.

This is totally inaccurate. I have already explained the correct version under the section regarding the life of $Ab\bar{u}$ Hurayrah $iiiii:1^1$

The author then pens down a great deal of rubbish at the following places:

- 1. Page 38- under the heading: "During the Era of Muʿāwiyah",
- 2. Page 42- under the heading: "The Favours of $\textsc{Ban}\bar{u}$ Umayyah upon Him",
- 3. Page 25- under the heading "His Exaggeration in Repaying their Favours".

We will reproduce some of it below. Ustādh Muḥammad al-ʿIjāj المَعْانَة has already exposed their lack of credibility in his valuable book.

¹ Abū Hurayrah Rāwiyat al-Islam of al-ʿIjāj 179-181

'Abd al-Ḥusayn says:

نزل أبو هريرة أيام معاوية إلى جناب مريع وأنزل آماله منه منزل صدق ، لذلك نزل في كثير من الحديث على رغائبه فحدث الناس في فضل معاوية وغيره أحاديث عجيبة

Abū Hurayrah see found himself at a lush pasture during the era of Muʿāwiyah. He began seeing all his hopes materialising, which is why he altered many of his narrations to suit Muʿāwiyah's interests. Thus he narrated to the people many aḥādīth on the virtues of Muʿāwiyah and other strange subjects.

He then goes on to discuss the topic of hadīth fabrication and its excessiveness during the reign of the Umayyads. He claims that Abū Hurayrah was from the first group to do so:

He narrated many unacceptable narrations which are recorded by Ibn 'Asākir and others. He mentions some of these fabricated narrations which are neither acceptable by the intellect nor does the inner-self agree to accept them. These are the fabrications of the supporters of the Umayyads, who came after Muʿāwiyah, who fabricated narrations out of hatred for the followers of Amīr al-Mu'minīn 'Alī

However, the Ahl al-Sunnah have already traced the ones who forged and fabricated these narrations. Whereas the 'credible' author says:

They did not hold Abū Hurayrah responsible for this, rather they shifted the blame onto those who narrated it from him. This is what they have done in all those cases wherein they were unable to do anything else to defend him. He has many narrations which are recorded in the two authentic books, Bukhārī and Muslim, which are of the exact same level as the others.

Our comment: the author accuses Abū Hurayrah with of two heinous crimes. The first one being that he was a staunch supporter of the Umayyads. Secondly,

he accuses him of fabricating narrations due to his love for them (i.e. he attributed lies to Nabī مَرَاسَتَكِيمِينَهُ). It is for this reason that he added two chapters in his book to explain "the favours of Banū Umayyah upon him" and his "exaggeration in repaying their favours". We will disprove these claims in the light of concrete evidence and reveal the truth.

Answering the First Claim that Abū Hurayrah was a Staunch Follower of the Umayyads.

It is indeed strange that a person sucks out information from his thumb and then goes on to accuse Abū Hurayrah نتي of disliking ʿAlī نتي and his family. How is this possible even after he hears of the discussion that took place between Abū Hurayrah and Marwān, when the Muslims wanted to bury Ḥasan نتي alongside Rasūlullāh سَاسَعَنَاتُ Abū Hurayrah said to him:

By the oath of Allah, You are not a governor. Others are more deserving of governance than you so abandon it. You involve yourself in matters that are of no benefit to you, seeking nothing but the pleasure of one who is not even in your presence (Muʿāwiyah).

However, the one whose heart is filled with hatred for Abū Hurayrah will misinterpret this to be a mere public performance and ploy of Abū Hurayrah will. There are other junctures at which Abū Hurayrah will all of them be misinterpreted to be a planned out performance to dupe the masses, as claimed by the author? Abū Hurayrah will will all of them upon seeing

pictures of animate objects in his house by saying:

I heard Rasūlullāh المنتخبينة saying: "Allah says: 'Who is more oppressive than the one who attempts to create something similar to my creation? He should go ahead and create a seed!"

On another occasion, when Marwān appeared late for Ṣalāt al-Jumuʿah, Abū Hurayrah ﷺ stood up and said to him:

Do you remain in the company of the daughter of so and so, who fans you and gives you cold water to drink whilst the sons of the Muhājirīn and Anṣār melt away in the heat? I intended to take some action against you.

Thereafter he told the people: "Listen to the discourse of your amīr."

Can this be the stance of one who is a staunch supporter of the Banū Umayyah, who alters narrations to suit them and calls people to support them, or is it the stance of the one who stands for the truth? He criticised the governor for being late, but at the same time kept his honour by asking the Muslims to listen to him. This is yet another proof of the status of Abū Hurayrah among the Muslims. Had he been a downtrodden vagabond, they would not have listened to him and Marwān would not have tolerated him. In spite of all of this, the author still sees this incident in a different light and counts it amongst the ploys of Abū Hurayrah to stabilise the Umayyad dynasty. This is in accordance with his 'academic standards', 'professionalism', 'deductions' and 'analyses.

It would have been more appropriate for the author to claim that Abū Hurayrah نفائن was a staunch supporter of the Ahl al-Bayt. He narrates their virtues from Nabī المائن , which are recorded in the authentic books of ḥadīth¹. It would have been more appropriate for him to narrate these instead of searching for unauthentic and fabricated narrations attributed to Abū Hurayrah

¹ These aḥādīth will be quoted shortly.

of the Umayyads, especially since the liars and fabricators have been exposed and the matter has become obvious.

Hereunder are some of the virtues that he narrates regarding the Ahl al-Bayt:

He narrated so many aḥādīth regarding the virtues of ʿAlī that it is inconceivable that he could have sided with Muʿāwiyah against ʿAlī . These narrations will be indigestible to the author, just as stones are indigestible to humans. *Al-Bukhārī* and *Muslim* have narrated many of them. The virtues of ʿAlī cannot be counted. Books have been compiled specifically on the subject, the likes of *al-Khaṣāʾiṣ* of Imām al-Nasāʾī. He is unparalleled in the rank of Ṣaḥābah as far as the number of sound and authentic aḥādīth that have been narrated regarding him. This is what we believe in order to please Allah and keep our dīn and emotions intact. This is also in conformity to the rules of unbiased research, which we have undertaken to adhere to.¹

He also narrates ahādīth regarding the virtues of Husayn & . Hereunder is a summary of a few of them:

فعن سعيد بن أبي سعيد قال : كنا مع أبي هريرة جلوساً ، فجاء حسن بن علي بن أبي أبي طالب، فسلّم علينا ، فرددنا عليه وأبو هريرة لا يعلم فمضى . فقلنا : يا أبا هريرة ، هذا حسن ابن علي قد سلّم علينا . فقام فلحقه فقال : يا سيدي . فقلنا له : تقول ياسيدي ؟ قال : إني سمعت رسول الله يقول : " إنه لسيد "

Saʿīd ibn Abī Saʿīd said: "Ḥasan ibn 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib walked past whilst we were sitting with Abū Hurayrah so he greeted us. We replied to his greeting but Abū Hurayrah did not realise so he continued. We said: 'O Abū Hurayrah This is Ḥasan ibn 'Alī, he is greeting us.' He then stood up and walked until he reached him saying, 'O my leader.' We inquired: 'Why did you say to him "My leader"?' He replied: 'I heard Rasūlullāh saying: 'Indeed he is a leader.'"²

¹ *Difāʿʿan al-Sunnah* of Abū Shuhbah pg. 160

² ʿAmal al-Yowm wa l-Laylah of al-Nasā'ī pg. 250

وعن محمد بن زياد عن أبي هريرة قال : رأيت النبي حامل الحسن بن علي على عاتقه، ولعابه يسيل عليه

Muḥammad ibn Ziyād narrates from Abū Hurayrah منه who said: "I saw Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī drooling upon Nabī المنافعين whilst he carried him upon his shoulder."

وعن عمير بن إسحاق قال : رأيت أبا هريرة لقى الحسن بن علي فقال : اكشف لي عن بطنك حيث رأيت رسول الله يقبل منه قال: فكشف له عن بطنه فقبّله

'Umayr ibn Isḥāq narrates: "I saw Abū Hurayrah meet Ḥasan ibn 'Alī… he said to him: 'Uncover the portion of your stomach which I saw Nabī المنتخبينة kissing.' He then uncovered his stomach after which Abū Hurayrah kissed him."²

Abū Mirzad says:

I heard Abū Hurayrah saying: "These two ears of mine have heard and these two eyes have seen Rasūlullāh المعتقد holding the hands of Ḥasan or Ḥusayn whilst his feet were on the feet of Rasūlullāh المعتقد who commanded, 'Help him to climb!' The child then climbed until he managed to place his feet upon the chest of Rasūlullāh المعتقد. Thereafter Rasūlullāh نعتينية said: 'Open your mouth!' Thereafter Rasūlullāh المعتقد kissed him saying: 'O Allah make him your beloved, for indeed I love him.'"

وعن أبي حازم عن أبي هريرة قال : قال رسول الله من أحب الحسن والحسين فقد أحبني، ومن ابغضهما فقد أبغضني

Abū Ḥāzim reports from Abū Hurayrah المنتخبة, who narrated that Rasūlullāh said: "Whoever loves Ḥasan and Ḥusayn has indeed loved me, and whoever shows enmity to them has indeed shown enmity towards me."⁴

¹ Aḥmad 2/447, Ibn Mājah 658

² Aḥmad 2/255, 493

³ Al-Adab al-Mufrad of al-Bukhārī pg. 249, 271, 3/87 and 1183, Aḥmad 2/532, Muslim 7/129

⁴ Aḥmad 2/288, 440, 446, Ibn Mājah 143, al-Nasā'ī in Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah pg. 65

وعن أبي حازم عن أبي هريرة قال : نظر النبي إلى علي و الحسن والحسين وفاطمة فقال : أنا حرب لمن حاربكم ، وسلم لمن سالمكم

Abū Ḥāzim reports from Abū Hurayrah , who narrated: "I saw Rasūlullāh looking at ʿAlī, Ḥasan, Ḥusayn and Fāṭimah saying: "I am at war with those who fight with you and at peace with those who are at peace with you."

وعن أبي حازم عن أبي هريرة عن النبي قال : نزل ملك من السماء فبشرني أن فاطمة سيدة نساء أمتي وأن الحسن والحسين سيدا شباب أهل الجنة

Abū Ḥāzim reports from Abū Hurayrah , who narrated that Rasūlullāh said: "An angel descended from the sky and gave me the gladtidings that Fāṭimah is the leader of all the ladies of my ummah and Ḥasan and Ḥusayn are the leaders of the youth of Jannah."²

وعنه قال : كنا نصلّي مع رسول الله العشاء فكان يصلّي ، فإذا سجد وثب الحسن والحسين على ظهره ، وإذا رفع رأسه أخذهما فوضعهما وضعاً رفيقاً، فإذا عاد عادا ، فلما صلّى جعل واحداً ها هنا وواحداً ها هنا فجئته فقلت : يا رسول الله ألا أذهب بهما إلى أمهما ؟ قال:لا، فبرقت برقة فقال: إلحقا بأمكما، فما زالا يمشيان في ضوئها حتى دخلا

He also narrates: "We were performing 'Ishā with Rasūlullāh 'Jaika and when he went into sujūd, Ḥasan and Ḥusayn climbed onto his back. When he lifted his head, he placed them gently on the ground, and when he returned to sujūd they got onto him once again. After completing his ṣalāh, he placed one on each side. I went to him and offered: 'O Rasūlullāh, should I not take them to their mother?' He replied: 'No.' shortly thereafter a flash of lightning struck upon which he said to them: 'Go to your mother.' They walked in this light until they reached her."³

¹ Aḥmad 2/442

² Al-Nasā'ī in al-Kubrā-Tuhfat al-Ashrāf 10/13430, al-Musnad al-Jāmiʿ 18/191-196 (Musnad Abī Hurayrah)

³ Mustadrak al-Hākim 3/167, Dalā'il al-Nubuwwah pg. 494

A third member of the Ahl al-Bayt whose virtues are narrated by Abū Hurayrah is Jaʿfar ibn Abī Ṭālib .

فعن عبد الرحمن بن يعقوب عن أبي هريرة قال : قال رسول الله : رأيت جعفرا يطير في الجنة مع الملائكة

ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Yaʿqūb reports from Abū Hurayrah نفين that Rasūlullāh منابعين said: "I saw Jaʿfar flying in Jannah with the angels."

```
وعن عكرمة عن أبي هريرة قال : ما احتذى النعال ولا انتعل ولا ركب المطايا ولا ركب الكور بعد رسول 
الله أفضل من جعفر بن أبي طالب
```

Ikrimah reports from Abū Hurayrah منهج who said: "No one better than Jaʿfar ibn Abī Ṭālib wore a sandal, rode a conveyance and sat on saddle after Rasūlullāh منهجة "2

عن سعيد المقبري عن أبي هريرة قال : إن كنت لأسأل الرجل من أصحاب النبي عن الآيات من القرآن أنا أعلم بها منه ، ما أسأله إلا ليطعمني شيئا ، فكنت إذا سألت جعفر ابن أبي طالب لم يجبني حتى يذهب بي إلى منزله فيقول لامرأته : أسماء ، أطعمينا شيئا ، فإذا أطعمتنا أجابني . وكان جعفر يحب المساكين ويجلس إليهم ويحدثهم ويحدثونه ، فكان رسول الله يكنيه بأبي المساكين

Saʿīd al-Maqburī reports narrates from Abū Hurayrah (1) would ask a companion of Rasūlullāh (1) regarding a verse of the Qur'ān even though I knew it better than him. My intention would be that he offers me something to eat. Whenever I would ask Ja'far ibn Abī Ṭālib he would take me to his house and say to his wife Asmā': 'Give us something to eat.' Thereafter he would answer my question. Ja'far loved the poor. He would sit with them, listen to them and speak to them. That is why Rasūlullāh would al-Masūkīn (father of the destitute)."³

¹ *Al-Tirmidhī* who comments: "This is a narration of Abū Hurayrah which is not known to us except through the ḥadīth of ʿAbd Allāh ibn Jaʿfar. Ibn Maʿīn classified him as unreliable. 'Abd Allāh ibn Jaʿfar is the father of ʿAlī ibn al-Madīnī."

² Aḥmad 2/413, al-Tirmidhī and al-Nasā'ī (Fadhā'il al-Ṣaḥābah)

³ *Ibn Mājah* and *al-Tirmidhī*. Al-Tirmidhī says: "This is a Gharīb ḥadīth. Abū Isḥāq al-Makhzūmī is Ibrāhīm ibn al-Faḍl al-Madīnī. Some of the scholars have questioned his memory. He has a few narrations which are not corroborated by others."

If Abū Hurayrah was a staunch ally of the Umayyads, he would not have narrated the virtues of the Ahl al-Bayt, especially the virtues of Amīr al-Mu'minīn 'Alī نوایی However, he did not choose to do this. Abū Hurayrah's نوای character was far too lofty for him to have concealed the aḥādīth of Rasūlullāh المای , or to fabricate them and falsely attribute them to him, due to some base desire. Not a single scholar has accused Abū Hurayrah نوای of belonging to the supporters of 'Alī نوایی due to these narrations nor have they accused him of being a hater of 'Umar نوایی Hence it has become manifest that Abū Hurayrah was not one who would rally behind any group or follow any of his desires. He is that outstanding Ṣaḥābī whose steadfastness, integrity, piety, scrupulousness and trustworthiness is well known to us.

It is only a few of the innovators, whose hearts have been blinded by Allah — the likes of this author — who misconstrue all the virtues and accolades of Abū Hurayrah is to be the favours of the Umayyads. They believe that this was his recompense for strengthening and supporting their dynasty. The author has either forgotten or ignored the fact that he had a successful business, received many gifts and he loved earning his own income as much as he loved knowledge. Similarly, he forgot that Abū Hurayrah is was the governor of Bahrain, during the era of 'Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb, to whom he had explained the manner in which he acquired his wealth. Yet the author obstinately asserts that everything that was owned by Abū Hurayrah is were gifts showered upon him by the Umayyads.

He goes on to claim that they were the ones who provided him with clothing of silk and fine linen, built him a palace in 'Aqīq and married Busrah bint Ghazwān — the sister of the governor, 'Utbah ibn Ghazwān — to him. He cites the narration of Muḍārib ibn Ḥazn to prove this. Muḍārib says:

I was travelling during the night when suddenly a man said the takbīr (Allāh Akbar), so I got my camel to catch up with him. I asked: "Who are you?" He replied: "Abū Hurayrah." I asked: "What is this takbīr about?" He replied: "Gratitude!" I queried: "On account of what?" He answered: "I was a labourer employed by Busrah bint Ghazwān to clothe and feed myself.

When they would ride a conveyance, it was my duty to lead the animals and when they would dismount then I would serve them. Thereafter Allah granted her to me in my marriage, so she is my wife."¹

Abū Hurayrah was expressing gratitude to Allah upon his bounty and favour that he granted him Busrah as a wife. Is this act worthy of any criticism? It is indicative of nothing more than the pure-heartedness of Abū Hurayrah was, his happiness and gratitude at the decision of Allah regarding him, his humility, and remembrance of his humble beginnings and admitting the favours of Allah upon him. However, the author chose to misinterpret the happiness of Abū Hurayrah was in order to defame him. All of these positive feelings were seen by him as valuable material to promote his propaganda. He believes that the Umayyads had enslaved him by means of all these bounties. Thus, "they became his 'owners' and possessed his hearing, sight and heart. He was their alleged spokesman who promoted their political agenda and excelled at twisting everything to suit their desires."

'Abd al-Ḥusayn attempted to paint a bleak image of Abū Hurayrah www through the help of revelation received from his devils and the fabrications of his allies and story-tellers. However, Abū Hurayrah's www abstinence from all types of mischief, adherence to the truth, well-wishing for all Muslims and his love for the Ahl al-Bayt was already well-known to us. Added to that, Allah has chosen to wipe out all the propaganda and doubts that the enemies of Abū Hurayrah was have raised regarding him. The truth has been revealed so that falsehood may vanish. Allah Taʿālā states:

Rather, we hurl the truth at falsehood, shattering its head.²

¹ Siyar Aʻlām al-Nubalā' 2/440

² Sūrah al-Ambiyā': 18

Did Abū Hurayrah attribute any Aḥādīth Falsely to Rasūlullāh مَالَسْتَعَيْدُونَسَدُ

The author has accused Abū Hurayrah and of such crimes that was neither thought of by any orientalist or enemy. He says:

فتارة يفتئث الأحاديث في فضائلهم ، ... وتارة يلفق أحاديث في فضائل الخلفيتي، نزولا على رغائب معاوية وفئته الباغية، إذ كانت لهم مقاصد سياسية ضد الوصي وآل النبي(ص).. وحسبك حديثه في تأمير أبي بكر على الحج سنة براءة – وهي سنة تسع للهجرة – وحديثه في أن عمر كان محدثاً تكلمه الملائكة

وقد اقتضت سياسية الأمويين في نكاية الهاشميين تثبيت هذين الحديثين وإذاعهما بكل ما لمعاوية وأعوانه ... من وسيلة أو حيلة ... حتى أخرجتهما الصحاح ... وتارة يقتضب أحاديث ضد أمير المؤمنين جرياً على مقتضى تلك السياسة كقوله : سمعت رسول الله(ص) يقول: "لم تحبس الشمس أو ترد لأحد إلا ليوشع بن نون ليالي سار إلى بيت المقدس

At times he would fabricate aḥādīth regarding their virtues... and at times he would misinterpret aḥādīth to support the first two khulafā'. This was done in compliance to the whims of Muʿāwiyah and his rebellious allies, as they had political agenda against the Waṣi and the family of Nabī Auʿauʿau... His ḥadīth regarding the appointment of Abū Bakr as the amīr of Ḥaj in the year of Barā'ah (9 A.H.) and his ḥadīth in which he claims that 'Umar was a Muḥdath — the angels would communicate with him —¹ is sufficient to convince you (regarding that which we stated about him).

The political needs of the Umayyads which centred on hatred for the Hāshimīs demanded that they establish these two aḥādīth by means of all possible avenues at the disposal of Muʿāwiyah and his allies ... through an intermediary or a ploy... to the extent that they were narrated in the authentic books. On other occasions, he would shorten aḥādīth in opposition to Amīr al-Muʾminīn, keeping up the demands

¹ This refers to the ḥadīth of Abū Hurayrah المنتخفين which he narrates from Rasūlullāh المنتخفين: "There were people from the nations who preceded such individuals who were muḥdath. If there is any such person in my ummah, then it is 'Umar." *Fatḥ al-Bārī* 8/49.

Muhdath refers to that person who is inspired and his ideas or thoughts correspond to reality. His speech turns out to be accurate. History bears testimony in favour of 'Umar willow regarding this. Refer to the refutation of the author under the discussion of the angels speaking to 'Umar.

of their politics. Thus he narrates, "I heard Rasūlullāh المنتقبة saying: 'The sun was never kept back or returned except for Yūshaʿ ibn Nūn during the nights that he travelled to Bayt al-Maqdis."

This author has been dominated by his desires to the degree that he cannot fathom Abū Hurayrah to be anything besides a liar and a fabricator. This led him to straying from the right path and accusing the Ṣaḥābah of being liars. He simply ignored that which the reliable historians are unanimous upon, preferring the narrations of the unreliable ones. Thus, the speech of al-Ṭabarsī is seen by him as governing revelation, whilst the authentic books are side-lined.

He attempts to get rid of the truth and distort the facts. I would like to know, 'how was the sun held back or returned for Amīr al-Mu'minīn 'Alī ﷺ? Was it held back from setting so that he could perform his 'Aṣr Ṣalāh in its prescribed time?' Indeed these are miracles that cannot recur and they are only granted to the ambiyā'. The authentic books have not narrated any of this, so we will leave it to the author to explain to us how was the sun held back and when did this happen? We will learn and benefit from him. Ibn Muṭahhir al-Ḥillī beat him to this claim, but he was exposed by Ibn Taymiyyah who highlighted the lies contained in this claim. As for his claim that Rasūlullāh cemoted Abū Bakr to the amount of His narrations".

The author's portrayal of the reality as well as the inaccuracy thereof has been clearly explained. He imagines that Abū Hurayrah www would move in any direction that the Umayyads desired, fulfil all their requests and fabricate aḥādīth to support them. To substantiate his claim, he reproduced narrations which cannot be authenticated or confirmed. He says:

قال الإمام أبو جعفر الإسكافي : إن معاوية حمل قوماً من الصحابة وقوماً من التابعين على رواية أخبار قبيحة في علي تقتضي الطعن فيه والبراءة منه ، وجعل لهم على ذلك جعلا يرغب في مثله ، فاختلقوا له ما أرضاه ، منهم أبو هريرة وعمرو بن العاص ، والمغيرة بن شعبة ، ومن التابعين عروة ابن الزبير إلى آخر كلامه Imām Abū Ja'far al-Iskāfī says: "Muʿāwiyah forced a group of Ṣaḥābah and Tābiʿīn to narrate negative narrations about ʿAlī, which demand defamation of him and detachment from him. He placed before them an enticing reward. Thus, they concocted that which pleased him. Amongst them was Abū Hurayrah, 'Amr ibn al-ʿĀṣ, Mughīrah ibn Shuʿbah, and from among the Tābiʿīn they were 'Urwah ibn Zubayr..."

He goes on to say:

لما قدم أبو هريرة العراق مع معاوية عام الجماعة جاء إلى مسجد الكوفة فلما رأى كثرة من استقبله من الناس جثا على ركبتيه ، ثم ضرب صلعته مراراً !! وقال: يا أهل العراق .. أتزعمون أني أكذب على الله ورسوله وأحرق نفسي بالنار ؟ والله لقد سمعت رسول الله(ص) يقول: "إن لكل نبي حرماً ، وإن المدينة حرمي ، فمن أحدث فيها حدثاً فعليه لعنة الله والملائكة والناس أجميعن قال: " وأشهد بالله أن علياً أحدث فيها !! فلما بلغ معاوية قوله أجازه وأكرمه ، وولاه إمارة المدينة

When Abū Hurayrah entered Iraq with Muʿāwiyah during the year of Jamāʿah, he arrived at the masjid of Kūfah. When he seen the large number of people who welcomed him, he went down on his knees, struck his bald head a few times and said: "O people of Iraq! Do you think that I would lie about Allah and His Rasūl, thereby hurling myself into the fire? By the oath of Allah, I heard Rasūlullāh saying: 'Every Nabī has a ḥaram (sanctified area) and undoubtedly Madīnah is my ḥaram. May the curse of Allah, His angels and the entire humanity be upon the one who initiates an innovation therein.'?" He then added: "I bear testimony that 'Alī initiated an innovation in it." When Muʿāwiyah heard of this, he awarded him and honoured him. He also appointed him the governor of Madīnah.

He adds in the footnotes:

عن سفيان الثوري عن عبدالرحمن بن قاسم عن عمر بن عبدالغفار : أن أبا هريرة لما قدم الكوفة مع معاوية كان يجلس بالعشيات بباب كندة ، ويجلس الناس إليه فجاءه شاب من الكوفة – لعله الأصبغ بن نباته – فجلس إليه فقال: يا أبا هريرة . . أنشدك بالله أسمعت رسول الله يقول لعلي بن أبي طالب : " اللهم وال من والاه وعاد من عاداه " ؟ قال : االلهم نعم . قال : فأشهد بالله لقد واليت عدوه عاديت وليه ثم قام عنه وانصرف Sufyān al-Thowrī reports from 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn al-Qāsim who narrates from 'Umar ibn 'Abd al-Ghaffār that when Abū Hurayrah came to Kūfah with Mu'āwiyah, he would conduct nightly gatherings at the door of Kundah and the people would attend his gatherings. Once a youngster from Kūfah, most probably Aşbagh ibn Nubātah, attended and posed the following question to him: "I ask you in the name of Allah, did you hear Rasūlullāh saying to 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib saying to 'Allāh befriend the one who befriends him and have enmity for the one who has enmity for him'?" He replied: "By Allah, yes!" Aşbagh then said: 'I make Allah my witness that you have befriended his enemy and opposed his friend.' Thereafter he stood up and left.

These are the different narrations that the author uses to support his accusation, that Abū Hurayrah was bought out by the Umayyads and that he would fabricate narrations to support them. However, these narrations neither have any asānīd nor are their texts verifiable. As for the isnād; Ibn Abī al-Ḥadīd, the author of *Nahj al-Balāghah* narrated them from his teacher, Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd Allāh Abū Jaʿfar al-Iskāfī (d. 240 A.H.), who was from the notables among the Muʿtazilī Shīʿah.

The enmity that is harboured by the Muʿtazilah for the scholars of ḥadīth is nothing new. This began at the end of the first century and was passed down through the generations. I will hand you over to Ibn Abī al-Ḥadīd, who will introduce you to his teacher, Abū Jaʿfar, and elaborate upon his 'credibility'. He says:

Our teacher, Abū Jaʿfar al-Iskāfī ﷺ, who was among the researchers on the topic of befriending ʿAlī ﷺ as well as those who emphasised his superiority. Even though the view of his superiority was common and wide-spread among our scholars in Baghdad, Abū Jaʿfar was the most vehement regarding this. His conviction in it was unmatched.¹

This is the testimony of a student regarding his teacher. There can be no doubt regarding it and it cannot be reinterpreted to mean anything else. The teacher

¹ Sharḥ Nahj al-Balāghah 1/467

was from those who followed their whims and even propagated their deviant beliefs. In fact, he was quite passionate regarding it as well, as attested to by one of his closest associates. Individuals like him have already accused the Ṣaḥābah of distorting the Qur'ān and ḥadīth, hence it comes as no surprise that they accuse a person like Abū Hurayrah www of such crimes and attribute lies to him as well as others among the Ṣaḥābah and Tābiʿīn.

His narration will be rejected on the basis of two reasons:

Firstly, he is considered unreliable on account of two factors, viz. he is a Mu'tazilī, who openly showed enmity for the scholars of ḥadīth and was also a prejudiced Shīʿī. Both these traits were found in him, each of which could be enough of a reason to reject his narrations. It defies logic to accept disparagement, commendation or a narration from a man who lacks integrity, especially since his narration cannot be established and he is an enemy of the Ahl al-Sunnah. It is obvious that his narration does not deserve a second look.

Secondly, these narrations cannot be traced in any authentic book and there are not narrated with a reliable isnād. The isnād quoted by al-Iskāfī, if given the benefit of the doubt, would be considered unreliable, if not fabricated.

As far as the text is concerned, it has not been authentically narrated that Mu'āwiyah and compelled anyone to insult Amīr al-Mu'minīn 'Alī and '. None of the Ṣaḥābah are reported to have done that. It cannot be proven that any of them fabricated narrations in lieu of a reward. It was below their dignity to resort to such levels of loathsome acts. We seek the protection of Allah from believing that a person who accompanied Rasūlullāh in this aḥādīth and his command to abstain from lies; fabricated aḥādīth in his name.

All the narrations which indicate that they are guilty of this heinous crime are traced back to those who follow and propagate their whims and fancies. Their greatest priority is to defend their deviant stance. Therefore, they do not give any consideration to the truth and they do not uphold the honour which the Ṣaḥābah

deserve. They criticise the best of the Ṣaḥābah, accusing some of deviation and open transgression and others of kufr. They have levelled accusations against Abū Bakr, 'Umar and 'Uthmān ﷺ amongst others.

The scholars of ḥadīth have exposed the prejudiced liars. That is why the scholars of ḥadīth have become the common enemy of many of these groups. They attempted to strip them of their credibility in the eyes of the ummah. This ploy was adopted by the Muʻtazilah, Rawāfiḍ and some sects of the Shīʿah. Whoever wishes to learn more regarding this should refer to the book on the subject of accepting narrations by al-Balkhī.

However, Allah caused the reality of these groups to be exposed. Thus, the veil was removed from the faces of those hiding behind it by the army of Allah - the scholars of $had\bar{t}h$. They explained the realities of these people, bringing to the fore their intentions and inclinations. Thus, there is no narration in which a $aah\bar{a}b\bar{b}$ is maligned, his beliefs are brought to question or he is accused of opposing any of the fundamentals of $d\bar{n}n$ except that the experts have pinpointed the culprit behind the narration and explained the reason why the narration is unreliable.

The claim of the author will not be given any consideration until it is proven through sound and authentic evidence. How can we accept that Muʻāwiyah would encourage the Ṣaḥābah to fabricate aḥādīth simply to disparage ʿAlī How can this be the case when a person of the calibre of Ibn ʿAbbās praised him for his virtue, intelligence and understanding, as narrated by al-Bukhārī in his Ṣaḥīḥ? Will ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn accuse the great scholar and academic of the ummah of lying¹ or aligning himself with Muʿāwiyah? This is impossible. Rather, the praise and testimony of Ibn ʿAbbās was based on the truth, which exposes the lies of this 'trustworthy' author.

The accusation cooked-up by this author is indeed baseless. Ab $\bar{\mathrm{u}}$ Hurayrah,

 $^{1\,}$ Refer to their narrations which were reported by their great scholar al-Kashshī in disparagement of Ibn ʿAbbās 🏾 of this.

Mughīrah and ʿAmr نها were all Ṣaḥābah. None of their integrity is doubted by the Ahl al-Sunnah. Another noteworthy fact which exposes the lies behind their claim is that the Banū Umayyah were in authority at that time. If they believed that it was permissible to attribute lies to Nabī نال in order to defame ʿAlī نال المعرفية, then what stopped them from filling up at least *Bukhārī* and *Muslim* with narrations against him? Why is it that we cannot find even one authentic narration which clearly condemns ʿAlī

Al-Iskāfī has levelled false accusations against the Ṣaḥābah ﷺ in what he has mentioned. Ibn al-ʿArabī has expounded on one dimension of their reality, their position and their piety in *al-ʿAwāṣim min al-Qawāṣim*, just as their biographies have been explained. The sad reality is that the narrations of the deviant have crept into the books of Islamic history, especially with regards to the Umayyads. This is because these books were written after their fall, which contributed towards darkening their records.

However, History was not deprived of honest and sincere men who compiled all the events along with their asānīd so that the reader could be afforded the opportunity of distinguishing the truth from falsehood. It is not sufficient for a narration to be classified authentic merely because it appears in some book or the other. Instead, it is necessary to scrutinise each narration according to the guidelines laid down by the Muḥaddithīn; both the isnād as well as the text should be scrutinised.

Furthermore, we regard this narration to be unacceptable.² This is because 'Urwah was born in the year 22 A.H. Consequently, his age at the time of the murder of 'Uthmān www was merely 13 and at the time of the martyrdom of 'Alī www merely 18. Who would believe that a khalīfah like Muʿāwiyah www would encourage 'Urwah to fabricate narrations to defame 'Alī www? 'Urwah was still

¹ Al-Anwār by Yamānī pg. 206-207

² Lies and fabrications cannot be considered. There are more narrations, fabricated by them and others, in praise of $Ali \approx 5$ than there are in criticism of him.

at the threshold of his learning career, he only became famous later. Hence, if Muʿāwiyah www wanted to defame ʿAlī www, as claimed, then it would have been more sensible for him to ask people who were more senior and famous and not the likes of ʿUrwah.

If anyone objects saying that he encouraged him to forge narrations during his reign as khalīfah, after the demise of the fourth rightly guided khalīfah, then too the claim is quite illogical. At the time of the death of Muʿāwiyah 🏎 , ʿUrwah's age did not exceed 38. Why would Muʿāwiyah 🏎 seek his help? There many others present at that who were regarded as senior Ṣaḥābah and Tābiʿīn. How then did he ask him for help in fabricating narrations, as claimed by the author?

The Muslims united in the year 40 A.H. (which is referred to as the year of the gathering of Jamāʿah), when Ḥasan ﷺ pledged his allegiance to Muʿāwiyah ﷺ. The matter of leadership had been concluded. Thus, there was no need for the Umayyads to seek avenues through which they could strengthen themselves as all authority already belonged to them. If, for arguments sake, we accept that ʿUrwah did as the author claims, then how is it that the scholars of the ummah, i.e. the Ṣaḥābah — who had many brave and influential persons among them — remained silent?

The Muslims of that era were alert and informed. They were abreast with the happenings of the time and they witnessed it. None of the fine matters were concealed from them. The Muslims were well-aware that there leaders were the Ṣaḥābah of Rasūlullāh مَرَاسَتَكَرُومَتْ . Thus it would not have been less than a mammoth task for any of the Ṣaḥābah or Tābiʿīn to distort any aspect of the truth, as the author accuses them of doing, to please the khalīfah and support his stance.

Whoever attempts to prove this type of narrations has indeed offended the entire ummah. It is an accusation against all those who lived during those years of being unwary and negligent. They could not recognise the truth and were hoodwinked by false and fabricated narrations. The reality however, is in complete contrast to this. Therefore it has been proven that this narration was fabricated and it cannot be regarded as authentic.

As for the second narration, which states that Abū Hurayrah wie entered Iraq, it was also narrated by al-Iskāfī. We have recognised him and understood his background as well as the value of his narrations. Therefore, we will not accept this narration. Furthermore, this narration defies common sense. How is it possible that he done this when 'Alī wie was stationed in Iraq, Mu'āwiyah in Syria and he was in Ḥijāz? It has been established that after returning from Bahrain, where he served as governor for 'Umar wie, he did not leave Ḥijāz. Ibn 'Abd al-Barr says:

أنه لما عاد من البحرين في عهد عمر ورغب إليه عمر أن يعود والباً عليها مرة أخرى فأبي، لم يزل بالمدينة حتى مات

After he returned from Bahrain, during the era of 'Umar, 'Umar requested him to once again take up governance of Bahrain. He refused and thereafter remained in Madīnah until his death.¹

This is the reality.²

The only scenario in which this would be possible is if the author believes that Abū Hurayrah www was granted the wind of Sulaymān or the earth was folded up for him. If we have to accept that this narration is correct, then Abū Hurayrah was clearing his name from some of the allegations regarding him spread by a group of opponents to the Umayyads. Besides that, the aḥādīth which are narrated from Abū Hurayrah www contradict this narration and exposes its non-credibility.

Imām Muslim reports from Abū Hurayrah نفي who narrates from Nabī تركيات

¹ Al-Istī āb 4/209 - printed at the bottom of al-Iṣābah

² $\mathit{Difa}^{``}an\,al\mbox{-}Sunnah$ by Muḥammad Abī Shuhbah pg. 99, 160

المدينةحرم ، فمن أحدث فيها حدثاً أو آوى محدثاً فعليه لعنة الله والملائكة والناس أجميعين لا يقبل منه يوم القيامة عدل ولا صرف

Madīnah has been sanctified. Thus, whoever innovates or accommodates an innovator therein, then may the curse of Allah, His angels and the entire creation be upon him. Neither will his obligatory prayers be accepted on the Day of Qiyāmah nor his optional ones.¹

It does not contain the extra portion added by the fabricators, in which Abū Hurayrah allegedly criticises Alī to earn a reward from Muʿāwiyah

The 'trustworthy' author omitted a portion of the narration, i.e. "Every Nabī has a sanctified area and my sanctified area in Madīnah is that which lies between ' $\bar{I}r$ and *Thowr*." This is because it refutes his claim and narration as it is not established that Abū Hurayrah www said this. Rather, it is a famous narration of 'Alī www as narrated in *Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim*.² Al-Iskāfī chose to attribute it to Abū Hurayrah www.³ This is another proof of their evil intentions and hatred for the Ṣaḥābah in general and specifically Abū Hurayrah www.

Over and above this, the author quotes narrations which contradict his beliefs and claims. Earlier (page 25 of his book) he claimed that Busr ibn Arṭāt appointed Abū Hurayrah as the governor of Madīnah upon his arrival there. Later (page 39), he says: "When his speech reached Muʿāwiyah, he rewarded him, honoured him and appointed him as the governor of Madīnah." Which of the two narrations does the author want us to believe? Or will he claim that the second narration was a re-instatement to the post? He is at liberty to go ahead and interpret his contradictions in any way that pleases him!

As for the narration which he quoted in the footnote of the narration of al-Thowrī, which was narrated to us by $Ab\bar{u} Ja$ far al-Iskāfī, his lies and fabrications against

¹ Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim 2/999

² Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim 2/995, 2/1147

³ Sharḥ Nahj al-Balāghah 1/467

the Ṣaḥābah are well known to us. Therefore, irrespective of whom he reports this from, it will not be acceptable. More so when an authentic narration from Abū Hurayrah a does not contain this addition and the reply of the youngster, "I make Allāh my witness that you have befriended his enemy," as mentioned by al-Iskāfī.

Dāwūd ibn Yazīd al-Owdī narrates from his father who said:

دخل أبو هريرة المسجد فاجتمع إليه الناس فقام إليه شاب فقال: أنشدك بالله سمعت رسول الله يقول: من كنت مولاه فعلي مولاه، اللهم وال من والاه وعاد من عاداه " رواه أبو يعلى والبزاز بنحوه

Abū Hurayrah entered the masjid so people gathered around him. One of the youngsters stood up and asked him: "I ask you in the name of Allah, did you hear Rasūlullāh المنتخفين saying: "Whoever takes me as a guardian then 'Alī is his guardian as well. O Allah, befriend those who befriend him and take to task those who have enmity for him." Abū Ya'lā narrated this and it is corroborated by al-Bazzār.¹

This narration highlights the position of Abū Hurayrah in the eyes of the people of Iraq. This is because they enquire from him regarding a narration on the virtue of Alī in this reveals the falsity of the image created by the author. This version does not include the addition which was included to suit the desires of the one who added it and attempted to deceive the people regarding the reality of the hadīth. Thus, the matter of these people, who engrossed themselves in trying to dishonour the Ṣaḥābah, and discredit them of their integrity and dīn, has become manifest. This incident was not a 'hard slap' from the youngster to Abū Hurayrah integrity at the size of the truth.²

The author continues to cast allegations against Ab \bar{u} Hurayrah and accuses him of being a faithful ally of the Umayyads to the extent that he

¹ Majmaʿ al-Zawā'id 9/105

² Aḥmad 2/447, Ibn Mājah 658

would extemporaneously fabricate aḥādīth in defence of the 'hypocrites' of Banū Umayyah, who were cursed by Rasūlullāh حَالَتَهُ Due to this, he was regarded as a priceless asset by the Banū Umayyah. Thus, "Marwān and his sons used their authority to increase his asānīd. They did not compromise on their efforts to promote him. Therefore, the authors of *şiḥāḥ*¹, *sunan*² and *masānīd*³ all narrated from him. Marwān and his sons played a pivotal role in elevating Abū Hurayrah above others in the following aspects; memorisation, meticulousness, preservation and devoutness. The effects of their efforts have remained up until this day."

Thereafter he quotes the story of the scribe of Marwān and Abū Hurayrah on day that Ḥasan we passed away and the difference of opinion regarding whether he hid away in the room of Rasūlullāh we well-planned arrangement aimed at convincing people that he had a phenomenal memory and that he surpassed many other Ṣaḥābah in this regard. He further claims that this planning reached its culmination when Marwān surrendered to Abū Hurayrah we by acknowledging his virtue and status. In this manner he created a demand for the narrations of Abū Hurayrah

التي كان مروان ومعاوية وبنوهما يحاربون بها الحسن والحسين وأباهما وبنيهما وكانت من أنجع الدعايات في تلك السياسات..

Marwān and Muʿāwiyah would use these narrations to wage war with Hasan, Husayn, their father and their offspring. It was one of the most beneficial strategies used in their politics.

I have already explained the true account of these historic facts; however the author chooses to view them through black lenses which suit his whims and views. Thus, it is nothing more than a reflection of that which is hidden in his bosom.⁴

¹ Compilations which only narrate authentic aḥādīth.

² Compilations in which the chapters are based upon jurisprudence.

³ Compilations in which, each chapter contains the narrations of one specific person.

⁴ Refer to Abū Hurayrah Rāwiyat al-Islam by al-ʿIjāj pg. 181-201

Abd al-Ḥusayn adds a chapter on page 50 titled, "the amount of his narrations'. Here he compares Abū Hurayrah نهنته with the al-Khulafā' al-Rāshidīn in respect of their memories and the amount of narrations transmitted by them. He states:

وقد نظرنا في مجموع ما روي من الحديث عن الخلفاء الأربعة فوجدناه بالنسبة إلى حديث أبي هريرة وحده أقل من السبعة والعشرين في المائة ..فلينظر ناظر بعقله في أبي هريرة وتأخره في إسلامه وخموله في حسبه وأميته وما إلى ذلك مما يوجب إقلاله ، ثم لينظر إلى الخلفاء الأربعة ! وسبقهم واختصاصهم وحضورهم تشريع الأحكامفكيف يمكن والحال هذه أن يكون المأثور عن أبي هريرة وحده أضعاف المأثور عنهم جميعا أفتونا يا اولي الألباب ؟! وليس أبو هريرة كعائشة وان أكثرت أيضا ! ، فقد تزوجها رسول الله قبل اسلام أبي هريرة بعشر سنين

We counted all the aḥādīth of the four khulafā' and we have found that they are not equal to even twenty-seven percent of the amount of narrations transmitted by Abū Hurayrah. One should study these facts using his intellect. Take into consideration the late acceptance of Islam by Abū Hurayrah, his lack of social standing, the fact that he was illiterate and similar factors, which necessitate a lesser amount of narrations. Thereafter, compare that to the four khulafā' taking into consideration their early acceptance of Islam, the special attention received by them and the fact that they witnessed the official endorsement of laws. How is it possible that despite all of this, their narrations are only a fraction of his? Pass onto us your verdicts O people of intelligence! Abū Hurayrah was not even matched by 'Ā'ishah who also narrated excessively. This is notwithstanding the fact that Rasūlullāh

Our comment: We will pass over to you our verdict O expert, enlightening you of that which you know not. I cannot understand how you have been granted the title 'Āyat' (sign), unless it is with reference to your ignorance! Your above-mentioned claim is absolute heresy and a blatant blunder. The following explanation proves this:

 It is indeed a fact that al-Ṣiddīq, al-Fārūq, Dhū al-Nūrayn and Abū al-Hasan surpassed Abū Hurayrah نائندون as far as companionship of Nabī and accepting Islam are concerned. Despite this, his narrations greatly outnumber theirs. However, the reason behind this is that they were burdened with the responsibility of taking care of the affairs of the khilāfah. They are the ones who despatched scholars, qurrā and judges to different parts of the Muslim lands, who fulfilled their responsibility just as the khulafā' fulfilled their responsibilities in seeing to the needs of the ummah. Thus, we cannot point fingers at Khālid ibn al-Walīd in the ummah. Thus, we cannot point fingers at Khālid ibn al-Walīd in the ummah. Thus, we cannot point fingers at Khālid ibn al-Walīd in the ummah. Thus, we cannot point fingers at Khālid ibn al-Walīd in the ummah. Thus, we cannot point fingers at Khālid ibn al-Walīd in the ummah. Thus, we cannot point fingers at Khālid ibn al-Walīd in the ummah. Thus, we cannot point fingers at Khālid ibn al-Walīd in the ummah. Thus, we cannot point fingers at Khālid ibn al-Walīd in the ummah. Thus, we cannot point fingers at Khālid ibn al-Walīd in the ummah. Thus, we cannot point fingers at Khālid ibn al-Walīd in the ummah. Thus, we cannot point fingers at Khālid ibn al-Walīd in the ummah. Thus, we cannot point fingers at Khālid ibn al-Walīd in the ummah. Thus, we cannot point fingers at Khālid ibn al-Walīd in the ummah. Thus, we cannot question Abū Hurayrah is regarding his Abūndance of narrations as that was his occupation. Is there anyone who can rebuke 'Uthmān and 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās is for not being responsible for any of the conquests? Each person excels at that which he was created for.

2. Abū Hurayrah and distanced himself from politics and occupied himself completely with knowledge and educating others. Over and above that, people continuously referred to him as he was blessed with a long life. Thus, it would be a grave error to compare him to any of the Ṣaḥābah who accepted Islam earlier than him, especially the four khulafā'. Furthermore, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn disparages him on account of his social standing, lineage and him being illiterate. Do any of these factors have an effect on the amount of his narrations? None before him made this claim.

Whatever we have stated in refutation of his comparison of Abū Hurayrah to the al-Khulafā' al-Rāshidīn, will also apply to his comparison of Abū Hurayrah to 'Ā'ishah comparison of Abū Hurayrah to the the home whilst Abū Hurayrah to the conducted his lessons in al-Masjid al-Nabawī. Also, Abū Hurayrah to 'Ā'ishah comparison of the other hand, would spend most of her time concentrating upon the womenfolk of the Muslims and it was not easy for the masses to gain entry into her house. Notwithstanding this, the author could not hold back his tongue from commenting negatively regarding her by insinuating that her narrations were also Abūndant. By doing so, he has contradicted himself.

The author finds it surprising that the narrations of Abū Hurayrah

outnumber the narrations of Sayyidah 'Ā'ishah, Umm Salamah, the rest of the Ummahāt al-Mu'minīn, Ḥasan, Ḥusayn, their noble mother, as well as the four khulafā'. I have already presented the answer to this, but I will add the following as well;

- 1. Umm Salamah $\overleftarrow{\mathsf{raiss}}$ did not occupy herself with teaching people in the way that 'A'ishah $\overleftarrow{\mathsf{raiss}}$ did.
- 2. Hasan and Husayn were quite young and thus counted amongst the junior Ṣaḥābah. Along with that, they involved themselves in political affairs. Therefore it is obvious that there narrations are not going to be many in number.
- Fāțimah تعظيمات the queen of all women passed away six months after the demise of Rasūlullāh مَتَاللَّنَاعَةُ مُوَاللًا.

Thus, the matter is quite apparent. it does not require deciphering from the intelligentsia as the author wishes to believe. Anyway, who would he regard him to be from the intelligentsia, the likes of al-Jāḥiẓ and al-Niẓām?

Indeed a non-biased study will reveal that the narrations of Abū Hurayrah فوالله do not demand any surprise or confusion. They do not stir up this noise and fuss that is created by those who follow their desires and the enemies of aḥādīth. His narrations, irrespective of whether he narrates them directly from Nabī أَنْ مَالَى or through the medium of another Ṣaḥābī, cannot be doubted on account of the time that he spent in the company of Rasūlullāh مَالَى . In fact, his companionship suggests that he should have narrated even more aḥādīth. The reason behind this is that he witnessed that period of Islam during the era of Rasūlullāh مَالَى when the activities of preaching, propagating and spreading Islam were at their peak.

We would love to know the opinion of this 'genius' concerning his A'immah and reliable narrators. One of his 'infallibles' was asked by a person regarding sixteen thousand aḥādīth! Al-Kashshī narrates:
عن رجل قال سألت أبا عبدالله الصادق(ع) عن ستة عشر ألف حديث فأجاب بل أن معصوماً ! كان يجيب عن ثلاثين ألف مسألة وهو طفل لم يبلغ بعد !!!

A man says: "I asked Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ṣādiq regarding sixteen thousand aḥādīth and he answered me.¹ In fact, the infallible would answer thirty thousand questions whilst he was an immature child."

Al-Kāfī narrates from 'Alī ibn Ibrāhīm who narrates from his father:

استأذن على أبي جعفر(ع) قوم من أهل النواحي من الشيعة فأذن لهم فدخلوا فسألوا في مجلس واحد ثلاثين ألف مسألة فأجاب (ع) وله عشر سنين

A group of Shī ah from the outskirts sought permission to enter the room of Abū Jaʿfar. He granted them permission; they entered, and then asked him thirty thousand questions in one sitting. He answered all the questions, whereas he was only ten years $old.^2$

Here is one of the 'reliable' narrators of the Shī'ah, who entered the gathering of the infallible and asked him concerning the aḥādīth of Jābir al-Juʿfī, his strange narrations and calamities. Ziyād ibn al-Khallāl says:

```
اختلف في جابر بن يزيد وعجايبه وأحاديثه فدخلت على أبي عبدالله وأنا أريد أن أسأله عنه فابتدأني من
غير أن أسأله فقال: رحم الله جابر بن يزيد الجعفي فإنه كان يصدق علينا
```

There was difference of opinion concerning Jābir ibn Yazīd, his strange narrations and aḥādīth, so I went to Abū ʿAbd Allāh with the intention of enquiring from him, but he spoke before I could even say a word. He said: "May Allah have mercy upon Jābir ibn Yazīd al-Juʿfī, he would give us his charity."³

¹ Al-Qațrah 1/208

² Al-Qațrah 1/248

³ Dalā'il al-Imāmah pg.131

وانه روى عن الباقر(ع) سبعين ألف حديث!! كان مأمورا بإظهارها وسبعين ألف حديث كان مأمورا بكتمانها

He narrated seventy thousand aḥādīth from al-Bāqir regarding which he was ordered to show the public, and another seventy thousand which he was commanded to conceal.¹

وفي رواية تسعين ألف حديث...

Another narration states that it was ninety thousand...²

Al-Tūsī states:

وذكر علماء الرجال أن أبان بن تغلب روى عن الباقر(ع) ثلاثين ألف حديث!! وأن محمد بن مسلم روى عنه أيضاً ثلاثين ألف حديث!! وعن الصادق(ع) ستة عشر ألف حديث

The scholars of ḥadīth have mentioned that Abān ibn Taghlib narrated thirty thousand aḥādīth from al-Bāqir.³ They have also mentioned that Muḥammad ibn Muslim narrated from him thirty thousand aḥādīth and sixteen thousand from al-Ṣādiq.⁴

Why was the author ignoring all of this and deceiving us? Why did he not criticise his narrators and mock them the way he mocked Abū Hurayrah and the not bring to question the knowledge that this narrator had which he did not reveal?

¹ Al-Fawā'id pg. 262, al-Kashshī pg. 194

² Rowḍat al-Kāfī pg. 138-139, al-La'ālī 2/20, Madīnat al-Maʿājiz 5/44 chapter thirty-three, al-Anwār 3/275,

al-Qațrah 1/201, Hilyat al-Abrār 1/13. Refer also to Ilzām al-Nāșib 2/265

³ Al-Fawā'id pg. 262, al-Najāshī pg. 535

⁴ Al-Fawā'id pg. 262, al-Kashshī pg. 163-167

The Merits of Abū Hurayrah

Nabī سَلَسْتَعَبَوْسَلَمُ supplicated for Abū Hurayrah مَرْتَسْتَعَبُوسَلَمُ when he complained that he cannot retain the aḥādīth that he hears. Abū Hurayrah مَرْتَسَعَة narrates:

I asked Rasūlullāh المعنية: "O Rasūlullāh المعنية بنها , I hear from you many things but I cannot remember them." Rasūlullāh المعنية said: "Spread your shawl."... I spread it...thereafter he said many things, but I did not forget anything that I heard from him."¹

Nabī مَكْتَسَعَنَوْتَكُ supplicated for ʿAlī عَنَيْتَعَانُ when he sent him to Yemen as a judge because ʿAlī مَكَالَمَعَنِيوَتَكُ complained that he forgets very often. Nabī مَكَالَمَعَنَدُوتَكُ also supplicated for Ibn ʿAbbās مَكَالَمَعَانُ to be granted knowledge and understanding.

In this manner, the miracle of Rasūlullāh سَأَلَسْتَعَيْدُوَنَهُ was witnessed as his supplication in respect of these three became a reality. This is the secret, O [']Abd al-Husayn! It was a miracle. It was not a miracle of Abū Hurayrah مَوَالَسْتَعَيْدُوَنَهُ, rather it was a miracle of Rasūlullāh سَأَلَسْتَعَيْدُوَنَهُ. From that historic and blessed moment onwards, Abū Hurayrah مَوَالَسْتَعَيْدُوَنَهُ did not forget any ḥadīth that he heard from Rasūlullāh

Your scholars have also mentioned these as accepted supplications and miracles of Rasūlullāh حَيَّاتَنَعَيْمَوَتَرَ. Al-Rāwandī narrates in his *Kharā'ij*, Shahar Āshūb in his *Manāqib* and al-Majlisī in his *Biḥār*:

¹ Al-Bukhārī

قال أمير المؤمنين(ع): بعثني رسول الله إلى اليمن فقلت: يا رسول الله بعثتني وأنا حدث السن ولا علم لي بالقضاء ، قال رسول الله: فانطلق فإن الله سيهدي قلبك ويثبت لسانك ، قال علي (ع): فما شككت في قضاء بين اثنين

Amīr al-Mu'minīn said: "Rasūlullāh in sent me to Yemen so I said, 'O Rasūlullāh, you are sending me whereas I am young and I have no knowledge regarding judgement.' Rasūlullāh in said: '{I have sent you} Go! Allah will guide your heart and strengthen your speech.' 'Alī is said: 'Thereafter I could always judge between two people without doubting who was correct.'"¹

Kamāl al-Dīn reports on the authority of Abū al-Ṭufayl from ʿAlī أنظرت المعالية:

أكتب ما أملي عليك، قال: يا نبي الله أتخاف عليّ النسيان ؟ فقال: لست أخاف عليك النسيان ،وقد دعوت الله لك أن يحفظ ولا ينسيك ..

(Rasūlullāh عائنتيسية said :) "Write down that which I am dictating to you." I asked: "O Nabī of Allah, do you fear that I will forget?" He replied: "I do not fear that you will forget as I supplicated to Allah that he should grant you the ability to memorise and that you should not forget."²

Al-Kharā'ij narrates under the "miracles of our Nabī Muḥammad حَالَقَتَ المُعَانِمُوتَمَلَة," and *al-Biḥār* narrates under the chapter, "his miracles and the acceptance of his supplications,"

أنه قال لابن عباس وهو غلام : " اللهم فقهه في الدين ،وعلمه التأويل فكان فقيهاً ، عالماً بالتأويل فخرج بحرا في العلم وحبرا للأمة

Nabī المنتشكة said to ibn ʿAbbās who was a youngster: "O Allāh, grant him a comprehensive understanding of dīn and teach him the interpretation (of

¹ Al-Manāqib 1/74 Shahar Āshūb, regarding the acceptance of his supplications.

² Kamāl al-Dīn 1/199

the Qur'ān)." Thus he became a jurist and a master of interpretation.¹ He became an ocean of knowledge and a guide for the ummah.

It is reported in *Al-Kharā'ij*:

Abū Hurayrah said to Rasūlullāh حَالَتَكَعَنَّتُ: "I hear from you many aḥādīth but I forget them." Rasūlullāh مَالَتَكَتَبَتَّهُ replied: "Spread out your upper garment completely." I spread it out. Thereafter he placed his hand in it and said: "Wear it." I wore it and I did not forget any ḥadīth after that.²

It is mentioned in Al-Manāqib that Abū Hurayrah المنافقة said:

I went to Nabī سَاللَّعَيْسَةُ with a few dates and asked him: "Supplicate for me that I may be granted blessings in them." Rasūlullāh سَاللَّعَيْسَةُ supplicated and then said: "Place them in a container." Abū Hurayrah نَعْنَى said: "I have used from it so much and so much."³

Al-Biḥār reports on the authority of Abū Salamah that Abū Hurayrah with related:

We were overpowered by thirst during the expedition of Ḥudaybiyyah, so we pleaded to Nabī مَالْسَتَعَبِيرَةُ regarding it. He raised his hands in supplication.

¹ Al-Kharā'ij 1/75-85, Miracles of Our Nabī Muḥammad بالتنبينة, al-Biḥār 18/18 His Miracles and the Acceptance of His Supplications, al-Maḥajjat al-Bayḍā 2/253, 8/86, 1/93, 5/43, al-Manāqib 1/74 Shahar Āshūb regarding the acceptance of his supplications.

² Al-Kharā'ij 1/75-85, al-Biḥār 18/13

³ Al-Manāqib 1/74

Shortly thereafter, a cloud appeared and the rain came down. We satiated ourselves by means of it. $^{\rm 1}$

Al-Manāqib reports:

From the people of Ṣuffah, Abū Hurayrah narrates that a platter was placed before them. Nabī المنتقبية placed his hand in it. Thereafter they ate but it remained full. The only difference was that the fingerprints remained.²

Al-Manāqib and *al-Kharā'ij* report under the chapter relating the miracles of Rasūlullāh سَتَسْتَعَدِينَةُ that Abū Hurayrah said:

I brought some dates to Nabī المنتخبينة and said to him: "O Rasūlullāh, supplicate to Allah to grant me blessings (in it)." He held it in his hand and asked for blessings. Thereafter, I placed it in a container and we continued eating and feeding from it. It would not leave me.³

All of these merits have been mentioned by the 'ulamā', and they are not those who merely pretend to be 'ulamā'. So why was he acting ignorant? Indeed this incident reveals to us that there was a divine plan that stood in the way of Abū Hurayrah as far as engaging himself in worldly pursuits are concerned. He was divinely selected to free himself completely for that which he engaged himself in.

¹ Al-Biḥār 18/5

² Al-Manāqīb 1/90 by Shahar Āshūb-Excessive eating and drinking

³ Al-Manāqīb 1/90 by Shahar Āshūb, al-Kharā'ij 1/55

Abū Hurayrah مَالَيْتَ brought a few dates and requested: "O Rasūlullāh, ask Allah to grant me blessings in them." Understand the implication of this statement. The person being spoken to, is the greatest of ambiyā' and the leader of the messengers. Hence, if it was more appropriate for him to be directed towards making an effort for his livelihood, then most definitely Rasūlullāh مَالَيْتَعَادِينَا fulfilled his request.

This is an indication that in the case of Abū Hurayrah (1), it was most appropriate for him to be absolved of the difficulty of acquiring his livelihood so that he could spend his time making an effort on something much nobler, i.e. knowledge and the imparting of knowledge. Acquiring livelihood is easier and less demanding than the path of knowledge. The most strenuous path is the path of knowledge. If this was not the case then all 'paupers' would have been scholars and Ayatollahs.

The 'ulamā', and not those who pretend to be 'ulamā' have explained that the knowledge which Abū Hurayrah was had, which he did not spread, was not connected to any laws, mannerisms or any such matter upon which the laws of dīn are based upon. Rather, it was concerning a few signs of Qiyāmah or a few trials that will be faced by the ummah.¹ His ḥadīth, which was partially quoted by this 'trustworthy' author, points out to this. He did not quote the portion in which the narrator clarifies the intended meaning of Abū Hurayrah was.

Abū Hurayrah منتخف said: "If I narrate to you all that is within my bosom, you will throw at me the droppings of a camel." Hasan, the narrator of the hadīth from Abū Hurayrah منتخف explains: "He spoke the truth, by the oath of Allah, if he had to inform us that the House of Allah was going to be demolished or burnt, the people would not have believed him." Abū Hurayrah منتخف was not the first person to adopt this practice. Rasūlullāh متاليت himself would sometimes reveal give advice to one of his companions, of which others were unaware. One such incident is the conversation of Rasūlullāh متالينا with Muʿādh ibn Jabal

¹ Refer to Fath al-Bārī 1/227

ما أحد يشهد أن لا إله إلا الله وأن محمداً رسول الله صدقاً من قلبه إلا حرمه الله على النار". قال: يا رسول الله أفلا أخبر به الناس فيستبشروا ؟ قال: " إذا يتكلوا "

"If anyone believes sincerely that there is no deity besides Allah and that Muḥammad is the Rasūl of Allah, he will not be entered into Jahannam." Muʿādh asked: "Should I not inform the people so that they may be delighted?" Rasūlullāh المالية replied: "Then they will become complacent."

Muʿādh conveyed this whilst he was on his death bed, fearing that he would be guilty of the sin of hiding knowledge. He was neither a governor nor was he a khalīfah. Why did the author and his likes single out Abū Hurayrah solut Abū Hurayrah dieses as a target of Abūse? The author, who has greatly oppressed Abū Hurayrah should also understand that Abū Hurayrah did not keep this knowledge away from the people as a result of fear that they would not listen to him due to his lack of status or that they would pelt him with animal droppings due to him being weak. Instead, his motive behind keeping that knowledge away from the masses was that he wished to speak to people according to their understanding. This was also the advice of Amīr al-Mu'minīn ʿAlī cost.²

As for the statement of Abū Hurayrah *"Lines: "Abū Hurayrah does not hide (knowledge), nor does he write it*", this does not contradict the other hadīth. This is because he did not conceal any beneficial and necessary knowledge. The knowledge that he withheld from the people was concerning a few battles, trials and conditions that were to prevail. They were not such matters which were related to any primary or subsidiary laws of Islam.

The author mocks the following statement of Abū Hurayrah ⁴⁴*i I I were to narrate to you all that is in my bosom, you will hurl camel droppings and stones at me.*" **I say:** if you want to mock him for that, then take a look at the knowledge of the

¹ Fatḥ al-Bārī 1/236

² Fatḥ al-Bārī 1/235

Ahl al-Bayt. Ayatollah Mullā Zayn al-Gulpāygānī — one of their great scholars — quotes in his book, *Anwār al-Wilāyah* (pg. 372) a few statements of ʿAlī ﷺ:

وعن أمير المؤمنين (ع) مشيراً إلى صدره: أن هاهنا لعلوماً جمة لو وجدت لها حملة .

He pointed to his chest and said: "There is an enormous amount of knowledge here. If only I could find those who could carry it."

Indeed, my bosom contains such knowledge that if I expose it to you, you will swing in the same manner that a long rope dangles in a deep well.

If I had to present to you an exegesis of His saying: "It is Allah who created the seven heavens and the Earth in a like manner. He sends commands between them"¹, you would stone me.

Sayyid al-Sajjādīn said:

I hide the diamonds of my knowledge, so that the foolish one may not be put to test by means of the truth. This was the way of Abū al-Ḥasan who advised Ḥusayn and before him Ḥasan. O my Rabb, these are the diamonds of my knowledge. Should I divulge them so that it may be said regarding me: "You are from the idol-worshippers."? Then Muslim men will consider my blood lawful and believe that this horrendous act of theirs is virtuous.

Whatever is your reply will be ours as well. Here is one of your narrators who you have praised in your alleged correspondence. He shamelessly admits that if he had

¹ Sūrah al-Ṭalāq: 12

to narrate all that he heard from Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq then, "the phalluses of (executed) men would inflate upon the wood (on which their bodies would be crucified)!" Further, al-Kashshī narrates in his *Rijāl* with his isnād from Muḥammad ibn Ziyād Abū ʿUmayr from ʿAlī ibn ʿAṭiyyah from Zurārah who said:

والله لو حدثت بكلّما سمعته من أبي عبد الله(ع) لأنتفخت ذكور الرجال على الخشب

By the oath of Allah, if I narrated all that I heard from Abū 'Abd Allāh, the phalluses of men would inflate upon the wood.

He attempts to prove from the statement of Abū Hurayrah is in which he said: "None of the companions of Nabī is narrate more aḥādīth from him than me except ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAmr. He would write and I would not write", and the fact that Amr's is narrations add up to seven hundred seems to support the allegation that Abū Hurayrah is admitted to forging aḥādīth. This is because Ibn ʿAmr is collected more aḥādīth than him, yet his narrations far outnumber the narrations of Ibn ʿAmr is.

This is a wasted attempt. It is based upon a misunderstanding and an attempt to understand the hadīth without looking at the reality. The hadīth informs us that 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Amr collected more ahādīth than Abū Hurayrah is as he would write them whilst Abū Hurayrah is would not write them. It is also possible that he said this during the lifetime of Rasūlullāh is , even before Nabī supplicated for him. At that time, he would repeat the ahādīth as often as possible. However, if we chose not to accept this possibility, then the least that can be said is that whilst 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Amr is collected more ahādīth, he was definitely not able to impart and convey them as much as Abū Hurayrah ue to reasons which we will mention.

Ibn Ḥajar تَعَمَّلُنَّهُ has a view which I will reproduce here, he says:

Abū Hurayrah proves by means of his statement, "he would write and I would not," that 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Amr ibn al-'Āş collected more narrations

than him. This also indicates that Abū Hurayrah was quite certain that none of the other Ṣaḥābah had more aḥādīth from Nabī here than him. This is despite the fact that his narrations are far more in number than 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Amr was. Therefore, if we say that his exclusion of 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Amr was concerning the fact that he wrote, i.e. his distinguishing act was the fact that he wrote and not that he collected more aḥādīth, then it leaves no objections. However, if we accept that the exclusion was in reference to the number of aḥādīth, i.e. only 'Abd Allāh had more aḥādīth, then there are a few reasons why Abū Hurayrah's marrations outnumber Amr's was. They are:

- 1. 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Amr ﷺ engaged more in 'ibādah and less in teaching. Hence not many narrations were heard from him.
- 2. After the conquests of the different cities, he remained mostly in Egypt and Țā'if, which were not visited by seekers of knowledge as much as Madīnah was visited by them. Abū Hurayrah and the other hand, remained therein and dedicated himself to issuing verdicts and narrating aḥādīth until his death. This is also understood from the amount of people who narrate from him. Al-Bukhārī has mentioned that eight-hundred Tābi'īn have narrated from him. This cannot be said about any other person.
- Abū Hurayrah عنه was blessed with the supplication of Nabī منافع بورط that he should not forget the aḥādīth.
- 4. 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Amr anaged to get hold of a camel-load of books of the Jews and Christians. He would read them and quote them. Due to this, many of the A'immah from the Tābi'īn were hesitant to narrate from him.¹

I would like to add to this that 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Amr 🕬 would travel between Egypt, Shām and Ṭā'if. He would visit Ṭā'if quite often to supervise the grape plantations

¹ Fatḥ al-Bārī 1/217

that belonged to his father. These were the very plantations concerning which Muʿāwiyah ibn Abī Sufyān www tried negotiating a deal with him and offered him a large sum of money. He refused to sell this at any price. This, according to some, is what led to the mutual aversion that existed between them.

It is necessary at this point for me to emphasise that during the reign of Muʿāwiyah and his son Yazīd, ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAmr and the was not afforded freedom to narrate as was did not agree with them on certain matters. Thus, at times, they would prevent him from narrating. Imām Aḥmad narrates from Shahr who says:

إن عبدالله بن عمرو دخل على نوف البكالي وهو يحدّث فقال حدث فأنا قد نهينا عن الحديث قال : ما كنت لأحدث وعندي رجل من أصحاب رسول الله ثم من قريش

ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAmr entered the presence of Nowf al-Bakkālī whilst he was narrating aḥādīth. He said: "Continue narrating as I have been prohibited from narrating." Nowf replied: "I cannot continue when there is a Ṣaḥābī of Nabī المنافية in my presence who also happens to be from the Quraysh."

The statement of 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Amr المنتخف: "I have been prohibited from narrating," refers to the prohibition of Muʿāwiyah and his son Yazīd, not a prohibition from Rasūlullāh مكتشتكينينا as assumed by the enemies of the sunnah. This is clarified in another narration, in which it is said:

فجاءه رسول يزيد بن معاوية أن أجب فقال: هذا ينهاني (أن) احدثكم كما كان أبوه ينهاني

Then a messenger of Yazīd ibn Muʿāwiyah came to him summoning him upon which he said: "This one prevents me from narrating to you just as his father would prevent me."

Yazīd would also prevent him from narrating out of fear that he might incite people against the Banū Umayyah. These important factors explain the reasons behind the relatively minute amount of narrations from 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Amr www despite his vast collection. They also disprove the accusations of 'Abd alألم يحدث بنوم النبي ! عن صلاة الصبح ؟ وعروض الشيطان له وهو في الصلاة ليقطعها عليه ؟ ألم يرو انه سهى فصلّى الرباعية ثنائية ...ألم يتسور على آدم ونوح وابراهيم وعيسى بما يجب تنزيههم عنه ؟

Did he not narrate that Nabī منتعين overslept and missed Fajr Ṣalāh? Did he not narrate that Shayṭān interfered with him while he was in ṣalāh in order to nullify it? Did he not narrate that Nabī منتعين forgetfully performed two rakʿāts instead of four? Did he not say such things regarding Ādam, Nūḥ, Ibrāhīm and ʿIsā which were necessary to negate in respect to them?

It seems as if this shrewd author could not find an emergency exit nor an opening in which he could plant his whims. His claims have been refuted by demolishing evidences causing them to wither away in front of a lofty palace in which the integrity of Abū Hurayrah way was protected. His hopeless arrows were turned to smithereens in front of the secured fort which Abū Hurayrah way constructed by means of his trustworthiness and steadfastness.

As a final resort, he attempts to create doubts in the minds of people regarding the narrations of Abū Hurayrah www by using a few narrations — reported by him — that appear in *al-Bukhārī* and *Muslim*. By doing so, he also wishes to build a highway in the direction of discrediting all the narrations therein. He wishes from his readers and the rest of the world that they should doubt such a book

which the entire ummah have agreed upon its authenticity and have accepted it.

We wish to ask, "Have you forgotten, O genius, that these narrations were also narrated by your infallible A'immah in such books which you have authenticated in your alleged correspondences, or did you pretend that you forgot?" Did they not narrate that Nabī مَالَيَ overslept and missed Fajr Ṣalāh? Did they not narrate that Shayṭān interfered with him while he was in ṣalāh in order to nullify it? Did they not narrate that Nabī مَالَي forgetfully performed two rakʿāts instead of four? Did they not say such things regarding Nabī Ādam, Nabī Nūḥ, Nabī Ibrāhīm and Nabī ʿIsā مَالَي ماله عنه مالكَة ماله مَاله ماله عنه مالكَة ماله ماله ماله عنه مالكة the fictional wilāyah of ʿAlī مَالَكَ on account of which they were expelled from Jannah, imprisoned and punished, Nabī and a few more will be reproduced in due time, if Allah wills.

Under the chapter, "the condition of his narrations (pg. 59)", 'Abd al-Ḥusayn quotes forty aḥādīth which, according to him are questionable and were narrated by none besides Abū Hurayrah ﷺ. His exact words are:

الأذواق الفنية لا تسيغ كثيرا من أساليب أبي هريرة في حديثه والمقاييس العلمية عقلية ونقلية لا تقرها . وحسبك عنوانا لهذه الحقيقة أربعون حديثا صحت عنه، اتلوها الآن عليك فيها وفيما علقناه عليها متحررا متجردا، ولك بعد ذلك رأيك

Academic standards, mastery of subjects and the laws of transmission do not accommodate the methodology adopted by Abū Hurayrah in many of his narrations. It is sufficient for you to examine forty aḥādīth that are authentically narrated from him to understand this. I will now reproduce them for you along with our comments, which will be distinct. Thereafter you may decide for yourself.

Our comment: The 'talented' author could not find anything besides such ahādīth which deal with matters of the unseen. He tries to judge them in the light of human intellect by comparing them to that which is visible. Among those

aḥādīth is the ḥadīth regarding the creation of Nabī Ādam عَيْسَتَكَمْ. He interprets the words using such meanings which cannot be implied and he explains the narration in a way that is neither acceptable according to the intellect, nor does a sound temperament accept it.

He goes on to quote some aḥādīth regarding some scenarios of the Day of Qiyāmah, such as beholding Allah Taʿālā, the speaking of Jannah and Jahannam etc. He also refutes the ḥadīth which states that Allah Taʿālā accepts supplications during the final third of every night. In this ḥadīth he stretches the meanings of the words against their actual usage. The author has become accustomed to undergoing difficulties, strenuous exercises and exerting himself in trying to make a mountain out of a molehill. However, his arguments melt away when confronted by academic discussions. Rather, they disappear like the debris on the surface of water.

It did not cross the mind of the author that he should go against the trend and mention a few virtues of Abū Hurayrah wigh, which are many in number. He had ulterior motives behind ignoring all of them. The most ridiculous characteristic of his work is that he cooks up objections and thereafter creates pathways to ensure that they fit the context. It is extremely amazing that whilst he done all of that, he did not have the decency of mentioning the answers offered by the leading scholars to these so called objections, especially regarding those aḥādīth which appear in *al-Bukhārī* or *Muslim*, which are undoubtedly authentic. In fact, he even omits mentioning them from those whom he considers infallible!

Did this author really forget that the very aḥādīth which were seen by him as reasons to disparage Abū Hurayrah www were narrated by those whom he considers reliable, from such people whom he believes are totally infallible? Therefore, there are two possibilities, none of which are in his favour. Either he is ignorant of that which appears in his ḥadīth books, like the four canonical seminal books and the explanations of his scholars regarding these aḥādīth which would be absolute ignorance on his part, or he is simply being deceptive. The other possibility is that he saw it and realised that it does not correspond to his motives so he preferred to wrap them up and conceal them. This is deception, dissimulation and a ploy from the devil. I will reproduce the same aḥādīth which Abū Hurayrah and a marrated and this genius found unrealistic by means of his deception. However, I will not quote them from Abū Hurayrah and I will quote them from those whom the author considers infallible as stated by their scholar Kāshif al-Ghiṭā' in his book Aṣl al-Shī ah (pg. 79):

أنهم لا يعتبرون من السنة إلا ما صح لهم من طرق أهل البيت عن جدهم يعني ما رواه الصادق عن أبيه الباقر عن أبيه زين العابدين عن الحسين السبط عن أبيه أمير المؤمنين عن رسول الله سلام الله عليهم جميعاً، أما ما يرويه مثل أبي هريرة وسمرة بن جندب و مروان ابن الحكم وعمران بن حطان الخارجي وعمرو بن العاص ونظائرهم فليس عند الإمامية من الإعتبار مقدار بعوضة

They do not consider anything to be from the sunnah if it not authentically traced by them to the Ahl al-Bayt from their forefathers, i.e. al-\$al from his father — al-Bāqir — from his father — Zayn al-ʿĀbidīn — from his father — Husayn — from his father — Amīr al-Mu'minīn from Rasūlullāh (may the peace of Allah descend upon all of them). As for those narrations which are transmitted by the likes of Abū Hurayrah, Samurah ibn Jundub, Marwān ibn al-Ḥakam, ʿImrān ibn Ḥaṭṭān al-Khārijī, ʿAmr ibn al-ʿĀṣ etc., they are not even equivalent to a mosquito according to the Imāmiyyah.

These aḥādīth will prove to the Shīʿah first and then to the Ahl al-Sunnah, the degree of lies and deception adopted by this author who claims that he exhausted his resources doing research.

The Aḥādīth which ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Objected to and the Answers to His Objections

We will summarise our discussion by narrating the $ah\bar{a}d\bar{i}th$ from different sources of both groups followed by the explanations of the scholars of each group.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn's Objections Regarding the Ḥadīth: "Allah Created Ādam According to His Form."

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn reproduces the narration in which it is stated that Allah created Nabī Ādam المكيماتية according to his form on page 59.

أخرج الشيخان البخاري ومسلم من طريق عَبْدُالرَّزَاقِ عَنْ مَعْمَر عَنْ هَمَّام بن منبه قال: هذا ما حدَّثنا به أبو هُرَيْرَةَ عَنِ رسول الله(ص) قَال: خَلَقَ اللَّهُ آدَمَ عَلَى صُورَتِه طُولُهُ ستُّونَ ذَرَّاعًا، وزاد أحمد من طريق سعيد بن المسيب عن أبي هريرة مرفوعاً : في سبعة أذرع عرضاً قال: فَلَمَّا خَلَقَهُ قَالَ اذْهَبْ فَسَلَّمْ عَلَى أُولَئكَ النَّفَرِ مَنَ الْمَلَائِكَة جُلُوسٌ فَاسْتَمِعْ مَا يُحَيُّونَكَ فَإِنَّهَا تَحَيِّتُكَ وَتَحِيَّةُ ذُرَيَّتِكَ قَالَ السَّلَامُ عَلَيْكَ وَرَحْمَةُ اللَّهِ، فَزَادُوهُ وَرَحْمَةُ اللَّهِ فَكُلُّ مَنْ يَدْخُلُ الْجَنَّة عَلَى صُورَةِ آدَمَ وطوله ستون ذراعاً ، فَلَمْ يَزَلِ النَّخَلُقُ يُنْقُصُ بَعْدُ حَتَّى الآنَ

Al-Bukhārī and Muslim narrate from ʿAbd al-Razzāq — Maʿmar — Hammām ibn Munabbih —that Abū Hurayrah reported to us that Nabī ماللىتينية said:

Allah created Ādam according to his form. His height was sixty arm's length and he was seven arm's length wide.

Aḥmad adds on from the narration of Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyab who reports from Abū Hurayrah المحققة that Nabī متلقتينيناً said:

... He was seven arm's length wide. After He created him, He said: "Go and greet that group of angels who are sitting and pay attention to their reply, for indeed that will be your greeting and the greeting of your offspring." He said: "Al-salām 'alaykum." They replied: "Al-salām 'alayka wa raḥmat Allāh." They added wa raḥmat Allāh. Whoever enters Jannah will have the form of Ādam and his height will be sixty arm's length. The size of the creation began decreasing from then and it continued to decrease until now."¹

The author began raising doubts and suspicion by going in circles regarding this hadīth. He says:

¹ *Al-Bukhārī*, under the chapter of seeking permission, Muslim under the chapter, "Jannah and a description of its bounties and dwellers".

وهذا مما لا يجوز على رسول الله(ص) ولا على غيره من الأنبياء ولا على أوصيائهم (ع). ولعل أبا هريرة إنما أخذه عن اليهود بواسطة صديقه كعب الأحبار أو غيره ، فإن مضمون هذا الحديث إنما هو عين الفقرة السابعة والعشرين من الاصحاح الأول من اصحاحات التكوين من كتاب اليهود – العهد القديم – وإليك نصها بعين لفظه قال: فخلق الله الانسان على صورته، على صورة الله خلقه ذكرا وانثى خلقهم .

تقدس الله عن الصورة والكيفية والشبيه ، وتعالى الله عما يقول الظالمون علوا كبيرا ... ومرة رواه بلفظ : إذا ضرب أحدكم فليجتنب الوجه ولا يقل : قبح الله وجهك ووجه من أشبه وجهك فإن الله خلق آدم على صورته

Statements of this nature cannot be attributed to Nabī Age any of the other ambiyā' or their awṣiyā''. It is highly possible that Abū Hurayrah learned this from the Jews² through the medium of his friend Ka'b al-Aḥbār and others. The message of this ḥadīth is identical to the twenty seventh passage of the first chapter from the chapters of creation of the book of the Jews, the Old Testament. The exact words of the Old Testament are as follows:

Allah created the human in His form. Allah created the males and females according to the form of Allah.

Allah is beyond any from, condition and resemblance. Allah is completely pure of all that which the oppressors attribute to Him... On another occasion he narrated this message using the following words: "When any of you slap someone, then avoid the face. One should not say, 'May Allah disfigure your face and the face which resembles yours,' for indeed Allah created Ādam according to His form."³

¹ Awşiyā and Wişāyah are terms that cannot be traced back to Islam. These are terms which were coined by Ibn Saba'. He is the first person who claimed that Imāmah is wiṣayah from Nabī مالنتينيا and it is confined to the awṣiyā. If anyone besides the waṣī is appointed as the Imām, it is necessary to distance oneself from him and regard him a disbeliever. We are not in need of this Jewish doctrine! 2 The bigot states in the footnotes of this page, "He depended upon the Jews for many of his aḥādīth. Do you not see that he says: 'Sayḥān, Jayḥān, Euphrates and the Nile of Egypt are all from Jannah.' This is taken from the Old Testament."

³ The bigot says: "Al-Bukhārī reports this in *al-Adab al-Mufrad* and Aḥmad recorded it with an authentic isnād to Abū Hurayrah on page 434 of the second volume of his *Musnad*."

Our comment: we will keep our refutation of the lies and drivel of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn brief. These narrations have been reported by your nation through their special chains of transmission from those who they believe are totally infallible. We are forced to expose his deception. He claims that he exerted himself in trying to find the aḥādīth of Abū Hurayrah to the extent that dawn of truth appeared and the morning of conviction finally arrived. The result was that he could not find anything besides criticism and condemnation of Abū Hurayrah the Subḥān Allāh! His level of piety is mind boggling!

The authenticity of this ḥadīth was verified by al-Khomeini in his book *Zubdat al-Arbaʿīn Ḥadīthan* (pg. 264), under the thirty-eighth Ḥadīth which is titled, "Allah created Ādam according to His form." He then narrates it from the Ahl al-Bayt, the proofs of Allah against His creation, as believed by them. These are the exact words:

فعن محمد بن مسلم قال: سألت أبا جعفر(ع) عما يروون أن الله خلق آدم على صورته فقال: هي صورة محدثة مخلوقة ، اصطفاها الله واختارها على سائر الصور المختلفة فأضافها إلى نفسه كما أضاف الكعبة إلى نفسه والروح إلى نفسه فقال تعالى: { بيتي } وقال: { ونفخت فيه من روحي}

Muḥammad ibn Muslim narrates: "I asked Abū Jaʿfar regarding their narration that Allah created Ādam according to His form. He replied: 'It is a new and created form. Allah selected it and preferred it over all the other forms. That is why He attributed it to Himself, just as He attributed the Kaʿbah and the soul to Himself. He said, 'My house' and 'I blew into him from My soul.'"

Thereafter al-Khomeini comments:

وهذا الحديث من الأحاديث المشهورة بين السنة والشيعة، ويستشهد به دائماً، وقد أيّد الإمام الباقر(ع) صدروه وتولّى بيان المقصود منه

This ḥadīth is amongst those which are famous between both, the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shīʿah. They continuously quote it as a proof. Imām Bāqir confirmed that it was actually said and he took it upon himself to explain the intended meaning. $^{\mbox{\tiny 1}}$

In the book *Kanz al-Fawā'id*, under the chapter titled, "explanation of the narration," their great scholar Muḥammad al-Karājikī comments:

إن سأل سائل ، فقال: ما معنى الخبر المروي عن النبي أنه قال: إن الله تعالى خلق آدم على صورته ، أوليس ظاهر هذا الخبر يقتضي التشبيه له تعالى بخلقه ، فإن لم يكن على ظاهره ، فما تأويله ؟ : الجواب: قلنا : أحد الأجوبة عن هذا أن تكون الهاء عائدة إلى الله تعالى ، والمعنى أنه خلق على الصورة التي أختارها ، وقد يضاف الشيئ إلى مختاره . ومنها أن تكون الهاء عائدة إلى آدم ، ويكون المراد أن الله تعالى خلقه على صورته التي شوهد عليها ، لم ينتقل إليها عن غيرها كتنقل أولاده الذي يكون أحدهم نطفة ثم علقة مضغة ، ويخلق خلقا من بعد خلق ، ويولد طفلا صغيرا ثم يصير غلاما ثم شابا كهلا ، ولم يكن آدم (ع) كذلك ، بل خلق على صورته التي مات عليها .

و منها ما رواه الزهري عن الحسن قال مرّ النبي برجل من الأنصار وهو يضرب وجه الغلام له ويقول : قبح الله وجهك ووجه من تشبهه ، فقال له النبي: بئسما قلت ، إن الله خلق آدم على صورته ، يعني صورة المضروب . وهذه أجوبة صحيحة والحمد لله

If someone poses the question: what is the meaning of the narration where it is narrated from Nabī that he said, "Allah created Ādam according to His form.?" Does not the apparent meaning demand that there should be a resemblance between Allah Taʿālā and His creation? If the apparent meaning is not intended, then what is the correct interpretation of the hadīth? We will reply: one of the answers is that the word "His" refers to Allah Taʿālā. The meaning will be that Allah created him according to the form that He chose. At times certain things are attributed to His choice.

Another answer is that "His" refers to \bar{A} dam. In this case, it would mean that he was created in the exact same manner that he was seen. His form did not change like that of his offspring who are initially a drop of semen, thereafter a clot of blood, then a piece of flesh. He is created in stages.

¹ Refer to Kitāb al-Towhīd of al-Ṣadūq vol. 18 pg. 103, Maṣābīḥ al-Anwār 1/206-207, 'ilm al-Yaqīn 1/46, al-'Awālī 1/53, Tafsīr al-Qur'ān 1/107, 187, 191, 235, 3/503, 524, 4/173, 383, 6/47, al-Maḥajjah 7/43, 47, 8/26, Tafsīr al-Kanz 5/244, al-Kāfī 1/134 ḥadīth 4, Tafsīr al-Mīzān-Chapter of the Rūḥ

Thereafter he takes the form of a small child, which is followed by the stage of youth, and then he becomes a young man. Adam was not created in the same manner. Rather, he was created in the same form in which he passed away."

A third answer is that which al-Zuhrī reports from Ḥasan who said: "Rasūlullāh المنتخبة passed by a man from the Anṣār who was hitting the face of his slave and saying to him: 'May Allah disfigure your face and the face which resembles yours.' Thereupon Nabī المنتخبة said to him: 'Your statement is undoubtedly evil. Allah created Ādam according to his form (i.e. the form of the one who was hit)."

These are correct answers. Praise be to Allah.¹

Is 'Abd al-Ḥusayn more learned than al-Khomeini? Or is he more learned than al-Karājikī? Is he trying to give al-Khomeini, al-Karājikī and their likes a few lessons on the science of ḥadīth? Their senior research scholar, Sayyid Hāshim al-Ḥusaynī, the commentator of *Kitāb al-Towḥīd* comments whilst explaining this ḥadīth, these are his exact words:

هذا الكلام وجوه محتملة : فان الضمير إما يرجع إلى الله تعالى فالمعنى ما ذكره الإمام (ع) هنا على أن يكون الاضافة تشريفية كما في نظائرها أو المعنى أنه تعالى خلق آدم على صفته في مرتبة الامكان وجملة قابلا للتخلق باخلاقه ومكرما بالخلافة الاليهة ، وإما يرجع إلى آدم (ع) فالمعنى أنه تعالى خلق جوهر ذات آدم على صورته من دون دخل الملك المصور للأجنة في الأرحام كما لا دخل لغيره في تجهيز ذاته و ذات غيره أو المعنى أنه تعالى خلق آدم على صورته هذه من ابتداء أمره ولم يكن لجوهر جسمه انتقال من صورة إلى صورة كالصورة المنوية إلى العلقة إلى غيرهما ، أو المعنى أنه تعالى خلق آدم على صورته التي قبض عليها ولم يتغير وجهه وجسمه من بدئه إلى آخر عمره ، وإما يرجع إلى رجل يسبه رجل آخر كما فسر به في الحديث العاشر والحادي عشر من الباب الثاني عشر فراجع

This statement could be interpreted in different ways. Either the word 'his' refers to Allah Taʿālā. In this case the meaning will be as explained by the Imām, i.e. it is attributed to Allah to highlight its status just as other objects are attributed to Him. The second possibility is that Allah created

¹ Kanz al-Fawā'id by al-Karājikī 2/ 167-168

Ādam with the potential of adopting His qualities. This means that he will be able to adopt His lofty qualities and he will be the divine successor.

However, it could refer to Ādam. This could be with reference to the fact that Ādam's entire being was created directly by Allah. There was no angel involved as is the case with foetuses in the wombs, just as there are no third parties involved in the designing of his body and the bodies of the rest of mankind. Secondly, it could mean that Allah created him in this form from the very beginning. His body did not go through different stages such as being semen, a clot of blood and so on. Thirdly, it could mean that Allah created him in the exact form in which he passed away. His body or face did not undergo any changes during his entire life.

There is another possibility, i.e. it could be referring to a man who was being Abūsed verbally by another man as explained in the tenth and eleventh hadīth of the twelfth chapter. Refer to it there.¹

Al-Ṣadūq quotes with his own isnād:

عن أبي الورد بن ثمامة عن علي (ع) قال: سمع النبي رجلا يقول لرجل : قبح الله وجهك ووجه من يشبهك ، فقال: مه، لا تقل هذا ، فإن الله خلق آدم على صورته

Abū al-Ward ibn Thumāmah reports that ʿAlī and said: "Nabī for heard one person saying to another person: 'May Allah disfigure your face and the face which resembles you.' He for reprimanded him saying: 'Hold your tongue! Do not say that, because Allah created Ādam in his form.'"²

Al-Ṣadūq says whilst explaining this ḥadīth:

تركت المشبهة من هذا الحديث أوَّله و قالوا: إن الله خلق آدم على صورته ، فضلوا في معناه وأضلوا

The *Mushabbihah* (anthropomorphist's) have left out the first part of this hadīth and thus they have gone astray and led others astray as well.

¹ Al-Towḥīd pg. 103

² Al-Towhīd pg. 152 hadīth: 10

Indeed 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is a pitiable individual. How often does he not employ dissimulation, lies and fraud, yet to no avail. The following statement of his was nothing other than dissimulation:

أبا هريرة إنما أخذه عن اليهود بواسطة صديقه كعب الأحبار أو غيره ، فإن مضمون هذا الحديث إنما هو عين الفقرة السابعة والعشرين من الاصحاح الأول من اصحاحات التكوين من كتاب اليهود

It is highly possible that Abū Hurayrah learned this from the Jews¹ through the medium of his friend Kaʿb al-Aḥbār and others. The message of this ḥadīth is identical to the twenty seventh passage of the first chapter from the chapters of creation of the book of the Jews, the Old Testament.

Did al-Khomeini and the A'immah of the Ahl al-Bayt also learn these narrations from the Jews through the medium of Ka'b al-Aḥbār or others? We seek the protection of Allah from this sin and slander. The ḥadīth was narrated by the four 'luminaries', yet 'Abd al-Ḥusayn found nothing better to do than attacking Abū Hurayrah . It is obvious that his motives was to supress the truth and promote falsehood. Does this Ayatollah of lies and deception have any shame? Obviously not! He continues with his deception saying:

على أن أبا هريرة قد تطور في هذا الحديث كما هي عادته فتارة رواه كما سمعت ، وتارة رواه بلفظ : إذا قاتل أحدكم أخاه فليجتنب الوجه فإن الله خلق آدم على صورته،ومرة رواه بلفظ: إذا ضرب أحدكم فليجتنب الوجه ولا يقل: قبح الله وجهك ووجه من أشبه وجهك فإن الله خلق آدم على صورته

Abū Hurayrah kept on modifying this hadīth as per his habit. At times he narrates it as you heard and at times he narrates it using the following words: "When one of you fights with his brother, then he should avoid hitting on the face, for indeed Allah created Ādam in the same form as him." On another occasion, he narrated it in this way; "If one of you has to hit someone, then let him avoid the face and he should not say, 'May Allah

¹ The bigot states in the footnotes of this page: "He depended upon the Jews for many of his aḥādīth. Do you not see that he says, 'Sayḥān, Jayḥān, Euphrates and the Nile of Egypt are all from Jannah.' This is taken from the Old Testament."

disfigure your face and the face that resembles yours,' as Allah created \bar{A} dam in the same form as him."

Now pay careful attention to the following narration which is reported by al-Ṣadūq with his isnād from Ḥusayn ibn Khālid who says:

قلت للرضا(ع): يا ابن رسول الله إن الناس يروون أن رسول الله قال: إن الله خلق آدم على صورته ، فقال: قاتلهم الله ، لقد حذفوا أول الحديث ، إن رسول الله مرّ برجلين يتسابان ، فسمع أحدهما يقول لصاحبه ، قبح الله وجههك ووجه من يشبهك ، فقال: يا عبدالله لا تقل هذا لأخيك ، فإن الله خلق آدم على صورته

I said to al-Riḍā: "O grandson of Rasūlullāh, the people are narrating that Rasūlullāh منابعة said: 'Allah created Ādam according to His form.'" He replied: "May Allah destroy them! They have left out the first part of this ḥadīth. Rasūlullāh منابعة passed by two men who were Abūsing one another. He heard one saying to the other, 'May Allah disfigure your face and the face of that resembling yours.' Thereupon he منابعة said: 'O slave of Allah, do not say this to your brother, for indeed Allah created Ādam in the same form as him.'"¹

O 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, why did you not take your A'immah to task for narrating the exact same aḥādīth? Why did you not take to task your narrators like Muḥammad ibn Muslim, Ḥusayn ibn Khālid, Abū al-Ward ibn Thumāmah etc.? You claim that you exerted yourself doing research and trying to unearth all the narrations of Abū Hurayrah www so that the dawn of the truth and the morning of conviction could appear, yet you found nothing but criticism against him? Are you not lying and deceiving the Muslims by this statement?

O reader, the above has definitely revealed to you the extent of his lies, deception and cooked-up accusations. He is well aware of the sources of these aḥādīth as well as the explanations of the scholars regarding them. This has to be the case, as he is considered one of the senior mujtahids of the Shīʿah. The requirement

¹ Al-Towhīd pg. 152-153 ḥadīth 11, ʿUyūn Akhbār al-Riḍā 1/120, al-Anwār al-Nuʿmāniyyah 1/234, al-Iḥtijāj 2/192

of qualifying as a mujtahid according to the Shīʿah, is that a person should read all the books, viz. the books of ʿaqīdah, ḥadīth, tafsīr, ḥadīth narrators, ʿArabic grammar etc..

If he did not read any of these books, he would not have been given the title Ayatollah by them. However, this Ayatollah has no other concern except attacking Abū Hurayrah and satisfying his deep rooted hatred for him, even if that would open the doors of criticism against his infallible A'immah and scholars. Unless he is under the delusion that everyone is as heedless as him. They do not read and do not wish to exhaust their energies in doing research.

He states further:

أنه إذا كان طول آدم ستين ذراعاً يجب مع تناسب أعضائه أن يكون عرضه سبعة عشر ذراعاً وسبع الذراع ، وإذا كان عرضه سبعة أذرع يجب أن يكون طوله أربعة وعشرين ذراعاً ونصف الذراع لأن عرض الانسان مع استواء خلقه بقدر سبعي طوله فما بال أبي هريرة يقول طوله ستون ذراعاً في سبعة أذرع عرضاً ؟ فهل كان آدم غير متناسب في خلقته مشوهاً في تركيبه ؟ كلا!

بل قال الله تعالى وهو أصدق القائلين { لَقَدْ خَلَقْنَا الإِنسَنَ فِي أَحْسَنِ تَقْوِيهِ }

If Ādam was sixty arms-length tall, then the demand of normal body structure is that his width should be seventeen and one seventh of an arms-length. On the other hand, if his width was seven arms-length, then his height should have been twenty four and a half arms-length. This is because the width of a person whose body is correctly proportioned, is two sevenths of his height. So how could Abū Hurayrah claim that his height was sixty arms-length and his width was seven arms-length? Was Ādams body disproportionate and abnormal? Never! Allah Taʿālā, the most truthful says, "Undoubtedly we created man in the best form."

Our comment: this hadīth has was reported by your trustworthy narrator-al-Kulaynī in his *al-Kāfī* (which you have described as the best and most precise of your four books) from you're A'immah, who you believe are infallible and hold a greater status than the ambiyā'! He states in *Rowḍāt al-Kāfī*, with his isnād, on page 195 ḥadīth 308: عن علي بن ابراهيم ، عن أبيه، عن الحسن بن محبوب ، عن مقاتل بن سليمان قال سألت أبا عبدالله (ع) كم كان طول آدم حين هبط به إلى الأرض وكم كان طول حواء ؟ قال وجدنا في كتاب علي بن أبي طالب (ع) إن الله لما أهبط آدم وزجته حواء عليها السلام إلى الأرض كانت رجلاه بثنية الصفا ورأسه دون أفق وإنه شكا إلى الله ما يصيبه من حر الشمس فأوحى الله إلى جبريل إن آدم قد شكا ما يصيبه من حر الشمس فأغمزه وصير طوله سبعين ذراعاً بذراعه وأغمز حواء غمزة فيصير طولها خمسة وثلاثين ذراعاً بذراعها

'Alī ibn Ibrāhīm — his father — Ḥasan ibn Maḥbūb — from Muqātil ibn Sulaymān who says: "I asked Abū 'Abd Allāh what was the height of Ādam when he was lowered onto the earth? What was the height of Ḥawā'?" He replied: 'We read in the book of 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib that when Allah lowered Ādam and his wife Ḥawā' onto the earth, his feet were at the valley of al-Ṣafā and his head was just below the skyline. Thus he complained to Allah regarding the heat of the sun. Thereupon Allah revealed to Jibrīl, 'Ādam has complained regarding the heat of the sun, so remodel him until his height decreases to seventy arms-length according to his own arm, and remodel Ḥawā' so that her height is decreased to thirty-five arms-length according to her arm."

Here is the statement of your infallible imām. He says, "his feet were at the valley of al-Ṣafā and his head was just below the skyline." In fact he even adds on to that by saying, "Thus he complained to Allah regarding the heat of the sun… remodel him until his height decreases to seventy arms-length."

Thus, was Ādam's body disproportionate and abnormal? Your scholars have considered this hadīth to be amongst the most difficult narrations. Niʿmat Allāh al-Jazā'irī comments in his *Qiṣaṣ al-Ambiyā*':

أقول هذا الحديث عده المتأخرون من مشكلات الأخبار من وجهين

This hadīth has been considered by the latter day scholars as one of the most difficult narrations due to two reasons...

He then goes on to explain the two reasons. Refer to his book for more details. Sayyid ʿAbd Allāh Shibr also explains this ḥadīth in ten different ways in his book *Maṣābīḥ al-Anwār fī Ḥal Mushkilāt al-Akhbār* (vol. 1 pg. 405). Refer to it if it interests you. Al-Majlisī also explains this ḥadīth in a few different ways in his *Mir'āt* (vol. 26, pg. 171-177). He says:

إعلم إن هذا الخبر من المعضلات التي حيرت أفهام الناظرين والعويصات التي رجعت عنها بالخيبة أحلام الكاملين والقاصرين

Note:- This narration belongs to that category which has perplexed the minds of the readers and the intellect of the common-folk as well as the geniuses has been left dumbfounded.

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Objects to the Phenomena of Viewing the Countenance of Allah on the Day of Qiyāmah

'Abd al-Ḥusayn quotes the second ḥadīth on pg. 64 under the heading, "viewing allah with the physical eye in different forms".

أخرج الشيخان الإسناد إلى أَبي هُرِيْرَةَ قَالَ: قَالَ أُنَاسِ؛ كِيا رَسُولَ اللَّه هَلْ نَرَى رَبَّنا يَوْمَ الْقيَامَة؟ فَقَالَ: هَلْ تُضَارُّونَ فِي الشَّمْس لَيْسَ دُونَهَا سَحَابٌ؟ قَالُوا: لا يَا رَسُولَ اللَّه قَالَ هَلْ تُضَارُّونَ فِي الْقَمَر لَيْلَةَ الْبَدْر لَيْسَ دُونَهُ سَحَابٌ قَالُوا: لا يَا رَسُولَ اللَّه، قَالَ فَإِنَّكُمْ تَرَوْنَهُ يَوْمَ الْقَيَامَة كَذَلكَ. يَجْمَعُ اللَّهُ النَّاسَ فَيَقُولُ مَنْ كَانَ يَعْبُدُ شَيْئًا فَلْيَتَّبِعُهُ فَيَتَّبِعُهُ مَنْ كَانَ يَعْبُدُ الشَّمْسَ وَيَتْبَعُ مَنْ كَانَ يَعْبُدُ الْقَمَرَ وَيَتْبَعُ مَنْ كَانَ يَعْبُدُ الطَّوَاغِيتَ وَتَبْقَى هَذِهِ الْأُمَّةُ فِيهَا مُنَافِقُوها فَيَأْتِهِمُ اللَّهُ في غَيْرِ الصُّورَةِ الَّتِي يَعْرِفُونَ فَيَقُولُ أَنَا رَبُّكُمْ فَيَقُولُونَ نَعُوذُ بِاللَّه مِنْكَ هَذَا مَكَانُنَا حَتَّى يَأْتَيْنَا رَبُّنَا فَإِذَا أَتَانَا رَبُّنَا عَرَفْنَاهُ فَيَأْتِيهِمُ اللَّهُ في الصُّورَة الَّتي يَعْرِفُونَ فَيَقُولُ أَنَا رَبُّكُمْ فَيَقُولُونَ أَنْتَ رَبّْنَا فَيَتْبَعُونَهُ وَيُضْرِبُ جَسْرُ جَهَنَّمَ قَالَ رَسُولُ اللَّه فَأَكُونُ أَوَّلَ مَنْ يُجيزُ وَدُعاءُ الرُّسْل يَوْمَئذ اللَّهُمَّ سَلَّمْ سَلِّمْ وَبِه كَلَالِيبُ مثْلُ شَوْكُ السَّعْدَانِ أَمَا رَأَيْتُمْ شَوْكَ السَّعْدَانِ قَالُوا بَلَي يَا رَسُولَ اللَّه قَاَلَ فَإِنَّهَا مثْلُ شَوْك السَّعْدَانَ غَيْرَ أَنَّهَا لَا يَعْلَمُ قَدْرَ عظَمهَا إِلَّا اللَّهُ فَتَخْطَفُ النَّاسَ بِأَعْمَالهم منْهُمُ الْمُوبَقُ بِعَمَله وَمَنْهُمُ الْمُخَرْ دَلُ ثُمَّ يَنْجُو حَتَّى إِذَا فَرَغَ اللَّهُ مِنَ الْقَضَاء بَيِّنَ عِبَادِه وَأَرَادَ أَنْ يُخْرِجَ مِنَ النَّار مَنْ أَرَادَ أَنْ يُخْرِجَ مِمَّنْ كَانَ يَشْهَدُ أَنْ لَا إِلَهَ إِلا اللَّهُ أَمَرَ الْمَلائكَةَ أَنْ يُخْرِجُوهُمْ فَيَعْرِفُونَهُمْ بِعَلاَمَة آثَار السُّجُود وَحَرَّمَ اللَّهُ عَلَى النَّار أَنْ تَأْكُلَ من ابْنُ آدَمَ أَثُرَ الشُّجُود فَيُخْرِجُونَهُمْ قَد امْتُحشُواً فَيُصَبُّ عَلَيْهِمْ مَاءً يُقَالُ لَهُ مَاءُ الْحَيَاة فَيَنْبُتُونَ نَبَاتَ الْحَبَّة في حَمَيل السَّيْل وَيَبْقَى رَجُلٌ منْهُمْ مُقْبَلٌ بِوَجْهه عَلَى النَّار فَيَقُولُ يَا رَبِّ قَدْ فَشَبَني ريحُهَا وَأَحْرَقَني ذَكَاؤُهَا فَاصْرِفْ وَجْهِي عَنِ النَّارِ فَلا يَزَالُ يَدْعُو اللَّهَ فَيَقُولُ لَعَلَّكَ إِنْ أَعْطَيْتُكَ أَنْ تَسْأَلَني غَيْرُهُ فَيَقُولُ لاَ وَعِزَّ تِكَ لاَ أَسْأَلُكَ غَيْرَهُ فَيَصْرِفُ وَجْهَهُ عَنِ النَّارِ ثُمَّ يَقُولُ بَعْدَ ذَلكَ يَا رَبٍّ قَرِّبْنِي إلَى بَاب الْجَنَّة فَيَقُولُ أَلَيْسَ قَدْ زَعَمْتَ أَنْ لاَ تَسْأَلَني غَيْرَةُ وَيْلَكَ ابْنَ آدَمَ مَا أَغْدَرُكَ فَلا يَزَالُ يَدْعُو فَيَقُولُ لَعَلّي إِنْ أَعْطَيْتُكَ ذَلكَ تَسْأَلُني غَيْرَهُ فَيَقُولُ لاَ وَعِزَّتِكَ لاَ أَسْأَلُكَ غَيْرَهُ فَيُعْطى اللَّهَ منْ عُهُود وَمَوَاثِيقَ أَنْ لاَ يَسْأَلُهُ غَيْرَهُ فَيُقَرَّبُهُ إلى بَابِ الْجَنَّة فَإِذَا رَأَى مَا فِيهَا سَكَتَ مَا شَاءَ اللَّهُ أَنْ يَسْكُتَ ثُمَّ يَقُولُ رَبِّ أَدْخِلْنِي الْجَنَّة ثُمَّ يَقُولُ أَوَلَيْسَ قَدْ زَعَمْتَ أَنْ لاَ تَسْأَلَنِي غَيْرَهُ وَيْلَكَ يَا ابْنَ آدَمَ مَا أَغْدَرُكَ فَيَقُولُ يَا رَبُّ لاَ تَجْعَلْنِي أَشْقَى خَلْقِكَ فلا يَزَالُ يَدْعُو حَتَّى يَضْحَكَ (الله)!؟ فَإذَا ضَحِكَ مِنْهُ أَذِنَ لَهُ بِالدُّعُولِ فِيهَا فَإِذَا دَخَلَ فِيهَا قِيلَ لَهُ تَمَنَّ مِنْ كَذَا فَيَتَمَنَّى ثُ حَتَّى تَنْقَطِعَ بِهِ الأَمَانِيُ تَيْقُولُ لَهُ هَذَا لَكَ وَمِنْهُ مَعَهُ

Abū Hurayrah narrates: "Some people said, 'O Rasūlullāh, will we see our Rabb on the Day of Qiyāmah?' He replied, 'Do you scramble upon one another to see the Sun when there are no clouds around it?' They responded, 'No, O Rasūlullāh.' He then asked, 'Do you scramble upon one-another to see the moon on the fourteenth night when there are no clouds around it?' They replied, 'No, O Rasūlullāh.' Thereafter he explained, 'You will see Him in a similar manner on the Day of Qiyāmah (without scrambling upon one another). Allah will gather the people and then He will say: "Each person should follow that which he had worshipped." Thereupon some will follow the Sun, some will follow the moon and some will follow the devils. Only this ummah will remain, however the hypocrites will still be amongst them. Allah will then appear before them in a form that is unknown to them and proclaim: "I am your Rabb." Upon seeing this they will say: "We seek the protection of Allah from you! We will remain here until our Rabb appears before us and we will definitely recognise Him." Then Allah will appear before them in the manner that is known to them due to which they will say in acknowledgement: "You are our Rabb," and then they will follow Him. The bridge across Jahannam will then be placed." Rasūlullāh said: "I will be the first to cross it. The supplication of the rusul صَلَالتَهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّرَ on that day will be, 'O Allah protect (us), protect (us)!' It has hooks that are like the thorns of Sa'dān. Have you not seen the thorns of Sa'dān?" They replied: "We have definitely seen it." He then continued by saying: "It is similar to the thorn of Saʿdān, except that none knows its size besides Allah. It will seize people on account of their actions. Some will be destroyed by their actions and some will have a few (good) actions. Later on, they will be saved. When Allah will complete His judgement between the people and He wishes to remove certain people who affirmed that there is no deity besides Allah from Hell, he will command the angels to remove them. They will recognise these individuals by means of the effects of sujūd. Thereupon they will remove them whereas they will be as if they have been turned into ash. Thereafter, certain water, which is called 'the water of life' will be poured over them. This will cause them to grow just as a seed grows after torrential rain. There will only be one person left. He will be facing Jahannam and he will supplicate to Allah saying, 'O my Rabb, indeed its stench has poisoned me and its blaze has burnt me. Thus I beg you to turn my face away from the fire? He will persist upon this supplication until Allah eventually will ask him, 'If I grant this to you will you ask me for anything else?' He will reply, 'By Your grandeur, I will not ask for anything else.' Allah will then turn his face away from the fire. At that juncture he will ask, 'O my Rabb, take me close to the door of Jannah!' Allah will question him, 'Did you not say that you will not ask for anything else? Woe unto you O son of Ādam, you are quite treacherous!' He will continue supplicating in this manner until Allah will ask him, 'Perhaps if I grant that to you, you will ask for something else?' He will reply, 'By Your grandeur, I will not ask for anything else!' and he will continue promising Allah and taking oaths that he will not ask for anything else. Thereupon Allah will take him close to the door of Jannah. When he sees what is in Jannah, he will remain silent for a short period of time. Thereafter, he will plead, 'O my Rabb, grant me entry into Jannah!' Allah will reply, 'Did you not say that you will not ask for anything else? Woe unto you o son of Ādam, you are quite treacherous!' He will then say, 'O my Rabb, do not make me the most unfortunate of your creation!' He will continue supplicating until Allah will laugh. Thereafter, Allah will allow him to enter into it. It will be said to him, 'Desire such and such,' so he will desire. Then it will be said to him again, 'Desire such and such.' He will continue desiring until he cannot desire anymore. Thereafter Allah will say to him, 'All of this and ten times this amount is yours.'"

The author then hunts for some discrepancy in this hadīth. He says:

وهذا حديث مجهول الفت إليه أرباب العقول فهل يجوز عندهم أن تكون لله صورة مختلفة ينكرون بعضها ويعرفون البعض الآخر ؟ وهل يرون ان لله ساقا تكون آية له وعلامة عليه ؟ وبأي شيء كانت ساقه

¹ Al-Bukhārī (Kitāb al-Riqāq), Muslim (Kitāb al-Īmān)

علامة دون غيرها من الأعضاء ؟ وهل تجوز عليه الحركة والانتقال فيأتيهم أولا وثانيا وهل يجوز عليه الضحك؟ وأي وزن لهذا الكلام

This hadīth is outrageous. I wish to bring it to the attention of the intelligent ones. Is it acceptable according to them that Allah has different forms, some of which are recognised and others which are not recognised? Do they believe that Allah has a shin which is His sign and an indication towards Him? On what basis was the shin singled out as His sign, instead of the other limbs? Is movement and shifting around believable with regards to Him due to which He will come to them the first and second time? Is it believable that he laughs? Does this speech make any sense?

Our comment: the actual motive of the author in this case is to refute the belief of the Ahl al-Sunnah regarding viewing the countenance of Allah on the Day of Qiyāmah. His purpose is not as he misleadingly states in the introduction of his book, "cleansing the siḥāḥ, and masānīd of all those narrations of Abū Hurayrah which are illogical." Proof of this is that he authored a book titled, *A Word Regarding the Viewing*. His goal is to discredit the Ahl al-Sunnah as he knows that the ḥadīth on Muslims viewing Allah in the hereafter has been narrated from Rasūlullāh أَلَمُوَالَمُ

Nevertheless, we will reply briefly to the objections of the author. He says:

فهل يجوز عندهم أن تكون لله صورة مختلفة ينكرون بعضها ويعرفون البعض الآخر ؟

Is it acceptable according to them that Allah has different forms, some of which are recognised and others which are not recognised?

Ibn al-Jowzī states:

اعلم أنه يجب على كل مسلم أن يعتقد أن الله سبحانه وتعالى لا تجوز عليه الصورة التي هي هيئة وتأليف Know that it is compulsory upon every Muslim to believe that is impossible for Allah, the most lofty and pure to be of a particular shape, i.e. something which has a form and is assembled.

Ibn Ḥajar quotes Ibn al-Baṭṭāl,

تمسك به – أي بهذا الحديث – المجسمة فأثبتوا لله صورة ، ولا حجة لهم فيه لاحتمال أن يكون بمعنى العلامة وضعها الله لهم دليلا على معرفته كما يسمى الدليل والعلامة صورة وكما تقول صورة حديثك كذا وصورة الأمر كذا والحديث والأمر لا صورة لهما حقيقة ، وأجاز غيره أن المراد بالصورة الصفة ...

```
ونقل ابن التين : أن معناه صورة الاعتقاد ، وأجاز الخطابي أن يكون الكلام خرج على وجه المشاكلة لما
تقدم من ذكر الشمس والقمر والطواغيت ..
```

The anthropomorphist's have held onto this hadīth and have been convinced that Allah has a form. This hadīth does not prove their claim due to the possibility that the word "form" here refers to a sign which Allah will place before them as a means of recognising Him. This possibility is based upon the fact that the word "form" is used with reference to a sign.¹ Similarly it is also said, "The form of your speech," and, "The form of the matter," whereas speech and matters have no physical forms. Other scholars have stated the word "form" refers to an attribute.

Ibn al-Tīn has stated: "This means a theoretical form." Al-Khaṭṭābī is of the opinion that the word was used simply in contrast to the others, viz. the Sun, the Moon and the devils.²

Ibn al-Jowzī further states:

و قال غيره من العلماء : يأتيهم بأهوال القيامة ، وصورة الملائكة ، مما لم يعهدوا مثله في الدنيا ، فيستعيذون من تلك الحال ، ويقولون : إذا جاء ربنا عرفناه،

أي أتى بما يعرفونه من لطفه ، وهي الصورة التي يعرفون فيكشف عن ساق : أي عن شدة كأنه يرفع

¹ This refers to the ʿArabic usage of the word صورة.

² Fatḥ al-Bārī 13/437

تلك الاشدائد المهولة ، فيسجدون شكرا ، وقال بعضهم : صورة يمتحن إيمانهم بها ، كما يبعث الدجال فيقولون : نعوذ بالله منك

Other scholars have stated: "He will show them the terror of the Day of Qiyāmah and the forms of the angels which they did not see previously in the world. Thereupon they will seek protection from those conditions and say: 'When our Rabb comes to us we will recognise Him.' This means that He will show that which they are aware of regarding Him, such as His compassion. This is the form that they will recognise. He will then expose the shin, i.e. he will remove the difficulties and horrific scenes. As a result, they will fall into prostration as a token of appreciation. "

Some scholars have said, the first will be a form by means of which their īmān will be tested, just as dajjāl will be raised and he will say: "We seek the protection of Allah from you."

'Abd al-Husayn says:

وهل يرون ان لله ساقا تكون آية له وعلامة عليه ؟ وبأي شيء كانت ساقه علامة دون غيرها من الأعضاء ؟ وهل تجوز عليه الحركة والانتقال فيأتيهم أولا وثانيا وهل يجوز عليه الضحك؟ وأي وزن لهذا الكلام

Do they believe that Allah has a shin which is His sign and an indication towards Him? On what basis was the shin singled out as His sign, instead of the other limbs? Is movement and shifting around believable with regards to Him due to which He will come to them the first and second time? Is it believable that He laughs? Does this speech make any sense?

Our comment: these comments of his indicate an alarming degree of unparalleled ignorance. I do not know of anyone who held this view before him. Did this so called Ayatollah not read the Qur'ān?

Did he not accidently come across these verses:

¹ Daf Shubhāt al-Tashbīh bi Akuff al-Tanzīh by Ibn al-Jowzī pg. 159

هَلْ يَنظُرُونَ إِلاَّ أَن يَنُّتِيَهُمُ اللهُ في ظُلَلٍ مِّنَ الْغَمَامِ وَالْمَـلْكَةُ وَقُضِيَ الأَمْرُ

Are they waiting for Allah and the angels to come to them beneath the shadow of clouds and for matters to be decided?¹

هَلْ يَنظُرُونَ إِلاَّ أَن يَأْتِيَهُمُ الْمَلْئَكَةُ أَوْ يَأْتِيَ رَبُّكَ أَوْ يَأْتِي بَعْضُ ءَايَتِ رَبّكَ

They wait for the angels to come to them or for your Rabb to come or for some of your Rabb's signs to appear.²

كَلاَّ إِذَا دُكَّت الأَرْضُ دَكًّا دَكًّا وَجَآءَ رَبُّكَ وَالْمَلَكُ صَفًّا صَفًّا

Never! When the earth shall be ripped to shreds. When your Rabb will commence proceedings with the angels in rows.³

He further objects to the belief of viewing Allah by saying:

وهذا محال لا يعقل، ولا يمكن أن يتصور متصور إلا إذا اختص الله المؤمنين في الدار الآخرة ببصر لا تكون فيه خواص الأبصار المعهودة في الحياة الدنيا ..

This is totally impossible and unbelievable. One cannot imagine that this will happen unless Allah grants the believers such eyesight in the hereafter which does not have the same characteristics as the eyesight of this worldly life.

Our comment: firstly, those who oppose you, i.e. those who believe that viewing will be possible, are the Ṣaḥābah, Tābiʿīn and the rightly guided A'immah of fiqh and ḥadīth. Their virtue stands out above the rest of the world. Undoubtedly, their intelligence was of par-excellence. That is besides the fact that they far outnumber you. Al-Nawāwī states:

¹ Sūrah al-Baqarah: 210

² Sūrah al-Anʿām: 158

³ Sūrah al-Fajr 21-22

اعلم أن مذهب أهل السنة بأجمعهم أن رؤية الله تعالى ممكنة غير مستحيلة عقلا وأجمعوا أيضاً على وقوعها في الآخرة وأن المؤمنين يرون الله تعالى دون الكافرين وزعمت طائفة من أهل البدع المعتزلة والخوارج وبعض المرجئة أن الله تعالى لا يراه أحد من خلقه وأن رؤيته مستحيلة عقلا ، وهذا الذي قالوه خطأ صريح وجهل قبيح وقد تظافرت أدلة الكتاب والسنة واجماع الصحابة فمن بعدهم من سلف الأمة على اثبات رؤية الله تعالى في الآخرة للمؤمنين ورواها نحو عشرين صحابياً عن رسول الله وآيات القرآن فيها مشهورة واعتراضات المبتدعة عليها لها أجوبة مشهورة في كتب المتكلمين من أهل السنة وكذلك باقي شبههم وهي مستقاة في كتب الكلام

The view of the entire Ahl al-Sunnah is that it is possible to view Allah, it is not against human rationale. They have also unanimously agreed that it will take place in the hereafter. This opportunity will be reserved for the Mu'minīn, the non-believers will be deprived of this. Some deviant groups, such as the Mu'tazilah, Khawārij and some Murji'ah believe that Allah cannot be seen by any of His creation, and that viewing Him defies logic. This view of theirs is clearly erroneous and based upon ignorance. There is overwhelming evidence from the Qur'an, sunnah and consensus of the Sahābah as well as those after them from the pious predecessors to prove that it will take place in the hereafter and that it is reserved for the Mu'minīn. Approximately twenty Şahābah have narrated it from Rasūlullāh مَاللَّتَعَبِّدَة. The verses of the Qur'ān regarding the subject are also quite well-known. As for the objections of the deviates, there are famous replies to them which may be found in the books of doctrine of the Ahl al-Sunnah. Similarly, their other misunderstandings have been clarified in those books.1

Ibn Ḥajar says in Fatḥ al-Bārī whilst quoting Ibn Baṭṭāl:

ذهب أهل السنة وجمهور الأمة إلى جواز رؤية الله في الآخرة ومنع الخوارج والمعتزلة وبعض المرجئة وتمسكوا بأن الرؤية توجب كون المرئي محدثا وحالا في مكان ، وأولوا قوله :{ نَاظِرَة } بمنتظرة وهو خطأ لأنه لا يتعدى بإلى

The Ahl al-Sunnah along with majority of the ummah believe that it will be possible to view Allah in the hereafter. However, the Khawārij, Muʿtazilah

¹ *Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim* with the commentary of al-Nawāwī vol. 1-The Chapter of Proving that the Mu'minīn will View their Most Pure and Lofty Rabb in the Hereafter.

and some Murji'ah consider it impossible. The basis of their belief is that they claim that in order to see something, that item or object has to be a created entity and it has to occupy a specific area. They have interpreted the word 'viewing' (in the verse which states that the radiant faces will be viewing their Rabb) to mean anticipation. This cannot be the case as (the word towards appears before it and) it is not used with the word towards.

Thereafter he mentions the same as was mentioned above. Then he adds:

Their evidence does not hold water. This is because there is Abūndant proof of the existence of Allah. Added to that, the relation between viewing an object and the viewed is the same as the relationship between knowledge and the known. Since knowing something does not demand that it is created or it is something new, the same should be understood with regards to viewing something.

They use the following statement of Allah as evidence: "Visions cannot grasp Him,"¹ as well as, "You cannot see me."²

The answer to the first evidence is that visions cannot grasp Him in this world. This reconciles the meanings of the two verses. Secondly, negation of grasping does not necessitate negation of viewing, as it is possible to view something without grasping its reality.³

¹ Sūrah al-Anʿām: 103

² Sūrah al-A'rāf: 143

³ Al-Fath 13/436

This is besides the fact that there will never be a conflict between a sound mind and the Qur'ān and the established and authentic Sunnah. If ever there seems to be a conflict between them then it is either due to the narration being unauthentic or the mind not being completely sound. If the mind is left to decide on its own, it will not be able to simply reject that viewing will take place until an undebatable proof turns one away from it.

This author has stooped to the lowest ebb and has deviated far off the path. This hadīth will be a proof against him on the Day of Qiyāmah, where he will only hope that he believed in it and called people towards it. The first hadīth clarifies the meaning of 'grasping'. This meaning is also confirmed by the infallible Imām who told one of the narrators:

أنت قد تدرك بوهمك السند والهند والبلدان التي لم تدخلها ولا تدركها ببصرك

At times, you are able to perceive, by means of your imagination, Sindh, Hind and other places that you have never physically visited, and you cannot do the same with your eyes.

Al-Kulaynī narrates from Dāwūd ibn al-Qāsim Abī Hāshim al-Jaʿfarī who says:

قلت لأبي جعفر(ع): لا تدركه الأبصار وهو يدرك الأبصار ؟ فقال:يا أبا هاشم أوهام القلوب أدقّ من أبصار العيون ، أنت قد تدرك بوهمك السند والهند والبلدان التي لم تدخلها ولا تدركها ببصرك وأوهام القلوب لا تدركه فكيف أبصار العيون

I asked Abū Jaʿfar: "Visions cannot grasp Him but he can grasp all visions"? He replied: "O Abū Hāshim, the thoughts of the heart have more strength than the vision of the eyes. At times, you are able to perceive, by means of your imagination, Sindh, Hind and other places that you have never physically visited. You cannot do the same with your eyes. Therefore, if the thoughts cannot encompass Him, then how can the eyes do so?"¹

¹ Ușul al-Kāfī 1/99 Kitāb al-Towḥīd, The Chapter of Refuting Viewing, al-Towḥīd pg. 113 ḥadīth 12
Al-Kulaynī and al-Ṣadūq each narrate with their own isnād from Yaʿqūb ibn Isḥāq who says:

كتبت إلى أبي محمد أسأله كيف يعبد العبد ربّه وهو لا يراه ؟ فوقع (ع) يا أبا يوسف جلّ سيدي ومولاي والمنعم عليّ وعلى آبائي أن يرى ، قال: و سألته هل رأى رسول الله ربّه؟ فوقع (ع) إن الله تبارك وتعالى أرى رسوله بقلبه من نور عظمته ما أحبّ

I wrote to Abū Muḥammad asking him: "How does a slave worship his Rabb when he cannot see Him?" He then deliberated and thereafter said: "O Abū Yūsuf, my Master and Owner and the One who has bestowed His favours upon me and my fore-fathers is too exalted to be seen." I also asked him: "Did Rasūlullāh المنابعة see his Rabb?" He deliberated and thereafter said: "Indeed Allah the most blessed and lofty showed the heart of His Rasūl نستغيرة the amount that He desired from His nūr."¹

Al-Kulaynī and al-Ṣadūq report from ʿAbd Allāh ibn Sinān who reports from his father:

حضرت أبا جعفر (ع) فدخل عليه رجل من الخوارج فقال له : يا أبا جعفر أي شيئ تعبد ؟ قال: الله تعالى ، قال : رأيته ؟ قال: بال لم تره العيون بمشاهدة الأبصار ولكن رأته القلوب بحقائق الإيمان، لا يعرف بالقياس ولا يدرك بالحواس ولا يشبه بالناس ، موصوف بالآبات ، معروف بالعلامات لا يجوز في حكمه ذلك الله ، لا إله إلا هو، قال: فخرج الرجل وهو يقول : الله أعلم حيث يجعل رسالته

I was present in the gathering of Abū Jaʿfar when a man from the Khawārij entered. He said to him: "O Abū Jaʿfar what do you worship?" He replied: "Allah, the Most Exalted." The man asked: "Did you see him?" He replied: "No, the eyes do not have the ability to view Him, but the heart has seen Him through the realities of īmān. He cannot be understood by analogy, grasped by the senses and He cannot be likened to humans. He is described by signs and known through proofs. That is not possible according to His law. Allah, there is no deity besides Him." The man left saying: "Allah knows best where He places His revelation."²

¹ Ușul al-Kāfī 1/95 Kitāb al-Towḥīd, al-Towḥīd of al-Ṣadūq pg. 108 ḥadīth 2

² Ușul al-Kāfī 1/97, al-Towḥīd of al-Ṣadūq pg. 108 ḥadīth: 5

Al-Kulaynī and al-Ṣadūq report from Abū al-Ḥasan al-Mowṣilī who narrates from Abū ʿAbd Allāh:

عن أبي عبدالله قال: جاء حبر إلى أميرالمؤمنين (ع) فقال: يا أميرالمؤمنين هل رأيت ربك حين عبدته ؟ فقال: ويلك ما كنت أعبد رباً لم أره ، قال: وكيف رأيته ؟ قال: ويلك لا تدركه العيون في مشاهدة الأبصار ولكن رأته القلوب بحقايق الإيمان

A monk came to Amīr al-Mu'minīn and said: "O Amīr al-Mu'minīn, do you see your Rabb when you worship Him?" He replied: "Woe unto you, why would I worship a Rabb who I cannot see?" He asked: "How do you see Him?" He replied: "Woe unto you, the eyes do not have the ability to view Him, but the heart has seen Him through the realities of īmān."¹

Al-Ṣadūq reports in *al-Towḥīd* (pg. 112 ḥadīth: 11) from Abū Hāshim al-Jaʿfar:

عن أبي هاشم الجعفر، عن أبي الحسن الرضا(ع) قال: سالته عن الله هل يوصف ؟ فقال: أما تقرء القرآن ؟ ! قلت: بلى ، قال: أما تقرء قوله: { لاَ تُذُرِكُهُ الأَبْصَرُ وَهُوَ يُدُرِكُ الأَبْصَرَ } قلت: بلى ، قال: فتعرفون الأبصار؟ قلت: بلى ، قال: وما هي ؟ قلت: أبصار العيون فقال: إن أوهام القلوب أكثر من أبصار العيون فهو لا تدركه الأوهام وهو يدرك الأوهام .

I asked Abū al-Ḥasan al-Riḍā if Allah can be described. He replied: "Do you not read Qur'ān?" I replied: "Yes." He asked: "Do you not read the speech of Allah, 'Visions cannot grasp Him but He grasps them?" I replied: "Yes." He asked: "Do you know about vision?" I replied: "Yes." He asked: "What is it?" I replied: "The vision of the eyes." He replied: "The vision of the heart is stronger than the vision of the eyes as they do not have an imagination whereas it has one."

Thus, your statement refutes the view of you're A'immah and it seems as if you do not understand their ahādīth.

¹ Ușul al-Kāfī 1/97-98 ḥadīth 6, al-Towḥīd pg. 109 ḥadīth: 6

Viewing Allah on the Day of Qiyāmah is Possible - Proven from the Sayings of the Ahl al-Bayt

Here is a brief presentation on some of the ahadīth:

The erudite scholar and researcher Muḥammad al-Tūsīrkānī reports this ḥadīth in his book, *La'ālī al-Akhbār* under the chapter, "the people of Jannah will hear his voice," (4/410-411):

في أن أهل الجنة يسمعون صوته تعالى ويخاطبهم وينظرون إليه وهما ألذ الأشياء عندهم قال (ع) في حديث يذكر فيه إشتغال المؤمنين بنعم الجنة : فبينما هم كذلك إذ يسمعون صوتاًمن تحت العرش : يا أهل الجنة كيف ترون منقلبكم ؟ فيقولون : خبر المنقلب منقلبنا وخبر الثواب ثوابنا ، قد سمعنا الصوت واشتهينا النظر وهو أعظم ثوابنا وقد وعدته ولا تخلف الميعاد فيأمر الله الحجاب فيقوم سبعون ألف حاجب فيركبون على النوق والبرازين وعليهم الحلي والحلل فيسبرون في ظل العرش حتى ينتهوا إلى دار السلام وهي دار الله دار البهاء والنور والسرور والكرامة فيسمعون الصوت فيقولون : يا سيدنا سمعنا لذاذة منطقك وأرنا وجهك فيتجلى لهم سبحانه وتعالى ، حتى ينظرون إلى وجهه تبارك وتعالى المكنون من كل عين ناظر فلا يتمالكون حتى يخروا على وجوههم سجدا فيقولون: سبحانك ما عبدناك حق عبادتك يا عظيم قال فيقول : يا عبادي إرفعوا رؤسكم ليس هذا بدار عمل فإذا رفعوا رفعوها وقد أشرقت وجوههم من نور وجهه سبعين ضعفا ثم يقول : يا ملائكتي أطعموهم واسقوهم ..يا ملائكتي طيبوهم فيأتيهم ريح من تحت العرش يمسك أشد بياضا من الثلج ويعبر وجوههم وجباههم وجنوبهم تسمى المثيرة فيستمكنون من النظر إلى وجهه فيقولون يا سيدنا حسبنا لذاذة منطقك والنظر إلى وجهك لا نريد به بدلا ولا نبتغى به حولا فيقول الرب إني أعلم أنكم إلى أزواجكم مشتاقون وان أزواجكم إليكم مشتاقات ارجعوا إلى أزواجكم قال : فيقولون : يا سيدنا اجعل لنا شرطاً قال فإن لكم كل جمعة زورة ما بين الجمعة ا سبعة آلاف سنة مما تعدُّون قال فينصر فون فيعطى كل رجل منهم رمانة خضر في كل رمانة سبعون حلة حتى يبشروا أزواجهم وهن قيام على أبواب الجنان قال: فلما دني منها نظرت إلى وجهه فأنكرته من غير سوء، وقالت: حبيبي لقد خرجت من عندي وما أنت هكذا قال: فيقول: حبيبتي تلومني أن أكون هكذا وقد نظرت إلى وجه ربي تبارك وتعالى فأشرق وجهى من نور وجهه ، ثم يعرض عنها فينظر إليها نظرة فيقول: حبيبتي لقد خرجت من عندك و ماكنت هكذا فنقول : حبيبي تلومني أن أكون هكذا، وقد نظرت إلى وجه الناظر إلى وجهه ربي فأشرق وجهى من وجه الناظر إلى وجه ربي سبعين ضعفا ، فنعانقه من باب الخيمة والرب يضحك إلبهم

The inhabitants of Jannah will hear His voice (the most exalted), He will converse with them and they will see Him. This will be the greatest means of joy to them. He saw says in a hadīth in which the engrossment

of the Mu'minin in the pleasures of Jannah has been mentioned: "Whilst they will be in that condition, suddenly they will hear a voice from below the throne, 'O inhabitants of Jannah, what are your feelings regarding your abode?' They will reply, 'Our abode is the best abode and our reward is the best reward.' We have heard the voice and now our only desire is to see (the one whose voice we have heard). That will be our greatest reward. You have promised it to us and You do not go against Your promise.' Allah will then instruct the veil (to be removed). Thereupon, seventy thousand doorkeepers will mount camels and horses which will be dressed and beautified with jewellery. They will ride in the shade of the throne until they reach Dār al-Salām which is the house of Allah, the house of glitter, illumination, happiness and honour. They will hear the voice and then say, 'O our master, we have heard your melodious speech so show to us Your countenance. He will then reveal Himself - the Most Pure and Exalted - to them until they view His face - the Most Blessed and Exalted, which is concealed from all eyes. They will be overwhelmed and consequently they will all fall into prostration on their foreheads. They will exclaim, 'Glory be to You, we have not worshipped You as You ought to be worshipped O the Most Magnificent!' He will then say, 'O my slaves, raise your heads as you are not required to do any acts of worship in this abode'... When they raise their heads, their faces will be brightened seventy-fold as a result of the illumination of His countenance. Thereafter he will instruct His angels saying, 'O my angels, offer them food and drinks... O my angels, make them comfortable.' This will cause a wind which will have musk that is whiter than snow to blow in their direction. It will touch their faces. their foreheads and their sides. It is called al-Muthīrah. They will attempt to have another glance at His countenance due to which they will say, 'O our master, the beauty of Your voice and seeing You is sufficient for us. We do not want any replacement or break from it.' The Rabb will then say, 'I know very well that you are now desirous of your spouses and they are anticipating you. Return to them.' They will say, 'O our master, grant us a promise.' He will say, 'Every Friday you will be allowed a visit which will be seven thousand years according to your calculations.' They will then return, each of them with a green pomegranate. Each pomegranate will

contain seventy garments... they will give glad-tidings to their spouses who will be standing at the gates of Jannah. When they will draw close to them, their wives will look at their faces and find them strange, but without any defect. They will say, 'O my beloved, You were not like this when You left?' He will reply, 'O my beloved wife, How can you question me when I have just seen the countenance of my Most Exalted and blessed Rabb which has illuminated my face.' He will then turn away from her and thereafter cast a glance at her. This time he will ask, 'My beloved wife, you were not like this when I left?' She will reply, 'How can you question me when I have looked at the face of the one who has looked at the countenance of my Rabb? My face has been brightened seventy-fold as a result of the face of the one who has looked at my Rabb, as I hugged him at the door of the tent.' The Rabb will then smile towards them."

Al-Biḥār reports from ʿĀṣim ibn Ḥumayd who narrates from Abū ʿAbd Allāh (8/126 ḥadīth: 27, the chapter regarding jannah and its bounties):

مامن عمل حسن يعمله العبد إلا وله ثواب في القرآن إلا صلاة الليل ، فإن الله لم يبين ثوابها لعظيم خطرها عنده فقال: { تتجافى جنوبهم عن المضاجع يدعون ربهم خوفا وطمعا } إلى قوله : { يعملون } ثم قال: إن لله كرامة في عباده المؤمنين في كل يوم جمعة ، فإذا كان يوم الجمعة بعث الله إلى المؤمن ملكا معه حلة فينتهي إلى باب الجنة فيقول: اسأذنوا لي على فلان فيقال له: هذا رسول ربك على الباب، فيقول: لأزواجه أي شيئ ترين عليّ أحسن ؟ فيقلن : يا سيدنا والذي أباحك الجنة ما رأينا عليك شيئا أحسن من هذا بعث إليك ربك ، فيتزر بواحدة ويتعطف بالأخرى فلا يمرّ بشيئ إلا أضاء له حتى ينتهي إلى الموعد، فإذا اجتمعوا تجلى لهم الرب تبارك وتعالى ، فإذا نظروا إليه خرّوا سجدا فيقول: عبادي ارفعوا رؤوسكم ليس هذا يوم سجود ولا يوم عبادة قد رفعت عنكم المؤونة، فيقولون : يارب وأي شيئ أفضل مما أعطيتنا ، أعطيتنا الجنة، فيقول: لكم مثل ما في أيديكم سبعين ضعفا ، فيرجع المؤمن في كل جمعة بسبعين ضعفا مثل ما في يديه وهو قوله: { وَلَدَيْنَا مَزِيدًا وه ويو مالجمعة

Every good act that a person does has a reward mentioned regarding it in the Qur'ān besides the night prayer. Allah did not mention a specific reward regarding it as it has a great status in His court. Allah said: "Their sides part from their beds, they supplicate to their Rabb in far and hope... his actions." Allah will honour His believing bondsmen every Friday. On the day of Friday, Allah will send an angel with a pair of garments to every

Mu'min. He will reach the door and say, "Seek permission on my behalf to visit so and so." It will be said to that person, "The messenger of your Rabb is at your door." Thereupon he will ask his wives, "Which of my clothes suits me best?" They will reply, "O our master, by the oath of the one who has made Jannah permissible for you, we have not seen anything on you that is more beautiful than this which your Rabb has sent to you." Thus, he will wear one and use the other as a coat. Thereafter, he will not pass by anything except that it will brighten up for him until he reaches the venue. When they all gather, the Rabb, Most Blessed and Exalted will reveal himself for them. They will fall into prostration upon seeing Him. He will then say, "O my slaves, raise your heads. This is not a day of prostration or worship. All difficulties have been removed from you." They will respond, "O our Rabb, what can be better than that which you have granted us? You have granted us Jannah!" He will then say, "All your possessions will be multiplied by seventy." Thus every Mu'min will return with his possessions multiplied by seventy. This is referred to in His saying, "We have something extra for them". It is the day of Friday.

If you cannot understand this narration, than we present to you the speech of your fourth Imām and Waṣī. He has affirmed that Allah will be seen in the hereafter. This appears in the *al-Ṣaḥīfah al-Sājidiyyah*. This Ayatollah still prefers to refute this.¹ Al-Imām al-Sājiād's خمالة exact words are as follows:

Bless our eyes with the opportunity of seeing You on the day that we meet You.

There is even no need to assume that the mu'minīn will need eyes that are different to the ones that have been granted to them in this world as his Imām says that they have seen him even before the Day of Qiyāmah. This was when Allah posed the question to them, "Am I not your Rabb?" They replied, "Definitely." Al-

¹ Refer to his book Kalimat Howl al-Ru'yah pg. 38-39

Ṣadūq reports in *al-Towḥīd* (pg. 117 ḥadīth: 20) with his isnād from Abū Baṣīr who narrates:

عن أبي بصير عن أبي عبد الله (ع) قال: قلت له: أخبرني عن الله هل يراه المؤمنون يوم القيامة ؟ قال: نعم ، وقد رأوه قبل يوم القيامة ، فقلت: متى ؟ قال: حين قال لهم : { أَلَسْتُ بِرَبّكُمْ قَالُوا بَلَى } ثم سكت ساعة ، ثم قال: وإن المؤمنين ليرونه في الدنيا قبل يوم القيامة ، ألست تراه في وقتك هذا ؟ قال أبو بصير : فقلت : له جعلت فداك فأحدث بهذا عنك ؟ فقال لا ، فإنك إذا حدثت به أنكره منكر جاهل بمعنى ما تقوله ثم قدر أن ذلك تشبيه كفر وليست الرؤية بالقلب كالرؤية بالعين ، تعالى الله عما يصفه المشبهون والملحدون .

I asked Abū 'Abd Allāh: "Tell me about Allah, will the mu'minīn see Him on the day of Qiyāmah?" He replied: "Yes. They have seen Him even before the Day of Qiyāmah." I asked, "When?" He replied: "When He asked them, 'Am I not your Rabb?' They replied, 'Definitely!". Then he remained silent for a while after which he said: "The Mu'minīn see Him in this world, even before the Day of Qiyāmah. Do you not see Him right now?" I asked: "May I be sacrificed for you, can I narrate this from you?" He replied: "No. if you narrate it, someone who does not understand the meaning of what you are saying may object to it and consider it to be anthropomorphism and kufr. The vision of the heart is not the same as the vision of the eyes. Allah is beyond that which the anthropomorphist's and heretics say regarding Him."

Viewing Allah is also mentioned in the speech of Imām al-Sajjād مَحْنَاتُنَا but the 'trustworthy' author has chosen to ignore it and sufficed upon a few other recitations by saying the following: "Here are the texts that appear in my mind regarding the subject." He tried to do away with it completely. However, if these narrations were in his favour, he would have never ignored them and passed by them without taking any interest in them. This is their methodology. However, Allah wished that this Ayatollah of lies and deception should be exposed. Hereunder are the supplications:

1. Duʿā al-Mutawassilīn

(وأقررت أعينهم بالنظر إليك يوم لقائك)

And satisfy their eyes by allowing them to see You on the Day of Qiyāmah.

2. Duʿā al-Muḥibbīn

Do not turn away Your face from me.

3. A third supplication states:

You have put in it the fervour of meeting You and brightening it by Your judgement and You have favoured it with the opportunity to see You.

4. The supplication *Munājāt al-Zāhidīn*:

Do not deprive Your lovers from the opportunity of viewing Your beautiful countenance.

5. The supplication Munājāt al-Muftaqirīn:

Afford our eyes the good-fortune of seeing You, the day that we meet You.

6. The supplication *Istikshāf al-Humūm*:

My enthusiasm to meet You.¹

¹ Al-Ṣaḥīfat al-Sajjādiyyah al-Kāmilah pg. 317

As for the concoctions presented by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn in his book *Kalimat Ḥowl al-Ru'yah* (pg. 39) and the way he tries to latch onto some of the supplications of al-Sajjād to refute the belief that Allah will be seen, this is a result of ignorance regarding the speech of the 'Arabs. The amazing aspect of this author is that he graduated as an Ayatollah, yet he is not even conversant in the 'Arabic language. As an example, he uses as proof the following statement of al-Sajjād:

إلهي قصرت الألسن عن بلوغ ثنائك كما يليق بجلالك، وعجزت العقول عن إدراك كنه جمالك ، وانحصرت الأبصار دون النظر إلى سبحات وجهك ، ولم تجعل للخلق طريقا إلى معرفتك إلا بالعجز عن معر فتك

الحمد لله الأول بلا أول كان قبله ، والآخر بلا آخر يكون بعده ، الذي قصرت عن رؤيته أبصار الناظرين ، وعجزت عن نعته أوهام الواصفين ...

O my Rabb, tongues are incapable of praising you in a manner that is befitting to Your grandeur, minds are incapable of reaching the depth of Your beauty and visions have been restricted from the rays of Your face. You have left no avenue for Your creation to recognise You except by understanding that they are incapable of recognising You.

All praise is due to Allah, the first, who was not preceded by anyone, the last who will not be succeeded by anyone. The vision of those who see cannot view Him and the minds of those who describe Him cannot do justice.

Where in the above speech did the Imām ﷺ negate that Allah will be seen? In fact, the author was unable to produce even one supplication that indicates negation of seeing Allah. This is indeed quite strange! It highlights to us that these people are not the followers of the Ahl al-Bayt, rather they are the followers of al-Ṭūsī, al-Majlisī, al-Mufīd and their likes. The reality is that this view is upheld by the Muʿtazilah and others who have negated that Allah Taʿālā will be seen on the Day of Qiyāmah. As for the Ahl al-Bayt, they agree with the Ahl al-Sunnah and the pious predecessors, all of whom believe that Allah will be seen on the Day of Qiyāmah.

The author objected to the laughing of Allah. His exact words were:

وهل يجوز عليه الضحك ؟ وأي وزن لهذا الكلام

Is it possible that He laughs? Does this speech make any sense?

Our comment: Your infallible Imām also narrates this as reported by al-Majlisī and others.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn Objects to the Ḥadīth, "The Fire Will Not Be Filled Until Allah Places His Leg in it."

He reproduces the ḥadīth, "The fire will not be filled until Allah places His leg in it," on page 67-69.

Al-Bukhārī and *Muslim* report from 'Abd al-Razzāq — Maʿmar — Hammām — from Abū Hurayrah 🕬 who says:

قَالَ النَّبِيُّ(ص) تَحَاجَّت الْجَنَّةُ وَالنَّارُ فَقَالَت النَّارُ أُوثِرْتُ بِالْمُتَكَبِّرِينَ وَالْمُتَجَبِّرِينَ وَقَالَتِ الْجَنَّةُ مَا لِي لاَ يَدْخُلُنِي إِلَّا ضُعَفَاءُ النَّاسِ وَسَقَطْهُمْ قَالَ اللَّهُ تَبَارَكَ وَتَعَالَى لِلْجَنَّةِ أَنْتِ رَحْمَتِي أَرْحَمُ بِكَ مَنْ أَشَاءُ مِنْ عِبَادِي وَقَالَ لِلنَّارِ إِنَّمَا أَنْتَ عَذَابِي أُعَذَّبُ بِكِ مَنْ أَشَاءُ مِنْ عِبَادِي مِنْهُمَا مِلُؤُهَا فَأَمَّ النَّارُ فَلَا تَمْتَلِيُ حَتَّى يَضَعَرِ جُلَهُ فَتَقُولُ قَطْ فَهُنَالِكَ تَمْتَلِئُ وَيُؤَوَّى بَعْضُهَا إِلَى بَعْضٍ

Nabī for and said: "A debate took place between Jannah and Jahannam. Jahannam said, 'I have been granted superiority by means of the proud and oppressive.' Jannah will ask, 'What is the matter with me? Why will the weak and lowly enter into me?' Allah the most blessed and exalted responded to Jannah saying, 'You are my mercy, I bestow my mercy upon whoever I wish by means of you.' He then said to Jahannam, 'You are my punishment, I punish whoever I wish to from my slaves by means of you.' Each one of them will be filled. Jahannam will not be filled until He places His leg upon which it will exclaim, 'Enough! Enough!' At this juncture, some areas will overlap the others.¹

¹ *Al-Bukhārī* in Kitāb al-Tafsīr and *Muslim* in Kitāb al-Jannah wa Ṣifāt Naʿīmihā wa Ahlihā

The author begins his search for inconsistencies saying:

إن هذا الحديث محال ممتنع بحكم العقل والشرع، وهل يؤمن مسلم ينزه الله تعالى بأن لله رجلا ؟ وهل يصدق عاقل بأنه يضعها في جهنم لتمتلىء بها ؟ وما الحكمة من ذلك؟ وأي وزن لهذا الكلام البارد – إلى أن قال– وبأي لسان تتجاج النار والجنة؟! وبأي حواسهما أدركتا ما أدركتاه وعرفتا من دخلها وأي فضل للمتجرين والمتكبرين لتفخر بهم النار وهم يومئذ في اسفل سافلين ؟ وكيف تظن الجنة أن الفائزين بها من سقطة الناس وهم من الذين انعم الله عليهم بين نبي وصديق وشهيد وصالح ما أظن الجنة والنار قد بلغ بها الجهل والحمق والخرف إلى هذه الغاية ؟

This hadīth is impossible according to both sources, intellect as well as the Sharī'ah. Does any Muslim who believes that Allah is pure from having a leg believe this? Will any intellectual believe that He will place it in Jahannam so that Jahannam may be filled? What is the wisdom behind that? How does this derogatory statement make any sense? In which language did Jannah and Jahannam debate? Did they have senses to feel and realise what kind of people entered them? Is there anything virtuous about the proud and oppressive which would make Jahannam proud (that they will enter it), whereas they will be on that day the lowest of the low? How can Jannah regard those who have entered it to be the lowly people whereas they are the ones whom Allah had blessed? The one who enters will either be a nabī, şiddīq, shahīd or a pious person. I do not think that Jannah and Jahannam have reached this level of ignorance, stupidity and waywardness!

Our comment: it is sheer obstinacy and totally irrational to take the literal meaning of these words if there is no proof to do so. The basic principle is that when a word cannot be understood literally, then the figurative meaning should be taken into account. Figurative speech is used quite often in this language. By means of example, it is said, "The city went out to welcome the pilgrims." The obvious meaning of this is that majority of the inhabitants of the city went out to welcome them.

In the same manner, this $had\bar{i}th$ as well as all the verses which are used by the anthropomorphist's (such as the verse of istiwā) should be interpreted figuratively. Rejecting this $had\bar{i}th$ on the basis of what the author terms anthropomorphism and likening Allah to His creation, would demand that all the verses that are

similar to this should also be rejected. No Muslim will accept this. Therefore, just as those verses were interpreted according to their figurative meaning, similarly some aḥādīth should also be interpreted in the like manner. This is because those aḥādīth were said in accordance to the style and temperament of the glorious Qur'ān.

If he refuses to accept that they should be taken figuratively, then we say to him: in that case, it will be necessary in our example to believe that the city along with its buildings, masājid, houses and trees should go out, whereas this is illogical and impossible. It has never happened. Thus, it is necessary to take the figurative meaning. Refusing to take the figurative meaning will be a rejection of the linguistic principle that the articulate, eloquent and the common 'Arabs have always accepted, since they were discovered. Many verses of the glorious Qur'ān and many aḥādīth of the trustworthy Rasūl أَلَيْ

What are the grounds and reasons for rejecting this hadīth and finding it strange? If it is the fact that it mentions that Allah will place His leg therein, then the Qur'ān also mentions a hand, face, eyes the coming of Allah etc. Allah says:

Only the countenance of your Rabb the possessor of majesty and benevolence shall remain.^2 $% \left({{{\rm{C}}} {{\rm{C}}} {{\rm$

Everything will perish but His countenance.³

¹ Rāwiyat al-Islam by al-ʿIjāj pg. 238-239

² Sūrah al-Raḥmān: 27

³ Sūrah al-Qaṣaṣ: 88

وَقالَتْ الْيَهُودُ يَدُ اللهِ مَغْلُولَةٌ غُلَّتْ أَيْدِيهِمْ وَلُعِنُوا بِمَا قَالُوا بَلْ يَدَاهُ مَبْسُوطَتَانٍ يُنفِقُ كَيْفَ يَشَاء

The Jews say, "Allah's hand is tied up!" It is their hands that are tied up and they have been cursed because of what they say. Indeed Allah's hands are spread out wide, He spends as He pleases.¹

مَا مَنَعَكَ أَن تَسْجُدَ لِما خَلَقْتُ بِيَدَىّ

What has prevented you from prostrating to what I have created with my own hands? $\ensuremath{^2}$

وَأَلْقَيْتُ عَلَيْكَ مَحَبَّةً مِنِي وَلِتُصْتَعَ عَلَى عَيْنِي

I had cast on you love from Me, so that you may grow up under my supervision. $\!\!\!^3$

In essence, to allow the intellect to be the judge regarding matters relating to Allah is itself a sign of weakness of the intellect. Most of those who are deluded by their intellect eventually turn into heretics. Thus, it is in the best interest of the intellect, especially this sick one, to restrict its contemplation to those things which is able to digest. When the intellect is unable to independently discover the secret behind life within the human body, and it cannot completely understand a grain of sand from the desert, then how can it be possible that it will be able to discover the creator of the entire universe?

If for a moment we have to accept that the intellect is sufficient to judge the correctness of this hadīth, then too we will need the answers to a few questions. Whose intellect will be the judge? The philosophers? They differ amongst themselves. Every philosopher disagrees with the views of his predecessors. Should we take the view of the eloquent ones? They are totally unfamiliar with

¹ Sūrah al-Mā'idah: 64

² Sūrah Ṣād: 75

³ Sūrah Ṭāhā: 39

the subject as they concentrate upon rare events and stories. Should we take the intellect of the doctors, engineers and mathematicians? All of them do not understand the subject.

Would you allow us to use the intellect of the Muḥaddithīn? This will not impress you. In fact you accuse them of being dim-witted and simple minded. If we are to accept the intellect of the jurists, then they differ. Added to that, you believe that their intellect is the same as the Muḥaddithīn. Should we accept the intellect of the heretics? They believe that your faith in the existence of Allah is a result of ignorance and superstition. If you say that we should accept the intellect of those who believe in Allah from amongst them, then let us look at some of their different groups:

- 1. Those who believe that Allah descends into the bodies of humans, who then become deities.
- 2. Those who believe that the soul of Allah wears a body which is then considered a deity.
- 3. Those who believe that Allah and His creation are one entity.
- 4. Those who believe in the trinity.
- 5. Those who believe that cows, rats and frog should be worshipped.

You may say, "We wish to accept the judgement of those who believe in one deity in accordance with Islam." We will then ask you, "Whose intellect from amongst them will you accept? The Ahl al-Sunnah wa l-Jamāʿah?" This will not satisfy the Shīʿah and the Muʿtazilah. Should we accept the intellect of the Shīʿah? This will not satisfy the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Khawārij. Should we accept the intellect of the Muʿtazilah? This will not satisfy any of the Muslims. Thus, whose intellect will you be happy with?¹

¹ Al-Sunnah by al-Sibāʿī pg.39

The call towards judging aḥādīth in the basis of intellect is an old one. The Muʿtazilah called for it in the early times, and the orientalists, followed by Aḥmad Amīn in recent times. He listed a few authentic aḥādīth which, according to his opinion, are illogical. If he intends by this that which is obviously untrue, then this was done by the Muḥaddithīn. The scholars of ḥadīth criticism laid down a few principles by means of which a fabricated ḥadīth could be recognised. Amongst them is that the ḥadīth opposes that which is obvious or it opposes a known fact of dīn, history, medicine etc., in this manner, they rejected thousands of aḥādīth and labelled them as fabrications.

If he intends any other meaning when saying that 'the intellect does not find it acceptable', then this is something that differs from person to person. It is greatly affected by the culture and surroundings of a person and there is no way that it can be codified. How often do we not see that a certain thing seems unacceptable to one person, yet a second person considers it absolutely normal! There are many amongst us who could not understand how a car moves without being pulled by horses as they had not seen cars, at a time when cars had already become a norm for westerners. Similarly, a villager could not believe that something like a radio exists and he would believe that it is one of the lies of the city-dwellers. If he had to see it for the first time in his life, he would think that it is the voice of the devil, just as a child would think that a human is speaking from within it.

Nevertheless, we do not need the intellects' of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, Aḥmad Amīn and Abū Rayyah. The views of the 'ulamā regarding this type of words are well known. The pious predecessors believe in them without any interpretation, after exonerating Allah from any similarity with His creation...¹ with regards to the intellect of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn it seems as if Allah decreases it with every example. Why else would he react to this ḥadīth in the manner that he had done whereas the Shīʿī scholars have used it as proof under their explanation of the saying of Allah:

¹ *Difāʿʿan Abiī Hurayrah* by ʿAbd al-Munʿim al-ʿAlī pg. 260

يوْمَ نَقُولُ لِجَهَنَّمَ هَلِ امْتَلاَّتِ وَتَـقُولُ هَلْ مِن مَّزِيدٍ

On the day, we ask Jahannam, "Are you full," and she will reply, "Is there more?" $^{\!\!\!\!^1}$

They did not object or belie it in any way, irrespective of who narrated it, whether it was Abū Hurayrah, Anas (as reported by al-Suyūțī in *al-Durr al-Manthūr*) or Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī ﷺ (as reported by Imām Aḥmad in his *Musnad*).

After reporting the following ḥadīth of Anas and in his *Tafsīr, al-Mīzān* (18/362), which was reported by al-Suyūṭī in *al-Durr*:

People will continuously be thrown into Jahannam, but it will say after each time, "Is there more?" This will continue until the Rabb of honour will place His leg in it. Thereupon some portions of it will be overlapped by others and it will exclaim, "Enough! By Your honour, Enough!" Jannah on the other hand will remain unoccupied until Allah creates a certain creation whom He will grant place in its palaces.

Al-Țabațabā'ī (shī'ī) says:

أقول: وضع القدم على النار وقولها : قط قط مروي في روايات كثيرة من طرق أهل السنة

Placing of the leg upon Jahannam and her exclamation, "Enough! Enough!" Has been narrated in many narrations of the Ahl al-Sunnah.

Similarly, this ḥadīth was also used as proof by the philosopher of the Shīʿah, Muḥammad ibn Ibrāhīm Ṣadr al-Dīn al-Shīrāzī who was granted the title, 'Ṣadr al-Muta'ahhilīn' in his *Tafsīr al-Qur'ān al-Karīm* (1/58, 156). His exact words are:

¹ Sūrah al-Qāf: 30

ألا ترى صدق ما قلناه النار لا تزال متألّمة لما فيها من النقيص وعدم الإمتلاء حتى يضع الجبّار قدمه فيها كما ورد في الحديث وهي إحدى تينك القدمين المذكورتين في الكرسي

Do you not see the truth of our statement? The Fire will remain in anguish due to a lack of people and because it will not be filled. Thus, the All Powerful will place His leg in it as reported in a hadīth. This leg is one of the two legs which appear in the Kursī.

Al-Sayyid Muḥammadī al-Rayy Shahrī (shī ī) also uses this ḥadīth as proof in his voluminous encyclopaedia *Mīzān al-Ḥikmah* (2/178-179) under the chapter of "Is there more". This is the true scale by which the aḥādīth of Rasūlullāh مَالَسَنَعَنَوْهُ are weighed. They are reported without paying any attention to the isnād. Thereafter, the knowledge therein is attributed to Allah Taʿālā.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn said:

بأي لسان تتحاج النار والجنة ؟ وبأي حواسهما أدركتا ما أدركتاه وعرفتاه من دخلهما

In which language did Jannah and Jahannam debate? Did they have senses to feel and realise what kind of people entered them?

Our comment: these questions definitely expose the gross ignorance of 'Abd al-Husayn regarding the Qur'ān. If he is surprised that Jannah and Jahannam had a conversation, then does he know that the Qur'ān states that Allah addressed and spoke to the skies and the earth saying,

انْتِياً طَوْعًا أَوْ كُرْهًا قَالَتَا أَتَيْنَا طَآيعِينَ

Come to us willingly or unwillingly! Both replied: "We shall come willingly." $\ensuremath{\mathsf{}}$

Further, Allah also says:

¹ Sūrah al-Fuṣṣilat: 11

يَوْمَ نَقُولُ لِجَهَنَّمَ هَلِ امْتَلاَّتِ وَتَـقُولُ هَلْ مِن مَّزِيدٍ

The day we will say to Jahannam: "Are you full," and she will reply, "Is there more?" $^{\rm 1}$

Thus, Jahannam spoke! It asked: "Is there more?" Why did 'Abd al-Ḥusayn not read a verse from the Qur'ān? Why did he not feel shy to ask, "What is the wisdom behind that? Is there any sense in this ridiculous speech?" Glory be to Allah! The speech of Allah is considered ridiculous and senseless by this 'learned scholar'! Have you ever seen an erudite scholar of his like? He understands nothing of the Qur'ān, nothing of the pure and sublime sunnah and even nothing of the aḥādīth of the Ahl al-Bayt!

However, I do not think that he has really reached this level of ignorance. I do not believe that he did not come across the books of hadīth, fiqh, tafsīr, rijāl etc., as he has been bestowed the title of 'Ayatollah'. The most realistic possibility is that the author seeks to attack Abū Hurayrah in any possible manner, even if that leads to ignorance regarding the verses of the Qur'ān and pure sunnah regarding the establishment of the eye, face and hand. What gives support to this view is that these very ahādīth, which have been narrated by Abū Hurayrah and rejected by him, are found in their books as well. The Shī'ah have narrated those ahādīth which prove that Jannah, Jahannam and the wind will speak, all from those whom they consider infallible.

Al-Biḥār (8/285) reports under the chapter regarding Jannah and its bounties from Sokānī from Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad — his father (al-Bāqir) — his forefathers — ʿAlī مَكَالَنَّ from Nabī مَكَالَنَّهُ :

تكلم النار يوم القيامة ثلاثة: أميراً وقارئاً، وذا ثروة من المال فتقول للأمير: يامن وهب الله له سلطاناً فلم يعدل فتزدرده كما يزدرد الطير حب السمسم وتقول للقارئ: يا من تزين للناس وبازر الله بالمعاصي فتزدرده ، وتقول للغني يا من وهب الله له دنيا كثيرة واسعة فيضا وسأله الحقير اليسير قرضا فأبي إلا بخلا فتزدرده

¹ Sūrah Qāf: 30

The Fire will speak to three people on the Day of Qiyāmah; a ruler, a scholar and a wealthy person. It will say to the ruler: "O the one whom Allah granted authority but he did not do justice!" Then it will tear him up just as a bird tears a sesame seed. It will say to the scholar: "O the one who beautified himself for the people but brazenly disobeyed Allah," and then it will tear him apart. It will then say to the wealthy person: "O the one who Allah granted excessive and Abūndant worldly possessions but refused to loan a poor downtrodden person some wealth." Thereafter it will tear him apart.

Al-Biḥār also reports under the chapter regarding Jannah and its bounties (8/198) from Abū Baṣīr from Abū Jaʿfar who says:

On the Day of Qiyāmah Jannah will cry out to Allah saying: "O my Rabb, You are the Most Just. You have filled Jahannam with its occupants as You promised it but You did not fill me as You promised me." Thereupon Allah will create some people who did not even see the world and Jannah will be filled. Glad-tidings to them.

Al-Qummī says in his Tafsīr:

{ يَوْمَ نَقُولُ لِجَهَنَمَ هَلِ امْتَلَأْتِ وَتَقُولُ هَلْ مِن مَّزِيد} قال: هو استفهام لأنه وعد الله النار أن يملأها فتمتلئ النار ، ثم يقول لها : هل امتلأت ؟ وتقول هل من مزيد ؟ على حد الاستفهام ، أي ليس فيّ مزيد ، قال : فتقول الجنة : يا رب وعدت النار أن تملأها ، ووعدتني أن تملأني فلم لا تملأني وقد ملأت النار ؟ قال: فيخلق الله يومئذ خلقا يملأ بهم الجنة ، فقال أبوعبدالله (ع): طوبي لهم إنهم لم يروا غموم الدنيا وهمومها

"The day we will say to Jahannam, 'Are you full,' and she will reply, 'Is there more?" $^{\prime\prime\prime\prime}$

¹ Sūrah Qāf: 30

He says: This is a question as Allah had promised the Fire that He will fill it. Thus it will be filled. Then He will ask it, "Are you full," and it will reply, "Is there more?" i.e. the meaning of this is it is a rhetorical question meaning, "there is no space left in me." Thereafter Jannah will say, "O my Rabb, You promised the fire that You will fill it and You done so. You also promised to fill me so why have You not filled me?" He says: "Thereupon Allah will create a nation who will be used to fill Jannah." Abū 'Abd Allāh said: "They will be fortunate indeed. They did not see the grief and worry of the world."¹

Muhammad ibn Muslim narrates:

قال لي أبو جعفر (ع) كان كل شيئ ماء وكان عرشه على الماء فأمر الله الماء فاضطر نارا فأمرالله النار فخمدت فارتفع من خمودها دخان فخلق الله السموات من ذلك الدخان وخلق الله الأرض من الماء ثم أختصم الماء والنار والريح ، فقال الماء جند الله الأكبر وقالت النار أنا جند الله الأكبر وقالت الريح أنا جند الله الأكبر ، فأوحى الله الى الريح أنت جند الله الأكبر

Abū Jaʿfar said to me: "Initially, there was only water and His throne was upon that water. Then Allah commanded the water, so it produced a fire. Allah then commanded the fire to subside. As soon as it subsided, smoke began to emerge from it, so Allah created the skies from that smoke, and Allah created the earth from the water. Thereafter the water, fire and the wind began arguing. The water said: "I am the greatest army of Allah." The fire said: "I am the greatest army of Allah." The wind objected: "I am the greatest army of Allah." Subsequently, Allah revealed to the wind: "You are the greatest army of Allah."²

Al-Biḥār, under the chapter of Jannah and its bounties (8/155-156), from Dāwūd al-ʿIjlī Mowlā Abī al-Miʿzā:

سمعت أبا عبد الله (ع) يقول : ثلاث أعطين سمع الخلائق : الجنة ، والنار ، والحور العين ، فإذا صلّى العبد وقال اللهم أعتقني من النار وأدخلني الجنة وزوجني من الحور العين قالت النار : يا رب إن عبدك

¹ Tafsīr al-Qummī 2/326, al-Biḥār 8/133, 292-293, al-Burhān 4/228

² Tafsīr al-Burhān 2/207, refer to al-Jawāhir al-Ṣaniyyah as well.

قد سألك أن تعتقه مني فأعتقه وقالت الجنة : يارب إن عبدك قد سألك إياي فأسكنه ، وقالت الحور العين : يا رب إن عبدك قد خطبنا إليك فزوجه منّا ، فإن هو انصرف من صلاته ولم يسأل من الله شيئا من هذا قلن الحور العين : إن هذا العبد فينا لزاهد وقالت الجنة : إن هذا العبد فيّ لزاهد ، وقالت النار : إن هذا العبد فيّ لجاهل .

I heard Abū ʿAbd Allāh saying: "Three bodies are able to hear the entire creation; Jannah, Jahannam and the *ḥūr al-ʿayn* (maidens of Jannah). When a person performs ṣalāh and says: "O Allah grant me freedom from the emancipation from the fire, entrance into Jannah and grant me in marriage the ḥur al-ʿayn." The fire says: "O my Rabb, Your slave has asked You for emancipation from me, so grant him emancipation." Jannah responds: "O my Rabb, Your slave has asked You for me, so grant him residence (in me)." The ḥur a-ʿayn say: "O our Rabb, Your slave has proposed to us through you, so grant us to him in marriage." If however, he turns away after completing his ṣalāh without supplicating in the above manner, then the ḥur al-ʿayn say: "Indeed this slave is not interested in us." Jannah says: "This slave is not inclined towards me." And the fire says: "This slave is indeed ignorant regarding me."

It is really strange how this extremely righteous author cannot digest the hadīth of Abū Hurayrah about the debate between Jannah and Jahannam, yet he does not object to their hadīth which is narrated from their A'immah that the sun spoke to 'Alī about the biography of Alī and in which language? In *al-Bihār* (41/169), under the biography of Amīr al-Mu'minīn, chapter of the Sun returning because of him and the Sun speaking to him. It is reported from Muḥammad ibn 'Alī ibn Mūsā ibn Ja'far from his forefathers who narrate from Nabī biography that he said to 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib

O Abū al-Ḥasan, speak to the Sun as it is speaking to you. 'Alī عَلَيْا لَنَاهَ said: "Peace be upon you, O obedient slave of Allah.' The Sun replied: "Peace be upon you too, O Amīr al-Mu'minīn and Imām of the pious (muttaqīn)." Has 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's hatred for Islam and the Ṣaḥābah, especially Abū Hurayrah become clear? He tries to attack him in every possible manner. He forgets that his religion contains many more narrations of this type and their content is quite extreme as well. Does 'Abd al-Ḥusayn really not know these verses and the aḥādīth of those whom he considers totally infallible, which is the first possibility, as he says, "In what language were Jannah and Jahannam debating?" If he does not know in which language they were debating, then this is indeed unacceptable ignorance.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn's Objections Against the Ḥadīth Regarding the Descending of Allah Towards the Sky of the Earth Every Night

On page 69, ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn quotes the ḥadīth. He says:

نزول ربه كل ليلة إلى سماء الدنيا تعالى الله " أخرج الشيخان من طريق ابْنِ شِهَاب عَنْ أَبِي سَلَمَةَ وَأَبِي عَبْدِاللَّه الْأَخَرِّ عَنْ أَبِي هُرَيْرَةَ مرفوعاً قَالَ: يَنْزِلُ رَبُّنَا كُلَّ لَيْلَةٍ إِلَى السَّمَاءِ الدُّنْيَا حِينَ يَبْقَى ثُلُثُ اللَّيْلِ الأخير يَقُولُ مَنَ يَدْعُونِي فَأَسْتَجِيبَ لهُ ...

His Rabb descends to the sky of the earth, Allah is pure from such attribution. Shaykhayn (*al-Bukhārī* and *Muslim*) report from ibn Shihāb — Abī Salamah and Abī ʿAbd Allāh al-Agharr — from Abū Hurayrah who narrates that Nabī المنتخبينة said:

Our Rabb descends every night to the sky of the earth during the last third of the night. He says: "Who will supplicate to me so I may answer him?..."

The author, as usual looks for discrepancies and tries to create doubts. He says:

تعالى الله عن النزول والصعود والمجيئ والذهاب والحركة والانتقال وسائر العوارض والحوادث ، ثم قال: إن هذا الحديث والثلاثة التي قبله كان مصدرا للتجسيم في الإسلام ، كما ظهر في عصر التعقيد الفكري وكان من الحنابلة بسببها أنواع من البدع والاضاليل ولاسيما ابن تيمية الذي قام على منبر الجامع

¹ Al-Bukhārī in al-Jumuʿah and al-Daʿwāt and Muslim in Ṣalāt al-Musāfirīn wa Qaṣrihā

الأموي في دمشق يوم الجمعة خطيباً ، فقال أثناء أضاليله : إن الله ينزل إلى سماء الدنيا كنزولي هذا ونزل درجة من درج المنبر يريهم نزول الله تعالى نزولا حقيقيا ...

Allah is beyond descending, climbing, coming, going, movement, shifting and all other temporary conditions and occurrences. This hadīth and the three ahādīth before it are the basis for anthropomorphism in Islam, as it became apparent in the era when doctrine was sophisticated. The Ḥanābilah, as a result of it brought about a whole range of innovations and deviations, especially Ibn Taymiyyah who stood on the mimbar of the Umayyad Jāmi' Masjid in Damascus to deliver a talk on a Friday. He said in the midst of his deviated views, "Allah descends to the sky of the Earth in the same way that I am descending," and he descended by one step of the mimbar. He was explaining to them that descending was meant literally.

Our comment: the aḥādīth on nuzūl¹ have been reported by both, us and them. I will soon prove from *al-Kāfī* (which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn describes in his *al-Murājʿāt* as the oldest, greatest, best and most accurate of the four books). As well as other books of theirs, which are considered reliable, that they are the actual promoters of anthropomorphism in Islam. This will be an appendage to the various narrations of the ḥadīth which was used against Abū Hurayrah www regarding nuzūl, from those who are considered infallible. A group of Shīʿī Muḥaddithīn and reliable scholars, including the likes of al-Ṣadūq, al-Kulaynī and others have narrated the ḥadīth of nuzūl.

Establishing the Hadith of Nuzul from the Ahl Al-Bayt

Al-Ṣadūq reports in his *al-Towḥīd* with his own isnād from Hishām ibn al-Ḥakam under the ḥadīth of the debate of al-Ṣādiq with the idolaters and the irreligious ones. The ḥadīth is regarding an irreligious person who approached Abū ʿAbd Allāh:

قال : سأله عن قوله: { الرحمن على العرش استوى } قال أبو عبدالله (ع): بذلك وصف نفسه ، وكذلك هو مستول على العرش بائن من خلقه من غير أن يكون العرش حاملاً له ، و لا أن يكون العرش حاوياً له

¹ Nuzūl literally means to descend. However, the literal meaning is not implied in these aḥādīth.

، ولا أن العرش محتاز له ، ولكنّا نقول: هو حامل العرش ، وممسك العرش ، ونقول من ذلك ما قال: { وسع كرسيه السموات والأرض } فثبتنا من العرش والكرسي ما ثبته ، ونفينا أن يكون العرش أو الكرسي حاوياً له وأن يكون إلى مكان أو إلى شيئ مما خلق بل خلقه محتاجون إليه قال السائل : فما الفرق بين أن وقدرته سواء ، ولكنه امر أولياءه وعباده برفع أيديهم إلى السماء نحو العرش لأنه جعله معدن الرزق فثبتنا ما ثبته القرآن والأخبار عن الرسول حين قال: ارفعوا أيديكم إلى الله وهذا يجمع عليه فرق الأمة فثبتنا ما ثبته القرآن والأخبار عن الرسول حين قال: ارفعوا أيديكم إلى الله وهذا يجمع عليه فرق الأمة كله . قال السائل : فتقول: أنه ينزل إلى السماء الدنيا؟ قال أبو عبدالله (ع): نقول : ذلك لأن الرويات قد صحت به والأخبار ، قال السائل : فاذا نزل أليس قد حال عن العرش وحووله عن العرش صفة حدثت، قال أبوعبدالله (ع) ليس ذلك منه ما على يوجد من االمخلوقين الذي تنتقل باختلاف الحال عليه والملالة والسأمة وناقلة ينقله ويحوله من حال الى حال بل هو تبارك وتعالى لا يحدث عليه الحال ولا يجري عليه والمامة وناقلة ينقله ويحوله من حال الى حال بل هو تبارك وتعالى لا يحدث عليه الحال ولا يجري عليه والمامة وناقلة ينقله ويحوله من حال الى حال بل هو تبارك وتعالى لا يحدث عليه الحال ولا يجري عليه ولكنه ينزل إلى السماء الدنيا بغير معاناة وحركة فيكون كما هو في السماء السابعة على الأول ، ولكنه ينزل إلى السماء الدنيا ما على وعرى أولياءه نفسه حيث شاء ويكان خلا منه المكان الأول ، ولكنه ينزل إلى السماء الدنيا معنول المخلوق الذي متى تنحى عن مكان الى مكان خلا منه المكان الأول ، ولكنه ينزل إلى السماء الدنيا بغير معاناة وحركة فيكون كما هو في السماء السابعة على العرش كذلك هو في القرب والبعد سواء

He asked regarding the verse, "Al-Raḥmān has made istiwā upon the Throne." Abū 'Abd Allāh replied: "That is the way He described Himself, and that is the way He is. He is in full control of the Throne, but He is not physically attached to His creation. The Throne does not carry Him, encompass Him or contain Him. He is the one who carries the Throne and holds it. We also believe in what he says, i.e. 'His Kursī includes the heavens and the earth.' We establish regarding the Throne and the Kursī that which he establishes, but we negate that the Throne or the Kursī encompasses Him. He is not in need of a place or anything else that He created, rather, His entire creation is totally dependent upon Him."

The person then asked: "Then what difference does it make whether you raise your hands to the sky or you face them to the ground?" Abū 'Abd Allāh replied: "Both are equal in respect of His knowledge, His encompassing of His creation and His limitless ability. However, He commanded His friends and servants to raise their hands towards the sky, in the direction of the Throne as He made that the source of sustenance. Thus, we have complied with the Qur'ān and the narrations from Rasūlullāh and the sects of the said, 'Raise your hands to Allah.' This is something that all the sects of the ummah have agreed upon."

The person continued, "So do you say that He does nuzūl to the sky of the earth?" Abū 'Abd Allāh replied, 'That is what we believe as the narrations and traditions relating to it are authentic.' The questioner then asked, "When He does nuzūl, does He not leave the Throne? Is this act of leaving it not a quality of huduth (the occurrence of a new condition or creation of an object. This necessitates that the affected entity is a creation)?" Abū 'Abd Allāh replied: "Those occurrences do not affect Him in the same way as they affect the creation, who are affected by different conditions. They are overtaken by tiredness and exhaustion. Thus, the creation are put through different conditions. Rather, no new condition is brought upon Him, the most exalted and blessed and no huduth takes place as far as He is concerned. Therefore when His nuzūl is not the same as the descending of the creation, that when they move from one place to the other then the first place becomes unoccupied by them. He does nuzūl to the sky of the earth without any effort or movement. The result of this is that just as He is in the seventh heaven upon the Throne, in the same manner He is in the sky of the earth. However, He does reveal some of His grandeur by showing Himself to His friends at a place that He wishes. He also reveals whatever He wishes to from His power. Seeing Him from close by is the same as seeing Him from a distance."1

Al-Kulaynī reports in his *al-Kāfī* from *Kitāb al-Towḥīd*, with his isnād from Muḥammad ibn Tsā who says:

كتبت الى أبي الحسن على بن محمد (ع): ياسيدي قد روي لنا أن الله في موضع دون موضع على العرش استوى ، وأنه ينزل كل ليلة في النصف الأخير من الليل إلى السماء الدنيا ، وروي أنه ينزل عشية عرفة ثم يرجع إلى موضعه ، فقال بعض مواليك في ذلك: إذا كان في موضع دون موضع ، فقد يلاقيه الهواء ،ويتكيف عليه والهواء جسم رقيق يتكيف على كل شيئ بقدره ، فكيف يتكيف عليه جل ثناؤه على هذا المثال ؟ فوقع (ع): علم ذلك عنده وهو المقدر له بما هو أحسن تقديرا وأعلم أنه إذا كان في السماء الدنيا فهو كما هو على العرش الأشياء كلها له سواء علما وقدرة وملكا وإحاطه

¹ *Al-Towhīd* by al-Ṣadūq pg. 28 – The last portion: "So do you say that He does nuzūl to the sky of the earth?" Abū ʿAbd Allāh replied: 'That is what we believe as the narrations and traditions relating to it are authentic..." is not found in the popular version of the book. Al-Majlisī established them in his *Biḥār* 3/331 - *Kitāb al-Towḥīd*, chapter 14. Refer to ʿAlī *in the Qur'ān and Sunnah* 2/687 as well.

I wrote to Abū al-Ḥasan ʿAlī ibn Muḥammad: "It has been narrated to us that Allah only occupies a certain space of His throne which He did istiwā upon. He descends during the final third of every night to the sky of the earth. It has also been narrated to us that he descends during the evening of ʿArafah and then He returns to His place." Some of your associates have commented regarding this in the following way, "If He is only in one part, then He is definitely surrounded by the air which is such a subtle substance that it affects other things in accordance to their volume."

He deliberated for a while and then said: "The knowledge of that is with Allah. He grants it its proportions in the best possible manner. Know well that when He is in the sky of the earth, then He is still upon His Throne as He usually is. All objects are equal before Him as far as knowledge, control over them, owning them and encompassing them are concerned."¹

The commentator and editor of *al-Kāfī*, Sayyid ʿAlī Akbar al-Ghifārī says in his commentary of this hadīth:

قوله (ع): علم ذلك عنده أي علم كيفية نزوله عنده سبحانه وليس عليكم معرفة ذلك

The knowledge of that is with Allah, i.e. the knowledge of the manner in which he descends is with Him and it is not possible for you to understand it.²

This is wonderful as it points out that the view of the Imām was not *ta'wīl* (interpreting the text against the literal meaning, but within the linguistic framework of the word). This was the view of the *salaf* (pious predecessors). This is the view of the Ahl al-Bayt regarding the *sifāt* (attributes of Allah), viz. establishing it without delving into how it happens, without likening it to anything, interpreting it or rendering it meaningless. Abū 'Abd Allāh said: "This is because the narrations regarding it are authentic," as explained."

¹ *Al-Uṣūl* 1/126 - *Kitāb al-Towhīd*, the chapter of movement and shifting, ḥadīth: 4, *al-Maḥāsin* 1/140.

² Hāshiyat al-Uṣūl min al-Kāfī 1/126

Let us go back to all the narrations of the Ahl al-Bayt which correspond to the narration of Abū Hurayrah

سمعت أبا عبد الله (ع) يقول: إن الله تبارك وتعالى ينزل في الثلث الباقي من الليل إلى السماء الدنيا ، فينادي هل من تائب يتوب عليه ؟ وهل من مستغفر يستغفر فأغفر له ؟ وهل من داع يدعوني فأفك عنه ؟ وهل من مقتور يدعوني فأبسط له ؟ وهل من مظلوم ينصرني فأنصره

I heard Abū ʿAbd Allāh saying: "Allah does nuzūl during the final third of the night to the sky of the earth. He then calls out, 'Is there any repentant one? Is there anyone seeking forgiveness so that I may forgive him? Is there anyone who will supplicate to Me so that I may emancipate him? Is there any pauper who will ask Me, so that I can grant him Abūndance? Is there any oppressed one who I may help?"¹

The ḥadīth of nuzūl is also established by their Shaykh who is considered an extraordinary researcher, Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī al-Aḥsā'ī in his book ʿ*Awālī al-La'ālī* (1/119 - chapter seven, narration: 44):

Indeed Allah does nuzūl to the sky of the earth during the last portion of the night, He does nuzūl to the people of 'Arafah on the eve of 'Arafah and He does nuzūl on the fifteenth night of Shaʿbān.

Muḥsin al-Kāshānī, their esteemed muḥaddith says:

الأول: أن يترصد لدعائه الأوقات الشريفة كيوم عرفة من السنة ،وشهر رمضان من الشهور، ويوم الجمعة من الأسبوع، ووقت السحر من ساعات الليل ، قال الله تعالى: { وبالأسحار هم يستغفرون } ولقوله:(ينزل الله كل ليلة إلى السماء الدنيا حين يبقى ثلث الليل الأخير فيقول: من يدعوني فأستجيب له، من يسألني فأعطيه، من يستغفرني فأغفر له

¹ Al-Bihār 87/168, chapter regarding the one who supplicates before dawn

Firstly, he should choose the blessed moments for his supplications, such as the day of 'Arafah from the entire year, the month of Ramadān from among the months, the day of Friday from the entire week and the time of dawn from the hours of the night. Allah taʿālā says: "During the closing portions of the night, they were seeking repentance". Nabī "Allah does nuzūl during the final third of the night to the sky of the earth and says, 'Is there anyone who will supplicate to Me so that I may respond to him? Is there anyone to ask Me so that I may grant him? Is there anyone seeking forgiveness so that I may forgive him?""

He says at another place:

Rasūlullāh المنتخصة was asked: "Which portion of the night is most virtuous?" He replied: "The latter portion." There are narrations which state that towards the end of the night, the ʿArsh trembles, winds blow from the everlasting Jannah, al-Jabbār does nuzūl to the sky of the earth etc..²

Yet another hadith states:

ينزل الله تعالى في كل ليلة إلى السماء الدنيا فيقول: هل من داع فأستجيب له

Allah does nuzūl to the sky of the earth every night and says: "Is there anyone to supplicate so that I may respond to him?"³

We move onto those narrations of the Shīʿah which inform us that Allah Taʿālā does nuzūl to the Earth on a camel. Zayd al-Nūrsī reports in his book, from ʿAbd Allāh ibn Sinān who says:

¹ *Al-Maḥājjat al-Bayḍā' 2/285 - Kitāb al-Adhkār wa al-Daʿwāt*, the chapter of etiquettes of duʿā and they are Ten.

² Al-Maḥājjat al-Bayḍā' 2/373

³ Al-Maḥājjat al-Bayḍā' 5/15

سمعت أباعبدالله(ع) يقول : إن الله ينزل في يوم عرفة في أول الزوال إلى الأرض على جمل أفرق يصال بفخذيه أهل عرفات يميناً وشمالا ، فلا يزال كذلك حتى إذا كان عند المغرب ويقر الناس وكل الله ملكين بحيال المازمين يناديان عند المضيق الذي رأيت : يارب سلّم سلّم ، والرّب يصعد إلى السماء ويقول جل جلاله : آمين آمين رب العالمين ، فلذلك لا تكاد ترى صريعاً ولا كبيراً

I heard Abū 'Abd Allāh saying: "Indeed Allah does nuzūl towards the Earth as soon as the sun reaches its zenith on the day of 'Arafah on a camel with widely spaced humps, which places its thighs upon all the people of 'Arafāt, scattering them from the right to the left. He continues in this manner until the time of Maghrib when the people settle down. Thereupon He appoints two angels at the reigns to call out at the passage that you have seen, 'O My Rabb, (Grant us) salvation! (Grant us) salvation!' This is while the Rabb, whose splendour is sublime, ascends towards the sky saying, 'Āmīn Āmīn, the Rabb of the universe!' That is why you will neither see anyone in the convulsion of an epileptic fit, or suffering broken bones.¹

Sulaymān ibn Khālid narrates that he heard Abū 'Abd Allāh saying:

إن الأعمال تعرض كل خميس على رسول الله فإذا كان يوم عرفة هبط الرب تبارك وتعالى

The actions (of the ummah) are presented on every Thursday to Rasūlullāh مالتعينة. However, on the day of ʿArafah, the Rabb, most blessed and exalted does hubūţ (similar to nuzūl).²

ʿAṭā reports from Abū Jaʿfar, who narrates from his forefathers from ʿAlī نَعَنِيَعَانُهُ who narrates from Rasūlullāh مَكَاتَسْتَعَادِينَاتُهُ a lengthy ḥadīth in which he says:

: ثم أن الله أوحى إلى جبرئيل بعد ذلك أن أهبط إلى آدم وحواء فنحهما عن مواضع قواعد بيتي لأني أريد أن أهبط في ظلال من ملائكتي إلى أرضي فارفع أركان بيتي لملائكتي ولخلقي من ولد آدم ... قال ثم أن جبرئيل أتاهما فأنزلهما من المروة وأخبرهما أن الجبار تبارك وتعالى قد هبط إلى الأرض فرفع قواعد

¹ *Riyāḍ al-ʿUlamā'* 2/404, Mīrzā ʿAbd Allāh Āfendī al-Iṣfahānī (from the great personalities of the twelfth century).

² Bașā'ir al-Darajāt of al-Ṣaffār pg. 426 narration: 15, al-Burhān 2/158, al-Biḥār 23/345 ḥadīth: 37

البيت الحرام بحجر من الصفا وحجر من المروة وحجر من طور سينا وحجر من جبل السلام ..

Then Allah revealed to Jibrīl: "Go down to Ādam and Ḥawwā' and move them away from the place of the pillars of My house as I wish to do nuzūl in the shade of My angels to the Earth so that I may raise the pillars of My house for My angels and My creation from the children of Ādam..." Thereafter, Jibrīl came to them and placed them in Marwah. He informed them that al-Jabbār, the Most Blessed and Exalted had done nuzūl to the earth, after which He elevated the pillars of al-Masjid l-Ḥarām using slabs from Ṣafā, Marwah, Mount Sinai, mount Salām..."¹

Jābir narrates:

Regarding the verse: "And the angels, beneath the shadow of clouds and for matters to be decided," Abū Jaʿfar said: "He does nuzūl in the midst of domes of light. No one knows in which one He is when He does nuzūl to the centre of Kūfah, so this is when he does nuzūl."²

Jābir ibn Yazīd al-Juʿfī narrates:

قال أبو جعفر محمد بن علي الباقر(ع) يا جابر كان الله ولا شيئ غيره ولا معلوم ولا مجهول فأول ما ابتدء من خلق خلقه أن خلق محمداً وخلقنا أهل البيت معه من نور عظمته – إلى أن قال – ثم أن الله هبط إلى الأرض في ظلل من الغمام و الملائكة وهبط أنوارنا أهل البيت معه وأوقفنا نوراً صفوفاً بين يديه نسبحه في أرضه كما سبحنا في سمائه

Abū Jaʿfar Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī al-Bāqir said: "Allah existed when nothing else existed, neither anything that is known nor something that is

¹ Tafsīr al-ʿAyyāshī 1/37 ḥadīth: 21, al-Biḥār 5/49-50, al-Burhān 1/84-85

² Refer to Tafsīr al-Burhān 1/209 ḥadīth: 2,5,6,7, al-ʿAyyāshī 1/103 ḥadīth: 301,303, Tafsīr al-Ṣāfī 1/183, al-La'ālī 5/83, ʿAlī fi l-Qur'ān wa al-Sunnah 1/85, al-Biḥār 25/19, al-Jadīd fi l-Qur'ān 1/247, Tafsīr al-Qu'rān al-Karīm 5/392, Ḥilyat al-Abrār 1/16, Madīnat al-Ma'ājiz 2/41, al-Ṣaḥīfah 1/61, al-ʿAyyāshī 1/37, pg. 103 ḥadīth: 301, 303

unknown. The first creation that He created was Muḥammad and He created us, the Ahl al-Bayt together with Him, all from the illumination of His grandeur... thereafter Allah did nuzūl to the Earth with the angels, beneath the shadow of clouds. He brought our illumination (the Ahl al-Bayt) along with Him and He erected us as illumination in rows so that we may praise Him on His earth, the way we praised Him in His sky."¹

Tafsīr al-Burhān (3/146) reports from Yūnus ibn Zabyān who narrates from Abū 'Abd Allāh:

إذا كان ليلة الجمعة هبط الرب تبارك وتعالى إلى سماء الدنيا فإذا طلع الفجر كان على العرش فوق البيت المعمور

The blessed and lofty Rabb does nuzūl on Friday nights to the sky of the Earth. Then when dawn breaks, He is upon the 'Arsh, above the Bayt al-Ma'mūr.

Sulaymān ibn Khālid narrated that he heard Abū 'Abd Allāh saying:

أن الأعمال تعرض كل خميس على رسول الله فاذا كان يوم عرفة هبط الرب تبارك وتعالى وهو قول الله تبارك وتعالى: { وَقَدِمْنَا إِلَى مَا عَمِلُواْ مِنْ عَمِلٍ فَجَعَلْنَاهُ هَبَمَاً مَّنْشُورًا }

The actions (of the ummah) are presented on every Thursday to Rasūlullāh However, on the day of 'Arafah, the Rabb, most Blessed and Exalted does hubūţ (similar to nuzūl). This is what is referred to when Allah says: "We will then turn to their deeds and reduce them to scattered dust."²

Abū Ḥamzah al-Thumālī narrates from Abū Jaʿfar:

أن الله تبارك وتعالى هبط إلى الأرض في ظل من الملائكة على آدم بوادي يقال له الروحاء وهو واد بين الطائف ومكة

¹ *Ṣaḥīfat al-Abrār* by Mirzā Muḥammad Taqī 1/160-161

² Tafsīr al-Burhān 3/159, al-Biḥār 23/354, al-Baṣā'ir pg. 426

Allah Taʿālā did nuzūl to the Earth in the shade of clouds upon Ādam at a valley named al-Rowḥā'. It is a valley between Makkah and Ṭā'if.¹

Abān narrates from Abū ʿAbd Allāh:

إن للجمعة حقاً وحرمة فإياك أن تضيع أو تقصر شيئ من عبادة الله والتقرب إليه بالعمل الصالح وترك المحارم كلها فإن الله يضاعف فيه الحسنات ،ويمحو فيه السيئات ويرفع فيها الدرجات قال: وذكر أن يومه مثل ليلته فإن استطعت أن تحييها بالصلاة والدعاء فافعل فإن ربك ينزل من أول ليلة الجمعة إلى سماء الدنيا فيضاعف فيه الحسنات ويمحو فيه السيئات فإن الله واسع كريم

The day of Friday is sanctified and it has a right, so be careful not to destroy or neglect any portion of worshipping Allah and drawing close to Him by means of righteous actions and complete abstinence from forbidden acts. Indeed on this day, Allah doubles virtuous acts, wipes out sins and raises ranks.

Abān added: "He stated that the day is similar to the night, so if you are able to stay awake by sending ṣalāt and supplicating, then do so as your Rabb descends to the sky of the Earth during the first portion of the night of Jumuʿah. He doubles in it the reward of good and He wipes out sins. Undoubtedly Allah is all encompassing and exceptionally benevolent."²

The researcher of the book, *al-Ḥujjah*, al-Sayyid Ḥasan al-Kharsān comments:

قوله فإن ربك ينزل من أول ليلة الجمعة . يحتمل أن يكون من باب التعليل يكون المراد نزول ملائكة الرحمة ، أو المراد بنزوله تعالى : نزول للملائكة ورحتمه مجازا ويمكن أن يكون المراد نزوله من عرش العظمة إلى مقام العطف على العباد

His saying: "Your Rabb descends to the sky of the Earth during the first portion of the night of Jumu'ah'," could be referring to the reason, i.e. the descending of the angels of mercy. Another possible meaning of Him (the Most Exalted) descending is that the angels and His mercy descends, which

¹ Al-Burhān 2/300, al-Ṣaḥifah 1/160-161

² Furūʿ al-Kāfī 3/414 ḥadīth 6, The Chapter Regarding the Virtue of the Day and Night of Jumuʿah, al-Laʾālī 3/40

would be the figurative meaning. It is also possible that the meaning is that He descends from the 'Arsh of grandeur to the station of compassion upon the servants.

It is reported in *Tafsīr al-Burhān* from 'Abd al-Karīm ibn 'Amr al-Khath'amī who says:

سمعت أباعبدالله (ع) يقول : إن ابليس قال أنظرني إلى يوم يبعثون فأبى الله ذلك عليه ، فقال يوم الوقت المعلوم وهو آخر كرة يكرها أمير المؤمنين (ع) – إلى أن قال – فكأني أنظر إلى أصحاب أمير المؤمنين(ع) قد رجعوا إلى خلفهم القهقري مائة قدم ، وكأني أنظر اليهم قد وقعت بعض أرجلهم في الفرات فعند ذلك يهبط الجبار في ظل من الغمام والملائكة وقضي الأمر ورسول الله أمامه بيده حربة من نور

I heard Abū ʿAbd Allāh saying: "Iblīs said, 'Grant me respite until the day they are resurrected.' Allah refused him that and then said, 'until an appointed time'. This refers to the final comeback that will be done by Amīr al-Mu'minīn... it is as if I am looking at the companions of Amīr al-Mu'minīn taking a hundred steps backwards and it is as if I am looking at them and some of their legs entered into the Euphrates. At that juncture al-Jabbār will descend in the shade of clouds along with the angels, and the matter will be decided. Rasūlullāh المنابعة will be in front of Him with a spear of illumination in his hand."

Muʿāwiyah ibn ʿAmmār reports from Abū ʿAbd Allāh:

قال علي بن الحسين(ع): أما علمت أنه إذا كان عشيّة عرفة بزر الله في ملائكته إلى سماء الدنيا، ثم يقول: انظروا إلى عبادي أتوني شعثاً غبراً أرسلت إليهم رسولاً من وراء وراء فسألوني ودعوني

'Alī ibn al-Ḥusayn said: "Do you not know that when it is the evening of 'Arafah, Allah comes out, amongst His angels to the sky of the earth and then says, 'Look at My slaves, they have come to me all dishevelled and dusty. I sent to them a Rasūl from far off and they are now asking Me and supplicating to Me."²

¹ Tafsīr al-Burhān 2/343, 1/209, al-Shumūs al-Ṭāliʿah pg. 410

² Al-Mustadrak 10/47, hadīth: 1, Refer to al-Mahāsin pg. 65

The Rabb Descends and He Visits the Graves of the A'immah Among Other Acts

I really cannot comprehend how is it that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn denies the ḥadīth of nuzūl which is agreed upon! Did all the narrations of these great erudite scholars escape him, which are worse, more blasphemous and hair-raising — according to the view of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn — than the narration of Abū Hurayrah age? We will present a few of the narrations of the 'infallibles' in which it is stated that Allah visits the A'immah in their graves accompanied by the ambiyā' and the angels.

Abū Wahb al-Qaṣrī reports:

دخلت المدينة فأتيت أبا عبدالله(ع) فقلت له : جعلت فداك أتيتك ولم أزر قبر أميرالمؤمنين (ع) فقال: بئس ما صنعت لو لا إنك من شيعتنا ما نظرت إليك ، ألا تزور من يزوره الله تعالى مع الملائكة ويزوره الأنبياء ويزوره المؤمنون !!، قلت : جعلت فداك ما علمت ذلك ..

I entered Madīnah and visited Abū 'Abd Allāh. I said to him: "May I be sacrificed for you, I came to you before visiting the grave of Amīr al-Mu'minīn." He responded: "You have indeed done something evil! If you were not from our Shīʿah, I would not have even looked at you. Will you not visit the one whom Allah visits accompanied by the angels and the ambiyā' also visit him as well as the mu'minīn?" I answered: "May I be sacrificed for you, I was unaware of that."

Manī ibn al-Ḥajjāj reports from Ṣafwān al-Jammāl:

قال لي أبو عبدالله (ع) لمّا أتى الحيرة قال: هل لك في قبر الحسين ؟ قلت : أتزوره جعلت فداك ؟ قال: وكيف لا أزوره والله يزوره في كل ليلة جمعة يهبط مع الملائكة إليه والأنبياء والأصياء ومحمد أفضل

¹ Al-Tahdhīb 6/20 - the chapter of the virtue of visiting him, Kitāb al-Mazār by al-Mufīd pg 30 ḥadīth: 2

^{the chapter of visiting Amīr al-Mu'minīn,} *al-Biḥār* 25/361, 100/257-258 - the chapter on the virtue of visiting him, *Furūʿ al-Kāfī* 4/579-580 - the chapter of visitations and their rewards, *al-Wasā'il* 10/293-294 - the chapter that visiting Amīr al-Mu'minīn is desirable, *al-Malādh* 9/51 - the chapter on the virtue of visiting him, *al-Ṣaḥifah* 1/341

الأنبياء ونحن أفضل الأوصياء فقال صفوان :جعلت فداك أفزوره في كل جمعة حتى أدرك زيارة الرب؟ قال: نعم يا صفوان الزم زيارة قبر الحسين وتكسب وذلك الفضيل، هي

Abū ʿAbd Allāh said to me when he arrived at Ḥayrah: "Are you interested in the grave of Ḥusayn?" I replied: "Do you visit it, may I be sacrificed for you!" He replied: "How can I not visit it when Allah visits it every Friday, during the night? He comes down to him accompanied by the angels, ambiyā' and awṣiyā. Muḥammad is the most virtuous from the ambiyā' and we are the most virtuous from the awṣiyā." Ṣafwān asked: "May I be sacrificed for you, should I visit him every Friday so that I may witness the visitation of the Rabb?" He replied: "Yes O Ṣafwān, be steadfast upon visiting the grave of Ḥusayn and keep earning. That is indeed ideal."¹

'Abd al-Ḥusayn condemned Abū Hurayrah for narrating the ḥadīth regarding nuzūl, but he found no objections regarding the narrations that we have mentioned, i.e. those which mention that the Rabb, Most Exalted, visits the graves of the A'immah! Ponder over their narrations O my 'even-handed' brother, especially those which state that Allah the most exalted visits the graves of their A'immah, shakes their hands and sits upon a sofa with them.

Their learned scholar, Mīrzā Muḥammad Taqī, who was granted the title Ḥujjat al-Islam, quotes a narration from *Madīnat al-Maʿājiz* who in turn reports from *Dalā'il al-Ṭabarī*:

قال أخبرني أبو الحسين محمد بن هارون عن أبيه عن أبي علي محمد بن همام عن أحمد بن الحسين المعروف بابن أبي القاسم عن أبيه عن الحسين بن علي عن محمد بن سنان عن المفضل بن عمر قال: قال أبو عبدالله (ع) لما منع الحسين(ع) وأصحابه الماء نادى فيهم من كان ظمآن فليجئ فأتاه رجل رجل فيجعل أبهامه في راحة واحدهم فلم يزل يشرب الرجل حتى ارتووا فقال بعضهم والله لقد شربت شرابا ما شربه أحد من العالمين في دار الدنيا فلما قاتلوا الحسين(ع) فكان في اليوم الثالث عند المغرب أعقد الحسين رجلا رجلا منهم يسميهم بأسماء آبائهم فيجيبه الرجل بعد الرجل فيقعد من حوله ثم يدعو بالمائدة فيطعمهم ويأكل معهم من طعام الجنة ويسقيهم من شرابها ثم قال أبو عبدالله (ع) والله لقد رآهم عدة من الكوفيين ولقد كرّر عليهم لو عقلوا قال ثم خرجوا لرسلهم فعاد كل واحد منهم إلى بلادهم ثم

¹ Al-Ṣuḥbah 1/341, al-Biḥār 101/60 ḥadīth: 32, Kāmil al-Ziyārāt pg. 222 - 223 ḥadīth: 326 chapter: 39

أتى لجبال رضوي فلا يبقى أحد من المؤمنين إلا أتاه وهو على سرير من نور قد حفّ به ابراهيم وموسى وعيسى ! وجميع الانبياء ! ومن ورائهم المؤمنون ومن ورائهم الملائكة ينظرون ما يقول الحسين(ع) قل فهم بهذه الحال إلى أن يقوم القائم و إذا قام القائم(ع) وافو فيها بينهم الحسين(ع) حتى يأتي كربلاء فلا يبقى أحد سماوي ولا أرضي من المؤمنين إلاّ حفّوا بالحسين(ع) حتى أن الله تعالى يزور !! الحسين(ع) ويصافحه !! ويقعد معه !! على سرير !! يا مفضل هذه والله الرفعة التي ليس فوقها شيئ لا لورائها مطلب

Mufaddal ibn 'Umar narrates from Abū 'Abd Allāh: "When Husayn and his companions were denied water, he called out amongst them, 'Whoever is thirty should come forward!' They began coming to him one after the other. He inserted his thumb into the mouth of each one of them who drank until his thirst was guenched. Some of them then said: 'I have drank such a drink that none in this world has drank the like of it.' Thereafter. when they fought alongside Husayn, on the third day after Maghrib, Husayn began seating them one by one. He called each person by his name and the name of his father. They also began responding to him one after the other and they began sitting around him. Then he called for a dining table, fed them and ate and drank with them from the food and drink of Jannah." Thereafter Abū 'Abd Allāh said: "By the oath of Allah, many of the people of Kūfah had seen them again and again. If only they understood! Thereafter, they went for their missions, each one to his own city. Thereafter he arrived at the Ridawī Mountain. Every single mu'min will come to him whilst he will be on a sofa of illumination, surrounded by Ibrāhīm, Mūsā, 'Īsā and all the other ambiyā'. The mu'minīn will be behind them and the angels will be behind the mu'minīn; paying attention to the speech of Husayn. They will remain in this condition until Qiyāmah. When Qiyāmah appears, they will arrive in this manner, with Husayn in their midst until they reach Karbala'. At this point, all the mu'minin from the skies and the earth will surround Husayn, to the extent that Allah will also visit him, shake his hands and sit next to him on the sofa. O Mufaddal, by the oath of Allah, this is the pinnacle of elevation! There is nothing that is worthy of attaining beyond this!"1

¹ *Şaḥīfat al-Abrār* 2/140, *Dalā'il al-Imāmah* pg. 78 (abridged version of the ḥadīth), *Madīnat al-Ma'ājiz* 3/464-narration 980, chapter twenty five: when his companions drank from his thumb and he gave them food and drink from jannah.
Thereafter he comments on the narration saying:

(يقول محمد تقي الشريف مصنف هذا الكتاب هذا الحديث من الأحاديث المستصعبة!! التي لا يحتملها إلاّ ملك مقرب أو نبي مرسل أو مؤمن امتحن الله قلبه للإيمان

Muḥammad Taqī al-Sharīf, the author of this book says: "This ḥadīth is among the extremely difficult aḥādīth. It can only be comprehended by an angel who is gifted with close proximity, a nabī or a mu'min whose heart has been examined and purified by īmān."¹

This Hujjah states at another place:

وأمتا المعصوم (ع) فهذا المقام حاصل له مساوقا لبدء خلقه فليس بين الله وبين حجته حجاب في حال من الأحوال كما مرّ صريح الحديث في ذلك في القسم الأول من الكتاب نعم أنهم (ع) يلبسوا بعض العوارض بالعرض في هذه الدار الفانية ليطيق الخلق رؤيتهم فيتمكنوا من تكميلهم و هو أحد الأسرار!! في بكائهم واستغفارهم إلى الله تعالى من غير ذنب لحق ذواتهم فافهم فإذا خلعوا هذا اللباس العرضي وانتقلوا إلى الدار الباقية خلص لهم ذلك المقام يزورهم الرب تعالى !! ويصافحهم!! ويقعدون معه!! على سرير واحد !! لاتحاد حكم العبودية مع حكم الربوبية

As for the ma'sūm, he was granted this position as soon as he was created. Under no circumstances is there a barrier between Allah and his hujjah, as stated in the explicit hadīth which already passed in the first section of the book. Yes, they did adopt some temporary conditions in this temporary world so that the creation could see them through which they could speak to them. This is one of the secrets behind their crying and seeking forgiveness from Allah even though they were sinless. This is a point that is worthy of understanding. Once they remove these temporary conditions, and move to the everlasting abode, that position will be granted to them. The Rabb most exalted will visit them, shake their hands and sit with them on the same pedestal. This is because the law of *Rubūbiyyah* (being a Rabb) is the same as the law of *'Ubūdiyyah* (being a slave).²

¹ Ṣaḥīfat al-Abrār 2/140

² Al-Ṣaḥīfah 2/141

Will 'Abd al-Ḥusayn pass the same judgement regarding his A'immah as he passed regarding Abū Hurayrah? What is the view of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn and his group regarding the likes of these explicit aḥādīth? Are the A'immah anthropomorphists? Are they the reason why different types of innovations and deviation have come about? Or did these deviated beliefs come about as a result of your narrators whom you praised in your alleged correspondences? The anthropomorphism of the Jews was well-known, but the first to introduce it among the Muslims was the Rawāfiḍ this is why al-Rāzī says:

```
اليهود أكثرهم مشبهة ، وكان بدء ظهور التشبيه في الاسلام من الروافض مثل هشام بن الحكم ، وهشام بن
سالم الجواليقي ، ويونس بن عبد الرحمن القمي وأبي جعفر الأحول
```

The Jews are the greatest anthropomorphists and it was introduced in Islam by the Rawāfiḍ such as Hishām ibn Sālim al-Jawālīqī, Yūnus ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān al-Qummī and Abū Jaʿfar al-Aḥwal.¹

'Abd al-Husayn claims in the footnote:

Ibn Taymiyyah imitated the descending of Allah towards the sky of the earth by coming down one step on the steps of the pulpit from which he was delivering his lecture on the day of Friday. This incident was personally witnessed by Ibn Baṭṭūṭah and he recorded it.

Our comment: this is nothing but a lie. Refer to the writing of 'Allāmah Bahjat al-Bayṭār regarding the life of Ibn Taymiyyah in which he refutes what Ibn Baṭṭūṭah says. Ibn Taymiyyah is not the one who 'imitated' the descending of Allah to the sky of the earth by going down one step of the pulpit; instead, your infallible imām is in fact the one who imitated the sitting of the Rabb. Abū Ḥamzah al-Thumālī says:

¹ Iʿtiqādāt Firaq al-Muslimīn wa l-Mushrikīn pg. 97

: رأيت علي بن الحسين قاعداً واضعاً إحدى رجليه على فخذه فقلت : إن الناس يكرهون هذه الجلسة ويقولون : إنها جلسة الرب ، فقال: إني إنما جلست هذه الجلسة للملالة، والرب لا يمل ولا تأخذه سنة ولا نوم

I seen 'Alī ibn al-Ḥusayn sitting down with one leg placed upon his thigh, so I said: "People despise this sitting posture and they say, 'It is the sitting posture of the Rabb." He replied: "I only sat in this manner as I was tired whereas the Rabb does not tire, nor is He overtaken by drowsiness or sleep."¹

So who is the one who, according to you likened Allah (to his creation), Ibn Taymiyyah or your 'infallible' Imām?

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Expresses Surprise Regarding the Ḥadīth of Sulaymān's المستنة Visiting a Hundred Wives in a Single Night

On page 74, under the chapter, "Sulaymān's متسانعة visit to a hundred women in one night," he says:

أخرج الشيخان بالاسناد إلى أبي هريرة مرفوعاً قال : قَالَ سُلَيْمَانُ بْنُ دَاوُدَ لَأَطُوفَنَّ اللَّيْلَةَ بِمائة امْرَأَة ! تَلدُ كُلُّ امْرَأَة غُلامًا ؟ يُقَاتِلُ فِي سَبِيلِ اللَّهِ! فَقَالَ لَهُ الْمَلَكِ: قُلْ إِنْ شَاءَ اللَّهُ فَلَمْ يَقُلْ ! فَأَطَافَ بِهِنَّ ! وَلَمْ تَلَدُ مِنْهُنَّ إِلاَ امْرَأَةُ نِصْفَ إِنْسَانِ! (فَاَلَ أبو هريرة):قال النَّبِيُّ لَوْ قَالَ إِنْ شَاءَ اللَّهُ لَمْ يَحْنَتْ وَكَانَ أَزَّجَى لِحَاجَتِه

Al-Bukhārī and Muslim have reported with their isnād from Abū Hurayrah who ascribes the narration to Nabī that Sulaymān ibn Dāwūd said: "I will definitely visit one hundred women tonight, and every one of them will give birth to a boy who will eventually fight in the path of Allah!" The angel said to him: "Say, 'If Allah wills," but he did not say so.² Consequently,

¹ Refer to *al-Uşūl* 2/661 - the chapter of sitting, *Mir'āt al-'Uqūl* 12/563 - 564 ḥadīth: 2 (al-Majlisī stated that this ḥadīth is accepted). *Ḥilyat al-Abrār* 2/74, the twenty first chapter regarding mufradāt, and pg. 187 - the eighteenth chapter regarding the etiquette of eating such as remembering Allah etc..

^{2 &#}x27;Abd al-Ḥusayn displayed some of his shrewdness at this point by tempering with the ḥadīth and leaving out the words "and he forgot," i.e. He forgot and thus did not say so. Consequently…" In this way, he wishes once again to deceive the reader.

he visited them, but only one gave birth to an incomplete human. Abū Hurayrah narrated: "Nabī said: 'If he said, "if Allah wills," his oath would not have been broken and it would be more effective in achieving his objective."¹

He continues with his mission of creating doubts by saying:

وفي هذا أيضاً نظر من وجوه : أحدها: أن القوة البشرية لتضعف عن الطواف بهن في ليلة واحدة مهما كان الإنسان قوياً ، فما ذكره أبو هريرة من طواف سليمان (ع) بهن مخالف لنواميس الطبيعة لا يمكن عادة وقوعه أبدا .

ثانيها: أنه لا يجوز على نبي الله تعالى سليمان (ع) أن يترك التعليق على المشيئة، ولا سيما بعد تنبيه الملك إياه إلى ذلك، وما يمنعه من قول إن شاء الله ؟ وهو من الدعاء الى الله والأدلاء عليه ، وإنما يتركها الغافلون عن الله الجاهلون بأن الأمور كلها بيده . فما شاء منها كان وما لم يشأ لم يكن ، وحاشا أنبياء الله عن غفلة الجاهلين أنهم (ع) لفوق ما يظن المخرفون .

ثالثها:أن أبا هريرة قد اضطرب في عدة نساء سليمان، فتارة روى إنهن مائة كما سمعت، وتارة روى إنهن تسعون، وتارة روى إنهن سبعون وتارة روى إنهن ستون

There are a few reasons why this is not acceptable. Firstly, despite the strength of any human, it is impossible to visit one hundred women in one night. Thus, the narration of Abū Hurayrah cannot be reconciled with the rules of nature. Human norms demand that this can never happen. Secondly, it is inconceivable that the Nabī of Allah, Sulaymān, omitted saying "if Allah wills," especially after being told by the angel to do so. What stopped him from saying "if Allah wills," when he is one who calls and guides towards Allah? Omitting it is the act of those who are negligent regarding Allah. They do not realise that all matters are controlled by Allah. That which He wishes takes place and that which He does not wish, does not take place. It is impossible for the ambiyā' of Allah to be overtaken by negligence like that of the ignorant. Indeed they are far beyond that which the feeble-minded believe.

¹ Al-Bukhārī in Kitāb al-Nikāḥ, Nudhūr, Towḥīd, Kaffārāt al-Aymān and aḥādīth al-Ambiyā'

Thirdly, Abū Hurayrah was inconsistent as far as narrating the amount of wives of Sulaymān. At times, such as the above narration, he states that they were one hundred. On other occasions, he stated that they were ninety. He also narrates that they were seventy and yet in another narration he says that they were sixty.

Our comment: this type of aḥādīth has been narrated by your A'immah and your scholars have reported them in their commentaries. *Al-Ṭabarsī* reports this ḥadīth, which you have found objectionable O trustworthy one, from Abū Hurayrah in his *Tafsīr*, *Majmaʿ al-Bayān* (8/475). As for the narrations from the Ahl al-Bayt, the *Tafsīr al-Burhān* (4/43) reports from Hishām who narrates from al-Ṣādiq:

إن داود لمّا جعله الله خليفة في الأرض أنزل عليه الزبور- إلى أن قال- ولداود حينئذ تسع وتسعون امرأة ما بين مهيرة إلى جارية

When Allah appointed Dāwūd as the khalīfah upon the earth, He revealed to him the Psalms... at that time, Dāwūd had ninety nine women, some were wives and some were slave girls.

Hasan ibn Jahm narrates:

رأيت أبا الحسن (ع) اختضب فقلت: جعلت فداك اختضبت فقال: نعم إن التهيئة مما يزيد في عفة النساء - إلى أن قال:- كان لسليمان بن داود ألف امرأة في قصر واحد ثلاثمائة مهيرة وسبعمائة سريّة وكان رسول الله له بضع أربعين رجلا وكان عنده تسع نسوة وكان يطوف عليهن في كل يوم وليلة

I seen Abū al-Ḥasan after he applied henna. I said to him: "May I be sacrificed for you, you have used henna?" He replied: "Undoubtedly the chasteness of women is increased by keeping oneself adorned... Sulaymān ibn Dāwūd had one thousand women in one palace. Three hundred were his wives and seven hundred were slaves. Rasūlullāh المالية had the strength of forty men, he had nine wives and he would visit all of them in the space of twenty four hours."¹

¹ Furūʿ al-Kāfī 5/567, al-Burhān 4/49

Niʿmat Allāh al-Jazā'iri says in his book, *Qiṣaṣ al-Ambiyā*' (page 407):

عن أبي الحسن(ع) قال: كان لسليمان بن داود ألف امرأة في قصر واحد، وثلاثمائة مهيرة وسبعمائة سرّية، ويطيف بهن في كل يوم وليلة

It is narrated from Abū al-Ḥasan that he said: "Sulaymān ibn Dāwūd had one thousand women in one palace. Three hundred were wives and seven hundred were slaves. He would visit all of them in one day and night."

Al-Jazā'īrī comments on the narration saying:

أقول: يحمتل طواف الزيارة ، الأظهر أنه طواف الجماع

I say, it is possible that he went to them to visit them, however, the more likely meaning is that he visited them to fulfil conjugal relations.¹

In the same book (page 408) from it is narrated from Abū Jaʿfar:

قال: كان لسليمان حصن بناه الشياطين له ، فيه ألف بيت في كل بيت منكوحة ، منهن سبعمائة أمة قطبية وثلاثمائة حرة مهيرة ، فاعطاه الله تعالى قوة أربعين رجلا في مباضعة النساء ، وكان يطوف بهن جميعا ويسعفهن .

Sulaymān had a fort which was built for him by his shayāṭīn. It comprised of a thousand rooms and every room had a woman. Seven hundred were slaves and three hundred were wives. Allah gave him the sexual strength of forty men. He would visit all of them and he would satisfy them.

Muḥammad Nabī al-Tūrsīkānī states in his book *al-La'ālī* (1/100 - the mannerisms of Sulaymān):

وفي بعض الكتب المعتبرة !كان معسكره مأة فرسخ مفروشة بلبنة الذهب يقوم عليها عسكره خمسة وعشرون إنس ، وكانت له ألف امرأة في ألف بيت من القوارير موضوعة على الخشب ،وعن أبي الحسن : كان لسليمان لف امرأة في قصر واحد

¹ Qaṣaṣ al-Ambiyā' page 407 by Niʿmat Allāh al-Jazā'irī, al-Ambiyā' Ḥayātuhum Qiṣaṣuhum pg. 429

It appears in some reliable books that his army base was one hundred miles and it was tiled with gold bricks. His army of twenty five people would stand upon it. He had one thousand women in a thousand round houses which were placed upon wood. Abū al-Ḥasan narrates: "Sulaymān had one thousand women in one palace."

It appears in *al-Anwār al-Nuʿmāniyyah* (3/182 - the chapter of the illumination of love and the levels thereof):

أن سليمان كان يسحب معه على البساط ألف امرأة منكوحة وسبعمأة من الإماء وثلثمأة من الحرائر، وقيل: إنه كان يوقف عليهن في ليلته ...

One thousand women would share the bed with Sulaymān, seven hundred slaves and three hundred wives. It is said that he would engage with all of them in one night.

He states:

I say: what stands ascribed to a few here is attributed to a greater number in al-Makārim citing the book *Man Lā Yaḥḍur*. After giving the stated number, he says: "He would visit all of them in the space of twenty-four hours.'

Al-Kāshānī states in his book, *al-Maḥajjat al-Bayḍā*' (6/282 - the chapter regarding those things which are surprising and the explanation of its cure):

كما روي عن سليمان أنه قال: لأطوفنّ الليلة على مائة امرأة تلد كل امرأة غلاماً الحديث ولم يقل إن شاء الله فحرم ما أراد من الولد ..

Just as it is narrated from Sulaymān that he said: "Tonight I will visit one hundred women and all of them will give birth to a boy..." He did not say "if Allah wills," so he was deprived of the children that he desired. Is 'Abd al-Ḥusayn satisfied with the narrations of the Ahl al-Bayt and the explanations of his scholars?

Why was objections raised against Sulaymān عَلِيهَاتَنَكُمْ, when your people have narrated that Nabi سَأَلَتُعَيَّدُونَتُ was granted this strength?

Al-Wasā'il (14/180) reports from Hishām ibn Sālim who narrates from Abū 'Abd Allāh:

لما كان في السحر هبط جبرئيل بصحفة من الجنة كان فيها هريسة ، فقال: يا محمد هذه عملها لك الحور العين فلكها أنت وعلي وذريتكما فإنه لا يصلح أن يأكلها غيركم فجلس رسول الله وعلي فاطمة والحسن والحسين (ع) فأكلوا منها فاعطى رسول الله في المباضعة من تلك الأكلة قوة أربعين رجلاً، فكان إذا شاء غشى نساءه كلهن في ليلة واحدة

Jibrīl descended before dawn with a plate from Jannah which contained Harīsah (a certain dish) and said: "O Muḥammad, the ḥūr al-ʿayn have prepared this for you. It should be consumed by you, ʿAlī and the offspring of the two of you. It is not suitable for anyone else." Thus, Rasūlullāh Jilī, Fāṭimah, Ḥasan and Ḥusayn sat down and consumed it. Rasūlullāh Jilī vas given the sexual strength of forty men as a result of that food. Therefore, whenever he desired, he would have relations with all of his nine wives in a single night.

In fact, this strength was possessed by you $Im\bar{a}m$ — the Mahdī — as well. The author of *Al-Khiṣāl* reports with his isnād from 'Alī ibn al-Ḥusayn:

إذاقام قائمنا أذهب الله عن شيعتنا العاهة وجعل قلوبهم كزبر الحديد وجعل قوة الرجل منهم قوة أربعين رجلا

When our awaited Imām will appear, tiredness will be removed from our Shīʿah. Their hearts will be like iron and each man will be granted the strength of forty men.

What does 'Abd al-Ḥusayn have to say about the narrations of the Ahl al-Bayt that we have quoted? Will he criticise them?

Furthermore, forgetfulness is a state that could come upon the ambiyā'. The noble Qur'ān states a few verses regarding this. We will mention a few by way of example these were also mentioned by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's seniors. Hopefully he will understand and bow his head in shame. Hereunder are the verses:

We shall soon teach you and you will not forget.¹

When you see those who engross themselves with Our verses, then turn away from them until they engage in some other talk. Should Shayṭān cause you to forget, then after recalling, do not sit with unjust people.²

Never say about anything, "I will certainly do so tomorrow," unless you add, "In shā Allāh". Remember your Rabb when you forget and say, "I hope my Rabb will guide me to that which leads close to it."³

وَإِذْ قَالَ مُوسَى لِفَتَــهُ لاَ أَبْرَحُ حَتَّى أَبْلُغَ مَجْمَعَ الْبَحْرِيْنِ أَوْ أَمْضِيَ حُقُبًا فَـلَمًا بَـلَغا مَجْمَعَ بَيَنْهِمَا نَسِيَا حُوتَهُمَا فَاتَّخَذَ سَبِيلَهُ في الْبَحْرِ سَرَبًا فَتَلَمَّا جَاوَزَا قَتَالَ لِفَتَـهُ ءَاتِنَا لَقَدْ لَقِينَا مِنْ سَفَرِنَا هَذَا نَصَبًا قَالَ أَرَءَيْتَ إِذْ أَوَيْنَا إِلَى الصِّحْرَةِ فَإِنِّي نَسِيتُ الْحُوتَ وَمَا أَنْسَنِيهُ إِلاَ الشَّيْطَنُ أَنْ أَذْكُرْهُ

¹ Sūrah al-Aʿlā: 6

² Sūrah al-Anʿām: 68

³ Sūrah al-Kahf: 23,24

When Nabī Mūsā المعالية said to the youngster, I shall continue to walk until I reach the confluence of two seas or until I pass a long period. So when they reached the confluence of the two, they both forgot their fish and it tunnelled its path into the ocean. When they proceeded further, Nabī Mūsā told the youngster: "Bring our breakfast. Without doubt, this journey has been extremely wearisome for us." He replied: "Did I not tell you that when we sought shelter by the boulder I forgot the fish? It was certainly Shayṭān that made me forget to mention it. It made its way into the sea in an extremely marvellous way."¹

Added to the above, we present to you the narrations of the Ahl al-Bayt which confirm that the ambiy \bar{a} ' could have forgotten. Sal \bar{a} m ibn al-Mustan \bar{i} r reports from Ab \bar{u} Ja'far:

...وقد قال الله لنبيه في الكتاب:{ وَلاَتَقُولَنَّ لِشَاْىء إِنِّي فَاعِلٌ ذَلِكَ غَدًا إِلاَّ أَن يَشَاءَ اللهُ} أن لا أفعله فتسبق مشيئة الله في أن لا أفعله فلا أقدر على أن أفعله، قالَ: فَلَذَلَكَ قَالَ وَاذْكُر رَّبَّكَ إِذَا نَسِيتَ }، أي استثن مشيئة الله في فعلك

Allah said to His Nabī in the Qur'ān: "Never say about anything, 'I will certainly do so tomorrow,' unless Allah wills that I should not do it. In that case the will of Allah will supersede (my wish) and I will not be able to do it." He added: "That is why He said, 'Remember your Rabb when you forget,' i.e. always suspend your action on the will of Allah."²

In a lengthy ḥadīth, al-Qummī says: "My father reported to me from Ibn Abī ʿUmayr from Abū Baṣīr who narrated that Abū ʿAbd Allāh said:

كان سبب نزولها يعني سورة الكهف أن قريشاً بعثوا ثلاثة نفر إلى نجران النضر بن الحارث بن كلدة وعقبة بن أبي معيط والعاص بن وائل السهمي لتعلموا من اليهود والنصارى مسائل يسألونها رسول الله- إلى أن قال – فرجعوا إلى مكة واجتمعوا إلى أبي طالب (ع) فقالوا: يا أبا طالب إن ابن أخيك يزعم أن خبر السماء يأتيه ونحن نسأله عن مسائل فإن أجابنا عنها فعلمنا أنه صادق وإن لم يجيبنا علمنا أنه كاذب، فقال

¹ Sūrah al-Kahf: 60-63

² Furūʿ al-Kāfī: 7/448

أبو طالب: سلوه عما بدا لكم فسألوه عن الثلاث مسائل ، فقال رسول الله: غداً أخبرك ولم يستثن فاحتبس الوحي عليه أربعين يوماً حتى اغتم النبي

The cause behind the revelation of Sūrah al-Kahf is that the Quraysh sent a group of three men (Naḍr ibn al-Ḥārith ibn Kaldah, ʻUqbah ibn Abī Muʿīṭ and ʿĀṣ ibn Wāʾil al-Sahmī) to Najrān to learn a few questions which they could pose to Rasūlullāh المنتقبة ... thus they returned to Makkah and approached Abū Ṭālib saying to him: "O Abū Ṭālib, your nephew claims that he receives revelation from the sky. Therefore, we wish to ask him a few questions. If he manages to answer us, then we will accept that he is truthful in his claim, and if he fails to do so, then we will be convinced that he is a liar." Abū Ṭālib replied: "Ask him whatever you wish." They then asked him regarding those three matters. Rasūlullāh. Consequently, revelation was held back for forty days, which caused him great distress."¹

Will 'Abd al-Ḥusayn be happy to slander the A'immah of the Ahl al-Bayt and paint a negative picture regarding them as he done with the ḥadīth of Abū Hurayrah

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Objects to the Ḥadīth of Nabī Mūsā المعلية Slapping the Eye of the Angel of Death

On page 76, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn quotes the ḥadīth under the title, "Mūsā متواقعة slaps the eye of the Angel of Death":

أخرج الشيخان في صحيحيهما بالاسناد إلى أَبِي هُرَيْرَةَ قَالَ: جاء مَلَكُ الْمَوْتِ إِلَى مُوسَى(ع) فقال له: أجب ربك . قال: فلطم موسى عين ملك الموت ففقاها ، قال: فرجع الملك إلى الله تعالى فقال: إنك أَرْسَلْتَنِي إِلَى عَبْدٍ لا يُرِيدُ الْمَوْتَ ففقاً عيني قَالَ فَرَدَّ اللَّهُ إِلَيْهِ عَيْنَهُ وَقَالَ ارْجعُ إِلَيْهِ فَقُلْ لَهُ الحياة تريد فإن كنت تريد الحياة فَضَعُ يَدَك على مَتْنِ ثُوَّرٍ هما توارت بيدكَ من شُعْرَةٍ فإنك تعيش بها سَنَة الحديث

Al-Bukhārī and Muslim have narrated in their ṣaḥīḥ's with asānīd reaching up to Abū Hurayrah who said: "The Angel of Death appeared before Nabī Mūsā المنابق and

¹ Tafsīr al-Qummī 2/31-32,34

said to him: 'Answer the call of your Rabb.' Thereupon Nabī Mūsā Mūsā slapped the eye of the Angel of Death causing it to fall out. The angel returned to Allah and complained, 'Thou sent me to a slave who does not desire death, so he gouged my eye.' Thus, Allah restored his eye and said to him, 'Go back to him and ask him, 'is it your desire to live? If you wish to live, then place your hand on the back of a bull. You will be granted one year for every strand of hair that your hand covers.''¹

As usual, he then starts his attempt to create doubts regarding the hadīth. We will present a summary of his comments. He says:

وأنت ترى ما فيه مما لا يجوز على الله تعالى ولا على أنبيائه ولا على ملائكته ، أيليق بالحق تبارك وتعالى أن يصطفي من عباده من يبطش عند الغضب بطش الجبارين ؟؟.... ويكره الموت كراهة الجاهلين ...

You have seen in it that which is not ascribable to Allah, His ambiyā' and his angels. Is it conceivable that Allah will select (as a Nabī) from his slaves one who slaps in the same manner as the oppressors, during moments of anger... and he dislikes death like the ignorant ones...?

Our comment: the scholars have explained this hadīth centuries ago. Hence, this brilliant author did not raise any new question. Ibn Hajar said:

أن الله لم يبعث ملك الموت لموسى وهو يريد قبض روحه حينتذ ،وإنما بعثه إليه اختيارا وإنما لطم موسى ملك الموت لأنه رأى آدمياً دخل داره بغير إذنه ولم يعلم أنه ملك الموت ،وقد جاءت الملائكة إلى ابراهيم وإلى لوط في صورة آدميين فلم يعرفاهم ابتداء ، ولو عرفهم ابراهيم لما قدم لهم المأكول ولوعرفهم لوط لما خاف عليهم من قومه

When Allah sent the Angel of Death to Nabī Mūsā ﷺ, it was not with the purpose of removing his soul. The reason why Nabī Mūsā ﷺ slapped the angel of death is that he was under the impression that it was an ordinary person who entered his home without his permission. He was not aware that it was the Angel of Death... The angels visited Nabī Ibrāhīm ﷺ and Nabī Lūt was in the form of humans. They also did not recognise them at

¹ Al-Bukhārī in al-Janā'iz and the aḥādīth of the ambiyā', Muslim in al-Faḍā'īl

first. If Ibrāhīm the recognised them, he would not have offered them meals, and if Lūț prove recognised them, he would not have feared for their safety from his people.¹

Some of the scholars have stated that it is established from the Qur'ān and sunnah that the angels at times adopt the form of humans. They were seen in this form by some of the ambiyā', who mistook them to be humans. This is clearly seen in the incidents of their interaction with Nabī Ibrāhīm متالية and Nabī Lūt محكاتية. Refer to Sūrah Hūd, verses 69-80. Allah also states regarding Maryam

فَأَرْسَلْنَا إِلَيْهَا رُوحَنَا فَتَمَثَّلَ لَهَا بَشَرًا سَوِيًّا قَالَتْ إِنِّي أَعُوذُ بِالرَّحْمَـنِ مِنكَ إِن كُنتَ تَـقِيًّا

When We sent our angel to her, who assumed the appearance of a perfect man before her, she said: "'I seek al-Raḥmān's protection from you if you fear."²

There are many aḥādīth which corroborate with the above. The most famous of them is the ḥadīth regarding the questions of īmān, Islam and Iḥsān. If anyone denies or doubts this, then our speech is not directed towards him. As for the one who accepts it, he will understand that there is no reason not to believe that the Angel of Death assumed the form of a human and came to Nabī Mūsā مَكَوَاتَكُ in this form due to which Nabī Mūsā مَكَوَاتَكُ did not recognise him.³

We will also present to you a few narrations from the Ahl al-Bayt which prove that the Angel of Death, specifically, and the angels in general would appear before the ambiyā' in the form of humans and not in their original forms. This is because humans, even though they were ambiyā', they could not cope with the sight of the angels in their true form. *Al-La'ālī* (1/91 – chapter the behaviour of Nabī Mūsā 344) reports from al-Ṣādiq:

¹ Fatḥ al-Bārī 6/510

² Sūrah Maryam: 17

³ Al-Anwār al-Kāshifah pg. 214

إن ملك الموت أتى موسى بن عمران ، فسلّم عليه، فقال: من أنت ؟ قال: أنا ملك الموت، قال: ما حاجتك ؟ قال له: جئت أقبض روحك من لسانك، قال كيف وقد تكلمت به ربي ؟ قال فمن يدك فقال له موسى: كيف وقد حملت بهما التورية ؟ فقال: من رجليك، فقال له وكيف وقد وطأت بهما طور سيناء ! قال: وعدّ أشياء غير هذا ، قال: فقال له ملك الموت : فإني أمرت أن أتر كك حتى تكون أنت الذي تريد ذلك، فمكث موسى ما شاء الله، ثم مرّ برجل وهو يحفر قبراً فقال له موسى: ألا أعينك على حفر هذا القبر؟ فقال له الرجل: بلى. قال: فأعانه حتى حفر القبر ولحد اللحد وأراد الرجل أن يضطجع في اللحد لينظر كيف هو؟ فقال موسى عليه السلام: أنا اضطجع فيه، فاضطجع موسيفرأى مكانه من الجنة، فقال: يا رب اقبضني إليك فقبض ملك الموت روحه ودفته في القبر واستوى عليه التراب قال: وكان الذي يحفر القبر ملك بصورة آدمي ، فلذلك لا يعرف قبر موسى .

The Angel of Death came to Nabī Mūsā ibn 'Imrān مالية and greeted him. Nabī Mūsā محمد asked: "Who are you?" He replied: "I am the Angel of Death." Nabī Mūsā محمد asked: "How can I help you?" He replied: "I have come to remove your soul from your tongue." Nabī Mūsā محمد asked: "How can you do that when I have used it to speak to my Rabb?" He replied: "Okay, so from your hand." "How can you do that when I used them to hold the Torah?" asked Nabī Mūsā محمد. "From your legs?" asked the angel. He replied: "How can you do that when I used them to climb the Mount Sinai?" Thereafter, he listed a few more limbs, after which he said: "I have been commanded to leave you until you desire it." Nabī Mūsā محمد then continued for the duration that Allah desired.

Later, he passed by a man who was digging a grave so he asked him: "Should I not help you to dig this grave?" The man replied: "Yes please!" He then helped him until the grave was dug with an insertion. The man then wished to lay in the insertion to test it out, so Nabī Mūsā said said to him: "I will lay in it." He then laid down in it and seen his place in Jannah. Thereupon he said: "O my Rabb, take me to you." Thus, the Angel of Death removed his soul, buried him in that grave and straightened the sand above it. The one who was digging the grave was an angel in the form of a human. It is for this reason that the location of the grave of Nabī Mūsā said.

Al-La'ālī (1/96, the behaviour of Ibrāhīm عَتِيوالسَامَة) states:

وقد روى أنه سئل الله أن لا يميته إلاَّ إذا سأل فلما استكمل أيامه التي قدرت له خرج فرأى ملكاً على

صورة شيخ فان كبير قد أعجزه الضعف، وظهر عليه الخوف لعابه يجري على لحيته، وطعامه وشرابه يجران من سبيله على غير اختياره ، فقال له يا شيخ كم عمرك؟ فأخبره بعمر يزيد على عمر ابراهيم بسنة فاسترجع فقال: أنا أصير بعد سنة إلى هذا الحال، فسئل الموت

It is reported that he requested from Allah that he should not grant him death until he asks for it. When his pre-destined lifespan drew close to its end, he went out and seen an angel in the form on old frail man who was overpowered by weakness and fear was written all over him. His saliva was drooling down his beard and his food and drink were emerging from their sources without him having ability to control them.

Nabī Mūsā ﷺ asked him: "O old man, what is your age?" He informed Mūsā of his age, which was a year more than that of Ibrāhīm. He reacted by saying: "To Allah we belong and to Him is our return." Then he said: "This will be my condition after a year!", and he asked for death.

It is reported from al-Riḍā who narrates from his father:

إن سليمان بن داود (ع) قال ذات يوم لأصحابه: إن الله تعالى وهب ملكاً لا ينبغي لأحد من بعدي سخر لي الريح والانس والجن والطير والوحوش وعلمني منطق الطير، .. إذا نظر إلى الشاب حسن والوجه واللباس قدخرج عليه من بعض زوايا قصره ، فلما بصر به سليمان قال له : من أدخل إلى هذا القصر ؟

وقد أردت أن أخلو فيه اليوم فباذن من دخلت ؟ قال الشاب أدخلني هذا القصر ربه وباذنه دخلت فقال: ربه أحق به مني فمن أنت ؟ قال: أنا ملك الموت قال: وفيما جئت ؟ قال: جئت لأقبض روحك قال: امض لما أمرت به فهذا يوم سروري"

One day Nabī Sulaymān ibn Dāwūd parks said to his companions: "Allah granted me a kingdom that is beyond the reach of anyone after me. He subjugated for me the wind, humans, jinn, birds, wild animals and he taught me the language of birds... Suddenly he saw a young handsome man, who emerged from one of the corners of his palace. When Nabī Sulaymān parks saw him, he asked: "Who granted you entry into this palace where I am, when I chose to be in solitude. Who gave you permission to enter?" The youngster replied: "The Rabb of this palace granted me entry and I entered

with his permission." Nabī Sulaymān المحلية replied: "The Rabb of the palace has a greater right over it than me. Who are you?" He replied: "I am the Angel of Death." Nabī Sulaymān المحلية asked: "What is the purpose of your coming?" He replied: "I came to remove your soul." Nabī Sulaymān المحلية replied: "Do as you have been commanded. This is a day of happiness for me."

It is reported from al-Ṣādiq that the weight of the ring which was given in charity by Amīr al-Mu'minīn was four mithqāls², and the stone thereof was five mithqāls. It was a ruby, the value of which was, according to the kharāj³ of Shām, six hundred ḥiml⁴ of silver or four ḥiml of gold. It belonged to Ṭawq ibn Ḥabrān. Amīr al-Mu'minīn killed him and took the ring from his finger. He then brought it to Nabī المعادية as part of the booty. Nabī المعادية gave it to him. He then wore it on his finger.

Al-La'ālī also reports (3/26):

وروى في بعض الأخبار أن ذلك السائل كان ملكا أرسله الله في صورة رجل سائل إلى مسجد النبي

Some of the narrations state that the beggar was an angel in the form of a human. Allah sent him in the form of a human to beg at al-Masjid al-Nabawī.

وعن ابن شهاب أن رسول الله سئل جبرئيل أن يترأى له في صورته، فقال جبريل إنك لم تطق ذلك ، قال: إني أحب أن تفعل ، فخرج رسول الله المصلّى في ليلة مقمرة فأتاه جبرئيل في صورته ، فغشى على رسول الله حين رآه ثم أفاق وجبرائيل سنده واضع احدى يديه على صدره والأخرى بين كتفيه فقال رسول الله كنت أرى شيئاً ممن خلق الله هكذا فقال جبرئيل: لو رأيت اسرافيل الحديث وقال بعض ما رآه أحد من الأنبياء في صورته غير محمد مرة في السماء ومرة في الأرض

2 A unit of measurement equal to approximately 4.6 grams.

¹ *Al-La'ālī* 1/105, the chapter of the behaviour of Sulaymān, *al-La'ālī*, 5/11- the description of the Angel of Death when he takes the soul of a disbeliever and a sinner, *al-La'ālī* 4/227- the form of the Angel of Death and the signs of death approaching, 5/11, *al-La'ālī* 1/94-95 - the behaviour of Idrīs β

³ Kharaj: Tax on produce.

⁴ A unit of measurement equivalent to approximately 191 grams

Ibn Shihāb narrates that Rasūlullāh معتلك asked Jibrīl to show him his true form. Jibrīl replied: "You will not be able to bear that." Rasūl sesponded: "I really desire that you do it." After a while, Rasūlullāh went out on a moon-lit night and Jibrīl معتلك appeared before him in his true form. When Rasūlullāh معتلك saw him, he fainted. When he regained consciousness Jibrīl معتلك helped him up, placing one hand on his chest and the other between his shoulders. Rasūlullāh ireplied: "I have never imagined that Allah created a creation like this." Jibrīl replied: "If you had seen Isrāfīl..."

Some have said that none of the ambiyā' has seen him besides Muḥammad , once on the earth and once in the sky.¹

He reports with his isnād from Zayd al-Shaḥḥām who narrates from Abū ʿAbd Allāh:

إن ابراهيم كان أبا أضياف فكان إذا لم يكونوا عنده خرج يطلبهم وأغلق بابه وأخذ المفاتيح يطلب الأضياف وإنه رجع إلى داره فإذا هو برجل أو شبه رجل في الدار فقال: يا عبدالله بإذن من دخلت هذه الدار؟ قال: دخلتها بإذن ربها – يردد ذلك ثلاث مرات – فعرف ابراهيم إنه جبريل فحمد الله ثم قال: أرسلني ربك .. الحديث

Nabī Ibrāhīm ﷺ was one who very often took care of guests. If they did not come to him, he would go out and look for them. Once he locked his house and took his keys with him in search of some guests. When he returned home, there was a person, or one who resembled a person sitting in the house. He asked: "With whose permission did you enter this house?" He replied: "I entered it with the permission of its Rabb." He repeated himself thrice due to which Nabī Ibrāhīm ﷺ realised that he was Jibrīl. He praised Allah and then said: "Your Rabb has sent me…"

Another narration of this nature has been reported by the Muhsin al-Kāshānī in his book al-Mahājjah (7/305):

¹ Al-La'ālī 5/302, Maḥajjat al-Bayḍā' 8/146

ورآى رسول الله صورة جبريل بالأبطح فصعق

Rasūlullāh مَالتَّعَتِيسَة seen Jibrīl at Abṭaḥ and as a result he fainted.

In the book Nafas al-Raḥmān by al-Nūrī (454):

One of the angels adopted the appearance of Thaʿbān.

The Ḥadīth of Nabī Mūsā منابعة Slapping the Angel of Death in the Books of the Shīʿah

This ḥadīth was narrated by their great scholars in their books. Niʿmat Allāh al-Jazā'irī narrated it in his book and Muḥammad Nabī al-Tuwaysīrkānī narrated it in his book. The exact words are as follows:

وقد كان موسى أشدَّ الأنبياء كراهة للموت ، قد روى إنه لم جاء ملك الموت، ليقبض روحه، فلطمه فأعور، فقال يارب إنك أرسلتني إلى عبد لا يحب الموت، فأوحى الله إليه أن ضع يدك على متن ثور ولك بكل شعرة دارتها يدك سنة ، فقال: ثم ماذا ؟ فقال الموت، فقال الموتة ، فقال أنته إلى أمر ربك

With regards to the behaviour of Nabī Mūsā عَيْوَاتَكُمْ in the worldly abode and his disinclination from it, and the story of when he slapped the Angel of Death when he tried to remove his soul and the planning of the Angel of Death regarding removing his soul.

Nabī Mūsā ﷺ was the one who hated death the most from all the ambiyā'. It has been narrated that when the Angel of Death approached to remove his soul, he slapped him and left him one eyed. The angel then said: "O my Rabb, you have sent me to a slave who does not like death." Thereupon Allah revealed to him: "Place your hand on the back of a bull, you will be granted a year of life for every strand of hair that your hand covers." He asked: "What will happen after that?" Allah replied: "Death." Thus, he told the angel: "Carry out the command of your Rabb."¹

Their great Muḥaddith, Muḥsin al-Kāshānī, quotes from the writings of ʿAlī ibn ʿĪsā al-Arbīlī:

أن الطباع البشرية مجبولة على كراهة الموت مطبوعة عن النفور منه، محبة للحياة ومائلة إليها حتّى أن الأنبياء عليهم السلام على شرف مقاديرهم وعظم أخطارهم ومكانتهم من الله ومنازلهم من محال قدسه وعلمهم بما تؤول إليه أحوالهم وتنتهي إليه أمورهم أحبّوا الحياة وما لوا إليها وكرهوا الموت ونفروا منه ،وقصة آدم مع طول عمره وامداد أيام حياته مع داود مشهورة ، وكذلك حكاية موسى مع ملك الموت!! وكذلك ابراهيم

The temperament of humans is that they are naturally disinclined from death. It is totally normal for them to dislike it. They love to live and are more inclined towards life. This is to the extent that the ambiyā', despite their honourable positions, lofty status's and standings in the Court of Allah, their stations in His blessed domain, their knowledge of their eternal abodes and their success in all matters preferred life and were more inclined towards it. They disliked death and were disinclined from it. The story of Ādam, notwithstanding his lengthy lifespan, with Dāwūd is quite famous. The story of Mūsā the Angel of Death, and similarly Ibrāhīm.²

What is your position, O imposter, in comparison to all these scholars? In fact, a famous and lengthy narration is reported by al-Majlisī in his *Biḥār* from Muḥammad ibn Sinān from Mufaḍḍal ibn 'Umar from Ja'far al-Ṣādiq. The exact words of al-Majlisī in his commentary is:

2 Al-Maḥajjat al-Bayḍā' 4/209

¹ *La'ālī al-Akhbār* 1/91 - the chapter of the behaviour of Mūsā, al-Anwār al-Nu'māniyyah 4/205 - the illumination of the appointed time and death

I say: This is probably referring to that which a group of historians have narrated, that one of the angels slapped Nebuchadnezzar and turned him into a wild animal in the form of a lion. He was left in this condition, and he would understand all that which humans would do. Thereafter, Allah transformed him back into a human.¹

Jibrīl Slaps the Burāq

Before I conclude this discussion, I wish to raise a question. A person could ask, 'We have understood the story of him slapping the Angel of Death, when he tried to remove his soul, and the planning that the angel had to do in order to remove his soul. We also understand that he hated death the most from all the ambiyā', but we cannot understand the wisdom behind hitting the Burāq?' We present to you their narrations in this regard:

Hishām ibn Sālim narrates from Abū ʿAbd Allāh who says:

جاء جيريل وميكائيل واسرافيل بالبراق إلى رسول الله فأخذ واحد بالجام وواحد بالركاب وسوي الآخر عليه ثيابه فتضعضعت البراق فلطمها قال لها اسكني يا براق فما ركبك نبي قبله ولا يركبك بعده مثله قال فرقت به ورفعته ارتفاعاً ليس الكثير ومعه جبريل يريه الآيات .

Jibrīl, Mīkā'īl and Isrāfīl brought the Burāq to Rasūlullāh Jibrīl, Mīkā'īl and Isrāfīl brought the Burāq to Rasūlullāh Jibrīl. One took hold of the bridle, one took hold of the saddle and the third straightened his clothes upon it. The Burāq began shivering, so he slapped it saying, "Stand still, O Burāq, as a Nabī has never ridden you before and you will not be ridden by anyone as great as him again." Thereupon, it became submissive to him and raised him slightly. Jibrīl was with him, pointing out to him the signs.²

ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Ghanam narrates:

¹ *Al-Biḥār* 3/145- Kitāb al-Towḥīd - the chapter of the famous narration of the towḥīd of Mufaḍḍal ibn 'Umar

² Al-Burhān 2/390-400, al-Biḥār 18/319

جاء جبريل الى رسول الله بدابة دون البغل وفوق الحمار رجلاها أطول من يديها خطوها مد البصر فلما أراد أن يركب أمتنعت ، فقال جبريل انه محمد فتواضعت حتى لصقت بالارض قال فركب ..

Jibrīl brought an animal that was smaller than a mule and larger than a donkey. Its legs were longer than its hands. Its steps were as far as the eyes could see. When he wished to mount it, it rebelled. Hence Jibrīl said: "It is Muḥammad." Thereupon, it humbled itself to the extent that it stuck its body to the ground. Thereafter he and a mount dit.¹

Furthermore, I do not know how many times, according to them, Nabī تَسْتَعْبَوْنَدُ fell of the Burāq. We ask Allah to protect our intelligence and keep us away from being irrational and ignorant. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn seems satisfied with the narrations of the A'immah of the Ahl al-Bayt, even though he finds problems with the narrations of Abū Hurayrah

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth of the Stone Fleeing with the Clothes of Mūsā منهاتنة

On page 79, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn quotes the ḥadīth of "the stone fleeing with the clothes of Nabī Mūsā whilst his enemy was behind him and Banū Isrā'īl saw him naked". Al-Bukhārī and Muslim report in their Ṣaḥīḥayn on the authority of Abū Hurayrah

كَانَو بَنُو إِسْرَائِيلَ يَغْتَسِلُونَ عُرَاةً يَنْظُرُ بَعْضُهُمْ إِلَى سوأة بَعْض وَكَانَ مُوسَى(ع) يَغْتَسِلُ وَحْدَه، فَقَالُوا: وَاللَّه مَا يَمْتَعُ مُوسَى أَنْ يَغْتَسِلُونَ عُرَاةً يَنْظُرُ بَعْضُهُمْ إِلَى سوأة بَعْض وَكَانَ مُوسَى(ع) يَغْتَسلُ وَحْدَه، فَقَالُوا: وَاللَّه الْحَجَرُ بِتَوْبِهِ! فَجَمعَ مُوسَى فِي إِثْرِهِ يَقُولُ: تَوْبِي حَجَرً! تَوْبِي حَجَرً! حَتَّى نَظَرَ بَنُو إِسْرَائِيلَ إِلَى سوأة مُوسَى فَقَالُوا: وَاللَّهُ مَا بِمُوسَى مِنْ بَأْسَ فقام الحجر بعد حتى نَظر إليه فأحذ مُوسَى فَوَضَع ثَوْبَهُ عِالَحجرِ ضرباً؟ فوالله إِنَّ بِالْحَجَرِنَدَبَا سِتَّةٌ أَوْ سَبُعَة

The Banū Isrā'īl would bath completely naked (in front of one another), looking at the private parts of one another. However, Nabī Mūsā and would bath in privacy. Thus they said: "By the oath of Allah, the only reason why

¹ Al-Burhān 2/397, 2/403

Mūsā does not bath with us is because he has a scrotal hernia." Thereafter, on one occasion, he left his clothes on a rock and went for a bath. The rock began to flee with his clothes. Nabī Mūsā and the tried his utmost best to catch up with it shouting, "My clothes, O rock! My clothes, O rock!" In the meantime, Banū Isrā'īl got to see the private part of Mūsā due to which they said, "By the oath of Allah, Mūsā is perfectly fine!" The stone stopped after he had been seen, he took hold of his clothes and struck the stone. Abū Hurayrah said: "By Allah, there are still six or seven marks on the stone."¹

The author then casts his doubts saying:

وأنت ترى ما في الحديث من المحال الممتنع عقلاً فإنه لا يجوز تشهير كليم الله(ع) بابداء سوأته على رؤوس الأشهادمن قومه لأن ذلك ينقصه ويسقط من مقامه، ولا سيما إذا رأوه يتشد عارياً ينادي الحجر وهو لا يسمع ولا يبصر: ثوبي حجر.. ثم يقف عليه وهو عار أمام الناس فيضربه والناس تنظر إليه مكشوف العورة كالمجنون! على أن القول بأن بني اسرائيل كانوا يظنون أن موسى أدرة لم ينقل إلا عن أبي هريرة ...

You can see all the illogical aspects in this hadīth. It is not permissible to publicise an incident of Kalīm Allāh and revealing his private part in front of all and sundry from his nation. It is defamatory and it brings to question his status, especially if they see him running naked, shouting: "My clothes O rock! My clothes O rock!" at a stone which neither sees nor hears. Thereafter, he stands beside it, still being naked in front of everyone, and he beats it up whilst everyone watches him exposing his private part as if he is mad! Further, Abū Hurayrah is the only one who narrates that the Banū Isrā'īl were under the impression that he was affected with scrotal hernia.

Our comment: either Allah deprived this author of wisdom or he is intentionally lying and deceiving the readers. This ḥadīth, which he uses to discredit Abū Hurayrah, has been narrated by his sixth Imām and waṣī. The scholars of tafsīr among the Shī ah have narrated it from him. *Tafsīr al-Qummī* reports from Abū Baṣīr who narrates from Imām Jaʿfar المنافي:

¹ Al-Bukhārī in al-Ghusl, Muslim in al-Faḍā'il, Ḥayḍ and Ṣalāh

أن بني اسرئيل كانوا يقولون ليس لموسى ما للرجال وكان موسى إذا أراد الإغتسال ذهب إلى موضع لايراه فيه أحد من الناس فكان يوماً يغتسل على شط نهر وقد وضع ثيابه على صخرة فأمرالله الصخرة فتباعدت عنه حتى نظر بنو اسرائيل إليه فعلموا أنه ليس كما قالوا أنزل الله { يَـأَيُّهَا الَّذِينَ ءَامَنُواْ لاَ تَكُونُواْ كَالَّذِينَ ءَاذَوْا مُوسَى فَبَرَآَهُ اللهُ مِمَّا قَالُواْ وَكَانَ عِندَاللهِ وَجِيهًا }

Banū Isrā'īl would say that Nabī Mūsā ﷺ does not have that which men have. Whenever Nabī Mūsā ﷺ intended to bath, he would go to a place where no one could see him. One day, he placed his clothes on a rock and went for his bath at the bank of river. Allah commanded the rock, which began to move away from him until the Banū Isrā'īl saw him and realised that he was not as they thought. They have stated that Allah revealed (in this regard): "O you who have īmān, do not be like those who hurt Nabī Mūsā, after which Allah absolved him of what they said. He was extremely honourable in the sight of Allah."¹²

Their great Mufassir al-Ṭabarsī narrates this ḥadīth — which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn rejects — in his book *Majma*' *al-Bayān* from Abū Hurayrah . The narration is as follows:

أن موسى كان حيياً ستيراً يغتسل وحده فقال ما يتستر منّا إلا لعيب بجلده أما برص وأما أدرة فذهب مرة يغتسل فوضع ثوبه على حجر فمر الحجر بثوبه فطلبه موسى فرآه بنو اسرئيل عرياناً كأحسن الرجال خلقا فبرأه الله مما قالوا

Nabī Mūsā ﷺ was a person who had a lot of shame and he always kept himself covered, so they accused him saying: "He only covers himself from us due to a defect in his skin, leprosy or scrotal hernia." Thereafter, he went on one occasion to bath, leaving his clothes on a rock. The rock ran away with his clothes. Nabī Mūsā ﷺ began chasing after it. As a result, Banū Isrā'īl seen him naked, with a perfect body of a man. In this way, Allah exonerated him from their accusations.³

¹ Sūrah al-Aḥzāb: 69

² Al-Qummī 2/179, al-Ṣāfī 4/205-206, Kanz al-Daqā'iq 8230-231, Bayān al-Ṣaʿādah 3/257, al-Jowhar al-Thamīn 5/165, Nūr al-Thaqalayn 4/308, Qiṣaṣ al-Ambiyā' pg. 249-250, al-Burhān 3/329, al-Mīzān 16/353, al-Kāshif 6/243, Jawāmiʿ al-Jāmiʿ 2/339, Manhaj al-Siddīqīn by Fatḥ Allāh al-Kashānī 4/321,

³ Tafsīr Majmaʿ al-Bayān by al-Ṭabarsī 8/372

The leader of their scholars, Niʿmat Allāh al-Jazā'irī says in his Qiṣāṣ (page 250):

قال جماعة من أهل الحديث لا استبعاد فيه بعد ورود الخبر الصحيح وإن رؤيتهم له على ذلك الوضع لم يتعمده موسى ولم يعلم إن أحد ينظر إليه أم لا وأن مشيه عرياناً لتحصيل ثيابه مضافاً إلى تبعيده عما نسبوه إليه ، ليس من المنفرات

A group of scholars of hadīth have stated that there is nothing far-fetched about this hadīth as it is established through an authentic narration. Even though they saw him in this condition, (he was not blameworthy) as he did not wish for it to happen and he had no clue as to whether people were watching him or not. His walking nakedly was to retrieve his clothes which also served the purpose of distancing him from their allegations against him. It was not a despicable act.

What is the view of the trustworthy 'Abd al-Ḥusayn? Will he be happy to label his A'immah of the Ahl al-Bayt who narrated this ḥadīth in the same way that he labelled Abū Hurayrah?

'Abd al-Ḥusayn Finds Fault with the Ḥadīth, 'Intercession will be Sought from the Ambiyā' on the Day of Qiyāmah'

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn quotes the ḥadīth concerning people seeking refuge with Ādam, Nūḥ, Ibrāhīm, Mūsā, and finally ʿĪsā, hoping that they will intercede for them, but they will be too embroiled in their own affairs. *Al-Bukhārī* and *Muslim* have reported a lengthy ḥadīth on the authority of Abū Hurayrah نَعْنَى which is attributed to Nabī مَرَالَسَتَكِمَوَتَكَمَ. The following passage forms part of the narration:

يَجْمَعُ اللَّهُ النَّاسَ الأَوَّلِينَ منهم وَالآخرينَ يوم القيامة في صَعِيد وَاحد يُسْعُهُمُ الدَّاعِي، وَيَنْفُذُهُمُ الْبَصَرُ وَتَدْنُو الشَّمْسُ فَيَبْلُغُ النَّاسَ مِنَ الْغَمَّ وَالْكَرْبِ مَا لا يُطِيقُونَ وَلاَ يَحْتَمُلُونَ فَيَقُولُ النَّاس : أَلا تَرَوْنَ مَا قَدْ بَلَغَكُمْ أَلا تَنْظُرُونَ مَنْ يَشْفَعُ لَكُمْ إلَى رَبَّكُمُ ؟ فَيَقُولُ بَعْضُ النَّاس لَبُعْض عَلَيْكُمْ بآدَمَ فَيَأْتُونَ آدَمَ (ع) فَيَقُولُونَ لَهُ: أَنْتَ أَبُو الْبَشَرِ حَلَقَكَ اللَّهُ بَيَدِهِ وَنَفَحَ فِيكَ مِنْ رُوحِه وَأَمَرَ الْمَلْؤِينَ آمَهُ النَّاس لَبُعْض عَلَيْكُمْ بآدَمَ فَيَأْتُونَ آدَمَ (ع) فَيَقُولُونَ لَهُ: أَنْتَ أَبُو الْبَشَرِ حَلَقَكَ اللَّهُ بَيَدِهِ وَنَفَحَ فِيكَ مِنْ رُوحِه وَأَمَرَ الْمَلْؤِيكَة فَسَجَدُوا لَكَ اشْفَعْ لَنَا رَبِّكَ أَلا تَرَى إلَى مَا نَحْنُ فِيهِ ؟ الا تَرَى إِلَى مَا قَدْ بَلَغْنَا فَيَقُولُ انَّهُ أَنَ رَبِّي قَدْ قَبْلَهُ وَلَنْ يَغْضَبَ بَعْدَهُ مَنْكُ أَوْ الْنَعْنِ عَفْضَ لَكَالَهُ بِيدِهِ ؟ اللَّاسَ عَنْ عَنْ لَكَ أَنْ الْهُ جَرَة فَعَصَيْتُهُ وَلَنْ يَغْضَبَ بَعْدَهُ مِنْقًا أَوَ أَنَّهُ قَذَى الَهُ عَذَى اللَّ عَيْدِ اللَّهُ مُعُمَ اللَي عُمْ وَيَعْنُ لَهُمُ الْبَصَرُ وَتَعْذُو اللَّسُ فَيْتَبْعُونُ الْنَعْمَ الْنَعْمَ وَاللَّكَرُومَ فَيَعْ لَيْعُونُ لَكُ أَنْتَمَ الْعَنْ عَفْتَ ال وَبَعَا فَيْقُولُونَ لَهُ وَلَنْ يَعْضَبَ بَعْدَهُ مُنُكُ أَنْ وَإِنَّهُ قَذَا لَنْ يَرَبُ فَعَ الْتَقَعَلُ وَتَنُ الْتَسَ الْعَلَى عَيْر

شَكُو رًا اشْفَعْ لَنَا إِلَى رَبِّكَ أَلَا تَرَى إِلَى مَا نَحْنُ فِيهِ فَبَقُو لُ إِنَّ رَبِّيقَدْ غَضِبَ الْبَوْ مَ غَضَيًا لَمْ يَغْضَبْ قَبْلَهُ مِثْلَهُ وَلَنْ يَغْضَبَ بَعْدَهُ مِثْلُهُ وَإِنَّهُ قَدْ كَانَتْ لِي دَعْوَةٌ دَعَوْتُهَا عَلَى قَوْمِي نَفْسي نَفْسي نَفْسي! اذْهَبُوا إِلَى غَيْرِي اذْهَبُوا إِلَى نوح (ع) قال: فيأتون نوحاً (ع) فيقولون يا نوح إنك أول الرسل إلى أهل الأرض وقد سمّاك الله عبداً شكوراً اشفع لنا إلى ربك ألا ترى إلى ما نحن فيه؟ فيقول: إن ربي قد غضب اليوم غضباً لم يغضب قبله مثله ولن يغضب بعده مثله! وأنه قد كانت لي دعوة دعوتها على قومي ! نفسي نفسي نفسي! إذهبوا إلى غيري إذهبوا إلى قال: فيأتون إِبْرَاهِيمَ (ع) فَيَأْتُونَ إِبْرَاهِيمَ فَيَقُولُونَ يَا إِبْرَاهِيمُ أَنْتَ نَبِيُّ اللَّه وَخَلِيلُهُ منْ أَهْلِ الْأَرْضِ اشْفَعْ لَنَا إلَى رَبِّكَ أَلا تَرَى إلَى مَا نَحْنُ فِيهِ فَيَقُولُ لَهُمْ إِنَّ رَبِّي قَدْ غَضبَ الْيَوْمَ غَضَبًا لَمْ يَغْضَبْ فَبْلَهُ مِثْلَهُ وَلَنْ يَغْضَبَ بَعْدَهُ مِثْلَهُ وَإِنِّي قَدْ كُنْتُ كَذَبْتُ ثَلَاثَ كَذَبَات نَفْسي نَفْسي نَفْسي إِ اذْهَبُوا إِلَى غَبْرِي اذْهَبُوا إِلَى مُوسَى(ع) فَبَأْتُونَ مُوسَى فَبَقُولُونَ يَا مُوسَى أَنْتَ رَسُولُ اللَّه فَضَّلَكَ اللَّهُ برسَالَته وَبِكَلَامه عَلَى النَّاسِ أَشْفَعْ لَنَا إِلَى رَبِّكَ أَلا تَرَى إِلَى مَا نَحْنُ فِيهِ؟ فَيَقُو لُ إِنَّ رَبِّي قَدْ غَضبَ الْيَوْمَ غَضَبًّا لَمْ يَغْضَبْ قَبْلُهُ مِثْلَهُ وَلَنْ يَغْضَبَ بَعْدَهُ مِثْلَهُ وَإِنِّي قَدْ فَتَلْتُ نَفْسًا لَمْ أُومَرْ بِقَتْلِهَا نَفْسِي نَفْسِي نَفْسي اذْهَبُوا إِلَى غَيْرِي اذْهَبُوا إِلَى عِيسَى إِبْنِ مَرْيَمَ فَيَأْتُونَ عِيسَى فَيَقُولُونَ يَا عِيسَى أَنْتَ رَسُولُ اللَّه وَكَلَمَتُهُ أَلْقَاهَا إِلَى مَرْيَمَ وَرُوحٌ مِنْهُ وَكَلَّمْتَ النَّاسَ فِي الْمَهْدِ صَبِيًّا اشْفَعْ لَنَا إِلَى رَبِّكَ أَلَا تَرَى إِلَى مَا نَحْنُ فِيهِ فَيَقُولُ عِيسَى إِنَّ رَبِّي قَدْ غَضِبَ الْيَوْ مَ غَضَبًا لَمْ يَغْضَبْ قَبْلَهُ مَثْلُهُ قَطَّ وَلَنْ يَغْضَبَ بَعْدَهُ مِثْلَهُ وَلَمْ يَذْكُرْ ذَنْبًا نَفْسي نَفْسي نَفْسي! اذْهَبُوا إلَى مُحَمَّد، قال فَيَأْتُونَ مُحَمَّدًا فَيَقُولُونَ يَا مُحَمَّدُ أَنْتَ رَسُولُ اللَّه وَخَاتِمُ الأَنْبِيَاء وَقَدْ غَفَرَ اللَّهُ لَكَ مَا تَقَدَّمَ مِنْ ذَنْبِكَ وَمَا تَأَخَرَ اشْفَعْ لَنَا إِلَى رَبِّكَ أَلا تَرَى إِلَى مَا نَحْنُ فيه؟ قال أبو هريرة قال رسول الله(ص) فأنْطلقُ فآتي تَحْتَ الْعُرْشِ فَأَقَعُ سَاجِدًا لرَبِّي ثُمَّ يَفْتَحُ اللَّهُ عَلَيَّ منْ مَحَامده وَحُسْنِ الثَّناء عَلَيْه شَيْئًا لَمْ يَفْتَحْهُ عَلَى أَحد قَبْلي ثُمَّ يُقَالُ يَا مُحَمَّدُ ارْفَعُ رَأْسَكَ سَلْ تُعْطَهُ وَاشْفَعْ تَشَقَعْ فَأَرْفَعُ رَأْسي فَأَقُولُ أُمَّتي يَا رَبِّ أُمَّتِي يَا رَبِّ فَيُقَالُ يَا مُحَمَّدُ أَدْخِلْ مِنْ أَمَّتِكَ مَنْ لاحِسَابَ عَلَيْهِمْ مِنَ الْبَابِ الأَيْمَنِ مِنْ أَبُوَابِ الْجَنَّةِ وَهُمْ شُرَكَاءُ النَّاس فيمًا سِوَى ذَلِكَ مِنَ الأَبْوَابِ الحديث

Allah will gather the people, from the first of them to the last of them on the Day of Qiyāmah on one plain. He will grant them to the ability to hear the announcer and he will restore their sight. The sun will draw close to them. People will undergo unbearable sorrow and difficulty. Thus they will say: "Do you not see the condition that has overcome you? Will you not seek an intercessor before your Rabb?" Some of them will reply: "Go to Ādam!"

They will go to Ādam and say to him: "You are the father of mankind, Allah created you using his yad¹, blew into you from his rūh² and He ordered the angels, who bowed down before you! Intercede on behalf of us before

¹ Literally means a hand. Since Allah is beyond form and shape, the word will be established, but the meaning will be left to the knowledge of Allah.

² Literally: soul.

your Rabb! Can you not see the difficulty that we are experiencing? Can you not see the condition that has overcome us?" Ādam will reply: "Today, my Rabb has become angry, such anger that was neither witnessed before, nor will it ever be witnessed again. He prohibited me from the tree but I disobeyed Him. (I am worried about) Myself! (I am worried about) Myself! (I am worried about) Myself! Go to someone else, go to Nūḥ."

They will go to Nūḥ and say: "O Nūḥ, you were the first messenger to the people of the earth and Allah called you a grateful servant, intercede on behalf of us before your Rabb! Can you not see the difficulty that we are experiencing?" He will reply: "Today, my Rabb has become angry, such anger that was neither witnessed before, nor will it ever be witnessed again. I had one accepted supplication, which I used to curse my nation. (I am worried about) Myself! "

They will proceed to Ibrāhīm saying: "O Ibrāhīm, You are the Nabī of Allah and his close friend from those who inhabited the earth, intercede on behalf of us before your Rabb! Can you not see the difficulty that we are experiencing?" He will reply: "Today, my Rabb has become angry, such anger that was neither witnessed before, nor will it ever be witnessed again, and I am guilty of speaking three lies. (I am worried about) Myself! (I am worried about) Myself! (I am worried about) Myself! Go to someone else; go to Mūsā ﷺ."

They will then approach Mūsā saying: "O Mūsā, you are the messenger of Allah; he blessed you and preferred you over the people by appointing you as his messenger and speaking to you. Intercede on behalf of us before your Rabb! Can you not see the difficulty that we are experiencing?" He will reply: "Today, my Rabb has become angry, such anger that was neither witnessed before, nor will it ever be witnessed again. I took a life that I was not commanded to. (I am worried about) Myself! (I am worried about) Myself! (I am worried about) Myself! Go to someone else, go to ʿĪsā ibn Maryam." Thus they will go to $\bar{1}s\bar{a}$ ibn Maryam and plead to him: "You are the messenger of Allah, His word which He cast into Maryam and a soul from Him. You spoke to people whilst being a child in the cradle. Intercede on behalf of us before your Rabb! Can you not see the difficulty that we are experiencing?" He will reply: "Today, my Rabb has become angry, such anger that was neither witnessed before, nor will it ever be witnessed again." He will not recall any mistake, but he will say: "(I am worried about) Myself! (I am worried about) Myself! (I am worried about) Myself! (I am worried about) Myself! Go to Muhammad."

They will present themselves before Muḥammad and say to him: "You are the messenger of Allah and the seal of the ambiyā'. Allah has forgiven all of your past and future sins. Intercede on behalf of us before your Rabb! Can you not see the difficulty that we are experiencing?"

Abū Hurayrah narrates:

Rasūlullāh said: "Thereupon I will proceed and I will come to the area beneath the 'Arsh, where I will go down into prostration for my Rabb. He will inspire me to praise Him and glorify Him in such a manner that was not revealed to anyone before me. Thereafter it will be said, 'O Muḥammad! Raise your head! Ask, You will be granted (whatever you ask), intercede, your intercession will be accepted!' I will raise my head and then plead, 'My ummah, O my Rabb! My ummah, O my Rabb! My ummah, O my Rabb!' It will be said, 'O Muḥammad, enter into Jannah those members of your ummah who will not be held to account for anything from the right door of Jannah. The other doors will be shared between them and the rest of the people.'"¹

The exceptionally brilliant author then searches for some flaws in the hadīth. He says:

وفيه من التسور على مقام أولى العزم من أنبياء الله وأصفيائه ما تبرأ منه السنن وتتنزه عن خطله فإن للسنن المقدسة سنة نبينا في تعظيم الأنبياء غاية تملأ الصدور هيبة وإجلالا...- إلى أن قال- فحديث أبي هريرة هذا بهرائه وهذره أجنبي عن كلام رسول الله (ص) مباين لسننه كل المباينة . ومعاذ الله أن ينسب

¹ Al-Bukhārī in Tafsīr, Aḥādīth al-Ambiyā', al-Riqāq and al-Towḥīd, Muslim in al-Īmān

إلى أنبياء الله ما اشتمل عليه هذا الحديث الغث التفه وحاشا آدم من المعصية بارتكاب المحرم الذي يوجب غضب الله، وإنما كان منهياً عن الشجرة نهي تنزيه وإرشاد ، وتقدس نوح من الدعاء إلا على أعداء الله.. لنا أن نسأل أبا هريرة عن هؤلاء المساكين أمن أمة محمد هم ؟ أم من أمة غيره؟ فمن الطبيعي له أن لا يحبط مساعيهم، ولا يخيب آمالهم فكيف اختص أمته بالشفاعة دونهم؟ من ما فطر عليه من الرحمة الواسعة ومع ما آتاه الله يومئذ من الشفاعة والوسيلة معاذ الله أن يخيبهم وهو أمل الراغب الراجي وأمن الخائف اللاجي.... إلخ

Allah's protection is sought from attributing to the ambiyā' that which this vile and lowly narration contains. It is below the dignity of Ādam to commit such a sin and carry out a forbidden act that will necessitate the anger of Allah. The prohibition regarding the tree was merely advice and caution (not a strict command). Nūḥ was far too noble to curse anyone besides the enemies of Allah.

Added to that, we have another question for Abū Hurayrah, viz. who were these pitiable people? Were they from the ummah of Muḥammad المنتقدة or from other ummahs?

Naturally, he could not have left their struggles fruitless and their hopes unattended to. So, how is it possible that he only intercedes for his ummah, leaving them out? Allah's protection is sought from (the idea) that he should desert them despite his encompassing merciful nature as well as the intercession that he will be granted on that day. Especially since he is the saviour of the hopeful, and the fort of the frightened ones...

Our comment: this hadīth which is being criticised by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has been narrated by Anas ibn Mālik, Abū Sa'īd, Abū Bakr and Ibn 'Abbās ﷺ¹.

¹ Al-Bukhāri in Kitāb al-Riqāq and al-Towḥīd, Muslim in Kitāb al-Īmān

Furthermore, this hadīth, which he deceptively criticises and labels as "prattle, rubbish and lowly," has been narrated with these exact words by the A'immah of the Ahl al-Bayt. Below, we will present briefly some of the narrations.

Khuthaymah al-Juʿfī says:

كنت عند جعفر بن محمد (ع) أنا ومفضل ابن عمر ليلا ليس عنده أحد غيرنا ، فقال له مفضل الجعفي : جعلت فداك حدثنا حديثا نسر به ، قال نعم إذا كان يوم القيامة حشر الله الخلائق في صعيد واحد – إلى أن قال – فيقفون حتى يلجمهم العرق فيقولون : ليت الله يحكم بينا ولو إلى النار – إلى أن قال – ثم يأتون آدم فيقولون : أنت أبونا وأنت نبي فاسأل ربك يحكم بيننا ولو إلى النار، فيقول آدم : لست بصاحبكم . خلقني ربي بيده وحملني على عرشه ، اسجد لي ملائكته . ثم أمرني فعصيته ، ولكني أدلكم على ابني الصديق الذي مكث في قومه ألف سنة إلا خمسين عاماً يدعوهم ، كلما كذبوا اشتد تصديقه نوح قال فيأتون نوحاً فيقولون : سل ربك يحكم بيننا ولو إلى النار، قال : فيقول : لست بصاحبكم ، إني قلت : إن ابني من أهلي مولكني أدلكم على من اتخذه الله خليلا في دار الدنيا ، أيتوا ابراهيم ، قال : فيأتون نوحاً بصاحبكم ، إني قلت : إني سقيم ولكني أدلكم على من كلما لله تكليما موسى قال : فيقولون بصاحبكم ، إني قلت : إن سقيم ولكني أدلكم على من كلما الله تكليما موسى قال : فيقول : لست بصاحبكم ، إني قلت : إني سقيم ولكني أدلكم على من كلما الله تكليما موسى قال : فيقول : لست بصاحبكم ، إني قلت : إلى سقيم ولكني أدلكم على من كلما الله تكليما موسى قال : فيقولون له، فيقولون : الله عيسى فيأتون فيقول: لست بصاحبكم ، ولكني أدن الله ويبرئ الأكمة بصاحبكم ، إني قلت : إني سقيم ولكني أدلكم على من كلما الله تكليما موسى قال : فيأتون موسى فيقولون اله، فيقول : لست بصاحبكم إني قتلت نفسا ولكني أدلكم على من كان يخلق بأذن الله ويبرئ الأكمة والأبر ص بأذن الله عيسى فيأتون فيقول: لست بصاحبكم، ولكني أدلكم على من كان يخلق بأذن الله ويبرئ الأكمة أحمد ثم قال أبوعبدالله (ع): – إلى أن قال – فيأتونه، ثم قال: فيقولون يا محمد سل ربك يحكم بينا ولو أحمد ثم قال أبوعبدالله (ع): حال مان قال – فيأتونه، ثم قال: فيقولون يا محمد سل ربك يحكم بينا ولو المدار أنه النار، قال: فيقول : يعم أنا صاحبكم – إلى أن قال – فاذا نظرت إلى ربي مجدته تمجيداثم أخر

Mufaḍḍal ibn ʿUmar and I were alone with Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad one night. There was no one else with him. Mufaḍḍal al-Juʿfī said to him: "May I be sacrificed for you! Tell us a ḥadīth which will make us happy." He replied: "Okay, on the Day of Qiyāmah, Allah will gather all the creation on one plain... they will stand until they will be drowning in perspiration. Then they will say: "If only Allah decides regarding our matter, even if it is to the fire!"... then they will approach Ādam and say to him: "You are our father and you are a Nabī so ask your Rabb to decide regarding our matter, even if it is to the fire!" Ādam will reply: "I am not the one who will be able to help you out. My Rabb created me with his yad, placed me on His throne and made the angels bow down to me. Then He commanded me but I disobeyed Him. However, I will refer you to my son, the Ṣiddīq, who stayed between his people for a thousand years calling them (to Allah). Whenever they belied him, the conviction of Nūḥ increased." Thereafter they will come to

Nūh and say: "Ask your Rabb to decide regarding our matter, even if it is to the fire!" He will reply: "I am not the one who will be able to help you. I said, 'My son is part of my family.' However, I will refer you to the one whom Allah took as a close friend in the worldly abode. Go to Ibrāhīm." They will go to Ibrāhīm and he will reply: "I am not the one who will be able to help you out. I said, 'I am ill.' However, I will refer you to the one whom Allah had spoken to directly, viz. Mūsā." They will approach Mūsā and speak to him. He will reply: "I am not the one who will be able to help you out, I killed a human. However, I will guide you to the one who would create with the permission of Allah and he would cure those who were born blind as well as the lepers with the permission of Allah, viz. ' Īsā." They will approach him but he will say: "I am not the one who will be able to help you out. However, I will guide you to the one regarding whom I gave you glad-tidings in the world, viz. Ahmad." Thereafter, Abū 'Abd Allāh said: "... Then they will appear before him and say: "O Muhammad, ask your Rabb to decide regarding our matter, even if it is to the fire." He will respond: "Yes, I am the one who can help you out... When I look at my Rabb, I will glorify Him excessively... thereafter I will go down in prostration. He will then say, 'O Muhammad, raise your head. Intercede, your intercession will be accepted. Ask, you will be granted (whatever you ask for)."¹

Is your A'immah also talking prattle and rubbish? We beg Allah to grant us soundness in our intelligence and dīn.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn Objects to the Ḥadīth, 'Locusts of Gold Rained Down upon the Nabī of Allah, Ayyūb'

On page 90, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn quotes the ḥadīth, "locusts of gold rained down upon Ayyūb whilst he was having a bath and Allah's rebuking of him for that which he gathered in his clothes." *Al-Bukhārī* and *Muslim* report through different chains

¹ Al-Biḥār 8/35, 45, 48, The Chapter of Intercession, al-ʿAyyāshī 2/310-311, al-Qummī 2/25, al-Burhān 2/438 Ḥadīth: 5, 439, 9, 440. 11, 15 3/351 Ḥadīth: 4, al-Mīkāl 1/341 Ḥadīth: 727, al-Kanz 8/282, Nūr al-Thaqalayn 3/206 Ḥadīth: 392, pg. 208 Ḥadīth: 400

from Abū Hurayrah who narrates that Rasūlullāh صَأَلَنَتُ said:

قَالَ: بَيْنَمَا أَيُوبُ يَغْتَسُلُ عُرْيَانًا خَرَّ عَلَيْهِ جَرَادٌ مِنْ ذَهَبِ فَجَعَلَ يَحْثِي فِي ثَوْبِهِ فَنَادَاهُ رَبَّهُ أَكُنْ أَغْنَيْتُكَ عَمَّا تَرَى؟ قَالَ بَلَى يَا رَبَّ وَلَكِنْ لا غِنَى لِي عَنْ بَرَكَتِكَ

Whilst Ayyūb was taking a bath, completely uncovered, locusts of gold fell down upon him so he began putting them in his clothes. Thereupon, his Rabb called out to him saying: "Have I not made you independent from that which you see?" He replied: "Indeed O my Rabb! However I can never be satisfied regarding Your blessings."¹

The author then tries to cast his doubts by saying:

لا يركن إلى هذا الحديث إلاّ أعشى البصيرة، مظلم الحس فإن خلق الجراد من ذهب آية من الآيات ، وخوارق العادات وسنة الله في خلقه أن لا يخلق مثلها إلاّ عند الضرورة كما لو توقف ثبوت النبوة عليها فتأتي حينئذ برهان على النبوة ودليلا على الرسالة...

None will be inclined towards this hadīth except those who have impaired vision and weakened senses. Creating grasshoppers from gold is indeed a great sign and totally against the norm. The system of Allah regarding His creation is that He does not create the like of it unless there is a need, such as establishing that someone is a nabī. On such occasions, it is displayed as a clear proof of nubuwwah and a sign of apostleship.

Our comment: if we wish to expound on the status of you're A'immah (whom you consider infallible and higher ranking than the ambiyā' and rusul) and the baseless miracles that you claim regarding them; we will need huge volumes of books. However, we will suffice upon mentioning the titles of a few chapters from your seminal books. *Maḥajjat al-Bayḍā* (4/265) contains a lengthy narration from al-Ṣādiq in which he said:

نحن ورثة الأنبياء ليس فينا ساحر ولا كاهن ، ندعو الله فيجيب وإن أحببت أن أدعو الله فيمسخك كلباً تهتدي إلى منزلك فتدخل عليهم وتبصبص لأهلك فعلت ، فقال: الأعرابي بجهله : نعم، فدعا الله فصار

¹ Al-Bukhārī in al-Towḥīd

كلباً في الوقت ومضى على وجهه ، فقال لي الصادق(ع) اتبعه ، فأتبعته حتى صار إلى حيّه فدخل إلى منزله وجعل يبصبص لأهله وولده فأخذوا له العصا حتى أخرجوه فانصرفت إلى الصادق فأخبرته بما كان فبينا نحن في هذا الحديث إذ أقبل حتى وقف بين يدي الصادق وجعلت دموعه تسيل وأقبل يمترّغ في التراب ويعوي، فرحمه فدعا له فعاد أعرابياً فقال له الصادق(ع): هل آمنت يا أعرابي ؟ قال: نعم ألفاً وألفا

There are no sorcerers or fortune-tellers amongst us, i.e. the heirs of the ambiyā'. We supplicate to Allah and he responds to us. If I wish, I can ask Allah to turn you into a dog. You will find your way home, enter your house and wag for your family. A villager ignorantly responded, "Yes!" Thus he supplicated to Allah. As a result, the man was immediately turned into a dog and he continued in his direction.

Thereupon, al-Ṣādiq instructed me to follow him; I followed him until he entered his locality. He entered his house and began wagging his tail for his family and children. They responded by grabbing a stick to chase him out. I returned to al-Ṣādiq to report to him that which had transpired. As we were speaking about this incident, he suddenly appeared and stood before al-Ṣādiq with his tears rolling down. He began howling and rolling in the sand. As a result, al-Ṣādiq took pity upon him and supplicated for him. Consequently, he returned to being a villager. Thereafter, al-Ṣādiq asked: "Do you believe O villager?" He replied: "Yes, a thousand, a thousand."

Al-Qatrah (1/252) reports:

قال عسكر مولى أبي جعفر(ع) :دخلت عليه فقلت في نفسي يا سبحان الله ما أشد سمرة مولاي وأضوى جسده قال فوالله ما استتمت الكلام في نفسي حتى تطاول وعرض جسده !! وامتلأ به الأيوان إلى سقفه مع جوانب حيطانه ثم رأيت لونه وقد أظلم حتى صار كالليل المظلم !! ثم أبيض حتى كأبيض ما يكون من الثلج !! ثم أحمر حتى صار كالعلق المحمر !! ثم أخضر حتى صار كأخضر ما يكون!! من الأغصان المورقة الخضرة !! ثم تناقص جسمه حتى صار في صورته الأولى!! وعاد لونه الأول وسقطت لوجهي مما رأيت

'Askar, the freed slave of Abū Jaʿfar, said: "I entered his presence and said to myself, 'Subḥān Allāh! How beautiful is the colour of my master and how radiant is his body!' By the oath of Allah, I did not reach the end of my sentence (in my mind) and he already stretched his body and displayed it. The entire chamber, including the roof and the walls were covered by him. Then I saw his colour darkening until it was the colour of a dark night. This was followed by whiteness that was whiter than snow. Next, it changed to blood red. Lastly it changed to the greenness of a branch filled with exceptionally green leaves. Thereafter, his body began to contract until he returned to his normal size and colour. I fell on my face as a result of what I seen."

These are you're A'immah. They were blessed with extra-ordinary feats which were not even granted to the ambiyā' of Allah. Hence, what was the basis expressing disbelief regarding the incident of Nabī Ayyūb منهات , O 'erudite scholar'? Moreover, this ḥadīth is narrated by the A'immah of the Ahl al-Bayt, whom you believe to be infallible and even greater than the Nabī of Allah, Ayyūb منهاتك. Abū Başīr relates from Imām Jaʿfar

{ وَوَهَبْنَا لَهُ أَهْلَهُ وَمِثْلَهُم مَعَهُمْ رَحْمَةً مَّنًا وَذِكْرَى لأُوْلِي الأَلْبَبِ } قال: فرد الله عليه أهله الذين ماتوا قبل البلية ورد عليه أهله الذين ماتوا بعدما أصابهم البلاء كلهم أحياهم الله تعالى له فعاشوا معه . وسئل أيوب بعدما عافاه الله : أي شيئ كان أشد عليك مما مر عليك ؟ قال: شماتة الأعداء قال فامطر الله عليه في داره فراش من الذهب وكان يجمعه فاذا ذهب الريح منه بشيئ عدا خلفه فرده ، فقال له جبرئيل: ما تشبع يا أيوب ؟ قال: ومن يشبع من رزق ربه

"We gifted him with his family and as many of them in addition, as mercy from us and a reminder for people of intelligence." Abū ʿAbd Allāh explained: "Allah returned to him his family who passed away before the calamity as well as those who passed away after they were afflicted with the calamity. All of them were revived for him by Allah and thus they lived with him. Ayyūb was asked after he was cured by Allah, 'What was the most difficult aspect of your trial?' He replied, 'The delight of the enemy (upon my suffering).' Thereupon Allah sent down upon him in a house, grasshoppers of gold. He began gathering them and he would even chase after and retrieve those which were blown away by the wind. Jibrīl said to him, 'Do you not get satiated O Ayyūb?' He replied, 'Who gets satiated from the sustenance of his Rabb?'''1

¹ Al-Biḥār 12/344, Kitāb al-Nubuwwah, The Chapter Regarding the Incidents of Ayyūb

Hishām ibn Sālim narrates from Imām Jaʿfar زَحَمُاللَهُ:

أمطر الله على أيوب من السماء فراشاً من ذهب، فجعل أيوب يأخذ ما كان خارجا من داره فيدخله داره، فقال جبرئيل(ع): أما تشبع يا أيوب؟ قال: ومن يشبع من فضل ربه

Allah sent down golden grasshoppers from the sky upon Ayyūb. Ayyūb then began collecting those which fell outside his house and put them inside his house. Thus Jibrīl said to him: "Do you not get satiated O Ayyūb?" He replied: "Who gets satiated from the bounty of his Rabb?"¹

Mufaddal ibn 'Umar reports a lengthy narration from al-Ṣādiq concerning the signs of the appearance of the Hujjah (al-Mahdī). In this narration, it is stated:

ثم يعود المهدي إلى الكوفة وتمطر السماء بها جراداً من ذهب كما أمطره الله في بني اسرائيل على أيوب . .

Thereafter the Mahdī will return to Kūfah, where golden grasshoppers will rain down, just as Allah sent them down amidst the Banū Isrā'īl, upon Ayyūb...²

We leave the judgement regarding this hadith to 'Abd al-Husayn. He should inform us if it is an extra-ordinary incident or is it from the normal system of Allah which He applies to His creation. Was nubuwwah dependent upon it? Did it take place as a proof of nubuwwah and evidence regarding apostleship? We beg Allah to protect our intellect and save us from blind fanaticism.

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth of Nabī Mūsā المنابعة Being Reprimanded for Burning an Army of Ants after One of them Pinched Him.

On page 91, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn quotes the ḥadīth, "Mūsā being reprimanded for burning an army of ants after one of them pinched him." *Al-Bukhārī* and *Muslim* report on the authority of Abū Hurayrah that Nabī مَالَتَنْ عَالَى said:

¹ Al-Biḥār 12/352, Kitāb al-Nubuwwah, The Chapter Regarding the Incidents of Ayyūb

² Ilzām al-Nāṣib 2/252-279

َقَرَصَتْ نَمْلَةٌ نَبِيًّا مِنَ الْأَنْبِيَاءِ- وهو موسى بن عمران فيما نص عليه الترمذي - فَأَمَرَ بِقَرْيَةِ النَّمْلِ فَأُحْرِقَتْ فَأَوْحَى اللَّهُ إِلَيْهِ أَنَّ قَرَصَتْكَ نَمْلَةٌ أَحْرَقْتَ أُمَّةً مِنَ الأَمم تُسَبِّحُ الله

An ant pinched one of the ambiyā' (Mūsā ibn 'Imrān — as stated in al-Tirmidhī). Thereafter, upon his instruction, an entire village of ants were burnt. Thus Allah revealed to him: "One ant pinched you and you burned an entire nation of Ours that would glorify Allah."¹

'Abd al-Husayn then begins his task saying:

إن أبا هريرة مولع بالأنبياء عليهم السلام هائم بكل مصيبة غريبة تقذى بها الأبصار وتصتك منها المسامع وأن أنبياء الله لأعظم صبرا وأوسع صدرا وأعلى قدرا، مما يحدث عنهم المخرفون – إلى أن قال- وما أدري والله ماذا يقول مصححو هذا الحديث فيما فعله هذا النبي من تعذيب النمل بالنار ؟ من قول رسول الله: لا يعذب بالنار إلا الله وقد أجمعوا على أنه لا يجوز الاحراق بالنار للحيوان مطلقاً إلا إذا أحرق انسان انسانا فمات بالإحراق فلوليه الاقتصاص باحراق الجاني وسواء في منع الاحراق بالنار النمل وغيره من سائر الحيوانات للحديث المشهورلا يعذب بالنار إلا الله

Indeed Abū Hurayrah is filled with ill-feelings towards the ambiyā'. He produces all types of perplexing narrations which hurt the eyes and scare the ears. The ambiyā' had much more perseverance, forbearance and were way above all that which the lowly ones narrate regarding them.

By the oath of Allah, I do not know what those who accept this hadīth will say regarding the action of this Nabī, who punished ants by burning them. How will they explain it in the light of the hadīth of Rasūlullāh ants ''. "None should punish using fire, except Allah." There is consensus that it is impermissible to burn any animals. The only case in which it will be permissible is when the guardians of a person wish to avenge the death of one who was burnt to death. The impermissibility of using fire to punish includes ants as well as all other creatures. This is on the basis of the famous hadīth: "None should punish by using fire, except Allah."

Our comment: O 'erudite scholar' of the Shīʿah, the pride of your scholars, al-

¹ Al-Bukhārī in al-Jihād, al-Siyar and Bad' al-Khalq, Muslim in al-Salām

Majlisī¹, in his *Biḥār*, (64/242) under "the book of the sky and universe" titled a chapter, "the chapter of the honeybee, ant and all those whose killing has been prohibited". Under this chapter, he reports this ḥadīth, which you have rejected, from Abū Hurayrah

Also, al-Ṣadūq reports from Abān ibn Taghlib via ʿIkrimah from Ibn ʿAbbās who said:

قال عزير: يارب إني نظرت في جميع أمورك وإحكامها فعرفت عدلك بعقلي ، وبقي باب لم أعرفه: إنك تسخط على أهل البلية فتعمهم بعذابك وفيهم الأطفال فأمره الله تعالى أن يخرج إلى البرية وكان الحر شديداً ، فرأى شجرة فاستظل بها ونام ، فجاءت نملة فقرصته فدلك الأرض برجله فقتل من النمل كثيراً، فعرف أنه مثل ضرب ، فقيل له : يا عزير إن القوم إذا استحقوا عذابي قدرت نزوله عند انقضاء آجال الاطفال فماتوا أولئك بآجالهم وهلك هؤلاء بعذابي

'Uzayr said: "O my Rabb, I have pondered over all your matters and their stability. Hence I recognised Your justice by means of my intelligence. There is only one chapter that I have not understood, viz. When You are angered by the a nation, you punish all of them even though there are children amongst them." Allah ordered him to go out to an open land. The heat was intensive and as soon as he seen a tree, he took shelter in its shade and slept. An ant came by and pinched him. He rubbed his foot on the ground, killing many ants. He immediately realised that this was a lesson for him. He was then told: "O 'Uzayr, when a nation deserves my punishment, I have the ability to send it upon them when the lifespans of the children come to an end. Thus, they die at their appointed times and the others die as a result of my punishment."²

In *La'ālī al-Akhbār* (5/326 - chapter of the qualities of ants) he says:

قال النبي نزل نبي من الأنبياء تحت شجرة فلذعته نملة فأمر بجهازه فأخرج من تحتها وأمر بها فأحرقت بالنار فأوحى الله تعالى إليه هلا نملة واحدة

¹ This ḥadīth from Abū Hurayrah has also been verified by their great scholar Mīrzā Ḥabīb Allāh al-Kho'ī in his book *Minhāj al-Barāʿah fī Sharḥ Nahj al-Balāghah* 11/35 under the chapter, "the Ant and it peculiarities"

² Al-Biḥār 5/286 Kitāb al-ʿAdl wa l-Maʿād, Qiṣaṣ al-Ambiyā' of al-Jazā'irī pg. 482
Nabī المعتمدة said: "One of the Ambiyā' sat below a tree and an ant pinched him. He commanded that its house should be destroyed. Therefore, it was dug up. Then, upon his order, it was burnt with fire so Allah Taʿālā revealed to him: 'Why (did you) not (suffice upon punishing) one ant?"

When this is narrated by their A'immah then why is such a fuss made regarding Abū Hurayrah?

ʿAlī ibn Jaʿfar reports from his brother who said:

```
سألته عن قتل النملة قال: لا تقتلها إلا أن تؤذيك!
```

I asked him about killing ants. He replied: "Do not kill them unless they hurt you." $\ensuremath{\mathsf{^{11}}}$

Masʿadah ibn Ziyād says that Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad مَعْنَاتَكَ was asked regarding the killing of snakes and ants in the house when they cause inconvenience. He replied:

لا بأس بقتلهن وإحراقهن إذا آذين !

It is completely fine to kill them and burn them if they cause inconvenience. $^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$

Ibn Sinān narrates that Abū ʿAbd Allāh said:

لا بأس بقتل النمل آذتك أولم تؤذك !!

There is no prohibition in killing ants, whether they inconvenience you or not.³

Our comment: if it was not permissible to burn any living beings due to the famous ḥadīth, then why did Nabī سَكَاتَنَا intend burning those who were performing ṣalāh in their homes? This is also established from the narrations of

¹ Al-Biḥār 64/264, 269, Qurb al-Isnād pg. 121

² *Al-Biḥār* 64/271 Kitāb al-Samā' wa l-ʿĀlam-Bāb al-Naḥl wa al-Naml wa Sā'ir mā Nuhiya ʿan Qatlihi

³ Al-Biḥār 64/268

the A'immah of the Ahl al-Bayt. Ibn Sinān reports from Abū ʿAbd Allāh:

إن أناس كانوا على عهد رسول الله ابطئوا عن الصلاة في المسجد فقال رسول الله ليوشك قوم يدعون الصلاة في المسجد أن نأمر بحطب فيوضع على أبوابهم فتوقد عليه نارا فتحرق عليهم بيوتهم

During the time of Rasūlullāh some people were negligent concerning performing ṣalāh in the masjid. Rasūlullāh commented: "Very soon we will instruct that firewood should be placed at the doorstep of those who have abandoned ṣalāh in the masjid. It will be lit and their houses will burn down upon them."

Al-Tahdhīb (3/266) reports from Abū Yaʿfūr who narrates from Abū ʿAbd Allāh:

هم رسول الله بإحراق قوم في منازلهم كانوا يصلون في منازلهم ولا يصلون الجماعة ...

Rasūlullāh intended to burn some people in their houses as they would perform şalāh in their houses and they would miss the congregation (in the Masjid).

Al-Majlisī says in his *al-Biḥār* (19/352) under the biography of our Nabī, in the chapter of the great Battle of Badr:

قال البلاذري: روي أن هبار بن الأسود كان ممن عرض لزينب بنت رسول الله حين حملت من مكة إلى المدينة، فكان رسول الله يأمر سراياه إن ظفروا به أن يحرقوه بالنار، ثم قال: "لا يعذب بالنار إلا رب النار " وأمرهم إن ظفروا به أن يقطعوا يديه ورجليه ويقتلوه ...

Al-Balādhurī says: "It is narrated that Habbār ibn al-Aswad was amongst those who interfered with Zaynab, the daughter of Rasūlullāh مرافعة بالمعالية, when she journeyed from Makkah to al-Madīnah. Thus, Rasūlullāh مرافعة would instruct his raiding parties that if they managed to capture him, they should burn him. Thereafter he said: "Only the Rabb of fire is allowed to punish by means of it." He then instructed them that if they capture him, they should cut off his hands and legs and then they should kill him."

¹ Al-Tahdhīb /25, al-Anwār al-Nuʿmāniyyah 1/358, Rowḍat al-Wāʿiẓīn 2/334

ʿAlī هَ اللَّا اللَّانِينَ himself burnt a group the Saba'iyyah saying:

لما رأيت الأمر أمر منكرا أوقدة نارى ودعوت قنبرا

When I saw an evil act being carried out, I lit a fire and called upon Qambar.¹

What is the opinion of the exceptionally talented scholar 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, who done extensive research?

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Reject the Ḥadīth Regarding Nabī حَالَتُعَدِّدُوتَةُ Forgetting

On page 92, ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn quotes the ḥadīth concerning Nabī كَاللَّعْنَا وَعَالَيْ forgetting two rakaʿāt. Al-Bukhārī and Muslim report under the chapter of forgetting in ṣalāh in their Ṣaḥīḥayn from Abū Hurayrah (المَ

صَلَّى النَّبِيُّ إحْدَى صَلَاتِي الْعَشِيِّ وَأَكْثَرُ ظَنِّي الْعَصْرَ رَكْعَتَيْنِ ثُمَّ سَلَّمَ ثُمَّ قَامَ إِلَى خَشَبَة في مُفَدَّم الْمَسْجِد فَوَضَعَ يَنَهُ عَلَيْهَا وَفِيهِمْ أَبُو بَكُرٍ وَعُمَرُ فَهَابَا أَنْ يُكَلِّمَاهُ وَخَرَجَ سَرَعَانُ النَّاسَ فَقَالُوا: أَقَصُرَتِ الصَّلَاةُ؟ وَرَجُلٌ يَدْعُوهُ النَبِيُّ ذُو الْيَدَيْنِ فَقَالَ: أَنَسِيتَ أَمْ قَصُرَتْ؟ فَقَالَ: لَمْ أَنْسَ وَلَمْ تُقصرْ! قَال: بَلَى قَدَ سِيتَ! فَصَلَّى رَكْعَتَيْنِ! ثُمَّ سَلَّم ثُمَّ كَبَرً! فَسَجَدَ

Rasūlullāh المنتخبة performed one of the evening ṣalāhs, which I remember to be ʿAsr. He only performed two rakaʿāt and then made salām. Thereafter, he went towards the trunk that was in the front of the masjid and he placed his hand upon it. Among the crowd was Abū Bakr and ʿUmar, but they were afraid to speak. The hasty ones exited asking: "Has ṣalāh been shortened?" There was a man whom Nabī المنتخبينة would call Dhū l-Yadayn (the one with two hands). He asked: "Have you forgotten or has ṣalāh been shortened?" Nabī المنتخبينية replied: "I have neither forgotten, nor has ṣalāh been shortened." He replied: "Indeed you have forgotten." Thereupon, Nabī المنتخبينية performed two rakaʿāt, made salām, then said the takbīr and prostrated...²

¹ *Rijāl al-Kashshī* pg. 67 ḥadīth 21 under the biography of Qambar

² *Al-Bukhāri* in al-Jumuʿah, al-Ṣalāh, al-Adab, Akhbār al-Āḥād, al-Adhān, *Muslim* in al-Masājid, Mawāḍīʿ al-Ṣalāh and the compilers of the Sunan.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn says:

أحدها أن مثل هذا السهو الفاحش لا يكون ممن فرّغ للصلاة شيئاً من قلبه أو أقبل عليها بشيئ من لبه، وإنما يكون من الساهين عن صلاتهم، اللّاهين عن مناجاتهم، وحاشا أنبياء الله من أحوال الغافلين ، وتقدّسوا عن أقوال الجاهلين، فإن أنبياء الله ولا سيما سيدهم وخاتمهم أفضل مما يظنون على أنه لم يبلغنا مثل هذا السهو عن أحد ولا أظن وقوعه إلا ممن بمثل حال القائل :

أتثنتين صليت الضحي أم ثمانياً؟ أكتنتين صليت الضلّي فما أدري إذا ما ذكرتها

وأما وسيد النبيين وتقلبه في الساجدين ، إن مثل هذا السهو لو صدر منّي لأستولى عليّ الحياة وأخذني الخجل واستخف المؤتمون بي وبعبادتي ومثل هذا لا يجوز على الأنبياء الله أبداً ...

Firstly, this kind of forgetfulness cannot fall to the lot of one who pays the slightest amount of attention to his salāh or dedicates himself in any way to it. This only happens to those who are indifferent towards their salāh and do not pay attention to their private conversation (with Allah). The ambiyā' of Allah are far beyond the condition of the negligent. Their nobility is untainted by the accusations of the ignorant. The ambiyā', especially their leader and seal, is much loftier than they imagine. This is despite the fact that a mistake like this has not been narrated to us from anyone, and I doubt its occurrence, except from the one whose condition is as explained by the poet:

I performed ṣalāh, but when I think about it I cannot tell whether I performed two rakaʿāt of the mid-morning prayer or eight rakaʿāt.

How can this be said regarding the leader of the ambiyā' whereas his movement among those who prostrate was watched by Allah? If I had to commit an error like this it would have destroyed my life. I would be extremely ashamed and my followers would have mocked me as well as my acts of worship. This is definitely not possible in the case of the ambiyā'.

Our comment: Firstly, the Qur'ān points out to many occasions where the ambiyā' were overtaken by forgetfulness. Allah says to His noble Nabī

سَنْقْرِئُكَ فَلا نَنسَى

We shall soon teach you and you will not forget.1

When you see those who engross themselves with Our $\bar{a}y\bar{a}t$, then turn away from them until they engage in some other talk. Should Shayțān cause you to forget, then after recalling, do not sit with the unjust people.²

وَاذْكُر رَبَّكَ إِذَا نَسِيتَ وَقُلْ عَسَى أَنْ يَهْدِينِ رَبِّي لأَقْرَبَ مِنْ هَـذَا رَشَداً

Remember your Rabb when you forget and say: "I have the hope that my Rabb will guide me to that which leads closer to it."³

When Mūsā said to the youngster: "I shall continue to walk until I reach the confluence of two seas or until I pass a long period." So when they reached the confluence of the two, they both forgot their fish and it tunnelled its path into the ocean. When they proceeded further, Mūsā told the youngster: "Bring our breakfast. Without doubt, this journey has been extremely wearisome for us." He replied: "Did I not tell you that when we sought shelter by the boulder I forgot the fish? It was certainly Shayṭān that made me forget to mention it. It made its way into the sea in an extremely marvellous way."⁴

¹ Sūrah al-Aʿlā: 6

² Sūrah al-Anʿām: 68

³ Sūrah al-Kahf: 24

⁴ Sūrah al-Kahf: 60-63

Secondly, this hadīth is narrated by others as well. It has been narrated by Ibn Masʿūd as well as ʿImrān \widetilde{sugs} .¹

As for 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's rejection of the forgetting of Nabī حَالَتُعَدِيتَةُ, this is the view of the extremists, who believe that the ambiyā' cannot forget. Soon I will reproduce for this author as well as all the others who deny that Nabī مَالَتَعَدِيتَةُ could have forgotten, a rejection of this view by his A'immah (whom he believes cannot make mistakes or forget and that they are the proofs of Allah on the earth). Abū al-Ṣalt al-Harawī narrates:

قلت للرضا (ع) إن في سواد الكوفة قوما يزعمون أن رسول الله لم يقع عليه السهو في صلاته ، فقال: كذبوا لعنهم الله إن الذي لا يسهو هو الله الذي لا إله إلاهو

I said to al-Riḍā: "There are some who live on the outskirts of Kūfah who claim that Nabī المحالية did not ever make a mistake in his ṣalāh." He replied: "They have lied, may the curse of Allah be upon them. The one who does not forget is Allah. There is none worthy of worship except Him."²

Their Shaykh, al-Ṣadūq, says:

ليس سهو النبي كسهونا لأن سهوه من الله اسهاه ليعلم أنه بشر فلا يتخذ معبوداً دونه وسهونا من الشيطان ...

The forgetting of Nabī is not the same as our forgetting. His forgetting is from Allah. He makes him forget so that it remains clear that he is a human and thus he is not taken as deity in place of Allah. Our forgetfulness is from the devil.³

The truth is that the Shīʿah have differed regarding the belief of whether it was possible or not for Nabī سَلَمَنْعَا مَعَانَ to have forgotten. Their initial stance from the era of al-Qummī — who was given the title 'al-Ṣadūq' — and his teacher,

¹ *Al-Bukhārī* in Kitāb al-Aymān wa l-Nudhūr, *Muslim* in Kitāb al-Masājid wa Mawāḍiʿ al-Ṣalāh

² Musnad al-Riḍā 2/514

³ Man Lā Yaḥḍuruhu al-Faqīh 1/234

Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan ibn al-Walīd, as well as the stance of the vast majority of the Shīʿah; is that the first step in the direction of extremism is to negate that Nabī سَرَاسَتَهُ وَعَالَ ever forgot. Thus, they would consider all those who would negate the fact that Nabī سَرَاسَتَهُ وَعَالَ forgot to be extremist Shīʿah. It is clear that ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn is amongst the extremists. In fact al-Qummī considers those who believe that the A'immah cannot forget as Mufawwiḍah, "may Allah curse them," is what he says. According to him, they are not part of the Shīʿah.¹

Their Shaykh, Ibn Bābuwayh al-Qummī — whose title is al-Ṣadūq — states in Man Lā Yaḥḍuruhu al-Faqīh (1/234):

أن الغلاة والمفوضة لعنهم الله ينكرون سهو النبي

The extremists and Mufawwidِah — may Allah curse them — deny that Nabī مۇللاغلىدۇ forgot.

He also mentions that his teacher, Ibn al-Walīd, would say:

أول درجة في الغلو نفي السهو عن النبي ولو جاز أن ترد الأخبار الواردة في هذا المعني لجاز أن نرد جميع الأخبار و في ردها إبطال الدين و الشريعة، وأنا احتسب الأجر في تصنيف كتاب منفرد في إثبات سهو النبي والرد على منكريه

The first step towards extremism is denying that Nabī تشتیند forgot. If it is possible to deny the narrations that have been reported in this regard, then it will be possible to deny all other narrations. In doing so, the entire dīn and sharī ah will be destroyed. I have hope that compiling a complete book to prove that Nabī تشتينية forgot and refuting the opposite view will fetch a person great reward.

However, the Shīʿah's took a U-turn and negating forgetfulness from the A'immah (not Nabī عَالَيْ المُعَالِي) became one of the fundamental doctrines of Shīʿism. Al-Mamaqānī, one of their great scholars, states in his book *Tanqīḥ al-Maqāl* (3/240):

¹ Refer to Sharḥ ʿAqāʾid al-Ṣadūq pg. 160, Man Lā Yaḥdurhu al-Faqīh 1/234

أن نفي السهو عن الأئمة أصبح من ضرورات المذهب الشيعي

Negating forget fulness from the A'immah has become one of the fundamental doctrines of ${\rm Sh}\bar{\rm t}{\rm i}{\rm sm}{\rm .}^1$

Our comment: although they have reported in their hadīth journals a number of narrations from their A'immah negating the possibility of them forgetting and committing errors, a large collection of aḥādīth from their own sources contradict this claim. Hence, the pride of their scholars, al-Majlisī, was dumbfounded when he found that a great number of aḥādīth in their books refuting the belief that the A'immah cannot forget. Thus he admits in *al-Biḥār* (25/351):

المسألة في غاية الإشكال لدلالة كثير من الأخبار والآيات على صدور السهو عنهم وإطباق الأصحاب إلا من شذ منهم على عدم الجواز

The matter is extremely unclear as many narrations and verses indicate that they did forget. Despite this, the scholars (except a few who went against the grain) are adamant that it is not possible.

Thirdly, Abū Hurayrah is not the sole narrator of a hadīth which points out that Nabī المستعدينة forgot. Rather, he shares this accolade with great and leading scholars of the Ahl al-Bayt. The scholars of the Shīʿah have proven this in their sources. *Al-Bihār* (17/101) reports from ʿAlī

صلَّى بنا رسول الله الظهر خمس ركعات، ثم انفتل، فقال له بعض القوم: يا رسول الله هل زيد في الصلاة شيء؟ فقال: وما ذاك ؟ قال: صلَّيت بنا خمس ركعات، قال: فاستقبل القبلة وكبر وهو جالس، ثم سجد سجدتين ليس فيهما قراءة ولا ركوع ثم سلَّم، وكان يقول: هما المرغمتان

Once, Rasūlullāh performed five rakaʿāt with us during Ṣalāt al-ẓuhr. Thereafter, when he turned around, one of the people asked: "O Rasūlullāh براتشتیستار , has anything been added to ṣalāh?" He replied: "What are you referring to?" "You performed five rakaʿāt with us," was the reply.

¹ Refer to Sharḥ ʿAqāʾid al-Ṣadūq pg. 160, Man Lā Yaḥdurhu al-Faqīh 1/234

Thereupon, he faced the qiblah, recited the takbīr whilst he was sitting and then performed two sajdah. There was no recitation or rukū^c. Thereafter he performed salām. He would say: "They are compulsory."

Al-Bāqir narrates:

صلّى النبي صلاة وجهر فيها بالقراءة فلما انصرف قال لأصحابه : هل أسقطت شيئاً في القرآن ؟ قال: فسكت القوم، فقال النبي أفيكم أبي بن كعب ؟ فقالوا: نعم، فقال: هل أسقطت فيها شيء ؟ قال: نعم يا رسول الله أنه كان كذا وكذالحديث

Nabī من معند once performed ṣalāh in which he recited loudly. When he turned around, he said to his companions: "Did I leave out anything from the Qur'ān?" They remained silent. Then Nabī منافعة asked: "Is Ubay ibn Ka'b amongst you?" They replied: "Yes." He asked: "Did I leave out anything from the Qur'ān?" Ubay replied: "Yes, O Rasūlullāh, the (verse) is such and such."

Al-Wasā'il (5/307) reports from al-Hārith ibn al-Mughīrah al-Nadrī:

قلت لأبي عبدالله(ع): إنما صلّينا المغرب فسها الإمام فسلّم في الركعتين فأعدنا الصلاة ، فقال : ولم أعدتم ، أليس قد انصرف رسول الله في ركعتين فأتم بركعتين ؟ ألا أتممتم

I said to Abū ʿAbd Allāh: "We performed Ṣalāt al-Maghrib and the Imām performed salām after two rakaʿāt, so we repeated the ṣalāh." He replied: "Did Rasūlullāh المنافية not complete (his ṣalāh) with two rakaʿāt after he turned around (upon completing the first) two rakaʿāt? Why did you people not complete it?"

What is the status of 'Abd al-Husayn's claims, who says:

If I had to commit an error like this it would have destroyed my life. I would be extremely ashamed and my followers would have mocked me as well as my acts of worship. This is definitely not possible in the case of the ambiyā'.

¹ Al-Maḥāsin pg. 236, al-Biḥār 17/105, Tārīkh Nabiyyinā and 84/242 Kitāb al-Ṣalāh

I performed ṣalāh, but when I think about it I cannot tell whether I performed two rakaʿāt of the mid-morning prayer or eight rakaʿāt.

What is the opinion of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn concerning that which his A'immah have narrated regarding Nabī عَالَتْمَعَيْدِيَالَة forgetting? Will he label them in the same way as he labelled Abū Hurayrah تتناقية:

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth, "Nabī تَسْتَعَيْسَةُ Would Get Angry and Lash"

On page 97, ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn quotes the ḥadīth, "Nabī مَتَاتِنَعَةُ وَسَنَّهُ would hurt, lash, revile and curse those who did not deserve it". *Al-Bukhārī* and *Muslim* report from Abū Hurayrah who narrates that Nabī مَتَاتَعَةُ وَسَنَّةُ said:

اللَّهُمَّ إِنَّمَا مُحَمَّدٌ بَشَرٌ يَغْضَبُ كَمَا يَغْضَبُ الْبَشَرُ وَإِنِّي قَد اتَخَذْتُ عِنْدَكَ عَهْدًا لَنْ تُخْلِفَنِيهِ فَأَيُّمَا مُؤْمِنٍ آذَيْتُهُ أَوْ سَبَبْتُهُ أَوْ جَلَدْتُهُ فَاجْعَلْهَا لَهُ كَفَّارَةً وَقُرْبَةً تُقَرَّبُهُ بِهَا إِلَيْكَ الحديث

O Allah, Muḥammad is but a human. He is angered just as other humans are angered. I have made a covenant with You, regarding which I am confident that You will not disappoint me. If I hurt, revile or lash¹ any mu'min, convert that into expiation (for his sins) and a good deed by means of which You will draw him closer to You...²

He starts his hunt for irregularities in the hadīth saying:

أن رسول الله وسائر الأنبياء لا يجوز عليهم أن يؤذوا أو يجلدوا أو يسبوا أو يلعنوا من لا يستحق ، سواء أكان ذلك في حال الرضا أم في حال الغضب ، بلي لا يمكن أن يغضبوا بغير حق ...

It is impossible that Rasūlullāh is or any of the ambiyā' could have hurt, lashed, reviled or cursed an undeserving person, irrespective of whether they were angered or they were happy. In fact, it is impossible that they got angry due to any invalid reason.

¹ As you may have noticed, O honourable reader, the word curse does not appear in this hadīth. Thus, as usual, it was an addition by the author.

² $\mathit{Al-Bukh\bar{a}r\bar{i}}$ in Daʿwāt, Muslim in al-Birr wa al-Ṣilah and al-Adab

Our comment: this ḥadīth is also narrated by Jābir ibn ʿAbd Allāh, ʿĀʾishah, Anas as well as members of the Ahl al-Bayt نصيت . We will now reproduce the aḥādīth of the 'proofs and infallibles', as he believes. ʿAlā reports from Muḥammad who narrates from Imām al-Bāqir مَرَسَمَاتَكُ , that Rasūlullāh مَرَسَتَنَعَدُونَتَدَ said:

إنما أنا بشر أغضب وأرضى، وأيّما مؤمن حرمته وأقصيته او دعوت عليه فاجعله كفّارة وطهوراً ، وأيما كافر قربته أو حبوته أو أعطيته أو دعوت له ولا يكون لها أهلا فاجعل ذلك عليه عذاباً ووبالا

I am only a human, I get angry and I get happy. If I deprive, distance or curse any mu'min, let it be a means of his sins being forgiven and cleansing him. On the other hand, if I drew any kāfir close to me, was accommodating to him or I gave him anything without him being deserving of it, then make that a means of punishment and calamity befalling him.¹

Our comment: if it is 'impossible that any of the ambiyā' could have hurt, lashed, reviled or cursed an undeserving person, irrespective of whether they were angered or they were happy, then how was this narrated by your infallible Imām? Al-Kulaynī narrates from Imām Jaʿfar المنافئة:

أتى رسول الله وفد من اليمن وفيهم رجل كان أعظمهم كلاما وأشدهم استقصاء في محاجة النبي فغضب النبي حتى التوى عرق الغضب بين عينيه وتربد وجهه وأطرق إلى الأرض فأتاه جبريل (ع) فقال: ربك يقرئك السلام ويقول لك: هذا رجل سخي يطعم الطعام فسكن عن النبي الغضب ورفع رأسه وقال له: لولا أن جبريل أخبرني عن الله إنك سخي تطعم الطعام لشردت بك وجعلت حديثا لمن خلفك فقال له الرجل : وإن ربك يحب السخاء ؟ فقال: نعم فقال: إني اشهد أن لا إله إلا الله وأنك رسول الله والذي بعنك بالحق لارددت من مالي أحدا

A delegation from Yemen came to Rasūlullāh Among them was a man who was most talkative and argumentative towards Rasūlullāh Rasūlullāh was extremely angered by this, to the extent that his vein began protruding in between his eyes, his face became red and he lowered his head towards the ground. Thereupon, Jibrīl appeared before him saying: "Your Rabb sends greetings to You and says to you, 'This is

¹ *Al-Biḥār* 104/290 ḥadīth 3, Bāb Jawāmiʿ Aḥkām al-Qaḍā, Nawādīr Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn ʿĪsā pg.78

a generous man who feeds people." Instantly, the anger of Nabī من المعنوبة subsided and he raised his head saying to him: "If Jibrīl did not inform me on behalf of Allah that you are generous and you feed people, I would have chased you away and made an example out of you." The man asked: "Your Rabb loves generosity? I testify that there is no deity besides Allah and you are definitely the Rasūl of Allah. By the oath of the one who sent you with the truth, I have never deprived anyone of my wealth."¹

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth, "Shayṭān Interferes with Nabī مَالَسَنَعَيْسَنَةُ Whilst He is in Ṣalāh"

On page 104, ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn quotes the ḥadīth, "Shayṭān interferes with Nabī مَكْلَسْتَكَمُوسَدُّ whilst he is in ṣalāh". *Al-Bukhārī* and *Muslim* report on the authority of Abū Hurayrah:

Rasūlullāh المنتخبية performed one ṣalāh and then said: "Shayṭān came to me and attempted to break my ṣalāh. Allah granted me the upper hand over him and so I choked him. I intended to tie him to a pillar so that you could end up seeing him. However, I remembered the supplication of Sulaymān, 'O my Rabb! Forgive me and grant me a kingdom that cannot be had by any after me.'"²

He begins his denigration saying:

وفيه أن أنبياء الله وخيرته من خلقه يجب أن يكونوا في نجوة من هذا وفي منتزح فإنه ينافي عصمتهم ويضع من قدرهم ومعاذ الله أن يشد الشيطان عليهم أو يعرض لهم أو تسوّل له نفسه الطمع فيهم... ــ إلى أن قال في (ص١١٣) ــ فليسمح لي الشيخان وغيرهما ممن يعتبرون حديث ابي هريرة لأسألهم هل للشيطان جسم يشد وثاقه ويربط بالسارية حتى يصبح وتراه الناس بأعينها أسيراً مكبلا ...؟ الخ

¹ *Mir'āt al-ʿUqūl* Kitāb al-Zakāt-Bāb Maʿrifat al-Jūd wa l-Sakhā 16/168-169, Ḥadīth: 5

² Al-Bukhārī and Muslim in al-Ṣalāh, al-Jumuʿah and Bad' al-Khalq

It is necessary that the ambiyā' of Allah and the chosen ones from His creation should be protected and fortified from this, as this discredits them of their status of infallibility and it lowers their status. Allah's protection is sought from Shayṭān ever attempting to do anything to them, interfering with them or even entertaining any hopes regarding them.

He goes on to say:

Al-Bukhārī, Muslim and the others, who believe in the ḥadīth of Abū Hurayrah, should afford me the opportunity of asking them, "Does shayṭān have a body which can be tied to a pillar so that he could wake with people looking at him being a shackled prisoner?"

Our comment: the pride of your scholars, al-Majlisī has a chapter which he titled, "the mention of Iblīs and his Stories," in his *al-Biḥār* — under "the book of the sky and the universe". Here, he mentions this ḥadīth from Abū Hurayrah, which you have rejected. Similarly, in his *al-Biḥār*, he has another chapter under "the book of nubuwwah" titled, "the meaning of His verse: 'O my Rabb! Forgive me and grant me a kingdom that cannot be had by any after me. Undoubtedly, You are the great giver."" In this chapter, he quotes this ḥadīth from *al-Bukhārī* and *Muslim*¹, which you have denied O genius!

Look at the extent of the ignorance of ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn. The pride of his scholars, al-Majlisī, establishes the ḥadīth of Abū Hurayrah نفيت , but he rejects the ḥadīth of the greatest narrator in Islam. What is the basis of this hatred and misguidance? *Al-Biḥār* also has a chapter under "the book of the biography of Nabī titled, "his miracles regarding him overpowering Jinn and Shayṭān". Here, he narrates this ḥadīth from Ibn Masʿūd. Al-Majlisī says:

¹ *Al-Biḥār* 14/88-89 Kitāb al-Nubuwwah, al-Majlisī said, al-Bukhārī and Muslim have reported it in their two authentic books. ʿAbd ʿAlī al-Ḥuwayzī also established this ḥadīth in his *Tafsīr Nūr al-Thaqalayn* 4/460, narration: 85 as well as al-Ḥabarsī in his *Tafsīr al-Majma*ʿ 8/477. The scholar and gnostic al-Mīrzā Muḥammad al-Mashhadī also established the ḥadīth in his *Tafsīr Kanz al-Daqā'iq* 8/575

وقال القاضي في الشفا: رأى عبدالله بن مسعود الجن ليلة الجن وسمع لامهم وشبههم برجال الزطَّ وقال النبي:إن شيطاناً تفلت البارحة ليقطع عليَّ صلاتي فأمكنني الله منه فأخذته فأردت أن أربطه إلى سارية من سواري المسجد ..

Al-Qādī states in *al-Shifā*: "Abd Allāh ibn Masʿūd saw the Jinn on the night of the Jinn and he heard their speech. He compared them to the men of *Jat* (a tribe of India)¹. Nabī مالته عنائية said: "One shayṭān escaped last night and tried to disrupt my ṣalāh. Allah granted me the upper hand over him so I grabbed him. I wanted to tie him to one of the pillars of the masjid…"

As for a narration from your infallible Imām, al-Ḥimyarī reports in *Qurb al-Isnād* from Abū Jamīlah who narrates from Imām Jaʿfar regarding the statement of Sulaymān, "and grant me a kingdom that cannot be had by any after me. Undoubtedly, You are the great giver."

قلت : فاعطيه الذي دعا به ؟ قال: نعم ، ولم يعط بعده إنسان ما اعطي نبي الله من غلبة الشيطان فخنقه إلى اسطوانة حتى أصاب بلسانه يد رسول الله فقال رسول الله:'' لولا ما دعا به سليمان لأريتكموه

I asked him, was he given that which he asked for? He replied: "Yes, it was not granted to anyone after him. Proof of this is that Nabī تعقيقات was granted the upper hand over shayṭān. Thereupon, he choked him against a pillar until his tongue stuck out and touched the hand of Rasūlullāh المعقيقة. Then Rasūlullāh المعقيقة said, 'If it was not for the supplication of Sulaymān, I would have shown him to you." ²

This ḥadīth, which was narrated by Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq تعنائة, exposes the degree of your ignorance regarding the aḥādīth of the Ahl al-Bayt. As for the statement, "al-Bukhārī, Muslim and others, who believe in the ḥadīth of Abū Hurayrah, should afford me the opportunity of asking them, 'Does Shayṭān have a body which can be tied to a pillar so that he could wake with people looking at him being a shackled prisoner?"

¹ The Indigenous people of North Africa or India.

² Al-Biḥār 14/87-88, Qurb al-Isnād pg. 81, Tafsīr Majmaʿ al-Bayān 8/477, Nūr al-Thaqalayn 4/460

Our comment: 'Abd al-Ḥusayn denies and expresses amazement at the narration of Abū Hurayrah المنتخفين in which he says that he أستنتخفين held the devil and tied him up, but he does not have the same reaction towards his infallible Imām! The Imām held Iblīs and tried killing him. However, when Iblīs admitted that he is a lover and believes in Wilāyah, he left him and cleared his path. *Al-Anwār al-Nuʿmāniyyah* (2/168) reports from al-Ṣadūq with his isnād to ʿAlī who said:

قد كنت جالساً عند الكعبة فإذا شيخ محدودب، فقال يا رسول الله أدع لي بالمغفرة ، فقال النبي خاب سعيك يا شيخ وضل عملك، فلما ولّى الشيخ سألته عنه ، فقال ذلك اللعين ابليس قال علي عدوت خلفه حتى لحقته وصرعته إلى الأرض وجلست على صدره !! ووضعت يدي على حلقه لأخنقه !! ، فقال لا تفعل يا أبا الحسن فإني من المنظرين إلى يوم الوقت المعلوم ، والله يا علي أني لأحبك جداً وما أبغضك !! أحد إلاّ شركت أباه في أمه فصار ولد زنا فضحكت !! وخلّيت سبيله

I was sitting by the Kaʿbah, when suddenly a hunched back person appeared and said: "O Rasūlullāh, supplicate for me so that I may be forgiven. Nabī replied: "May your efforts be destroyed and may your actions go to waste, O aged one!" When the old man turned away, I asked Nabī regarding him. He replied: "That is the accursed Iblīs." 'Alī said: "I ran behind him until I caught up with him, floored him and sat on his chest. I then put my hands around his neck to choke him. He pleaded: 'Do not do that O Abū al-Ḥasan, as I am of those who have been granted respite until an appointed time. By the oath of Allah O 'Alī! I love you greatly. None has disliked you, except that I partnered with his father in the act with his mother. Thus he is a product of adultery!' I laughed and then left him to go."

'Alī Kills Eighty Thousand Jinn!

Abd al-Ḥusayn expresses amazement and rejects the ḥadīth of Abū Hurayrah هنال as well as the miracle of Nabī منال , which is established according to both groups. However, did he express the same surprise at the miracle and the ḥadīth of his infallible Imām? Did he have any reservations as to whether that miracle took place at the hands of the Imām, whom he believes to be infallible? Below is a summarised version of the narration: Hāshim al-Baḥrānī reports in his book, *Madīnat al-Maʿājiz*, under the chapter, Miracles of al-Imām Amīr al-Mu'minīn¹. Al-Sayyid al-Murtaḍā states in *ʿUyūn al-Muʿjizāt*:

ومن دلائل أمير المؤمنين ومعجزاته وخبره مع عطرفة الجنّي وهو خبر معروف عند علماء الشيعة، وقد وجدت هنا الخبر في كتاب الأنوار، وفي حديث طويل عن زاذان ، عن سلمان، قال: كان النبي ذات يوم جالساً بالأبطح وعنده جماعة من أصحابه وهو مقبل علينا بالحديث، إذ نظرنا إلى زوبعة قد ارتفعت، فأثارت الغبار، وما زالت تدنو والغبار يعلو إلى أن وقفت بحذاء النبيثم برز منها شخص كان فيها، ثم قال: يا رسول الله إني وافد قومي، وقد استجرنا بك فاجرنا، وابعث معي من قبلك من يشرف على قومنا ما فإن بعضهم قد بغى علينا، ليحكم بيننا وبينهم بحكم الله وكتابه وخذ عليّ العهود والمواثيقفقال المعر، فان بعضهم قد بغى علينا، ليحكم بيننا وبينهم بحكم الله وكتابه وخذ عليّ العهود والمواثيقفقال السمع، فلما منعنا من ذلك آمنًا، ولما بعثك الله نبياً آمنًا بك وقد خالفنا بعض القوم ...فوقع بيننا وبينهم الخلاف، وهم أكثر منّا عدداً وقوة ... فابعث معي من يحكم بيننا وبينهم بالحق ثم استدعى - أي النبي- بعلي (ع) وقال له : يا علي سر مع أخينا عطرفة، وتشرف على قومه، وتنظر إلى ما هم عليه مار إلى الوادي فوقفت أنظر إليهما، فانشقّت الأرض ودخلا فيها!! ب إلى أن قال – وقد الشق المعا إلى أن ما ويلم مالحق - فقام أمير المؤمنين(ع) مع عطرفة وقد تقلّد سيفه، قال سلمان المان فتبعتهما إلى أن عار إلى الوادي فوقفت أنظر إليهما، فانشقّت الأرض ودخلا فيها!! – إلى أن قال – وقد الشق الصفا!! وطلع أمير المؤمنين(ع) وسيفه يقطر دماً !!! ومعه عطرفة قال له – أي النبي – ما الذي حبسك عني إلى هذا الوقت؟ فقال (ع): صرتُ إلى جن كثير قد بغوا عليعطرفة وقوم من المنافقين فدعو تهم إلى ثلاث خصال فأبوا عليّ ... فوضعت سيفي فيهمً وقتلّت منهم زهاء ثمانين ألفاً !!! ... الخ

Among the proofs and miracles of Amīr al-Mu'minīn is his incident with 'Ițrifah, the Jinn. This narration is well known by the Shīʿī scholars. I found the narration in the book *al-Anwār*. There is a lengthy narration from Zādhān who reports from Salmān: "Nabī was sitting one day at al-Abṭaḥ with a group of his Ṣaḥābah and he was busy talking to us. Suddenly we saw a storm erupting and causing a lot of dust. This came closer and closer until it stopped right next to Nabī within it. He said: "O Rasūlullāh, I am the representative of my nation. We are seeking your protection, so protect us. Send with me a man who will oversee the matters of our nation, as some of them have rebelled against us. (Your companion) will judge between us in accordance to the command of Allah and His book. Take allegiances and pledges from me."

¹ Vol. 1 pg. 147-151 Hadīth: 88

Nabī متالتنانية asked him: "Who are you, and which nation do you belong to?" He replied: "Itrifah ibn Shamrākh, one of the sons of Najāh. I used to eavesdrop along with a group from my family. When we were prevented from it, we accepted īmān. When Allah sent you as a Nabī, we believed (in your nubuwwah). Some of the people have opposed us... thus there is a dispute between us. They are greater than us in strength and larger in number. Send along with me someone who can judge between us on the basis of the truth." Thereafter, Nabī مَالْتُعَدِينَة called for 'Alī and said to him: "O 'Alī, go with our brother 'Itrifah and take care of the affairs of his nation. Look at their situation and then pass a fair judgement regarding them." Amīr al-Mu'minīn stood up with 'Itrifah and he tied his sword (to his clothes). Salmān says: "I followed them until it (the path) came to a valley. There, the earth split and they entered into it... al-Ṣafā split open and Amīr al-Mu'minīn rose from it with blood dripping from his sword. Ițrifah was also with him... Nabī مَاللَّتَعَنِيسَة asked him: "What kept you away from me until now?" He replied: "I went to a great number of hypocrites from the jinn who rebelled against 'Itrifah and his people. I offered them one of three choices, but they refused to accept ... I used my sword against them, killing approximately eighty thousand of them..."1

The title, "the one who was struck by Shayṭān when he claimed his speech," appears in volume 2, page 284 (narration: 553). Abū Yaḥyā narrates: "I saw 'Alī on the pulpit of Kūfah saying,

أنا عبد الله وأخو رسول الله (ص) - إلى أن قال- فلم يبرح مكانه حتى تخبِّطه الشيطان، فجرّ برجله إلى باب المسجد .

I am the slave of Allah and the brother of Rasūlullāh المنافقية ... He was still in the same place, when shayṭān attacked him. (He retaliated by) dragging him by his feet to the door of the masjid.

^{1 &#}x27;Uyūn al-Mu'jizāt pg. 43, Nawādir al-Mu'jizāt pg. 52 ḥadīth 21, Ḥilat al-Abrār 1/270, al-Biḥār 18/68 ḥadīth 4, 63/90, ḥadīth 45

Page 309 (narration: 573) has a chapter titled, "Iblīs fled from him on the Day of Badr." The crux of the ḥadīth is that Ibn Masʿūd reports that Iblīs only fled when he saw Amīr al-Mu'minīn as he feared that he would hold him as a prisoner and then people would be able to recognise him. Thus, he fled. Now I will refer you to a few of the chapters and the titles regarding the miracles of their A'immah.

- Page 21, narration 365, "He was accompanied by Jibrīl and Mīkā'īl when Iblīs interfered with him and he killed Yāgūth."
- 2. Page 446, narration 672, "He became the leader of forty thousand angels and he killed forty thousand jinn."
- 3. Page 445, narration 617, "The Jinn feared him."

Al-Qummī, al-Majlisī and the all those who accept the aḥādīth of the Ahl al-Bayt should afford me the opportunity of asking them: Did Shayṭān and the Jinn have a body? How were they floored, sat upon, choked and killed? The irony of the situation is that this author rejects this miracle as far as Nabī أَسْتَنْعَادَ المُعَادَةُ عَادَةُ المُعَادَةُ مُعَادَةًا مُعَادًا مُعَادًا مُعَادًا المُعَادَةُ المُعَادَةُ المُعَادَةُ المُعَادَةُ المُعَادَةُ المُعَادَةُ المُعَادَةُ المُعَادَةُ المُعَادَةُ مُعَادًا مُعَادًا المُعَادَةُ المُعَادَةُ المُعَادَةُ المُعَادَةُ المُعَادَةُ المُعَادُ مُعَادُ المُعَادُ مُعَادُ المُعَادُ مُعَادُ المُعَادُ مُعَادُ المُعَادُ مُعَادُ مُعَادُ مُعَادُ مُعَادُ مُعَادُ المُعَادُ المُعَادُ مُعَادُ مُعَالُمُ مُعَادُ مُعَادُ مُعَالُ

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "Nabī سَيَاتَعَيَّدُوَسَةُ Overslept at the Time of Fajr."

He starts off by quoting the ḥadīth under the heading, "Nabī تَأَسَّعَيْنَوْسَةُ slept through the morning ṣalāh." Imām al-Bukhārī and Imām Muslim report on the authority of Abū Hurayrah, but the wording of this narration is taken from Muslim:

عَرَّسْنَا مَعَ نَبِيِّ اللَّهِ فَلَمْ نَسْتَيْفِظْ حَتَّى طَلَعَتِ الشَّمْسُ، فَقَالَ النَّبِيُّ (ص) لِيَأْخُذُ كُلُّ رَجُل بِرَأْس رَاحِلَتِهِ فَإِنَّ هَذَا مَنْزِلٌ حَضَره الشَّيْطَانُ، قَالَ: أَبو هُرَيُرَة: فَفَعَلْنَا ثُمَّ دَعَا بِالْمَاءِ فَتَوَضَأَ ثُمَّ سَجَدَ سَجْدَتَيْنَ ثُمَّ أُقِيمَتِ الُصَّلَاةُ فَصَلًا الْغَدَاةَ

We took a nap with Rasūlullāh المستعمدة and none woke up until the sun had risen. Nabī المستعمدة then instructed: "Everyone should take hold of the head of his conveyance as this is a place where shayṭān is present." Abū Hurayrah said: "We all done that and then he asked for water and performed ablution. Thereafter, he performed two sajdahs and then the salāh began. Thus, the morning salāh was performed."¹

Thereafter, ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn tries to deceive the readers and find some fault in the hadīth saying:

وهذا مما يبرأ منه هدى رسول الله (ص) ... أتراه (ص) يحض الناس على الصلاة هذا الحض ، ويهتم بصلاة الفجر هذا الاهتمام ويهدد بالتحريق !! على من لا يخرج إليها ثم ينام عنها ؟ حاشا لله ومعاذ الله أن يكون كذلكوأن النبي (ص) كان يومئذ في جيش مؤلف من ألف وستمائة رجل ..فالعادة تأبى أن يناموا بأجمعهم ..ولعل هذا من خوارق أبي هريرة !.... كلمة تقضّ مضاجع المؤمنين وتقلقهم فلا ينامون بعدها عن نافلة الليل لو أنصفوا أنفسهم وما كان وهو سيد الحكماء ليندد بمن نام عن صلاة الليل هذا التنديد ثم ينام هو بمنظر من أصحابه عن صلاة الصبح، سبحانك هذا بهتان عظيم...وقد عقد البحاري في صحيحه باباً لتهجده في الليل وباباً لطول سجوده في صلاة الليل.... هذا دأبه في قيام الليل، فما ظنك به في أقامة الفرائض الخمس وهي أحد الأركان التي بني الإسلام عليها أيجوز عليه أن ينام عليها ؟! معاذ الله وحاشا لله...

This is rejected by the quidance of Rasūlullāh مكاتشكتين . Do you think that it is possible that he greatly encouraged people regarding salāh, took great care regarding Salāt al-Fajr and even threatened to burn those who did not come out to perform it, and thereafter he himself slept through it? Allah forbid and the protection of Allah is sought from that ever happening! On that day, Nabī المنتفية was amidst an army of one thousand six hundred people... Experience proves that it is impossible that all of them did not wake up. Maybe this is one of the miracles of Abū Hurayrah... A statement which separated the mu'minin from their beds and caused them areat anxiety, to the extent that if they were honest to themselves, they would not miss the optional prayer of the night... It is impossible that despite him being the leader of the wise, that he would issue a stern warning to all those who sleep through the night prayer and then he sleeps through the morning prayer in front of all his companions. Glory be to You! Indeed, this is a great slander! Al-Bukhārī dedicated a chapter in his Sahīh to his Tahajjud Salāh during the night and another chapter to his lengthy sujūd during the night prayer... This was his habit with regards to the prayer of the night. What do you think his attitude would be as far as establishing

¹ Muslim in al-Masājid

the five mandatory prayers, which are one of the pillars of Islam? Do you think that he would have slept through it? Allah forbid and protect!

He states in the footnote on page 119

وهذا الحديث ممّا انفرد به أبو هريرة فلم يثبت عن غيره،ولكن الجمهور أخذوا به اعتمادا على أبي هريرة كما هي طريقتهم ...

This hadīth is among those which are narrated only by Abū Hurayrah. It cannot be traced to anyone else. However, the majority have accepted it, relying upon Abū Hurayrah, as is their habit.

Glory be to Allah! How far has his ignorance taken him! Does he not believe that his A'immah are the proofs of Allah on the earth? Then why did he not ask them this question? We cannot help but reproduce the answers of these people, whom he considers infallible. This will highlight the degree of his ignorance, deception and his enthusiasm to create doubts and criticise the great narrator of Islam, Abū Hurayrah, as well as his narrations.

Here are the narrations of the Ahl al-Bayt — who are considered the proofs of Allah upon the creation. They will be a means of embarrassment for 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, and a lesson for his followers up until the Day of Qiyāmah. They will learn not to transgress against Abū Hurayrah www by lying, creating doubts regarding him and vilifying him. Samā'ah ibn Mahrān said:

سألته عن رجل نسي أن يصلّي الصبح حتى طلعت الشمس، قال:يصليها حين يذكرها ، فإن رسول الله رقد عن صلاة الفجر حتى طلعت الشمس ثم صلاها حين استيقظ ولكنه تنحى عن مكانه ذلك ثم صلّى

I asked him about a man who forgot to perform the morning ṣalāh until the sun had risen. He said: "He will perform it when he remembers it. Rasūlullāh المنافعة slept through Ṣalāt al-Fajr until the sun had risen. Then, he performed it when he awoke. However, he moved away from that place and then he performed it."

¹ Al-Wasā'il 5/348, al-Biḥār 17/103-104, Dār al-Salām 4/397

Hamzah ibn al-Ṭayyār reports from Imām Jaʿfar زَحَمَدُلْلَهُ:

إن الله أمر بالصلاة والصوم فنام رسول الله عن الصلاة فقال أنا أنيمك وأنا أوقظك فإذا قمت فصل ليعلموا إذا أصابهم ذلك كيف يصنعون ليس كما يقولون : إذا نام عنها هلك...

Allah commanded (the establishment) of salāh and fasting. Thereafter, Nabī المالية slept through salāh, so he said: "I am the one who made you sleep and the one who woke you up. Now that you have woken up, perform salāh." This is so that they may know what to do if they experience this condition. It is not as they say: "If he sleeps through it, he is destroyed."

Al-Faqīh reports from Saʿīd al-Aʿraj:

سمعت أبا عبدالله(ع) يقول: إن الله تبارك وتعالى أنام رسول الله عن صلاة الفجر حتى طلعت الشمس ، ثم قام فبدأ فصلًى الركعتين اللتين قبل الفجر، ثم صلّى الفجر وأسهاه في صلاته ، فسلّم في الركعتين ، ثم وصف ما قاله ذو الشمالين ، وإنما فعل ذلك به رحمة لهذه الأمة لئلا يعير الرجل المسلم إذا هو نام عن صلاته أو سها فيها فقال: قد أصاب ذلك رسول الله

I heard Abū ʿAbd Allāh (Imām Jaʿfar) saying: "Allah, the most exalted and blessed, caused Nabī تعقيد to sleep through Ṣalāt al-Fajr until the sun had risen. Then, he woke up, performed two rakaʿāt and then performed Ṣalāt al-Fajr. He also made him forget in his ṣalāh, due to which, he performed salām after two rakaʿāt." Then he explained the statement of Dhū al-Shimālayn. "He only done this to him out of mercy towards this ummah, so that a Muslim man will not lose hope when he sleeps through ṣalāh or forgets in it. He will say: 'This happened to Rasūlullāh ''.'"²

Why did you not belie and express surprise at these narrations of al-Kulaynī, al-Qummī, al-Ṭūsī and others who proved, from the A'immah, that Nabī سَرَاللَنَا يَعْدَوُهُمُ slept through ṣalāh? Why did you ignore these narrations, O master of deception? Why did you overlook them in your academic research and professional study?

¹ Al-Burhān 2/151, al-Wasā'il 5/349, al-Uṣūl 1164, al-Jawāhir al-Saniyyah pg.100

² Al-Biḥār 17/106-107, Tafsīr al-Kanz 8/133

Did you forget your claim, "I have researched extensively and done a thorough investigation"? I ask you in the name of Allah, since when was misguidance part of academic research? Since when was it part of professionalism to hide the truth? From this, O honourable reader, you will be able to distinguish between the scholars and the followers of desires and innovation!

Al-Kāfī reports from Ṣaʿīd al-Aʿraj who narrates from Imām Jaʿfar آرهَالله :

نام رسول الله عن الصبح والله أنامه حتى طلعت الشمس عليه، وكان ذلك رحمة من ربك للناس ، ألا ترى لو أن رجلا نام حتى طلعت الشمس لعيره الناس وقالوا: لا تتورع لصلاتك ، فصارت أسوة وسنة فإن قال رجل لرجل : نمت عن الصلاة ، قال: قد نام رسول فصارت أسوة ورحمة ، رحم الله سبحانه بها هذه الأمة

Rasūlullāh المنتخبة slept through the morning ṣalāh, as Allah had made him sleep until the sun had risen. That was a mercy from your Rabb to the people. Do you not see that if a man had to sleep until the sun rises, people would have scoffed him saying: "You are not mindful regarding your ṣalāḥ!" Thus, it became an example and a Sunnah. Now, if a man says to another: "You have slept through ṣalāh!" He will simply reply: "Rasūlullāh المنتخبينية also slept through it." In this way, it was made an example and a mercy. Allah used it as a mercy for this ummah.¹

'Abd al-Ḥusayn, have you now understood why Rasūlullāh slept through ṣalāh? Have you understood the wisdom behind it, or are you still ignorant? If you have not learnt, then the explanation thereof will appear in the speech of al-Shahīd as well. The pride of your scholars, al-Majlisī established this narration in his *Biḥār* from al-Kāzrūnī under the events of the seventh year:

وفيها نام رسول الله عن صلاة الصبح حتى طلعت الشمس بالإسناد عن أبي هريرة أن رسول الله حتى قفل من غزوة خيبر صار حتى إذا أدركه الكرى عرس وقال لبلال: أكلاً لنا الليل ، فصلّى بلال ما قدر له ونام رسول الله فلما تقارب لفجر استند بلال إلى راحلته مواجه الفجر فغلبت بلالا عينه وهو مستند إلى راحتله ، فلم يستقيظ رسول الله أولهم استيقاظا ففزع رسول الله فقال: أي بلال ، فقال: بلال : أخذ بنفسي

¹ *Al-Biḥār* 17/104, 24/87, *al-Furū*[°] 3/294 Ḥadīth: 9

الذي أخذ بنفسك ، بأبي أنت يا رسول الله قال: اقتادوا ، فاقتادوا رواحلهم شيئا ثم توضأ رسول الله وأمر بلالا فأقام الصلاة وصلّى بهم الصبح فلما قضى الصلاة قال: من نسي صلاة فليصلها إذا ذكرها فإن الله قال : {أقم الصلاة لذكرى }

In this year, Rasūlullāh المنتقدة slept through the morning salāh until the sun had risen. This is narrated with an isnād to Abū Hurayrah. When Rasūlullāh was returning from the Khaybar expedition, he continued until he مالتنانيوسل was overtaken by slumber, after which he went to sleep. He said to Bilāl: "Keep watch over the night for us." Bilal performed whatever amount of rakaʿāt he was meant to and Rasūlullāh سَرَاتَتَعَدِيسَةُ went to sleep. As the time drew close to Salāt al-Fajr, Bilāl's eyes were overpowered and he was leaning against his conveyance, facing the horizon. Rasūlullāh مكاللة did not wake up (at that time, but) he was the first to wake up from all of them. Rasūlullāh متاللتك was alarmed and he said: "O Bilāl!" Bilāl replied: "My soul was held by that which held your soul. May my father be sacrificed for you, O Rasūlullāh!" He instructed: "Move ahead!" They took their conveyances ahead for a short distance and then Rasūlullāh مكاتشتينيستر performed wuḍū. He ordered Bilāl (to call towards salāh) and then he led them in the Salāt al-Fajr. After completing the salāh, he said: "Whoever forgets a salāh, should perform it when he remembers, as Allah said: 'Establish salāh for my remembrance.""

Thereafter, al-Majlisī says: "The explanation of this has passed under the chapter of his forgetting."

Al-Majlisī quotes from al-Shahīd in *al-Dhikrā* with his isnād from Zurārah who narrates from Imām al-Bāqir that Nabī عَلَيْنَا عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْ

إذا دخل وقت صلاة مكتوبة فلا صلاة نافلة حتى يبدأ بالمكتوبة قال: فقدمت الكوفة فأخبرت الحكم بن عتيبة وأصحابه فقبلوا ذلك مني فلما كان في القابل لقيت أبا جعفر (ع) فحدثني أن رسول الله عرس في بعض أسفاره فقال: من يكلؤنا ؟ فقال بلال: أنا، فنام بلال وناموا حتى طلعت الشمس فقال: يا بلال ما أرقدك ؟ فقال : يا رسول الله أخذ بنفس الذي أخذ بأنفاسكم فقال رسول الله أذن فأذن فصلّى النبيركعتي

¹ Al-Biḥār 21/42,

الفجر وأمر أصحابه فصلوا ركعتي الفجر ثم قام فصلّى بهم الصبح ثم قال: من نسي شيئا من الصلاة فليصلها إذا ذكرها ، فإن اللهيقول : { وأقم الصلاة لذكرى } قال زرارة: فحملت الحديث إلى الحكم وأصحابه فقال: نقضت حديثك الأول . فقدمت على أبي جعفر(ع) فأخبرته بما قال القوم ، فقال: يا زرارة ألا أخبرتهم أنه قد فات الوقتان جميعاً ، وأن ذلك كان قضاء من رسول الله

When the time of an obligatory salāh enters, then do not perform optional prayers until the obligatory one is completed.

Zurārah continues:

I went to Kūfah, and when I told al-Ḥakam ibn ʿUyaynah and his companions about it, they accepted it from me. The next year, when I met Abū Jaʿfar, he narrated to me that Rasūlullāh ﷺ slept during one of his journeys. He asked: "Who will keep watch for us?" Bilāl replied: "Me." Thereafter, Bilāl as well as the others slept until sunrise. Thus, he what made you sleep?" He replied: "O Rasūlullāh, my soul was held by that which held the souls of all of you." Rasūlullāh, my soul was held by that the adhān." He called out the adhān, performed two rakaʿāt before Fajr, commanded his companions to also perform it, to which they complied, and then he led them in the Ṣalāt al-Fajr. Thereafter he said: "Whoever forgets a ṣalāh, should perform it when he remembers, as Allah said: "Establish ṣalāh for my remembrance."

I narrated the ḥadīth to Ḥakam and his companions who retorted: "You have opposed your first ḥadīth." I went back to Abū Jaʿfar and informed him of the comments of the people. He replied: "O Zurārah, why did you not tell them that the time for both of them had lapsed and that was a judgement of Rasūlullāh رالتانية."

Al-Majlisī states whilst commenting on this narration:

Al-Shahīd has mentioned that there are many lessons in this narration, amongst them is that it is commendable for people to appoint one who will wake them up when they go to sleep. Another lesson is that Allah put

¹ Al-Biḥār 88/290-291

his Nabī المنظنية to sleep in order to teach his ummah, and so that some people are not ridiculed due to it. I have not found anyone who rejected this narration, even though it raises doubts concerning infallibility.¹

Al-Majlisī mentions from Abū Juḥāyfah:

كان رسول الله في سفره الذي ناموا فيه حتى طلعت الشمس ، ثم قال: إنكم كنتم أمواتا فرد الله إليكم أرواحكم

Rasūlullāh عتشتيستا said during his journey in which everyone slept until sunrise: "All of you were dead and then Allah returned your souls to you."²

Why did you not pose these questions to you're A'immah. Did the guards also sleep just as Bilāl نوالله slept? Why did you not ask them: "On that day, Nabī was amidst an army of one thousand six hundred people... Experience proves that it is impossible that all of them did not wake up?" Why did you not ask them all of these baseless questions? Is this ḥadīth from the miracles of your infallible Imām as well?

It is indeed astonishing that the *awliyā*' (plural of walī) of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn say that the sun was returned so that Amīr al-Mu'minīn 'Alī نوانی could perform Ṣalāt al-'Aṣr which he missed when Rasūlullāh کَالَنَّعَيْدَوَنَا slept in his lap. We ask Allah to protect our intellect and allow us to be distanced from fanaticism and deviation.

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects that a Cow and Wolf Spoke in Clear Arabic

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn quotes a ḥadīth on page 120 under the title, "a cow and wolf spoke in clear ʿArabic". Imām al-Bukhārī and Imām Muslim report from Abū Hurayrah

صَلَّى رَسُولُ اللَّهِ(ص) صَلاةَ الصُّبْح ثُمَّ أَقْبَلَ عَلَى النَّاس فَقَالَ بَيْنَا رَجُلٌ يَسُوقُ بَقَرَةً إذْ رَكِبَهَا فَضَرَبَهَا فَقَالَتْ

¹ Al-Biḥār 25/87

² Al-Biḥār 63/61-Kitāb al-Samā' wa l-ʿĀlam, Bāb Ḥaqīqat al-Nafs wa l-Rūh wa Aḥwālihimā

إِنَّا لَمْ نُحْلَقْ لِهَذَا إِنَّمَا خُطِقْنَا لِلْحَرْثِ! فَقَالَ النَّاسُ: سُبْحَانَ اللَّه بَقَرَةٌ تَتَكَلَّمُ ، قَالَ(ص) فَإِنِّي أُومِنُ بِهَذَا أَنَا وَأَبَو بَكْرٍ وَعُمَرُ وَمَا هُمَا ثَمَّ وَبَيْنَمَا رَجُلٌ فِي غَنِمه إِذْ عَذَا الذَّئْبُ فَلَهَبَ مِنْهَا بشَاة فَطَلَبَ حَتّى اسْتَنْقَذَعَا مِنْهُ فَقَالَ لَهُ الذَّئِبُ: اسْتَنْقَذْتَهَا مِنِّي فَمَنْ لَهَا يَوْمَ السَّبُعِ يَوْمَ لا رَاعِي لَهَا غَيْرِي فَقَالَ النَّاسُ: سُبْحَانَ اللَّه بِثَمَا عَدُهُ يَتَكَلَّمُ إِذَا لَذَئِبُ: اسْتَنْقَذْنَهَا مِنِّي فَمَنْ لَهَا يَوْمَ السَّبُعِ يَوْمَ لا رَاعِيَ لَهَا غَيْر

Rasūlullāh المنتخبين performed the morning ṣalāh and then turned towards the people and said: "Whilst a man was walking with his cow, he suddenly began to ride it and he struck it. The cow responded: 'We have not been created for this; we were only created for ploughing farms.' The people said: "Glory be to Allah! A cow that spoke?" Rasūlullāh replied: "I believe this, as well as Abū Bakr and 'Umar'', even though they were not there.

Whilst a man was guarding his flock of sheep, a wolf ran and took one sheep. He went after it until he freed it from the wolf. The wolf then said: "Who will protect it on the day of the predators, when there will be no shepherd besides me?" The people said: "Glory be to Allah! A wolf that spoke?" Rasūlullāh a wolf that "I believe this, as well as Abū Bakr and 'Umar," even though they were not there.¹

'Abd al-Ḥusayn comments:

أن أبا هريرة نزوع إلى الغرائب تواق إلى العجائب قد استخفته الى خوارق العادات نزية من الشوق والهيام فتراه طروبا إلى التحدث بما هو فوق النواميس الطبيعية ، كفرار الحجر بثياب موسى ، وكضرب موسى ملك الموت حتى فقاً عينه ، ونزول جراد الذهب على أيوب وأمثال ذلك من المستحيلات عادة .

وها هو الآن يحدث بأن بقرة وذئبا يتكلمان بلسان عربي مبين فيفصحان عن عقل وعلم وحكمة الأمر الذي لم يقع أصلا ولا هو واقع قطعا ولن يقع أبدا وسنة الله في خلقه تحيل وقوعه إلا في مقام التحدي والتعجيز حيث يكون آية للنبوة وبرهانا على الاتصال بالله عز سلطانه ومقام الرجل حيث ساق بقرته إلى الحقل وركبها في الطريق لم يكن مقام تحدي واعجاز لتصدر فيه الآيات وخوارق العادات وكذلك مقام راعي الغنم حين عدا الذئب عليه فلا سبيل إلى القول بامكان صحة هذا الحديث عقلا فإن المعجزات

¹ *Al-Bukhārī* under the aḥādīth of the ambiyā', al-Muzāraʿah and al-Manāqib, *Muslim* in Faḍā'il al-Ṣaḥābah

وخوارق العادات لاتقع عبثا بإجماع العقلاء

Indeed Abū Hurayrah longs and craves for weird and strange things. His overwhelming desire for extraordinary incidents had got the better of him. Thus, you find him ecstatically narrating all types of narrations which go against all the laws of nature, such as the fleeing of a rock with the clothes of Mūsā, Mūsā striking the angel of death whose eye popped out, locusts of gold raining down upon Nabī Ayyūb and similar incidents which are impossible under normal circumstances.

Now he narrates that a cow and a wolf were speaking pure and clear 'Arabic! They were expressing themselves in an intelligent, knowledgeable and wise manner, a phenomena that could never have happened and will never happen! The system of Allah regarding His creation disproves its occurrence except when there is a challenge and a miracle. At such times, it becomes a clear proof of nubuwwah and a link with Allah, whose kingdom is honoured. The status of this man who walked his cow to the field and then rode it whilst on the road was not one which demanded a miracle or a challenge. Thus, there was no demand for such signs and extraordinary occurrences. The same can be said about the shepherd, who was attacked by the wolf. Therefore, there is no intellectual basis upon which this hadīth can be classified acceptable, as all intellectuals agree that miracles and extraordinary occurrences cannot take place without any purpose.

Our comment: al-Majlisī has a chapter (79/65, Kitāb al-Samā wa l-ʿĀlam), in his *Biḥār* entitled, "Chapter of the fox, rabbit, wolf and lion". He established this ḥadīth of Abū Hurayrah from the *Ṣaḥīḥayn* which you reject. Look at the magnitude of lies and deception adopted by this senior scholar! The pride of his scholars establishes this ḥadīth whilst he takes Abū Hurayrah ﷺ to task for narrating it. There is nothing beyond the truth except falsehood. Al-Majlisī also has another chapter, under the book regarding the biography of our Nabī titled, "His arrival at Madīnah and his building of houses and a masjid therein". Under this chapter, he once again establishes the ḥadīth of Abū Hurayrah

وفي هذه السنة تكلم الذئب خارج المدينة ينذر برسول الله كما روي عن أبي هريرة

In this year, the wolf outside Madīnah spoke. It warned regarding (the rejection of) Rasūlullāh المتنفية. This is narrated from Abū Hurayrah.

He also quotes from the *Amālī* of your great scholar al-Mufīd, under the chapter, "His Miracles which were manifested upon animals" (17/394) in the book regarding the biography of our Nabī تَرَاسَنَعَيْمَوَتَمَا a narration with an isnād from Shahr ibn Ḥowshab who reports from Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī. Al-Majlisī quotes in his *al-Biḥār* (65/78) from Ibn ʿAbd al-Barr and others:

كلّم الذئب من الصحابة ثلاثة : رافع بن عميرة ، وسلمة بن الأكوع ، واهبان بن أوس الأسلمي ، قال : ولذلك تقول العرب : هو كذئب اهبان ، يتعجبون منه ...

The wolf spoke to three Ṣaḥābah; Rāfiʿ ibn ʿUmayrah, Salamah ibn Akwaʿ and Ihbān ibn Aws al-Aslamī. That is why the ʿArabs say: "Like the wolf of Ihbān." They express surprise regarding it.

This narration has no relation with Abū Hurayrah. What will you say about it, O 'trustworthy' author? look at his ignorance regarding the aḥādīth of the Ahl al-Bayt! Furthermore, Abū Hurayrah and the strange type of narrations that you're A'immah have narrated, which causes the body to shiver. If you are passionate about criticising, then your first targets should be your infallible A'immah. They are the ones who were intoxicated with the idea of speaking to their followers concerning such things which were against all the laws of nature. In order to prove this, it will be sufficient to refer to some chapter headings of *Mādīnat al-Maʿājiz*:

- 1. The hadīth of the vessel (1/151-159 Narration: 98)
- 2. Two Isrā'īlites reviving two snakes (Page 255, Narration: 161)
- 3. The speech of the wolf, the speech of two wolfs and their greeting him (Page 266, Narration: 169)

- 4. The speech of beauty and clothes (Page 273, Narration: 170)
- 5. A lion greets him (Page 275, Narration: 171)
- 6. A cow says his name (Page 281, Narration: 177)
- 7. The speech of the female elephant (Page 282, Narration: 178)
- 8. The speech of the goose (Page 284, Narration: 179)
- 9. The speech of the francolin (Page 285, Narration: 180)
- 10. The speech of the horse (Page 288, Narration: 182)
- The mountains, stones and trees say his name (Page 297, Narration: 184)
- 12. The speech of the snake (Page 299, Narration: 185)
- The palm trees say the name of the Nabī and his Waşī (Page 398, Narration: 262)
- 14. A lion speaks to the Nabī and Amīr al-Mu'minīn (Page 409, Narration: 272)
- 15. A camel praises him (Page 412, Narration: 273)
- 16. The speech of a cloth, whip and donkey (Page 415, Narration: 275)
- 17. The eggplant attests to His Wilāyah (Page 418, Narration: 278)
- 18. Rice attests to his Wilāyah (Page 419, Narration: 279)
- 19. The speaking of clothes and socks (Page 442, Narration: 279)
- 20. A camel admits that he is the Amīr al-Mu'minīn (2/20 Narration: 20363)
- 21. The fish of Nabī Yūnus speaks about his Wilāyah and the Wilāyah of the Ahl al-Bayt (Page 28, Narration: 371)
- 22. His conversation with his horse (5/505 Narration: 1021)
- 23. A gazelle speaks about his magnanimity (Page 528 Narration: 1037)

How do we reconcile the above with the statement of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, "a phenomena that could never have happened and will never happen! The system of Allah regarding His creation disproves its occurrence..." Take note, O honourable reader, of the degree of lies and deception employed by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn in his writings. If you are ignorant regarding the speaking of a cow and a goat in pure 'Arabic, and you claim that it is impossible saying that it is "a phenomena that could never have happened and will never happen! The system of Allah regarding His creation disproves its occurrence..." then all you need to do was pay attention to these concocted narrations supposedly narrated from the Ahl al-Bayt. The extent of deception, dissimulation and the display of ignorance concerning the existence of these types of narrations in the four canonical works (of the Shī'ah) as well as others is now quite evident to the reader.

It has been reported that 'Alī (مَوَلِنَهُعَنَهُ said:

كلّم الذئب أبا الاشعث ابن قيس الخزاعي ، فأتاه فطرده مرّة بعد أخرى، ثم قال له في المرّة الرابعة: ما رأيت ذئبا أصفق وجها منك . فقال له الذئب: بل أصفق وجها مني من تولى عن رجل ليس على وجه الأرض أفضل منه، ولا أنور نوراً، ولا أتم بصيرة ولا أتم أمراً ، يملك شرقها وغربها ، يقول: لا إله إلاّ الله، فيتركونه ، ومن أصفق وجها: أنا أم أنت الذي تتولى عن هذا الرجل الكريم ، رسول رب العالمين

A wolf spoke to Abū al-Ashʿath ibn Qays al-Khuzāʿī. It came to him but he kept chasing it away. On the fourth occasion, he said to it: "I did not see a wolf that was lowlier than you." The wolf replied: "Nay, the one who is lowlier than me is he who turns away from the most virtuous man on this earth, who has the most illuminated face, greatest foresight, whose matters are always concluded with perfection. He rules the East as well as the West. He says: 'There is no deity besides Allah', due to which they desert him. Who is lower, you or I? (You) are the one who turned away from this noble man, the Rasūl of the Rabb of the universe."

¹ Al-Thāqib fī l-Manāqib pg. 72, Faşl fī Kalām al-Bahā'im, refer to al-Qaṭrah 1/113 chapter 2, Fī Ihdā' al-Dhi'b al-Thawāb lī Shī'at 'Alī, al-Kharā'ij 2/496-497, 521-523, fī I'lām al-Nabī al-Manāqib fī Kalām al-Ḥayawānāt, al-Qaṭrah 1/39-42, Kalām al-Dhi'b fī al-Nubuwwah wa Kalām al-Dhi'b fī Faḍā'il al-Nabī , pg. 86-87 fī Kalām al-Ḥayawānāt, *I'lām al-Warā* pg. 51-52 Faşl, wa Ammā al-Mu'jizāt al-Qāhirah al-Dāllat 'ālā Nubuwwatihī al-Latī Hiya Siwā al-Qur'ān

Al-Kharā'ij reports that Imām Jaʿfar said:

إن ثلاثة من البهائم أنطقها الله على عهد النبي: الجمل وكلامه شكوى أربابه وغير ذلك . والذئب فقد جاء إلى النبي فشكا إليه الجوع ، فدعا رسول الله أرباب الغنم ، فقال : افرضوا للذئب شيئا فشحوا . فذهب وأما البقرة فإنها أذنت بالنبي ودلت عليه وكانت في نخل لبني سالم من الأنصار، وقالت: يا ذريح أعمل نجيح صائح يصيح بلسان عربي فصيح ،بأن لا إله إلاّ الله رب العالمين ،ومحمد رسول الله سيد النبيين ، وعلي وصيه سيد الوصيين

Allah granted three animals in the time of Nabī المعقدة the ability to speak. A camel who complained regarding the ill-treatment it received from its owners as well as other matters. A wolf came to Nabī المعقدة and complained to Nabī المعقدة regarding its hunger. Rasūlullāh المعقدة summoned a few goat-owners and instructed them: "Donate something to this wolf, even if it is only fat," so it went... As for the cow, it announced (the arrival) of Nabī معقدة and guided towards him. It was in a date plantation of one of the Anṣār and it said: "O Dharīḥ, an action of salvation! A Caller declaring in eloquent 'Arabic that there is none worthy of worship besides the Allah, the Rabb of the universe, Muḥammad is the Rasūl of Allah, the leader of the ambiyā' and 'Alī is his Waṣī as well as the leader of the Awṣiyā."¹

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "The Estate of Nabī مَرَاتَنَعَيْسَنَدُ is Ṣadaqah."

On page 143, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn quotes a ḥadīth under the title, "The estate of Nabī سَلَسَنَّعَدَمُوسَلَّ is ṣadaqah". Imām al-Bukhārī and Imām Muslim report on the authority of Abū Hurayrah مَعَلَيَّهُمَا للعامَةُ that Rasūlullāh مَكَاسَتَكَمُوسَدَّةُ

لَا يَقْتَسِمُ وَرَثَتِي دِينَارًا ولاً دِرْهَمًا مَا تَرَكْتُ بَعْدَ نَفَقَةٍ نِسَائِي وَمَثُونَةٍ عَامِلِي فَهُوَ صَدَقَةٌ

Not a dīnār or a dirham will be divided from my inheritance. Whatever exceeds the expenditure of my wives and the wages of my governors, from that which I leave behind, will be ṣadaqah.

¹ Al-Kharā'ij 2/496, al-Thālib fī l-Manāqib pg. 71 and 75

He then tries to create doubts regarding the hadīth saying:

هذا مضمون الحديث الذي انفرد أبو بكر بروايته عن رسول الله محتجا به على عدم توريث الزهراء وقد انفرد الخليفة به ولم يروه على عهده احد سواه ، وربما قيل بأنه قد رواه معه مالك بن أوس الحدثان

Abū Bakr is the only one who narrates this subject matter directly from Nabī Abū-He used as evidence to prove that al-Zahrā is not entitled to any inheritance. The khalīfah is the sole narrator of this ḥadīth. None have narrated it in his era besides him. It is claimed at times, that Mālik ibn Aws al-Ḥadathān also narrates it.

Our comment: I wish to correct this author; Abū Bakr was not the sole narrator of this hadīth. Rather, it was narrated by the following people as well:

- رَضِخَالِنَهُ Umar (رَضِخَالِنَهُ عَنْهُ
- رَضِخَلِّلْنَهُ عَنْهُ Alī
- 3. Saʿd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ مَعْلَيْكَهُمْ اللهُ
- 4. 'Abbās رَضَخَالِنَهُ عَنْهُ
- 5. ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn ʿAwf رَحَوَلَيْنَهُ عَنَّهُ
- 6. Zubayr ibn al-ʿAwwām نَعَلَيْنَكُمُ اللَّهُ
- روَخَلَيْنَهُ Abū Hurayrah رَحَالِيَهُمَنْهُ
- دَخَلِنَهُ آهَ، 'Ā'ishah رَخَلِنَهُ عَنْهَا
- رَضَالِيَّهُ عَنْهُ Talḥah رَضَالِيَّهُعَنْهُ
- 10. Hudhayfah رَضَوَلْيَنَهُ 10.
- رَضَخَلِيَّهُ عَنْهُ Ibn ʿAbbās رَضَخَلِيَّهُ عَنْهُ

Now I wish to ask: Was this hadīth narrated only by Abū Bakr or Abū Hurayrah? Do you not feel ashamed of adopting this warped methodology?' Without any shame or feelings, you wish to convince us that your lies and deception are the absolute truth. What happened to academic honesty? Where did the professionalism, which you claimed, go to?

Furthermore, your reliable narrators from the Ahl al-Bayt have also narrated this narration. Al-Kulaynī reports in *al-Kāfī* (1/34, chapter of the reward of a scholar and a student) from Ḥammād ibn ʿĪsā from al-Qaddāḥ, who narrates from Imām Jaʿfar that Rasūlullāh عَالَسْتَعَيْدِينَةُ said:

من سلك طريقاً يطلب فيه علماً سلك الله به طريقاً إلى الجنة... وفضل العالم على العابد كفضل القمر على سائر النجوم ليلة البدر ، وإن العلماء ورثة الأنبياء لم يرثوا ديناراً ولا درهماً ، ولكن ورثوا العلم ، فمن أخذ منه أخذ بحظ وافر

Whoever sets out in search of knowledge, Allah will make the pathway to Jannah easy for him... The superiority of a scholar over a worshipper is like that of the moon over all the stars on the fourteenth night. The scholars are the heirs of the ambiyā', who do not leave as inheritance dīnārs and dirhams. Instead, they leave behind knowledge. Therefore, the one who acquired it, acquired a great share.

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Denies that Abū Ṭālib Died upon Shirk

On page 150, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn quotes the following ḥadīth under the heading, ''Abū <code>Ṭālib</code> rejects the shahādatayn'':

قال أَبو هُرَيْرَةَ قَالَ: قَالَ رَسُولُ اللَّه (ص) لِعَمِّه قُلْ لا إِلَهَ إِلا اللَّهُ أَشْهَدُ لَكَ بِهَا يَؤمَ الْقِيَامَةِ قَالَ: لَولا أَنْ تُعَيِّرَنِي قُرَيْشٌ يَقُولُونَ إِنَّما حَمَلَهُ عَلَى ذَلِكَ الْجَزَعُ لا قُرَرْتُ بِهَا عَيْنَيكَ فَأَنْزَلَ اللَّهُ: { إِنَّكَ لا تَهْدِي مَنْ أَحْبَبْتَ وَلَكِنَّ اللَّه يَهْدِي مَنْ يَشَاءُ }

Abū Hurayrah said: "Rasūlullāh said" said to his uncle: 'Say there is none worthy of worship except Allah; I will testify in your favour due to it on the Day of Judgement.' He replied: 'If (it was not for fear that) Quraysh will mock me saying, "He only done that as a result of fear," I would have definitely comforted you by (saying) it.' Thereupon Allah revealed, 'Indeed, [O Muḥammad], you do not guide whom you like, but Allah guides whom He wills. And He is most knowing of the [rightly] guided."¹

¹ Sūrah al-Qaṣaṣ: 56

Thereafter, he tries to discredit the hadīth due to his hate-propelling blind fanaticism towards his beliefs. He says:

أين كان أبو هريرة عن النبي وعمه (ع)؟!! وهما يتبادلان الكلام الذي أرسله عنهما كأنه رآهما بعينيه وسمع كلامهما بأذنيه ؟أن هذا الحديث مما ارتجله المبطلون تزلفا لأعداء آل أبي طالب ، وعملت لدولة الأموية في نشره أعمالها ، وقد كفانا السلف الصالح !! من أعلامنا مؤنة الاهتمام بتزييفه ...

Where was Abū Hurayrah when Nabī had this dialogue with his uncle? He relates it as if he seen it with his own eyes and heard it with his own ears! This hadīth was manufactured by the deniers, who used it to gain closeness to the enemies of the household of Abū Ṭālib. The Banū Umayyah also played an influential role in spreading it. Our pious predecessors have sufficed us in proving the unreliability (of this narration).

Our comment: this criticism is worthless. It is based upon blind fanaticism towards the madh-hab and it lacks academic honesty. When these two attributes are found in any research, they render it completely worthless and useless. You have already understood the attitude of this author towards Abū Hurayrah and the attempt to defame him, thereby comforting his restless feelings of hatred towards this great Ṣaḥābī. This can be proven from the fact that Abū Hurayrah is not the only one who reports that Abū Ṭālib died upon shirk and refused to recite the testimony of faith. It also narrated by other Ṣaḥābah such as ʿAbbās, Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī and Jābir .

In fact, this narration is reported by your own scholars as well! In his Tafsīr, al-Qummī (ʿAlī ibn Ibrāhīm) states under the explanation of the verse, "Indeed, [O Muhammad], you do not guide whom you like, but Allah guides whom He wills. And He is most knowing of the [rightly] guided¹":

نزلت في أبي طالب فإن رسول الله كان يقول: ياعم قل لا إله إلا الله أنفعك بها يوم القيامة ، فيقول يابن أخي أنا أعلم بنفسي فلما مات شهد العباس بن عبد المطلب عند رسول الله أنه تكلم بها عند الموت ، فقال رسول الله: أما أنا فلم أسمعها منه وأرجوا انفعه يوم القيامة

¹ Sūrah al-Qaṣaṣ: 56

It was revealed regarding Abū Ṭālib. Rasūlullāh بالنظرية would say to him: "O my uncle, say there is none worthy of worship except Allah; I will help you out on account of it on the Day of Judgement." He would reply: "O my nephew, I am more knowledgeable regarding myself." After he died, 'Abbās testified before Rasūlullāh المنتخبة that he proclaimed it at the time of his death. Rasūlullāh المنتخبة replied: "I did not hear it from him, but I hope that I will be able to help him on the Day of Judgement."¹

Faḍl Allāh al-Rāwandī (al-Shīʿī) states in his book Nawādir al-Rāwandī (page 10):

قال رسول الله أهون أهل النار عذاباً عمي أخرجه من أصل الجحيم حتى أبلغ به الضحضاح عليه نعلان من نار يغلي منهما دماغه

Rasūlullāh ماللتغيية said: "My uncle will receive the lightest punishment from all the dwellers of the fire. I will raise him from the pit of Jahīm until he reaches the shallow portion. He will wear sandals of fire which will cause even his brains to boil."

Al-Majlisī quotes from Ibn Abī al-Ḥadīd's Sharḥ Nahj al-Balāghah:

اختلف الناس في اسلام أبي طالب فقال الإمامية والزيدية: ما مات إلا مسلماً وقال بعض شيوخنا المعتزلة بذلك منهم : الشيخ أبو القاسم البلخي وأبو جعفر الإسكافي وغيرهما، وقال أكثر الناس من أهل الحديث والعامة ومن شيوخنا البصريين وغيرهم: مات على دين قومه ويرون في ذلك حديثاً مشهوراً : إن رسول الله قال عند موته: قل ياعم كلمة أشهد لك بها غداً عند الله تعالى، فقال: لولا أن تقول العرب أن أباطالب جزع عند الموت لأقررت بها عينك، وروي إنه قال: أنا على دين الأشياخ ! وقيل: إنه قال: أنا على دين عبدالمطلب وقيل غير ذلك وروى كثير من المحدثين أن قوله تعالى: { مَاكَانَ لِلنَّبِي وَالَذِينَ ءَامَنُوا أَن يَسْتَغْفِرُوا للْمُشْرِكِينَ وَلَوْ كَانُوا أُوْلِي قُرْبَى منمبَعْد مَا تَبَيَّنَ لَهُمْ أَصْحَبُ الْجَحِيم وَ مَاكَانَ اسْتغفار إبْرِهِيمَ لاَبِيه إلاَّ عَن مَوْعِدَة وَعَدَهَا إِنَّاهُ فَلَمَا تَبَيَّنَ لَهُمْ أَنَّهُمْ أَضْحَبُ الجرب (10

ورووا أن قوله تعالى: { إِنَّكَ لا نَهْدِي مَنْ أَحْبَبْتَ} نزلت في أبي طالب .

ورووا أن علياً(ع) جاء إلى رسول الله بعد موت أبي طالب فقال له: إن عمك الضال قد قضى فما الذي

¹ Tafsīr al-Qummī 2/24 (al-Qaṣaṣ: 56), al-Burhān 3/230

تأمرني فيه ؟ واحتجوا به لم ينقل أحد عنه أنه رآه يصلي، والصلاة هي المفرقة بين المسلم والكافر، وأن علياً وجعفرا لم يأخذا من تركته شيئا .

ورروا عن النبي أنه قال: إن الله قد وعدني بتخفيف عذابه لما صنع في حقي وإنه في ضحضاح من نار . ورووا عنه أيضاً إنه قيل له: لو استغفرت لأبيك وأمك فقال: لو استغفرت لهما لاستغفرت لأبي طالب فإنه صنع إليّ مالم يصنعا ،و أن عبدالله وآمنة وأبا طالب في حجرة من حجرات جهنم .

The people have differed regarding the Islam of Abū Ṭālib. The Imāmiyyah and Zaydiyyah said: "He definitely died as a Muslim." Some of our scholars from the Muʿtazilah are also of this view. They include, Shaykh Abū al-Qāsim al-Balkhī, Abū Jaʿfar al-Iskāfī, etc... Most people, including the scholars of ḥadīth, the general people, some of our scholars from Baṣrah and others opine that he died upon the religion of his people. To support this, they cite the famous ḥadīth, i.e. Rasūlullāh and the time of his death: "O my beloved uncle, (Say) a word by means of which I may testify in your favour tomorrow in the court of Allah, the most exalted." He answered: "If it was not for (fear that) the 'Arabs will say, 'Abū Ṭālib was frightened by death!' I would have comforted you by (saying) it." It is also narrated that he said: "I am upon the religion of the seniors." It is said that he declared: "I am upon the religion of 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib." There are other views as well.

Many of their ḥadīth scholars have narrated that the following verse was revealed regarding Abū Ṭālib, as Rasūlullāh تنتغينة sought forgiveness on his behalf after his demise:

مَاكَانَ لِلنَّبِيّ وَالَّذِينَ ءَامَنُوا أَن يَسْتَغْفِرُوا لِلْمُشْرِكِينَ وَلَوْ كَانُوا أُوْلِي قُرْبَى مِنمبَعْدِ مَا تَبَيَّنَ لَهُمْ أَنَّهُمْ أَصْحَبُ الْجَحِيمِ وَ مَاكَانَ اسْتِغْفَارَ إِبْرَهِيمَ لاَ بِيهِ إِلاَّ عَن مَّوْعِدَةٍ وَعَدَهَا إِيَّاهُ فَلَمَّا تَبِيَّنَ لَهُ أَنَّهُ عَدُوٌّ لَلَّهِ تَبَرَّأَ مِنْهُ

It is not for the Nabī المستقبعة and those who have believed to ask forgiveness for the polytheists, even if they were relatives, after it has become clear to them that they are companions of Hellfire. And the request of forgiveness of Ibrāhīm المستقبة for his father was only because of a promise he had made to him. But when it became
apparent to Ibrāhīm that his father was an enemy to Allah, he disassociated himself from him. Indeed was Ibrāhīm compassionate and patient. (Sūrah al-Towbah: 113-114)

They also narrate that the verse: "Indeed, (O Muḥammad), you do not guide whom you like," was revealed regarding Abū Ṭālib. It is reported in their books that 'Alī care to Rasūlullāh for after the demise of Abū Ṭālib and asked him: "Your misguided uncle has passed on, what do you command me to do with him?" This narration has been used as proof by them. Furthermore, none have narrated that he was ever seen performing ṣalāh, the primary distinguishing feature between Muslim and kāfir, and 'Alī as well as his brother Ja'far and did not take anything from his inheritance. Another narration states that Nabī

إن الله قد وعدني بتخفيف عذابه لما صنع في حقي وإنه في ضحضاح من نار . ورووا عنه أيضاً إنه قيل له: لو استغفرت لأبيك وأمك فقال: لو استغفرت لهما لاستغفرت لأبي طالب فإنه صنع إليّ مالم يصنعا ،و أن عبدالله وآمنة وأبا طالب في حجرة من حجرات جهنم

Allah promised me that his punishment will be lightened as a result of the help that he offered me. He is in the shallow portion of Jahannam. They have also narrated from him that he was once told: "Why do you not seek forgiveness on behalf of your father and your mother?" He replied: "If (I was allowed to) seek forgiveness on their behalf, I would have definitely sought forgiveness on behalf of Abū Ṭālib, as he done for me that which they did not do. 'Abd Allāh, Āminah and Abū Ṭālib are in one of the rooms of Jahannam."

Our comment: the greatest calamity and the most unpleasant aspect in this case, is the fact that they did not do this to the parents of the other ambiyā', not even Āzar, who was declared a disbeliever by the Qur'ān. They on the other hand believe that he was a believer and that the verse was revealed regarding the uncle of Nabī Ibrāhīm متحياتية.

¹ Al-Biḥār (35/155)

'Abd al-Ḥusayn Rejects that a Nation was Turned into Rats

On page 157, he quotes a hadīth under the heading, "A nation was turned into rats". *Al-Bukhārī* and *Muslim* report from Abū Hurayrah, who narrates from Rasūlullāh (مَالَّعَنَّ المَالَعَانَ :

فُقِلَتْ أُمَّةٌ مِنْ بَنِي إِسْرَائِيلَ لا تدْرَى مَا فَعَلَتْ وَإِنِّي لا أَرَاهَا إِلا الْفَارَ إِذَا وُضِعَ لَهَا أَلْبَانُ إِلابِلِ لَمْ تَشْرَبْ وَإِذَا وُضِعَ لَهَا أَلْبَانُ الشَّاءِ شَرِبَتْ

A nation who belonged to Banū Isrā'īl could not be traced. Nobody knew what they did. I am sure that they (were turned into) rats. This is because if the milk of camels is placed before it, it abstains and if a sheep's milk is put before it, it consumes it.¹

He then plants a few seeds of doubt:

هذا من السخافة بمثابة تربأ عنها الأمة الوكعاء إلا أن تكون مدخولة العقل، ولكن الشيخين بمثابة يلبسان هذا المخرِّف على غيثة- أي فساد عقله- ويحتجان به على سخافته ولو أن هذا لا يعود على الإسلام بوصمة لقلدناه حبله لكنها السنة المعصومة يجب الذود عن حياضها بكل ما أوتي المسلم من قوة فإن هذه الخرافات من أعظم ما مني به الاسلام من الآفات

The absurdity of this is such that any stable nation will denounce it, except if they are mentally deranged. However, al-Bukhārī and Muslim support this lunatic, despite his mental derangement and they set store by his narrations despite their absurdity. If this did not paint a negative image of Islam, we would not have interfered in his matters. However, since this is the protected Sunnah, it has become necessary to defend it using all avenues that a Muslim has at his disposal. Indeed these ridiculous narrations are among the worst calamities that have befallen Islam.

Our comment: if this author considers this hadīth absurd, then let him have a look at a few more absurdities, this time from his camp. *Madīnat al-Maʿājiz* (2/42, Narration: 387):

¹ Al-Bukhārī under Bad' al-Khalq and Muslim under al-Zuhd wa l-Riqāq

زيد الشحّام، عن الأصبغ بن نباته أن أمير المؤمنين(ع) جاءه نفر من المنافقين ، فقالوا: أنت الذي تقول أن هذا الجريّ: مسخ حرام ؟ فقال: نعم، فقالوا: أرنا برهانه، فجاء بهم إلى الفرات، ونادى هناس هناس ، فاجابه الجريّ لبيك . فقال له أمير المؤمنين: من أنت ؟ فقال: ممّن عرضت ولايتك! عليه فأبى فمسخ!، وإنّ في من معك من يمسخ كما مسخنا!!، ويصير كما صرنا، فقال أمير المؤمنين: بيّن قصّتك ليسمع من حضر فيعلم، فقال: نعم كنّا أربع وعشرين قبيلة!! من بني اسرائيل!!، وكنّا قد تمّردنا وعصينا!، وعرضت علينا ولايتك! فأبينا!!، وفارقنا البلاد واستعملنا الفساد، فجاءا آت أنت أعلم به والله منّا فصرخ فينا صرخة فجمعنا جمعاً واحداً ... ثم صاح صيحة أخرى وقال: كونواً مسوخاً بقدرة الله تعالى، فمسخنا أجناساً مختلفة ... وصرنا مسوخاً كما ترى

Zayd al-Shaḥḥām reports from al-Asbagh ibn Nubātah who says: "A group of hypocrites appeared before Amīr al-Mu'minīn. They said: 'Are you the one who says that this Jirriyy¹ transformed and is thus impermissible?' He replied: 'Yes.' They challenged him: 'Show us the proof thereof!' He then took them to the Euphrates and made a sound (to call them). The Jirriyy responded to him saying: 'At your service.' Amīr al-Mu'minīn asked them: 'Who are you?' It replied: 'Among those upon whom your Wilāyah was presented but rejected it and so were transformed. And amongst those with you are some who will be transformed just as we were transformed.' Amīr al-Mu'minīn requested: 'Explain your story so that those who are present may listen and learn.' It replied: 'Yes, we, the Banū Isrā'īl, were fourteen tribes. We rebelled and disobeyed. Your Wilāyah was presented to us but we rejected it. We left the community and made mischief our primary occupation. All of a sudden, someone came to us, you and Allah know better regarding him than us, gave out one cry amongst us and gathered all of us at once. He gave a second cry and said: 'Become transformed by means of the power of Allah!' Consequently, we all turned into different forms... and we were transformed to the form that you can see.""

Our comment: the absurdity of this is such that any stable nation will denounce it. However, since this is the protected Sunnah, it has become necessary to defend it using all avenues that a Muslim has at his disposal. Indeed these ridiculous narrations are among the worst calamities that have befallen Islam. So what do you have to say regarding this nonsense, regarding the claim and the proof?

¹ A species of fish.

و عن الكاظم(ع) أنه قال عن المسوخ : بأنها اثنا عشر صنفاً ولها علل ، فأما الفيل فانه مسخ كان ملكاً زناء لوطياً ، ومسخ الدب لأنه كان أعرابياً ديوثاً ، ومسخت الأرنب لأنها كانت أمرأة تخون زوجها ولا تغتسل من حيض ولا جنابة ، ومسخ الوطواط لأنه كان يسرق تمور الناس ، ومسخ سهيل لأنه كان عشارا باليمن ، ومسخت الزهرة لأنها كانت امرأة فتن بها هاروت وماروت، وأما القردة والخنازير فانهم قوم من بني اسرائيل اعتدوا في السبت ، وأما الجري والضب ففرقة من بني اسرئيل حين نزلت المائدة على عيسى لم يؤمنوا به فتاهوا فوقعت فرقة في البحر وفرقة في البر، وأما العقرب فانه كان رجلا نماما ، وأما الزبور فكان لحاما يسرق في الميزان

It is narrated from Imām al-Kāzim that he claims that the transformed species are twelve in number and each of them have been transformed due to a specific reason. The elephant was transformed due to being a homosexual adulterous king. The bear was transformed due to being an immoral Bedouin. The rabbit was transformed for being a disloyal wife who would not bath after the termination of her menstruation and after becoming impure. The bat was transformed due to stealing the dates of people. The Canopus was transformed for being the tithe¹ collector of Yemen. The flower was a woman who was used to test Hārūt and Mārūt. As for monkeys and pigs, they were a nation from Banū Isrā'īl who transgressed on the day of Saturday. The Jariyy and the lizard are a nation of Banū Isra'īl who disbelieved when the table descended for 'Isā عَلَيْهِالسَامَ', thus they wandered aimlessly (on the earth). One of them became a species in the ocean, and the other, a species on land. The scorpion was a man who loved carrying tales. As for the hornet, it was a butcher who would cheat whilst weighing.²

To avoid the lengthy narrations, I will suffice upon quoting for you, O honourable reader, the titles of the chapters under which Hāshim al-Baḥrānī discusses what he refers to as the miracles of the A'immah in his book *Madīnat al-Maʿājiz*:

 The man who was transformed into a dog due to his supplication (1/308, Narration: 193)

¹ One tenth of annual produce or earnings, formerly taken as a tax for the support of the church and clergy.

² Hilyat al-Muttaqīn pg. 647-648

- 2. The man who was transformed into a dog (1/310, Narration: 194)
- 3. The man whose face was transformed into that of a pig, the man whose head was transformed into the head of a pig and his face was transformed into the face of a pig (1/311, Narration: 195)
- The man who became a crow due to his supplication (1/313, Narration: 197)
- 5. A man was transformed into a tortoise (2/66, Chapter: 227)
- 6. A man was transformed into a dog for swearing at him (2/288, Narration: 558)
- 7. The man who he instructed to "get away," and then his head became like that of a dog (2/297, Narration: 560)
- 8. A man was transformed to a woman and a woman into a man, then they were returned to their original form (2/260, Narration: 880)

Our comment: these are the fabrications which could be considered the greatest calamities that have befallen Islam. We ask Allah for forgiveness. We request His protection as far as our dīn and intelligence is concerned. May He protect us from following our desires and going astray.

[°]Abd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "Whoever is in the State of Impurity at the time of Dawn Should not Fast."

On page 157, under the heading, "He finds himself in trouble so he excuses himself by claiming that he heard it from Faḍl". Imām Muslim reports from ʿAbd al-Malik ibn Abī Bakr ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān, from his father (Abū Bakr) who says:

سَمِعْتُ أَبَّا هُرَيْرَةَ يَقُصُّ من قَصَصِه : مَنْ أَدْرَكُهُ الْفَجْرُ جُنُبًا فلا يَصُمْ قال: فَذَكَرْتُ ذَلِكَ لَعَبْدِ الرَّحْمَنِ بْنِ الْحَارِثِ لاَبِيهِ فَأَنْكَرَ ذَلِكَ قَانَطَلَقَ عَبْدُ الرَّحْمَنِ وَانْطَلَقْتُ مَعَهُ حَتَّى دَخَلْنَا عَلَى عَائشَةَ وَأُمَّ سَلَمَةَ فَسَأَلَهُمَا عَبْدُ الرَّحْمَنِ عَنْ ذَلِكَ قَالَ فَكِلْتَاهُمَا قَالَتْ كَانَ النَّبِيُّ(ص) يُفْسِحُ جُنُبًا مِنْ غَيْرِ حُلُم ثُمَّ يَصُومُ قَالَ فَانْطَلَقْنَ حَتَّى دَخُلْنَا عَلَى مَرُوانَ وهو والي المدينة من قبل معاوية فَذَكَرَ ذَلِكَ لَهُ عَبْدُ الرَّحْمَنِ فَقَالَ مَرْوَانُ عَرْمَتُ عَلَيْكَ إِلاَ مَا ذَهَبْتَ إِلَى مَرُوانَ وهو والي المدينة من قبل معاوية فَذَكَرَ ذَلِكَ لَهُ عَبْدُ الرَّحْمَنِ فَقَالَ مَرْوَانُ عَزَسْتُ ٱَبُو هُرَيْرَةَ أَهُمَا فَالَتَاهُ لَكَ؟ قَالَ: نَعَمْ قَالَ: هُمَا أَعْلَمُ ،ثُمَّ رَدَّ ٱَبُو هُرَيْرَةَ مَا كَانَ يَقُولُ فِي ذَلِكَ إِلَى الْفَضْلِ بْنِ الْعَبَّاسِ: سَمِعْتُ ذَلِكَ مِنَ الْفَضْلِ وَلَمْ أَسْمَعْهُ مِنَ النَّبِيِّ(ص) قَالَ: فَرَجَعَ آَبُو هُرَيْرَةَ عَمَّا كَانَ يَقُولُ

I heard Abū Hurayrah saying in one of his lectures¹: "Whoever is impure at the time of dawn should not fast. I mentioned this to 'Abd al-Rahmān ibn al-Hārith (i.e. his father), who showed hesitation regarding it. Thus, 'Abd al-Rahmān and I went to ʿĀ'ishah and Umm Salamah. ʿAbd al-Rahmān gueried from them regarding it. Both of them responded: "Rasūlullāh مَكَالَمَتَعَادِيوَتَدُ would find himself impure at dawn, without experiencing nocturnal emissions. Thereafter, he would fast." Thereupon, we went to Marwan, who was appointed by Muʿāwiyah as the governor of Madīnah. Abd al-Rahmān mentioned to him the entire incident. Marwān instructed: "I demand from you that you go to Abū Hurayrah and refute that which he says." Thus, we went to Abū Hurayrah and 'Abd al-Rahmān informed him of what had transpired. Abū Hurayrah asked: "Did they really say that to you?" He replied: "Yes." "They are more learned," was the reply of Abū Hurayrah. Then, Abū Hurayrah ascribed his former view to Fadl ibn al-'Abbās saying: "I heard this from Fadl ibn al-'Abbās. I did not hear it from Nabī صاللتقادينة." Thus, Abū Hurayrah retracted from his view.²

He starts his hunt for loopholes saying:

لو كان الفضل حياً ما اجترأ عليه

If Fadl was alive, he would not have had the courage to say this.

He then comments in the footnotes (page 158):

2 Muslim in Kitāb al-Ṣiyām

^{1 &#}x27;Abd al-Ḥusayn comments in the footnote: "His mocking of Abū Hurayrah is quite apparent, as he calls him a Qaṣṣāṣ. Literally, this means one who tells stories before gatherings to earn a few bounties from them. Most story-tellers are wayward people."

Our comment: praise be to Allah, we have already explained, in detail; the claims, concoctions and fabrications of this individual. We shall also reproduce narrations from the Ahl al-Bayt which conform to the narration of Abū Hurayrah نَعْنَيْهُ Will he pass the same judgement upon his A'immah?

أن رسول الله(ص) أجل وأفضل وأكمل مما يظنون وحاشاه أن يصبح جنباً ولاسيما في أيام الصوم والأنبياء لا يجوز عليهم الاحتلام لأنه من تلاعب الشيطان وهم منزهون عنه

Rasūlullāh Assaus was far more illustrious, honourable and he was an embodiment of perfection, unlike that which they believe. It is far-fetched that he would be in the state of impurity at that time, especially during the days of fasting. It is impossible for the ambiyā' to experience nocturnal emissions, as this is from the tricks of Shayṭān, and they are protected from it.

Our comment: it is indeed amazing that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, who objects to Abū Hurayrah , practices upon the demand of this ḥadīth of Abū Hurayrah He is an Imāmī Shi'ī, and Shī'ī jurisprudence states that one who finds himself impure at the time of dawn will not be able to fast. Is this not really amazing? Shortly, we will present a few views of the A'immah of the Ahl al-Bayt, whom he believes to be infallible. He subscribes to these views as well. Furthermore, this ḥadīth was corroborated by the ḥadīth of the infallible Imām. Ḥabīb al-Khath'amī reports in *al-Ṣaḥīḥ* from al-Ṣādiq:

كان رسول الله يصلّي الليل في شهر رمضان ثم يجنب !! ثم يؤخر الغسل !! متعمداً !! حتى يطلع الفجر

Rasūlullāh مكتثبتين would perform ṣalāh during the nights of Ramaḍān and thereafter he would become impure. He would then delay the bath intentionally until the break of dawn.¹

Al-Tahdhīb (6/15) reports from Muḥammad ibn Ḥumrān who narrates his conversation with Imām Jaʿfar:

سألته عن الجنب يجلس في المسجد؟ قال: لا، ولكن يمر فيه الا المسجد الحرام ومسجد المدينة قال: وروى أصحابنا أن رسول الله قال: لا ينام في مسجدي أحد ولا يجنب فيه أحد ولا يجنب فيه أحد وقال: إن الله أوحى إليّ أن اتخذ مسجداً طهورا لا يحل لأحد أن يجنب فيه إلاّ أنا وعلي الحسن والحسين .

I asked him if an impure person is allowed to sit in the masjid. He replied: "No, but he is allowed to pass through it, except Masjid al-Ḥarām and the

¹ Al-Tahdhīb 4/213, Ḥadīth: 620, al-Wasā'il Chapter: 16, 7/44, al-Mukhtalif 3/409

Masjid of Madīnah. Our scholars have reported that Rasūlullāh مَكَاللَمُ said: 'Nobody should sleep in this Masjid of mine and nobody should become impure in it. Allah has revealed to me: 'Adopt a pure masjid'. No one is allowed to become impure in it besides myself, 'Alī, Ḥasan, and Ḥusayn.'"

Muḥammad ibn ʿĪsā narrates that Sulaymān ibn Jaʿfar al-Marwazī reported to him that he heard al-Faqīh saying:

If a man becomes impure during the night of Ramaḍān and he does not bath until the morning, then he should fast on that day and add two months of continuous fasting as recompense. However, he will not attain the virtue of that day.¹

Abū Başīr reports from Imām Jaʿfar regarding the man who becomes impure during the night of Ramāḍān and intentionally delays the bath until the morning. He said:

```
يعتق رقبة أويصوم شهرين متتابعين أويطعم ستين مسكينا قال: وقال إنه لخليق ألا أراه يدركه أبداً
```

He will set free two slaves, fast sixty days consecutively or feed sixty poor people. However, I do not think that he will ever be able to make up for it.²

Musnad al-Riḍā (2/194, chapter of the one who is impure at the time of dawn) has a narration from Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad who reports that he enquired from Imām al-Riḍā المعالية: regarding the one who cohabited with his wife in the month of Ramaḍān, or experienced nocturnal emissions, but stayed impure intentionally until the break of dawn. Imām l-Riḍā

¹ Al-Istibṣār 2/78, al-Tahdhīb 4/212, al-Wasā'il 7/43

² Al-Istibṣār 2/78, al-Tahdhīb 4/212, al-Wasā'il 7/43

يتم ذلك اليوم عليه قضاؤه

He will complete the fast of that day and he will repeat it later.

Mir'āt al-'Uqūl (16/278 Ḥadīth: 1, chapter of the one who becomes impure during the night of Ramadān):

عن الحلبي ، عن أبي عبدالله(ع) أنه قال: في رجل احتلم أول الليل أو أصاب من أهل ثم نام متعمداً في شهر رمضان حتى أصبح ،قال: يتم صومه ذلك ثم يقضيه إذا أفطر من شهر رمضان ويستغفر ربه

Al-Ḥalabī narrates from Imām Jaʿfar that he said regarding the one who experienced nocturnal emissions or cohabited with his wife during the early portion of the night in the month of Ramaḍān, but intentionally delayed the bath until dawn: "He will complete that fast and then he will keep another fast in recompense, just as he would do if he nullified a fast. He will also seek forgiveness from his Rabb."

Al-Muḥaqqiq al-Ḥillī says in Sharā'í al-Islam (1/192):

من أجنب ونام ناوياً للغسل، ثم انتبه ثم نام كذلك ، ثم انتبه ونام ثالثه ناوياً حتى طلع الفجر ، لزمته الكفّارة على قول مشهور وفيه تردد

Whoever becomes impure and then sleeps with the intention of taking a bath (upon awakening), wakes up and then goes back to sleep in the state of impurity. He repeats this for a second time and thereafter a third time until eventually dawn appears, then he will have be penalised for it according to the famous view. However, there is some uncertainty regarding it.

Al-Majlisī says in *Mir'āt al-ʿUqūl* (16/278):

المشهور بين الاصحاب بل ادعى عليه الاجماع انه يحرم البقاء على الجنابة متعمداً حتى يطلع الفجر ويجب به القضاء والكفّارة . ونسب إلى الصدوق: القول بعدم التحريم . وذهب ابن أبي عقيل والسيد إلى وجوب القضاء خاصة، وكذا المشهور وجوب القضاء لو نام غير ناوٍ للغسل أو كان ناوياً وكان غير معتاد . The popular view among the scholars, which was even claimed to be the agreed upon view by all, is that it is impermissible to remain in the state of impurity intentionally until the break of dawn. Doing so will necessitate compensation as well as a penalty. The view of permissibility has been attributed to al-Ṣadūq. Ibn Abī ʿAqīl and al-Sayyid have opined that it will only necessitate compensation. Similarly, the famous view regarding the one who sleeps without the intention of taking a bath, or he did intend so, but it is not his habit is that compensation alone will be necessary upon him.

Our comment: why did you criticise Abū Hurayrah for narrating that which is the view in your madh-hab and your reputable A'immah as well as scholars have passed verdicts in accordance to it? It is quite well known that being impure does not in any way infringe upon the fast. This can be established from the fact that at times, a man may sleep in the day and experience a wet-dream. Thereafter, no matter how much he delays the bath, it does not affect his fast. This was also admitted to by their scholar al-Murtaḍā. He says in *al-Intiṣār* (Page 64):

We do not make bathing compulsory upon the one who wishes to remain impure until dawn on account of there being some opposition between impurity and fasting. Rather, it is on account of him intentionally staying impure during a day of Ramaḍān.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "There is No Contagious (Illness), No (Evil Omen) in Ṣafar and No Hāmah"

On page 159, under the title, "Two contradictory aḥādīth", he says:

أخرج البخاري من طريق أبي سَلَمَةَ عَنْ أَبي هُرَيْرَةَ مرفوعاً لا عَدْوَى وَلا صَفَرَ وَلا هَامَةَ قال فَقَالَ أَعْرَابِيٍّ يَا رَسُولَ اللَّهِ فَمَا بَالُ الإبلِ تَكُونُ فِي الرَّمْلِ كَأَنَّهَا الظِّبَاءُ فَيُخَالِطُهَا الْبَحِيرُ الْأَجْرَبُ فَيُجْرِبُهَا؟ فَقَالَ رَسُولُ اللَّه(ص) فَمَنْ أَعْدَى الأَوَّلَ . Al-Bukhārī reports from Abū Salamah from Abū Hurayrah who narrates that Rasūlullāh من المعنية said: "There is no contagious (illness), no (evil omen) in Ṣafar and no Hāmah (reincarnation)." A villager asked: "O Rasūlullāh, what about the camels which, when in the desert looks like deers, but when a camel with scabies mixes with them, then they also contract the illness?" Rasūlullāh

He tries to create doubts regarding the hadīth saying:

أورد البخاري هذا الحديث ثم روى بعده بلا فصل وَعَنْ أَبِي سَلَمَةَ أَنه سَمِعَ أَبَا هُرَيْرَةَ فيما بَعْدُ يحدث فيَقُولُ: قَالَ النَّبِيُّ(ص): لا يُورِدَنَّ مُمْرِضٌ عَلَى مُصحِّ فقال أَبو سلمة يا أبا هُرَيْرَةَ أَلَمْ تُحَدِّثْ أَنَّهُ لا عَدْوَى قال فأنكر حديثه الأول وَرَطَنَ بِالْحَبَشِيَّةِ قَالَ أَبُو سَلَمَةَ فَمَا رَأَيْتُهُ نَسِيَ حَدِيثًا غَيْرَهُ

قلت: هذا شأن من لا تتساير خيلاه وكفى بهذا بلاغا

Al-Bukhāri reported this hadīth and immediately thereafter he reported from Abū Salamah that he heard Abū Hurayrah narrating a hadīth after some time. He said: "Nabī said, 'A sick person should not come in front of a healthy person." Thereupon, Abū Salamah asked: "O Abū Hurayrah, did you not narrate that there are no contagious illnesses?" He rejected his first hadīth and babbled something in the Abyssinian language. Abū Salamah says: "I did not see him forgetting any other ḥadīth."

I say: this, always, was the state of those who walk in two different ways.

Our comment: al-Bukhārī reported this ḥadīth from Abū Hurayrah, Ibn 'Umar and Anas ibn Mālik ﷺ in his Ṣaḥīḥ. Al-Ṭabarī reports it from 'Ā'ishah ﷺ and Sa'd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ ﷺ, and Muslim reports it from Abū Hurayrah, Sā'ib ibn Yazīd, Jābir, Anas and Ibn 'Umar ﷺ. Thus, Abū Hurayrah ﷺ is not the only one who narrates the ḥadīth. Rather a number of Ṣaḥābah have also narrated it. Your scholar, al-Nūrī reports it in his *Mustadrak* (8/278-279). He has a chapter titled, "The repugnance of fearing contagiousness and the repugnance of ṣafar for animals and other items." Under this chapter, he narrates the ḥadīth of Abū Hurayrah ﷺ which you have rejected, O genius. If ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn believes that these two narrations of Abū Hurayrah نفي were in contradiction, as he deceptively claimed, then let him have a look at the treasure of contradictions ascribed to the Ahl al-Bayt. They have also reported this ḥadīth, O master of dissimulation! Al-Naḍr ibn Qarwāsh al-Jammāl narrates regarding Imām Jaʿfar المنافي:

سألته عن الجمال يكون بها الجرب أعزلها من ابلي مخافة أن يعديها جربها ، والدابة ربما صفرت لها حتى تشرب الماء ، فقال أبوعبدالله الشاة والبقرة ان اعرابيا أتى رسول الله فقال: يارسول الله أني أصيب الشاة والبقربالثمن اليسير وبها جرب ، فأكره شرائها مخافة أن يعدي ذلك الجرب ابلي وغنمي فقال: رسول الله: يا أعرابي فمن أعدى الأول ؟ ثم قال رسول الله لا عدوى ولا طيرة ولا شوم ولاصفر ولارضاع بعد فصال

I asked him regarding a camel that had scabies, as I separated it from my camel, fearing that the scabies will spread to my camel. Sometimes it would make way for her to drink water. Imām Jaʿfar المنتجة replied: "A villager came to Nabī منتجة and asked, "I find sheep and cows for really low prices, but they are affected by scabies. I dislike purchasing them fearing that the sheep and cows will spread that scabies to my camels and goats." Rasūlullāh منتجة replied: "O villager, who spread it to the first one?" Thereafter Rasūlullāh منتجة said: "There are no contagious illnesses, there is no (reality to making decisions based on the flight directions of) birds, there is no bad omen, no (bad omen in) Ṣafar and no breast feeding after (the child has been) weaned off."¹

Al-Faqīh (4/258) quotes al-Ṣādiq who said:

فر من المجذوم فرارك من الاسد

Flee from the leper just as you flee from the lion.

Al-Jazā'irī states in al-Anwār al-Nuʿmāniyyah (2/145):

¹ Al-Wasā'il 8/370, al-Rowḍāh pg. 196, al-Biḥār 58/318

وروى عنه إنه قال: " لا يورد ممرض على مصح وقال فر من المجذوم فرارك من الأسد

It has been narrated from him that he said: "A sick person should not appear before a healthy person and flee from the leper just as you flee from the lion."

Why was there such an attack and so much of hatred displayed for the narrator of Islam, Abū Hurayrah ﷺ? With every insult that you have directed to Abū Hurayrah ﷺ, you have equally insulted the A'immah of the Ahl al-Bayt.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn's Surprise and Denial of the Ḥadīth: "Two Infants Speak of Unseen Matters"

On page 159, he quotes a ḥadīth under the heading, "Two infants speak of unseen matters". *Al-Bukhārī* and *Muslim* report on the authority of Abū Hurayrah متلقتك ومالله that Rasūlullāh مركلة said:

وَكَانَ فِي نَبِي إِسْرَائِيلَ رَجُلٌ يُقَالُ لَهُ جُرَيْجٌ كَانَ يُصَلِّي فَجَاءَتُهُ أَمَّهُ فَدَعَتْهُ فَقَالَ أُجِيْبُهَا أَوْ أُصَلِّي ؟ فَقَالَتِ أَمه اللَّهُمَّ لا تُمْتُهُ حَتَّى تَبُرِيَهُ وُجُوه الْمُومسَاتِ قال وَكَانَ جُرَيْجٌ فِي صَوْمَعَتِه فَتَعَرَّضَتْ لَهُ امْرَأَةٌ فَاَبَى فَآتَتْ رَاعِيًا فَمَ كَنَتُهُ مَنْ نَفْسَهَا فَوَلَدَتْ غُلامًا فَقَالَتْ مِنْ جُرَيْجٍ فَأَتَوْهُ فَكَسَرُوا صَوْمَعَتَهُ وَأَنْزَلُوهُ وَسَبُوهُ فَتَوَضَّاً وَصَلَّى ثُمَّ أَتَى الْغُلامَ فَقَالَ مَنْ أَبُوكَ يَا غُلامُ؟ فَقَالَتْ مِنْ جُرَيْجٍ فَأَتَوْهُ فَكَسَرُوا صَوْمَعَته وَأَنْزَلُوهُ وَسَبُوهُ فَتَوَضَّا وَصَلَّى لا إلا مِنْ طِينِ (قال أبو هريرة)وَكَانَتِ امْرَأَةٌ تُرْضِعُ ابْنَا لَها مِنْ بَنِي إِسْرَائِيلَ فَمَرَ بَهَا رَاجُلٌ رَاكَبٌ ذُو شَارَةً تَقْلَقَالَتِ اللَّهُمَّ الْجعني الْبِي مِثْلَهُ فَتَرَكَ ثُلَيْهَا وَأَقْبَلَ عَلَى الْعُلامَ اللَّامِ عَنْ الْ تَقْتَلَتُ اللَّهُمَّ الْعَالَيْ فَعَرَكَ مُنْكَانَتَ الْوَأَةُ تُرَضِعُ ابْنَا لَها مِنْ بَنِي إِسْرَائِيلَ فَمَرَ بَها رَجلالَ فَقَالَ عَلَى تَقْدِيهَا يَعْمَلُهُ اللَّهُمَ الْبِعَانَ اللَّهُمَ الْبُعَانَةُ تُمَا مَنْتَعَتْ مَنْ أَعْلَا الْنَهُ عَلَى تَنْدِيهَا يَعَالَ اللَّهُمَ الْحَمَّى الْعُمَ الْعَارَةُ تُوَعَالَ اللَّهُمُ مَا اللَّهُمَ الْنَهُ الْعَلَى مَنْ مَعْتَكَ مِنْ نَعْمَالَهُ اللَ تَدْعَلَيهَا يَعْمَلُهُ مَتَا الْعَامَةُ فَتَرَكَ الْنُهُمَ الْمَالَا اللَّهُمَ لا تَدْعَالَ اللَّهُمَ الْعَالَا اللَّهُمَ الْعَالَا اللَّهُ مَ

There was a man by the name of Jurayj among the Banū Isrā'īl. On one occasion, whilst he was occupied with ṣalāh, his mother called him. He thought to himself, 'Should I reply (to her) or continue with ṣalāh?' His mother (upon feeling ignored) supplicated: "O Allah, do not let him die until you show him the faces of immoral women." Later, whilst Jurayj was in his prayer room, a woman offered herself to him. He declined the offer, so she granted a shepherd access to herself, due to which she gave birth

to a boy. She then claimed: "(He is) from Jurayj." They came to him, razed his room to the ground, brought him down and began reviling him. He performed wuḍū and ṣalāh and thereafter approached the boy saying: "Who is your father, O young boy?" The boy replied: "My father is most certainly the shepherd." Thereupon they asked him: "Shall we rebuild your room with gold?" He replied: "No. Use nothing but soil."

Abū Hurayrah مَعَلِيكَهُمُ narrates further:

A woman from Banū Isrā'īl was breast-feeding her son when an influential man rode pass her. She supplicated: "O Allah, make my son just like him." Immediately, the boy left her breast, turned towards the rider and supplicated; "O Allah, do not make me like him." Thereafter, he returned to her breast and continued to suckle.

Abū Hurayrah نَعَوَلِيَهُ عَنْهُ says:

I can still picture Rasūlullāh المنتعمة sucking onto his finger. Thereafter, the mother of a slave passed. The boy's mother supplicated: "O Allah, do not make my son like this person." The boy left his mother's breast and supplicated: "O Allah, make me like her!" She asked: "Why (did you ask for) that?" He replied: "The rider was an oppressor whilst this slave-girl is accused of being a thief and a fornicator, but she is innocent."¹

Then he tries to find flaws in the hadīth, to appease his ego. He says:

قلت: لم يكن جريج من الأنبياء وكذلك هذان الطفلان ، فلا يمكن أن تصدر على أيديهم خوارق العادات ، فإن الخوارق إنما تكون من النبيين في مقام تعجيز البشر اثباتا لنبوتهم كما هو مقرر في محله وكلام هذين المولودين وأخبارهما بالمغيبات مما تأباه فطرة الله التي فطر الناس عليها ..

Neither was Jurayj, nor any of these kids among the ambiya'. Thus it is impossible that these miracles could have taken place at their hands. Miracles are only

¹ Al-Bukhārī in aḥādīth al-Ambiyā, al-Maẓālim and al-Ghaḍāb, Muslim in al-Birr wa l-Ṣilah and al-Ādāb

manifested by the ambiyā', as a means of challenging other humans and to establish their nubuwwah. This has been explained in its appropriate place. The speech of these two infants and their utterance of that which was unknown is against the system of Allah, which he made the nature of humans.

Our comment: you have claimed the same, if not more amazing incidents in support of you're A'immah, under the pretext that they were the miracles of the A'immah. You have reported that they would speak regarding unseen matters in their infancy. In fact, they would recite the Qur'ān as well as the other scriptures whilst they were still in their cradles. The great scholar of the Shīʿah, Hāshim al-Baḥrānī, has collected these incidents in his book, *Madīnat al-Maʿājiz*. Explaining the position and miracles of the A'immah (as understood by the Shīʿah) would require many voluminous books. To summarise them, we present a few chapter titles of the above mentioned books. They are:

- 1. Concerning the miracles of Imām Amīr al-Mu'minīn, the first one being his miracles at birth. (1/45-48 Chapter: 1 Narration: 1)
- 2. A six month old child talks upon the instruction of Amīr al-Mu'minīn, and another child speaks. (1/414, Narration: 274)
- 3. A child says that he is a friend of Allah (3/135, Narration: 794)
- 4. The miracles of Imām Ḥusayn, a suckling child speaks (3/500, Narration: 1015)
- 5. The miracles of Imām al-Kāẓim, he whispers to his father from the cradle (6/224 Narration: 1965)

The Children of the A'immah Speak from the Cradle, Their Mothers Stomachs and Even Recite from Previous Scriptures

Here are some narrations of the Ahl al-Bayt in which it is reported that the A'immah would speak eloquently and recite from previous scriptures among other feats at birth. The narrations have been summarised. Ayatollah Ḥusayn al-

Shīrāzī states in his book *al-Fiqh* (13/99), whilst discussing the details of the birth of the A'immah:

وكذلك دلّ العقل على ذلك ، إذا ما لاحظ حالاتهم من أول الولادة ، بل قبل الولادة ، فقد كانت فاطمة (ع) تكلم أمها وهي في الرحم

Similarly, the intellect also points out towards it, if one studies their conditions at the time of birth and before birth. Fāțimah ≈ 3 would speak to her mother whilst she was still in the womb.

Al-Maḥājjah reports from Aḥmad ibn Isḥāq ibn Saʿd al-Ashʿarī (in a lengthy narration) who says: "I visited Abū Muḥammad Ḥasan al-ʿAskarī and asked him, 'Is there any sign (that you are al-Mahdī) which will bring satisfaction to my heart?'

فنطق الغلام !!بلسان عربي فصيح!! فقال: أنا بقية الله في أرضه والمنتقم من أعدائه!

The infant replied in eloquent 'Arabic. He said: "I am the (last) representative of Allah upon His earth, and I am the one who will take revenge from His enemies."

Yaʿqūb ibn Sirāj reports:

دخلت على أبي عبدالله(ع) وهو واقف على رأس موسى (ع) وهو في المهد فجعل يساره طويلا فجلست حتى فرغ فقمت إليه فقال لي أُدن من مولاك فسلّم فدنوت فسلّمت عليه فردّ عليّ السّلام بلسان فصيح... !!

I visited Imām Jaʿfar ﷺ while he was standing by the head of Mūsā (al-Kāẓim), who was in his cradle. He lengthened his left side, so sat down until he completed (what he was doing). Then I stepped forward towards him, upon which he instructed: "Go close to your master and greet!" Thus I went close and greeted him. He replied to my greetings in a very clear manner.²

¹ Al-Maḥajjah 4/399, al-Faḍā'il 57-59

² Al-Qaṭrah 4/339, al-Thāqib fī l-Manāqib pg. 200, al-Ikmāl 1/194, al-Anwār al-Nuʿmāniyyah 2/18, Ilzām al-Nāşib 2/328-329, al-Kharā'ij 2/524-525, Rowḍāt al-Wāʿizīn 1/143, al-Ḥilyah 2/226-228, 391, 524, 529, 533, 536, Ḥayāt al-Imām al-ʿAskarī pg. 318

You're A'immah were blessed with such miracles that were not even afforded to the ambiyā'. However, they are mere claims. How is it that you still claim that miracles are only manifested at the hands of the ambiyā'?¹

Al-Maḥajjah (4/278) reports from Zakariyyā ibn Ādam who says that he heard al-Riḍā saying:

كان أبي (ع) ممـّن تكلّم في المهد

My father was among those who spoke from their cradles.

Al-Qazwīnī reports a narration in his book, ʿAlī from the cradle to the grave (page 23), under the title, "ʿAlī recites qur'ān before it is revealed". A summary of the narration is presented below:

استقبل سيدنا أبو طالب السيدة فاطمة بنت أسد مهنئاً وأخذ أبو طالب وليده الحبيب وضمّه إلى صدره ثم ردّه إلى أمه، وأقبل رسول الله وذلك قبل أن يبعث فلمّا رآه على جعل يهش ويضحك كأنه ابن سنة!!، ...

¹ They claim that 'Alī will as well as all the other A'immah produced miracles according to their claims. This is a clear error as mentioning a miracle to prove the establishment of Imāmah is completely incorrect. How can it be accepted? Miracles take place in order to prove nubuwwah, not Imāmah and other legal positions such as being a judge, an academic authority, a sulțan, the general of the army, the vizier, etc.. The basis of this is that since the nabī is appointed directly by Allah, his appointment has to be verified by Allah himself by means of displaying a miracle at his hands when he is challenged. This is unlike the other positions which are established by means of the statement of Rasūlullāh مالتنبيت or the ummah. Also, the miracles of the ambiyā' are confined to proving their cases. Thus, no one after them can prove his own case based on their miracles. Since Imāmah is either decided by Nabī مكالتنظيمة or the people of authority in the ummah, it is impossible that a miracle is produced to prove it. This is besides the fact that the narrations of the Shī ah have been belied due to the claim of those who believe that the Amīr declared Imāmah during the caliphate of the first three Khulafa'... As for the manifestation of miracles at the hands of the Amīr ('Alī 🕬 under normal circumstances, as opposed to 'whilst claiming imāmah'), this is totally acceptable, as he was embodiment of all those qualities which are required for a person to be blessed with (the) miracles (which are granted to pious non-ambiyā'). However, the authenticity of the narrations have to be affirmed before they can be accepted. Refer to Tuhfah Ithnā 'Ashariyyah pg. 185-186

فأخذه النبي(ص) وقبّله حمد الله على ظهور هذا المولود الذي كان يعلم أنه سيكون له أحسن وزير وخير أخ وأول مؤمن به، ... فسلّم علي على رسول الله ثم قرأ هذه الآيات :

{ بِسْمِ اللهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ قَدْ أَفْلَحَ الْمُؤْمِنُونَ الَّذِينَ هُمْ في صَلَاتِهِمْ خَاشِعُونَ

Our master, Abū Ṭālib congratulated and received the honourable Fāṭimah bint Asad. He took his beloved son, drew him close to his chest and then returned him to his mother. Rasūlullāh المنتخبينة also arrived. This was before he was made a nabī. When 'Alī saw him, he became cheerful and began laughing as if he was a one year old... Nabī المنتخبينة held him and kissed him. He thanked Allah upon the arrival of this new-born, whom he knew was going to be a wonderful vizier to him as well as a great brother. He will also be the first to believe in him. 'Alī greeted Rasūlullāh المنتخبينة dthen recited these verses: "In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious, Most Merciful. Certainly will the believers have succeeded: They who are during their ṣalāh humbly submissive." (Sūrah al-Mu'minūn: 1-2)

The A'immah have also narrated this ḥadīth, which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn denies! *Qiṣaṣ al-Rāwandī* reports with an isnād to Imām al-Bāqir منائدة:

كان في بني اسرائيل عابد يقال له جريج وكان يتعبد في صومعته ، فجائته أمه وهو يصلّي فدعته فلم يجيبها فانصرفت ، ثم أتته ودعته فلم يجيبها ولم يكلمها ، فانصرفت وهي تقول اسأل له بني اسرئيل أن يخذلك ، فلما كان من الغد جاءت فاجرة وقعدت عند صومعته فأخذها الطلق فاعدت أن الولد من جريح ففشا في بني اسرئيل ان من كان يلوم الناس على الزنا ، فقد زنا ، وأمر الملك بصلبه ، فأقبلت أمه اليه تلطم وجهها ، فقال لها : اسكني ،إنما هذا لدعوتك ، فقال الناس لما سمعوا بذلك منه : وكيف لنا بذلك ؟ قال: هاتوا الصبي فجاؤا به فأخذه ، فقال من أبوك ؟ فقال الناس لما سمعوا بذلك منه :

There was a worshipper among the Banū Isrā'īl whose name was Jurayj. He would do acts of worship in a room set aside for worship. Once, his mother came to him whilst he was praying. She called him, but he did not respond so she turned away. After a while, she came came back and called him. He neither answered to her call nor did he say anything to her. She went away saying: "I will ask Him that Banū Isrā'īl should disgrace you!" The next day, an immoral woman came to sit by his room. Suddenly, she was overtaken

by the pains of childbirth. She then claimed that the child is from Jurayj. The word spread among Banū Isrā'īl that the one who would rebuke others for committing adultery has committed it himself. The king ordered that he should be executed. His mother came to him, slapping her face. He said to her: "Calm down. This is only a result of your curse." When the people heard this, they asked: "How are we supposed to believe that?" He replied: "Bring the child." They brought him. Jurayj held him and asked: "Who is your father?" The boy replied: "A certain shepherd from a specific tribe."

'Abd al-Ḥusayn Finds it Hard to Believe the Story of Abū Hurayrah's Safeguarding the Wealth of Zakāt al-Fiṭr and Shayṭān's Attempts on Three Nights to Steal it

On page 161, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn reports a ḥadīth under the title, "He was appointed to guard the wealth of Zakāt al-Fiṭr and shayṭān appeared on three nights to steal it". *Al-Bukhārī* and *Muslim* report from Abū Hurayrah who said:

وَكَلَنِي رَسُولُ اللَّهِ (ص) بحفْظ زَكَاة رَمَضَانَ فَأَتَانِي آت فَجَعَلَ يَحْتُو مِنَ الطَّعَام فَأَخَذْتُهُ وَقُلْتُ وَاللَّه لأَنْ فَعَنَّكَ إِلَى رَسُولُ اللَّه قَالَ: إِنِّي مُحْتَاجٌ وَعَلَيَّ عِبَالُ وَلِي حَاجَةٌ شَدِيدَةٌ قَالَ: فَخَلَيْتُ عَنْهُ فَأَصْبَحْتُ فَقَالَ النَّبِيُّ (ص) :يَا أَبَا هُرَيْرَةَ مَا فَعَلَ أُسيرُكَ البَّارِحَةَ؟ فَقُلْتُ: يَا رَسُولَ اللَّه شَكَا حَاجَةً شَديدَةً وَعِبَالاً فَرَحْمَتُهُ فَخَلَيْتُ سَبِيلَهُ قَال(ص): أَمَا إِنَّهُ قَدْ كَذَبَكَ وَسَيَعُودُ قال فَرَصَدْتُهُ فَجَاءَ يَحْتُو مِنَ الطَّعَام فَأَخَذْتُهُ فَقُلْتُ فَخَلَيْتُ سَبِيلَهُ قَال(ص): أَمَا إِنَّهُ قَدْ كَذَبَكَ وسَيَعُودُ قال فَرَصَدْتُهُ فَجَاءَ يَحْتُو مِنَ الطَّعَام فَأَخَذَتُهُ فَقُلْتُ لأَنْ فَعَنَّا إِلَى رَسُولُ اللَّهِ ذَيَا أَبَا هُرَيْرَةَ مَا فَعَلَ أَسِيرُكَ البارحة قُلْتُ: يَا رَسُولَ اللَّه شَكَا حَاجَةً شَديدَةً وَعَبَالاً فَنَحَدَّيُّهُ فَخَلَيْتُ سَبِيلَهُ قَالَ (ص): أَمَا إِنَّهُ قَدَ كَذَبَكَ وَسَيَعُودُه قال فَرَصَدْتُهُ فَجَاءَ يَحْتُو مِنَ الطَّعَام فَنَحَنْتُهُ فَخَلَيْتُ سَبِيلَهُ قَالَ (ص): أَمَا إِنَّهُ قَدَ كَذَبَكَ وَسَيَعُودُه قال لا أَعُودُ فَرَحِمْتُهُ فَخَلَيْتُ سَبِيلَهُ فَأَصْبَحْتُ فَنَحْنُولُ اللَّهِ نَكَا حَاجَةً شَدِيدَةً وَعَيَالاً فَنَ خَذَيْتُهُ ا فَخَلَيْتُ سَبِيلَهُ اقَالَ (ص):أَمَا إِنَّهُ قَدَ كَذَبَكَ وَسَيَعُودُه قال: فَرَصَدْتُهُ اللَّائِقَةَ فَجَاء يَحُقُو مِنَ الطَّعَام فَنَ خَذَيْتُهُ اللَّهُ شَكَا حَاجَةً شَديدَةً وَعَيَالاً لَي وَرَسُولُ اللَّهُ شَكَا حَاجَةً شَديدَةً وَعَالاً فَنَ خُذَيْتُكُونُهُ اللَّالَيْنَهُ فَصَا يَعْتَلَ إِنَّ عَنُ أَسَيرُكَ أَتَ فَتَعَانُ عَنَ مَنْ يُنُعَمَتُ واللَّهُ شَكَا حَاجَةً شَدَيدَةً مَنْ فَكَذَى فَوَ فَعَنْ فَعَنْ أَصَدْتُهُ فَقَالَ لَي وَتَعْتَ فَنَعُ فَيْنَا عَائَةً فَعَلَى أَنْ عَنْ مُنَعْ أَنَ اللَّا لِنَا لَيْ فَعَلَى عَرَاسَتُ عَنَ فَعَنْ عَنْ يَعْنَ عَائَ فَعَلَى فَي فَيْنَ كَا أَنْهُ فَكَ مَا فَعَنَ أَنْ عَنْ عَالَهُ مَنْ مَا لَكُو مَنَ فَي أَنْ أَنْعَا أَنْ عَالَ مَا عَنْ عَنْ أَنْ عَنْ مَنْ عَلَه فَتَ عَلَ عَ مَا عَا أَنْعَنْتُ عَنْ عَنْ عَنْ عَائَةُ عَنْ عَالَ إِنَا عَا أَنُونُ أَنَ عَنْ كَانَ عَنْ عَانَ عَنْ

Rasūlullāh بالمنتخبة appointed me to guard the zakāh of Ramaḍān. A man came and began taking handfuls from it, so I grabbed his hand and said: "By the oath of Allah, I will report you to Rasūlullāh المنتخبة !" He replied: "I am

¹ Qiṣāṣ al-Ambiyā' of l-Jazā'irī pg. 517, al-Jadīd fi al-Tafsīr 4/309

in need, I have a family and I am in dire straits." Thus I left him. The next morning, Rasūlullāh مَالَقَتَعَادِيسَةُ asked me: "What did your captive do last night, O Abū Hurayrah?" I replied: "O Rasūlullāh, he complained of having a family and a being in dire straits, so I allowed him to go." Rasūlullāh مَالَقَتَعَيْمَةُ said: "Lo! He has most certainly lied, and he will return." Consequently, I waited for him. He came along, taking a few handfuls. I grabbed him and said: "I am definitely going to report you to Rasūlullāh استكندوتية" He replied: "Please leave me. I am in dire straits and I have a family.' I took pity upon him and cleared his path. The next morning Rasūlullāh مراللتك asked me: "What did your captive do last night, O Abū Hurayrah?" I replied: "O Rasūlullāh, he complained that he had a family and he was in dire straits, so I allowed him to go." Rasūlullāh مكاتلة said: "Lo! He has most certainly lied, and he will return." Consequently, I waited for him for a third time. He came along, taking a few handfuls. I grabbed him and said: "I am definitely going to report you to Rasūlullāh استكتشتان "He replied: "Leave me alone. I will teach you a few words by means of which Allah will grant you immense benefit. When you retire to bed, then recite Ayat al-Kursī, as Allah will appoint a guard for you who will protect you, and the devil will not come close to you until the morning." Thereupon, I let him go. The next morning, Rasūlullāh asked me: "What did your captive do last night, O Abū Hurayrah?" I ماللتكانيوت related the incident to him. He said: "Do you know who you were speaking to for the past three days?" I replied: "No." He said: "That was Shaytān."

He starts objecting to the hadīth saying:

هذه خرافة لا يصغي إلا من رك عقله، وطفئت شعلة دهنه ...-إلى أن قال – وما أغرب ما يحدثنا به أبو هريرة عن شياطينه وكل ما انفرد به أبو هريرة غريب تارة يزعم انهم يسرقون الطعام لعيالهم وأخرى أن لهم ضراطاً ذا سمعوا الأذان ...الى غير ذلك من القصص التي يربأ أولو العقول الوافرة والأذهان النيرة عن سماعها،نعوذ بالله من سبات العقل وضعف التمييز

This is pure bunkum. It is not palatable, except for the feeble minded, and those whose intelligence have been dimmed... How strange are the tales that Abū Hurayrah narrates to us regarding his devils. All those narrations in which he is the sole narrator are totally weird. At times he narrates that they steal food to

support their families and at times he narrates that they pass wind upon hearing the adhān. These are some of the many narrations he narrates, which will definitely be rejected by those who have perfect intelligence and enlightened minds, when they hear it. We seek Allah's protection from dormant brains and weakness in differentiating (between truth and falsehood).

If the reality is as you falsely and deceptively claim, then have a look at that which you're A'immah and scholars have narrated from their devils. The pride of your scholars, al-Majlisī, in his book *al-Biḥār* (63/297), under Kitāb al-Samā' wa l-ʿĀlam, titled a chapter, "mention of Iblīs and his stories". Under this chapter, he reports this ḥadīth of Abū Hurayrah (), which you have rejected, from Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn's ignorance and hatred towards this illustrious Ṣaḥābī is quite evident. He rejects a ḥadīth which is established by the pride of his scholars. What is the motive behind all of this dissimulation and misguidance? Soon I will present the summary of the ḥadīth quoted by al-Majlisī. Similarly, al-Majlisī has narrated in his *al-Biḥār* many narrations of this nature. (Refer to 63/316-317, chapter on the mention of Iblīs and his stories, 63/112-113, book of the universe, the chapter concerning the reality of the Jinn and their conditions)

Ayyūb al-Anṣārī مَوَأَلِيَكُمَةُ narrates:

كانت لي سهوة فيها تمر فكانت تجيئ الغول كهيئة النور فتأخذ منه ، فشكونا ذلك إلى النبي فقال: اذهب فإذا رأيتها فقل: بسم الله أجيبي رسول الله؟ فأخذتها فحلفت أن لاتعود، فأرسلها ثم جاء إلى رسول الله فقالت : إني ذاكرة لك شيئا : آية الكرسي اقرأها في بيتك فلا يقربك شيطان ولا غيره ، فجاء إلى رسول الله فقال:ما فعل أسيرك ؟ فأخبره بما قال، قال: صدقك وهو كذوب

I had an alcove in which I would store dates. A demon would come in the form of light and steal from it. We complained about this to Rasūlullāh راستینداز ''Go (there), and when you see it then say, 'In the name of Allah, respond to Rasūlullāh راستینداز 'I grabbed it but it promised that it will not return, so I let go of it. ''Go to Rasūlullāh I taught you something; if you recite Āyat al-Kursī in your house, nothing will come close to you, neither a demon, nor anything else.'' The next day, he went to Rasūlullāh المستعمد who asked: "What did your captive do?" He reported what the captive had done. Rasūlullāh مستعمد said: "He told you the truth, even though he is a great liar."

As for his comment:

وما أغرب ما يحدثنا به أبو هريرة عن شياطينه وكل ما انفرد به أبو هريرة غريب تارة يزعم أنهم يسرقون الطعام لعيالهم وأخرى أن لهم ضراطاً ذا سمعوا الأذان...

How strange are the tales that Abū Hurayrah narrates to us regarding his devils. All those narrations in which he is the sole narrator are totally weird. At times he narrates that they steal food to support their families and at times he narrates that they pass wind upon hearing the adhān.

Our comment: none who believe in the Qur'ān and Sunnah will object to this hadīth. This hadīth, which you have rejected on account of your desires and temperament, has been reported by the pride of your scholars, al-Majlisī. He titled a chapter in his book *al-Bihār*, "The chapter of the mention of Iblīs and his stories". Al-Majlisī stated:

روى مسلم عن سهل بن أبي صالح أنه قال: أرسلني أبي إلى بني حارثة ومعي غلام لنا، أوصاحب لنا ، فناداه مناد من حائط باسمه فأشرف الذي معي على الحائط فلم ير شيئاً فذكرت ذلك لأبي فقال: لو شعرت أنك تلقى هذا لم أرسلك، ولكن إذا سمعت صوتاً فناد بالصلاة فإني سمعت أبا هريرة يحدث عن رسول الله أنه قال: إن الشيطان إذا نودي بالصلاة أدبر

وفي رواية :عن أبي هريرة أن النبي قال: إذا تغوّلت لكم الغيلان فنادوا بالأذان فإن الشيطان إذا سمع النداء أدبر وله حصاص أي ضراط

Imām Muslim reports from Sahl ibn Ṣāliḥ who says: "My father sent me to Banū Ḥārithah. I had one of our slaves or one of our companions with me. Suddenly, someone called him by his name from a wall, so he went to have a look by the wall, but he did not see anything. I mentioned that to my father who said: "If I knew that you were going to experience this, I would not have sent you. However, if you ever hear a voice, then call out the adhān, for I heard Abū Hurayrah relating from Rasūlullāh للمنظرة that he said: "Shayṭān withdraws when the call to ṣalāh is sounded."

Another tradition narrated by Abū Hurayrah from Nabī is: "When the demon snatches anything from you then call out the adhān, for indeed when shayṭān hears the adhān, he withdraws and passes wind in the process.'

Similarly, al-Muḥāqqiq al-Aḥsā'ī has also narrated it in his book *al-ʿAwālī* (1/409). He says:

روي في الخبر عنه أنه:" إذا أذن المؤذن ، أدبر الشيطان وله ضراط" .

It has been reported from him in a narration: "When the muʿadhdhin calls out the adhān, then shayṭān withdraws whilst letting out wind."

Al-Nūrī also quotes it in his book *al-Mustadrak* (4/73) under the chapters of adhān and iqāmah.

Would it be correct for us to say: 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is totally ignorant regarding his beliefs?

Abd al-Ḥusayn Does Not Accept That the Mother of Abū Hurayrah Accepted Islam on Account of the Supplication of Nabī مكاللتكيميتية, and His supplication That They Should be Made Beloved to All Believers and All Believers Should be Made Beloved to Them

On page 162, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn quotes the ḥadīth under the title, "The mother of Abū Hurayrah accepted Islam on account of the supplication of Nabī مَالَيْتَ اللهُ اللهُ and he supplicated that they should be made beloved to all believers and all believers should be made beloved to them". Imām Muslim reports with his isnād from Abū Hurayrah

كُنْتُ أَدْعُو أُمَّي إِلَى الإسلام وَهِي مُشْرِكَةٌ فَدَعَوْتُهَا يَوْمَا فَأَسْمَعَنْنِي فِي رَسُول اللَّه (ص) مَا أَكْرَهُ فَأَتَيْتُ رَسُولَ اللَّه وَأَنَا أَبْكِي قُلْتُ يَا رَسُول اللَّه كُنْتُ أَدْعُو أُمَّي إِلَى الإسلام فتابى على فَدَعَوْتُهَا اليَوْمُ فَأَسْمَعْنَيْ فِيكَ مَا أَكْرَهُ فَاذَعُ اللَّه أَنْ يَهْدِيَها فَقَالَ (ص): اللَّهُمَ اهْد أُمَّ أَبِي هُرَيْرَةَ فَخَرَجْتُ مُسْبَشْرًا فَلَمَا بلغت البَّاب فَإِذَا هُوَ مُجَافٌ فَسَمِعَتْ أُمَّي وطء قَدَمَيَّ فَقَالَتْ: مَكَانَكَ يَا أَبَّا هُم يُرَيْرَةَ وَسَمِعْتُ خَضْخَصَةَ الْمَاء فَاغْتَسَلَتْ وَلَبسَتْ دِرْعَهَا وَعَجَلَتْ غَنْ حِمَارِها فَنْتَحَتِ البَّابَ ثُمَّ قَالَتْ عَرَيْرَةَ أَنِي فَعْتَبَيْنُ أَ مُحَمَّدًا عَبُدُهُ وَرَسُولُهُ قَالَ فَرَجَعْتُ إلَى رَسُول اللَّه فَأَتَيْتُهُ وَأَنَا أَبِكِي مِنَ الْفَرَح فَقْلَتْ يَا لَكَه أَنَّ وَاعَتَسَلَتْ مُحَمَّدًا عَبَدُهُ وَرَسُولُهُ قَالَ فَرَجَعْتُ إِلَى رَسُول اللَّه فَأَتَيْتُهُ وَأَنَا أَبِكِي مِنَ الْفَرَح فَقَلْتُ يَا رَسُولَ اللَّه وَأَسْبَهُ أَنَّ اسْتَجَابُ عَبْدُهُ وَرَسُولُهُ قَالَ فَرَجَعْتُ إِلَى رَسُول اللَّه فَأَتَيْتُهُ وَأَنَا أَبِكِي مِنَ الْفَرَح فَقُلْتُ يَا رَسُولَ اللَه أَنْسُولُ أَنْتَى اسْتَجَابُ اللَّهُ وَا عَنْ رَسُولَ اللَّهُ وَأَسْهُولُ أَنَّي عَلَى مَا لَعْتَى عَلَيْ وَاللَّ اسْتَجَعْنِ وَأَنَّ أَبْعَى وَنُعَنَا وَكُرَعُ فَقَالَ فَرَجَعْتُ إِنَيْ وَلُكُمَ الْنَهُ وَاللَّهُ وَأَنْتُ عَالَى وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ مَعْ مُنْتَ اسْتَحَابُ اللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَعَالَى وَاللَهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَهُ وَاللَّهُ وَاللَّهُ وَا مُوَى يَسْعَى إِنَّهُ إِنَّهُ إِنْعَام الْتَحَمَّنُهُ إِنَا وَأُمَّنِي إِنَّهُ وَرُعُتَهُ وَعَمَا وَاللَهُ مَنْتَعَا وَتُعَتَى الْنَا وَالَتَهُ وَا أَنْ

I would invite my mother to Islam whilst she was a polytheist. One day, I invited her due to which she made me hear some remarks regarding which I found hurtful. I went to Rasūlullāh مَاللَّعَتَدِيوَسَةُ which I found hurtful. I went to Rasūlullāh مَاللَّعَتَدِيوَسَةُ crying. I complained: "O Rasūlullāh, I would invite my mother to Islam, but she would refuse to (accept) my (invitation). Today, (when) I invited her, she made me hear hurtful remarks concerning you. Ask Allah to guide her." Thereupon, he supplicated: "O Allah, guide the mother of Abū Hurayrah." I went out seeking the good news. When I reached the door, I found that it was locked. My mother heard my footsteps, so she said: "Stay where you are, O Abū Hurayrah!" I heard the flowing of the water. She had completed her bath. She wore her outer garment but as a result of being in a hurry, left out her scarf. She immediately opened the door and then said: "O Abū Hurayrah, I testify that there is no deity besides Allah and I testify that Muḥammad is His servant and Rasūl." I went back to Nabī مَكَانَنَكَ مَدَوَعَةُ, returning to him with tears of joy in my eyes. I said to him: "O Rasūlullāh, ask Allah to make me and my mother beloved to his believing servants and to make them beloved to us." Rasūlullāh مَالْتَعْتَدِينَةُ supplicated: "O Allah, make this slave of yours (i.e. Abū Hurayrah) and his mother beloved to your believing slaves, and make the believers beloved to them." Thus, no Muslim was created who sees me or hears about me, except that he loves me.

'Abd al-Husayn searches for loopholes:

في هذا الحديث نظر من وجوه : أنه لم يروه عن رسول الله سوى أبي هريرة فهو إذن معطوف على سائر ما انفرد به ...- إلى أن قال- خامسها: و لو صح ما زعمه أبو هريرة من دعاء النبي له ولأمه بأن يحببهما إلى المؤمنين ويحبب المؤمنين إليهما لأحبه أهل بيت النبوة وموضع الرسالة فإنهم سادة المؤمنين وقادة أهل الملة والدين فما بال أئمتهم الاثني عشر وسائر علمائهم برذلّونه ويسقطون حديثه ؟ ولا يأبهون بشئ مما انفرد به حتى قال أمير المؤمنين(ع):ألا إن أكذب الناس أو قال: أكذب الأحياء على رسول الله(ص) لأبو هريرة الدوسي

This hadīth is objectionable from a few aspects; Abū Hurayrah is the sole narrator from Nabī from Nabī, thus it can be added to the rest of those narrations in which he is the sole narrator... Fifthly, if Abū Hurayrah's claim that Nabī for supplicated for him and his mother (that they should be made beloved to all the believers and the believers should be made beloved to them) has any truth to it, then the household of nubuwwah and risālah would have most definitely loved him. This is because they are the masters of the believers and the leaders of all those who subscribe to the religion. Why is it that their twelve A'immah as well as all of their scholars consider him despicable and disregard his aḥādīth? They do not pay attention to any of the narrations in which he is the sole narrator. In fact, Amīr al-Mu'minīn had even stated, 'The greatest liar', or 'Abū Hurayrah al-Dowsī is the greatest living fraudster who attributes statements to Rasūlullāh

He adds on in the footnotes of the page:

في هذا المعنى أخبار متواترة عن أئمة العترة الطاهرة وقد أرسل هذه الكلمة عن أميرالمؤمنين(ع) بالخصوص إمام المعتزلة أبو جعفر الاسكافي كما في (ص٣٦٠) من المجلد الأول من شرح النهج الحميدي .

ولو كان أبو هريرة في حب الؤمنين إياه وحبه إياهم كما زعم لما قال له عمر حين عزله عن البحرين : يا عدو الله وعدو كتابه سرقت مال الله ألخ . فيكف يكون عدو الله وعدو كتابه محباً للمؤمنين كافة ومحبوبا منهم جميعا ؟ وقد ضربه عمر على عهد رسول الله

There are countless narrations from the A'immah of the pure family which convey this message. This has been specifically narrated from Amīr al-Mu'minīn with an incomplete isnād by the imām of the Mu'tazilah, Abū Ja'far al-Iskāfī. This appears on page 360 of the first volume of Sharḥ al-Naḥj of al-Ḥumaydī. Further, if Abū Hurayrah was really as he claimed regarding the believers loving him and him loving them, then 'Umar would not have said to him while dismissing him from the governance of Baḥrayn, "O enemy of Allah and His book, you have stolen the wealth of Allah!..." How can the enemy of Allah and His book be beloved to all the believers and love all of them? 'Umar bashed him up during the era of Rasūlullāh as well.

Our comment: if we were to apply the same mentality as you applied above to the ahādīth of virtues, we will reach the conclusion that the virtues of your narrators (who you have praised unequivocally in your *Murājaʿāt*) such as Zurārah, are not narrated by anyone else. Thus, they could be added to "those narrations in which he the sole narrator". As an example, al-Kashshī reports in *al-Rijāl* (2/133 narration: 208) with his isnād from Ibn Bukayr who narrates from Zurārah:

Imām Jaʿfar said: "O Zurārah, your name is recorded among the names of the dwellers of paradise without an alif." I replied: "Yes, may I be sacrificed for you. My name is 'Abd Rabbih, but I was given the nick-name Zurārah."

Zurārah is the sole narrator of this ḥadīth. Another narration reported by him with his isnād to Zurārah is:

اسمع والله بالحرف من جعفر بن محمد من الفتيا فازداد به إيماناً

Hearing one word of a verdict by Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad increases my faith.

This is another hadīth which is only narrated by Zurārah. "It should be added to the rest of the narrations in which he is the sole narrator".

He reports (2/141 narration: 222) from Husayn ibn Zurārah:

قلت لأبي عبدالله إن أبي يقرأ عليك السلام ويقول لك جعلني الله فداك أنه لا يزال الرجل والرجلان

يقدمان فيذكران إنك ذكرتني وقلت فيّ فقال أقرأ أباك السلام وقل له أنا والله أحب لك الخير في الدنيا وأحب لك الخير في الآخرة وأنا والله عنك راض فما تبالي ما قال الناس بعد ذلك

I said to Imām Jaʿfar: "My father sent greetings and says, "There are always one or two people who come and tell me that you have mentioned me and spoke about me." He replied: "Convey greetings to your father and say to him, 'I wish for the best for you in this world and I wish for the best for you in the hereafter. By the oath of Allah, I am pleased with you, so do not bother about what the people have to say after this."

This hadīth is narrated by Zurārah alone. There is no information regarding his father. As for his grand-father, he was a monk who did not accept Islam, as recorded by al-Ṭūsī. How will the lovers of Zurārah answer on his behalf this time? They should inform me if they have any narration regarding the Islam of Zurārah, his father or grand-father, which is narrated by anyone besides him. Islam spread greatly during that period. They were not living in the period of ignorance, thus they had no excuse! If they have anything in this regard, they should guide us towards it. I make Allah my witness that despite searching under all those who were companions, I did not find anyone who mentioned a word or two concerning the parents of Zurārah.

As for his comments:

Further, if Abū Hurayrah was really as he claimed regarding the believers loving him and him loving them, then 'Umar would not have said to him while dismissing him from the governance of Bahrain, "O enemy of Allah and His book, you have stolen the wealth of Allah!..."

Why is it that their twelve A'immah as well as all of their scholars consider him despicable and disregard his aḥādīth?

We say: Ustādh ʿAbd al-Munʿim Ṣāliḥ dedicated an entire chapter to the narrations of the children of ʿAlī, his influential men, companions, supporters and the majority of the former Shīʿah who narrated from Abū Hurayrah , in his book

Difāʿʿan Abī Hurayrah. Thereafter he says: "Al-Naẓẓām and Abū Jaʿfar al-Iskāfī — the two Muʿtazilites — have falsely attributed a statement to ʿAlī without producing any isnād for it. This narration declares Abū Hurayrah a liar. They assert that ʿAlī is the one who blurted it out. Thus, they created a wrong impression among the latter Shīʿah and gave them a reason to believe that Abū Hurayrah was a liar.

This claimed statement cannot be accepted. It is not possible for anyone to rely upon it, as it does not have an isnād. The scholar and critics (of ḥadīth transmission) have discarded all those narrations which do not have isnād. Nonetheless, we will prove in this chapter, by means of explicit and undisputable proofs that the children of 'Alī ''''' would rely upon the narrations of Abū Hurayrah ''''' and they would even narrate from him. The chief supporters of 'Alī ''''' as well as his army commanders, who fought at his side during the battles of Jamal, Şiffīn and Nahrawān all narrated from Abū Hurayrah ''''''. A great number of Tābi'īn who met 'Alī '''''' and narrated from him as well, many of the freed slaves of the sons of 'Alī ''''''' from the Taba' al-Tābi'īn as well as the generation after them have all narrated the aḥādīth of Abū Hurayrah '''''', used his narrations, accepted them as evidence and recorded them in their books.

Indeed the existence of all of these narrations, as well as the fact that we have established that all of these people have circulated the aḥādīth of Abū Hurayrah , forces us to accept that the statement in which Abū Hurayrah belied was nothing but a false attribution to 'Alī , It was unknown to his children, their freed slaves, his armies, those who narrated from him, the first generation of Shī'ah and the people of Kūfah (which was the capital of 'Alī and the headquarters of Shī'ism). If this forged statement was indeed true, and not a mere fabrication, it would have spread amongst these people. They would have discarded Abū Hurayrah and they would not have compiled his narrations in their books along with who they heard it from. Keeping the above in mind, this chapter is the most important chapter of my book, since none before me have written regarding this. In the chapter succeeding it, we shall establish that many prominent $H\bar{a}shim\bar{n}$'s abstained from narrating this statement.

تىروي بفخر عنه أيضاً وتحمل	وكم مـن رواة عـن علي بكوفـة
على نحر ما ألفر أباه يسجّل	روى جعفر الصادق الهمام حديثه
فياعجباً من آخر لا يعروّل	كذلك زيـن العابديــن وصحبهــم
بخاف عواج في قصود تزمل	أبا جعفر مبسط اللثام ولم يعمد
تـوهمــت أنّا عـن فـراهــا نغفــّل	فإن كنـت تـروي عـن علي مقالــة
فضحت ونكثنا الذي كنت تغزل	وإن كنت عمداً قد وضعت لها فقد
وأبناؤه طرالها لم يدولووا ؟	لماذا إذن صدر التشيع ساكت
وسكت جموع الهاشميين يكمل	فهم أطبقوا سكتا ،وعف لسانهم

How many are the narrators who at Kūfah narrate from 'Alī with pride and amass (religious knowledge)

The noble Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq transmits his narrations in a way similar to that which he found his father recording

Likewise Zayn al-ʿĀbidīn and their companions, how strange indeed are those others who do not rely (on their narration)

Abū Jaʿfar (i.e. al-Bāqir) spread the muffler and did not exceed (in narration), fearing deviousness in motives, which had become second nature (to some)

If you are to narrate from 'Alī, a statement, do you think we will, of its fabricated nature, be unaware

And if intentionally you have forged it, then you will certainly be exposed and we shall unravel what you have so delicately woven

Why then is $Sh\bar{i}$ ism silent, whereas its sons are ready and they have not changed?

They have agreed upon silence, kept their tongues clean and all the Hāshimi's, without any exception, have also remained silent

I will rely firstly upon our ḥadīth sources (not that of the Shīʿah) to identify these individuals, the likes of Ṭabaqāt Ibn Saʿd, al-Jarḥ wa al-Taʿdīl of Ibn Abī Ḥātim, al-Thiqāt by Ibn Ḥibbān, Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb by Ibn Ḥajar, Mīzān al-Iʿtidāl of al-Dhahabī. Thereafter, I will re-affirm their allegiance to Shīʿism from the Shīʿah, using their most authentic sources. I have used books which are highly relied upon by the Shīʿah.¹

I will reproduce a few examples of the narrations of Abū Hurayrah and their isnād from Abū Hurayrah and their isnād from Abū Hurayrah and the former Shī'ah would narrate from him and accept his narrations as proof. This was to the extent that their scholar, al-Nūrī, could not complete a few chapters of his book *al-Mustadrak*, without narrating from Abū Hurayrah and the examples of these chapters are:

- It is disliked to hire a labourer without fixing his wages
- The impermissibility of stopping a labourer from jumuʿah and the laudability of perfecting labour tasks
- The laudability of paying the labourer his due as soon as he completes his task, before his perspiration dries and without any delay
- The permissibility of stipulating a condition of delaying or bringing forward and similarly all those things which are stipulated when hiring (labourers).²

As a matter of fact, Hāshim al-Baḥrānī reports that ʿAlī ibn al-Ḥusayn freed one of his slaves upon hearing one of the aḥādīth of Abū Hurayrah بنهي البارية. Hilyat al-Abrār (2/23-24) states:

وقال سعيد بن مرجانة يوماً عند علي بن الحسين سمعت أبا هريرة يقول: قال رسول الله من اعتق رقبة مؤمنة اعتق الله بكل ارب منها أرباً منه من النار حتى أنه ليعتق باليد اليد، وبالرجل، وبالفرج الفرج

 $^{1\,}$ Refer to Difa``an al-Sunnah pg. 175-223

² Mustadrak al-Wasā'il 14/28-29

فقال علي(ع) سمعت هذا من أبي هريرة ؟ فقال سعيد: نعم فقال: لغلام له افره غلمانه وكان عبد الله بن جعفر قد اعطاه بهذا الغلام ألف درهم فلم يبتعه أنت حر لوجه الله

One day, Saʿīd ibn Marjānah said in front of ʿAlī ibn al-Ḥusayn: "I heard Abū Hurayrah saying that Rasūlullāh المنتخفين said: 'Whoever frees a believing slave, Allah will free a limb of his from Jahannam, in exchange of every limb of his to the extent that he will free his hand in exchange of the slaves hand, his leg in exchange of the leg of the slave and his private part in exchange of the private part of the slave.'" 'Alī asked: "Did you hear this from Abū Hurayrah?" Saʿīd replied: "Yes." Thereupon he too freed a slave of his, who was his most agile slave and he was even offered a thousand dirhams in exchange of him by 'Abd Allāh ibn Jaʿfar, but he did not sell him, (he said to the slave:) "You are free for the pleasure of Allah."

Look at this! 'Alī ibn al-Ḥusayn (Zayn al-ʿĀbidīn) المحمد accepts the ḥadīth of Abū Hurayrah المحمد and practices upon it without denying it or doubting it in any way! Is this how you're A'immah and scholars considered him despicable and disregarded his aḥādīth, O impostor? I will present a few examples of the narrations of Abū Hurayrah (1996), reported with isnād from the leading scholars of the Shīʿah.

The Narrations of $Ab\bar{u}$ Hurayrah Reported by the $Sh\bar{i}`ah$

The following Shīʿah scholars narrate his aḥādīth:

- Muḥammad al-Mufīd (d. 413 A.H)
- Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī ibn al-Ḥusayn ibn Bābuwayh al-Qummī (al-Ṣadūq) (d. 381 A.H)
- Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan al-Ṭūsī (Shaykh al-Ṭā'ifah) (d. 460 A.H)
- Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī ibn ʿUthmān al-Karājikī (d. 449 A.H)
- Quțb al-Dīn al-Rāwandī (d. 573 A.H)

- Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad ibn al-Ashʿath in al-Jaʿfariyyāt¹
- Jaʿfar ibn Aḥmad al-Qummī
- Al-Sharīf al-Zāhid Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī al-Ḥusaynī
- Muḥy al-Dīn Abī Ḥāmid ibn ʿAlī ibn Zahrah al-Ḥusaynī, among others.

Here are some of the narrations which were narrated with their own asānīd:

1. The Asānīd of Shaykh al-Mufīd

عن الحسين بن محمد التمار، عن محمد بن القاسم، عن موسى بن محمد الخياط، عن إسحاق بن إبراهيم الخراساني، عن شريك عن عبد الله بن عمر عن أبي سلمة عن أبي هريرة قال:..

In al-Amālī (page 111) al-Mufīd — Ḥusayn ibn Muḥammad al-Nammār — Muḥammad ibn al-Qāsim — Mūsā ibn Muḥammad al-Khayyāṭ — Isḥāq ibn Ibrāhīm al-Khurāsānī — Sharīk — ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿUmar — Abū Salamah —Abū Hurayrah — Rasūlullāh ماللناتينية said:

This also appears in *al-Bihār* (5/18).

حدثنا أبوبكر محمد بن عمر الجعابي قال:حدثنا أبو جعفر محمد بن صالح القاضي قال:حدثنا مسروق ابن المرزبان قال: حدثنا حفص عن عاصم بن أبي عثمان عن أبي هريرة قال: قال رسول الله

Al-Amālī (page 317) al-Mufīd — Abū Bakr Muḥammad ibn ʿUmar al-Juʿābī — Abū Jaʿfar Muḥammad ibn Ṣāliḥ al-Qāḍī — Masrūq ibn al-Mirzabān — Ḥafṣ — ʿĀṣim ibn Abī ʿUthmān — Abū Hurayrah — Rasūlullāh مَعْنَفُ said...

حدثنا أبوبكر محمد بن عمر الجعابي قال:حدثنا محمد ين يحيى بن سليمان بن زياد المروزي قال: حدثنا عبيد الله بن محمد العيشي قال: حدثنا حماد بن سلمة عن أيوب عن أبي قلابة عن أبي هريرة قال: قال رسول الله

¹ This is narrated by Ismāʿīl ibn Mūsā ibn Jaʿfar. It comprises of a thousand aḥādīth, all narrated with one isnād. Ismāʿīl narrates it from his father, who narrates from Ismāʿīl's grand-father, al-Imām Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq, as mentioned by al-Ṭahrānī in *al-Dharīʿah* /112

Al-Amālī (page 111-112) al-Mufīd — Abū Bakr Muḥammad ibn ʿUmar al-Juʿābī —Muḥammad ibn Yaḥyā ibn Sulaymān ibn Ziyād al-Marwazī — ʿUbayd Allāh ibn Muḥammad al-ʿAyshī-Ḥammād ibn Salamah-Ayyūb — Abū Qilābah — Abū Hurayrah — Rasūlullāh ماللناتين said...

2. The Asānīd of Shaykh al-Ṣadūq

الصدوق :عن عبد الله بن حامد ، عن الحسن بن محمد بن إسحاق عن الحسين بن إسحاق الدقاق عن عمر بن خالد عن عمر بن راشد عن عبد الرحمن بن حرملة عن سعيد بن المسيب عن أبي هريرة قال

Al-Ṣadūq — ʿAbd Allāh ibn Ḥāmid — Ḥasan ibn Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq — Ḥusayn ibn Isḥāq al-Daqqāq — ʿUmar ibn Khālid — ʿUmar ibn Rāshid — ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Ḥarmalah — Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyab — Abū Hurayrah...(al-Biḥār 18/106-107)

الصدوق في "معاني الأخبار"(ص ٨٠ و ٩٨) : القاسم بن محمد بن أحمد الهمداني عن أحمد بن حسين عن إبراهيم ابن أحمد البغدادي عن أبيه عن عبد السلام عن إسحاق بن عبد الله بن أبي فروة عن زيد بن أسلم عن عطاء بن يسار عن أبي هريرة قال الحديث

Al-Ṣadūq in Maʿānī al-Akhbār (pages 80 and 98) — al-Qāsim ibn Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad al-Ḥamdānī — Aḥmad ibn Ḥusayn — Ibrāhīm ibn Aḥmad al-Baghdādī — his father — ʿAbd al-Salām — Isḥāq ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn Abī Farwah — Zayd ibn Aslam — ʿAṭā ibn Yasār — Abū Hurayrah...(Biḥār al-Anwār 22/238)

الصدوق في " اكمال الدين"(ص١٣٦): محمد بن عمر البغدادي عن محمد بن الحسن بن حفص عن محمد بن عبيد عن صالح بن موسى عن عبد العزيز بن رفيع عن أبي صالح عن أبي هريرة قال...

Al-Ṣadūq in *Ikmāl al-Dīn* (page 136) — Muḥammad ibn ʿUmar al-Baghdādī —Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan ibn Ḥafṣ — Muḥammad ibn ʿUbayd — Ṣāliḥ ibn Mūsā — ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz ibn Rafīʿ — Abī Ṣāliḥ — Abū Hurayrah... (*al-Biḥār* 23/132) الصدوق : إبراهيم بن هارون عن أبي بكر احمد بن محمد عن محمد بن يزيد القاضي عن قتيبة بن سعيد عن الليث بن سعد وإسماعيل بن جعفر عن أبيه عن أبي هريرة قال ... الحديث

Al-Ṣadūq — Ibrāhīm ibn Hārūn — Abū Bakr Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad — Muḥammad ibn Yazīd al-Qāḍī — Qutaybah ibn Saʿīḍ — al-Layth ibn Saʿd and Ismāʿīl ibn Jaʿfar — his father — Abū Hurayrah...(*al-Biḥā*r 5/27)

الصدوق في الخصال: الخليل بن أحمد عن ابن منيع عن مصعب عن مالك عن أبي عبدالرحمن عن حفص بن عاصم عن أبي سعيد الخدري أو عن أبي هريرة قال

Al-Ṣadūq in *al-Khiṣāl* — al-Khalīl ibn Aḥmad-Manī⁻ — Muṣʿab — Mālik — Abī ʿAbd al-Raḥmān — Ḥafṣ ibn ʿĀṣim — Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī or Abū Hurayrah... (*al-Biḥā*r 69/377)

الصدوق في الخصال : الخليل عن أبي العباس السراج عن قتيبة عن رشيد بن سعد البصري عن شراحيل بن يزيد عن عبدالله بن عمر وأبي هريرة ... الحديث

Al-Ṣadūq in *al-Khiṣāl* — al-Khalīl — Abū al-ʿAbbās al-Sirāj — Qutaybah — Rashīd ibn Saʿd al-Baṣrī — Sharāḥīl ibn Yazīd — ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿUmar and Abū Hurayrah...(*al-Biḥā*r 50/70)

الصدوق في الخصال : الخليل بن احمد عن معاذ عن الحسين المروزي عن محمد بن عبيد عن داود الأودي عن أبيه عن أبي هريرة عن النبي

Al-Ṣadūq in *al-Khiṣāl* — Khalīl ibn Aḥmad Muʿādh — Ḥusayn al-Marwazī-Muḥammad ibn ʿUbayd — Dāwūd al-Awdī — his father — Abū Hurayrah — Nabī مَرَالَسْمَنَيْدِمِيَالَمُ said... (*al-Biḥār* 70/288, 71/270, 388)

الصدوق في الخصال : عن الخليل عن ابن صاعد عن اسحاق بن شاهين عن خالد ابن عبدالله عن يوسف بن موسى عن حريز بن سهيل عن صفوان عن أبي يزيد عن القعقاع بن اللجلاج عن أبي هريرة عن رسول الله

Al-Ṣadūq in *al-Khiṣāl* — al-Khalīl — Ibn Ṣāʿid — Isḥāq ibn Shāhīn — Khālid ibn ʿAbd Allāh — Yūsuf ibn Mūsā — Ḥarīz ibn Suhayl — Ṣafwān — Abū Yazīd — al-Qaʿqāʿ ibn al-Lajlāj — Abū Hurayrah — Rasūlullāh كَأَنَّسْعَيْنِوْسَةُ said...(*al-Biḥār* 73/302) الصدوق في الخصال : عن الخليل بن أحمد عن أبي العباس السراج عن قتيبة عن بكر بن عجلان عن سعيد المقبري عن أبي هريرة

Al-Ṣadūq in *al-Khiṣāl* — al-Khalīl — Abū al-ʿAbbās al-Sirāj — Qutaybah — Bakr ibn ʿAjlān — Saʿīd al-Maqburī — Abū Hurayrah...(*al-Biḥār* 73/303, 75/309)

الصدوق في الخصال: ابن بندار عن جعفر بن محمد بن نوح عن عبدالله بن أحمد بن حماد عن الحسن بن علي الحلواني عن بشير بن عمر عن مالك بن أنس عن سعيد بن أبي المقبري عن أبي هريرة قال.... الحديث

Al-Ṣadūq in *al-Khiṣāl* — Ibn Bandār — Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad ibn Nūḥ — ʿAbd Allāh ibn Aḥmad ibn Ḥammād — Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī al-Ḥulwānī — Bashīr ibn ʿUmar — Mālik ibn Anas — Saʿīd ibn Abī al-Maqburī — Abū Hurayrah (*al-Biḥār* 76/68)

الصدوق في الخصال : عن الخليل عن محمد بن معاذ عن علي بن خشرم عن عيسى بن يونس عن أبي معمر عن سعيد الغنوي عن أبي هريرة...

Al-Ṣadūq in *al-Khiṣāl* — al-Khalīl — Muḥammad ibn Muʿādh — ʿAlī ibn Khashram — ʿĪsā ibn Yūnus — Abū Maʿmar — Saʿīd al-Ghanawī — Abū Hurayrah...(*al-Biḥār* 73/303, 75/309)

الصدوق في الخصال : عن محمد بن عبدالله الشافعي عن محمد بن جعفر بن الأشعث عن محمد بن ادريس عن محمد بن عبدالله الانصاري عن محمد بن عمربن علقمة عن أبي سلمة عن أبي هريرة قال....

Al-Ṣadūq in *al-Khiṣāl* — Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd Allāh al-Shāfiʿī — Muḥammad ibn Jaʿfar ibn al-Ashʿath — Muḥammad ibn Idrīs — Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd Allāh al-Anṣārī —Muḥammad ibn ʿUmar ibn ʿAlqamah — Abū Salamah — Abū Hurayrah...

الصدوق في الخصال : الخليل عن ابن معاذ عن الحسين المروزي عن عبدالله عن يحيى بن عبيد الله عن أبيه عن أبي هريرة قال.... Al-Ṣadūq in *al-Khiṣāl* — Khalīl ibn Aḥmad Muʿādh — Ḥusayn al-Marwazī — ʿAbd Allāh — Yaḥyā ibn ʿUbayd Allāh — his father — Abū Hurayrah...(*al-Biḥār* 76/72, 79/129-130)

الصدوق في الخصال: الخليل عن ابن منيع عن أبي بكر بن أبي شيبة عن معوية عن الأعمش عن أبي صالح عن أبي هريرة قال . . .

Al-Ṣadūq in *al-Khiṣāl* — Khalīl — Ibn Manī⁻ — Abū Bakr ibn Abī Shaybah — Muʿāwiyah — al-Aʿmash — Abū Ṣāliḥ — Abū Hurayrah...(*al-Biḥār* 75/49)

الصدوق في الخصال : الخليل عن ابن صاعد عن حمزة بن العباس عن يحيى بن نصر عن ورقاء بن عمر عن الأعمش عن أبي صالح عن أبي هريرة...

Al-Ṣadūq in *al-Khiṣāl* — Khalīl — Ibn Ṣāʿid — Ḥamzah ibn al-ʿAbbās — Yaḥyā ibn Naṣr — Warqā' ibn ʿUmar — al-Aʿmash — Abū Ṣāliḥ — Abū Hurayrah... (*al-Biḥār* 96/151)

الصدوق في الخصال: محمد بن أبي عبدالله الفرغاني عن محمد بن جعفر بن الأشعث عن عن أبي حاتم عن محمدبن عبدالله عن ابن جريج عن أبي الزبير عن عمر بن تيهان عن أبي هريرة ...

Al-Ṣadūq in *al-Khiṣāl* — Muḥammad ibn Abū ʿAbd Allāh al-Farghānī — Muḥammad ibn Jaʿfar ibn al-Asʿath — Abū Ḥātim — Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd Allāh — Ibn Jurayj — Abū al-Zubayr — ʿUmar ibn Tīhān — Abū Hurayrah... (*al-Biḥā*r 104/102)

الصدوق في الخصال: القاسم بن محمد بن أحمد عن الحسن بن علي بن نصر عن محمد ابن عثمان عن عبيدالله بن موسى عن شبيان عن الأعمش عن أبي صالح عن أبي هريرة قال...

Al-Ṣadūq in *al-Khiṣāl* — al-Qāsim ibn Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad-Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī ibn Naṣr — Muḥammad ibn ʿUthmān — ʿUbayd Allāh ibn Mūsā-Shaybān al-Aʿmash — Abū Ṣāliḥ — Abū Hurayrah...(*al-Biḥā*r 104/253)

الصدوق في ثواب الأعمال : ابن المتوكل عن محمد بن جعفر عن موسى بن عمران عن عمه الحسين بن يزيد عن حماد بن عمرو النصيبي عن أبي الحسن الخراساني عن ميسرة بن عبدالله عن أبي عائشة السعدي عن يزيد بن عمر بن عبدالعزيز عن أبي سلمة بن عبدالرحمن عن أبي هريرة وعبدالله بن عباس قال...
Al-Şadūq in *Thawāb al-A*'*māl* – Ibn al-Mutawakkil – Muḥammad ibn Ja'far – Mūsā ibn 'Imrān – his uncle, Ḥusayn ibn Yazīd – Ḥammād ibn 'Amr al-Naṣībī – Abū al-Ḥasan al-Khurāsānī – Maysarah ibn 'Abd Allāh – Abū 'Ā'ishah al-Sa'dī – Yazīd ibn 'Umar ibn 'Abd al-'Azīz – Abū Salamah ibn 'Abd al-Raḥmān – Abū Hurayrah and 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās...(*al-Biḥār* 76/359-374)

الصدوق في ثواب الأعمال: عن محمد بن موسى بن المتوكل عن محمد بن جعفر عن موسى بن عمران بإسناده عن أبي هريرة وابن عباس قالا...

Al-Ṣadūq in *Thawāb al-Aʿmāl* — Muḥammad ibn Mūsā ibn al-Mutawakkil — Muḥammad ibn Jaʿfar — Mūsā ibn ʿImrān with his isnād to Abū Hurayrah and Ibn ʿAbbās...(*al-Biḥār* 81/218-219)

الصدوق في ثواب الأعمال: عن محمد بن موسى بن المتوكل عن محمد بن جعفر عن موسى بن عمران عن الحسين بن يزيد عن حماد عن عمرو عن أبي الحسن الخراساني عن ميسر عن عبدالله عن أبي عائشة السعدي عن يزيد عن عمر بن عبد العزيز عن أبي سلمة عن عبدالرحمن عن أبي هريرة وعبدالله بن عباس...

Al-Ṣadūq in *Thawāb al-Aʿmāl* — Muḥammad ibn Mūsā ibn al-Mutawakkil — Muḥammad ibn Jaʿfar — Mūsā ibn ʿImrān-Ḥusayn ibn Yazīd-Ḥammād — ʿAmr — Abū al-Ḥasan al-Khurāsānī Maysarah ibn ʿAbd Allāh — Abū ʿĀʾishah al-Saʿdī — Yazīd ibn ʿUmar ibn ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz — Abū Salamah ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān — Abū Hurayrah and ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbbās...(al-Biḥār 88/3)

الصدوق في ثواب الأعمال: ابن الوليد عن الصفار عن البرقي عن أبي الجوزاء عن ابن علوان عن عمرو بن خالد عن أبي هاشم عن أبي جبير عن أبي هريرة...

Al-Ṣadūq in *Thawāb al-Aʿmāl* — Ibn al-Walīd — al-Ṣaffār — al-Barqī — Abū al-Jawzā —Ibn ʿAlwān — ʿAmr ibn Khālid — Abū Hāshim — Abū Jubayr — Abū Hurayrah (*al-Biḥā*r 96/253)

الصدوق في اماليه : عن الحسن بن عبدالله بن سعيد عن عبدالله بن محمد بن عبدالكريم عن محمد بن عبدالرحمن عن عمرو بن أبي بسلمة عن أبي عمر الصنعاني عن العلا بن عبدالرحمن عن أبيه عن أبي هريرة ... Al-Ṣadūq in *Amālī* — Ḥasan ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn Saʿīd — ʿAbd Allāh ibn Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd al-Karīm — Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān — ʿAmr ibn Abū Salamah — Abū ʿUmar al-Ṣanʿānī — al-ʿAlā ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān his father — Abū Hurayrah...(al-Biḥār 72/36, 75/143)

الصدوق في العلل: عن أبي الهيثم عبدالله بن محمد عن محمد بن علي الصائغ عن سعيد بن منصور عن سفيان عن الزهري عن سعيد بن المسيب عن أبي هريرة قال...

Al-Ṣadūq in *al-ʿIlal* — Abū al-Ḥuthaym ʿAbd Allāh ibn Muḥammad — Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī al-Ṣāʾigh — Saʿīd ibn Manṣūr — Sufyān-al-Zuhrī — Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyab — Abū Hurayrah....

الصدوق في العلل: ابن ادريس عن أبيه عن الأشعري عن الجاموراني عن الحسن بن علي عن أبي عثمان عن حفص بن غياث عن ليث عن سعد عن عمر بن أبي سلمة عن أبي هريرة...

Al-Ṣadūq in *al-ʿIlal* — Ibn Idrīs — his father — al-Ashʿarī — al-Jāmurānī — Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī — Abū ʿUthmān — Ḥafṣ ibn Ghiyāth — Layth — Saʿd — ʿUmar ibn Abī Salamah — Abū Hurayrah...(*al-Biḥā*r 103/142)

الصدوق في "التوحيد"(التوحيد ص٢٦ ح ٢٥) : حدثنا أبو الحسن أحمد بن محمدبن أحمد بن غالب الأنماطي قال: أخبرنا أبوعمرو أحمد بن الحسن بن غزوان ، قال: حدثنا ابر اهيم بن أحمد قال: حدثنا دواد بن عمرو، قال: حدثنا عبدالله بن جعفر، عن زيد بن أسلم ، عن عطار بن يسار عن أبي هريرة قال....

Al-Ṣadūq in *al-Towḥī*d (page 26, ḥadīth: 25) — Abū al-Ḥasan Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn Ghālib — al-Anmāṭī — Abū ʿAmr Aḥmad ibn al-Ḥasan ibn Ghazwān —Ibrāhīm ibn Aḥmad-Dāwūd ibn ʿAmr — ʿAbd Allāh ibn Jaʿfar — Zayd ibn Aslam — ʿAṭṭār ibn Yasār — Abū Hurayrah...

3. The Asānīd of al-Karājikī from Kanz al-Fawā'id

حدثني أبو الحسن محمد بن أحمد بن علي بن الحسن بن شاذان عن محمد بن أحمد الشاشي عن أحمد بن زياد القطان عن يحيى بن أبي طالب عن عمرو بن عبدالغفار عن الأعمش عن أبي صالح عن أبي هريرة قال.

Abū al-Ḥasan Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn ʿAlī ibn al-Ḥasan ibn Shādhān related to me from Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad al-Shāshī — Aḥmad ibn Ziyād al-Qaṭṭān — Yaḥyā ibn Abū Ṭālib — ʿAmr ibn ʿAbd al-Ghaffār — al-Aʿmash — Abū Ṣāliḥ — Abū Hurayrah... (1/148, *al-Biḥā*r 27/228)

حدثني القاضي أبو الحسن محمد بن علي بن محمد الأزدي قال: حدثنا أبو زيد عمرو بن أحمد العسكري بالبصرة قال: حدثنا أبو أيوب قال : حدثنا أحمد بن الحجاج قال: حدثنا ثوبان ابن ابراهيم عن مالك بن مسلم عن أبي مريم عن أبي صالح عن أبي هريرة..

Al-Qādī Abū al-Ḥasan Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī ibn Muḥammad al-Azdī related to me —Abū Zayd ʿAmr ibn Aḥmad al-ʿAskarī (at Baṣrah) — Abū Ayyūb Aḥmad ibn al-Ḥajjāj — Thowbān ibn Ibrāhīm — Mālik ibn Muslim — Abū Maryam — Abū Ṣāliḥ — Abū Hurayrah...(1/207)

4. The Asānīd of al-Shaykh al-Ṭūsī

الطوسي في أماليه: أبو عمرو ، عن ابن عقدة ، عن أحمد بن يحيى ، عن عبدالرحمن عن أبيه ، عن أبي معشر، عن سعيد ، عن أبي هريرة...

Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī* — Abū ʿAmr — Ibn ʿAqdah — Aḥmad ibn Yaḥyā — ʿAbd al-Raḥmān — his father — Abū Mishʿar — Saʿīd — Abū Hurayrah (*al-Biḥār* 28/6—7)

- الطوسي : المفيد ، عن محمد بن الحسن المقري ، عن محمد بن سهل العطار ، عن أحمد بن عمر الدهقان ، عن محمد بن كثير ، عن عاصم بن كليب ، عن أبيه ، عن أبي هريرة قال.... الحديث

Al-Ṭūsī — al-Mufīd — Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan al-Maqrī — Muḥammad ibn Sahl al-ʿAṭṭār — Aḥmad ibn ʿUmar al-Dahqān — Muḥammad ibn Kathīr — ʿĀṣim ibn Kulayb — his father — Abū Hurayrah...(al-Biḥār 41/34)

الطوسي في اماليه : أباعمرو، عن ابن عقدة، عن يحيى بن زكريا بن شيبان ، عن أرطأة بن حيدر، عن أبوب بن واقد، عن يونس بن حباب، عن أبي حازم ، عن أبي هريرة قال..... الحديث

Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī* — Abū ʿAmr — Ibn ʿAqdah — Yaḥyā ibn Zakariyyā ibn Shaybān — Arṭāt ibn Ḥaydar — Ayyūb ibn Wāqid — Yūnus ibn Ḥubāb — Abū Ḥāzim — Abū Hurayrah...(43/264) قال الطوسي في اماليه جماعة ، عن أبي المفضل ، عن محمد بن جرير الطبري، عن عمرو بن علي عن عمرو بن خليفة عن محمد بن زياد عن أبي هريرة

Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī* — from a group — Abū al-Mufaḍḍal — Muḥammad ibn Jarīr al-Ṭabarī — ʿAmr ibn ʿAlī — ʿAmr ibn Khalīfah — Muḥammad ibn Ziyād — Abū Hurayrah...(*al-Biḥā*r 43/265)

الطوسي في أماليه: ابن مخلد عن محمد بن عمرو بن البختري عن محمد بن أحمد بن أبي العوام عن عبدالوهاب بن عطا عن محمد بن عمرو عن أبي سلمة عن أبي هريرة... الحديث

Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī* — Ibn Mikhlad — Muḥammad ibn ʿAmr al-Bukhtarī — Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn Abī al-ʿAwām — ʿAbd al-Wahhāb ibn ʿAṭā — Muḥammad ibn ʿAmr — Abū Salamah — Abū Hurayrah...(al-Biḥār 71/389— 390)

الطوسي في أماليه: المفيد عن محمد بن المظفر عن محمد بن عبد ربه عن عصام بن يوسف عن أبي بكر بن عياش عن عبدالله بن سعيد عن أبيه عن أبي هريرة قال... الحديث

Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī* — al-Mufīd — Muḥammad ibn al-Muẓaffar — Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd Rabbih — ʿIṣām ibn Yūsuf — Abū Bakr ibn ʿAyyāsh — ʿAbd Allāh ibn Saʿīd — his father — Abū Hurayrah...(*al-Biḥār* 72/64)

الطوسي في اماليه: جماعة عن أبي المفضل عن الحسين بن موسى عن عبدالرحمن ابن خالد عن زيد بن حباب عن حماد عن ثابت عن أبي رافع عن أبي هريرة...

Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī* — a group — Abū al-Mufaḍḍal — Ḥusayn ibn Mūsā — ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Khālid — Zayd ibn Ḥubāb — Ḥammād ibn Thābit — Abū Rāfiʿ — Abū Hurayrah...(al-Biḥār 74/368)

الطوسي في أماليه: المفيد عن الجعابي عن محمد بن صالح القاضي عن مسروق ابن المرزبان عن حفص عن عاصم بن أبي عثمان عن أبي هريرة قال...

Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī* — al-Mufīd — al-Juʿābī — Muḥammad ibn Ṣālih al-Qāḍī — Masrūq ibn al-Mirzabān — Ḥafṣ — ʿĀṣim ibn Abū ʿUthmān — Abū Hurayrah...(al-Biḥār 76/4) الطوسي في أماليه: ابن الشيخ عن أبيه عن محمد بن محمد بن مخلد عن عبدالواحد بن محمد بن عبدالله بن مهدي عن يحيى بن أبي طالب عن عبدالرحمن ابن علقمة عن عبدالله بن المبارك عن سفيان عن اسماعيل بن أبي خالد عن زياد عن أبي هريرة...

Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī* — Ibn al-Shaykh — his father — Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad ibn Makhlad — ʿAbd al-Wāḥid ibn Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn Mahdī — Yaḥyā ibn Abū Ṭālib — ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn ʿAlqamah — ʿAbd Allāh ibn al-Mubārak — Sufyān — Ismāʿīl ibn Abū Khālid — Ziyād — Abū Hurayrah (*al-Biḥār* 80/267)

الطوسي في أماليه: ابن الشيخ عن أبيه عن محمد بن محمد بن مخلد عن عثمان بن أحمد المعروف بابن السماك عن أحمد بن علي بن الخزاز عن يحيى بن عمران عن سليمان بن أرقم عن الحسن عن أبي هريرة...

Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī* — Ibn al-Shaykh — his father — Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad ibn Makhlad — ʿUthmān ibn Aḥmad (Ibn al-Sammāk) — Aḥmad ibn ʿAlī ibn al-Khazzāz — Yaḥyā ibn ʿImrān — Sulaymān ibn Arqam — Ḥasan — Abū Hurayrah (*al-Biḥār* 81/313)

الطوسي في اماليه: المفيد عن التمار عن علي بن ماهان عن الحارث بن محمد بن داهر عن داود بن المخبر عن عباد بن كثير عن سهيل بن عبد الله عن أبيه عن أبي هريرة قال...

Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī* — al-Mufīd — al-Tammār — ʿAlī ibn Māhān — Ḥārith ibn Muḥammad ibn Dāhir — Dāwūd ibn al-Mukhbir — ʿAbbād ibn Kathīr — Suhayl ibn ʿAbd Allāh — his father — Abū Hurayrah...(al-Biḥār 75/100)

الطوسي في أماليه: المفيد عن محمد بن الحسين البزوفري عن أبيه عن الحسين بن ابراهيم عن علي بن داود عن آدم العقلاني عن أبي عمر الصنعاني عن العلا بن عبدالرحمن عن أبي هريرة …

Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī* — al-Mufīd — Muḥammad ibn Ḥusayn al-Bazūfarī — his father — Ḥusayn ibn Ibrāhīm — ʿAlī ibn Dāwūd — Ādam al-ʿAqlānī — Abū ʿUmar al-Ṣanʿānī — al-ʿAlāʾ ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān — Abū Hurayrah...(al-Biḥār 75/100) الطوسي في أماليه: ابن مخلد عن الرزاز عن العباس بن حاتم عن يعلي بن عبيد عن يحيى بن عبيدالله عن أبيه عن أبي هريرة قال...

Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī* — Ibn Mikhlad — al-Razāz — 'Abbās ibn Ḥātim — Ya'lā ibn 'Ubayd — Yaḥyā ibn 'Ubayd Allāh — his father — Abū Hurayrah...(*al-Biḥā*r 75/189)

الطوسي في أماليه: محمد بن عبد الغني بن سعيد بن عثمان بن محمد السمرقندي عن محمد بن حماد الطهراني عن عبد الرزاق عن سفيان الثوري عن أبي معشر عن سعيد المقبري عن أبي هريرة ...

Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī* — Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd al-Ghanī ibn Saʿīd ibn ʿUthmān ibn Muḥammad al-Samarqandī — Muḥammad ibn Ḥammād al-Ṭahrānī — ʿAbd al-Razzāq — Sufyān al-Thowrī — Abū Miʿshar — Saʿīd al-Maqburī — Abū Hurayrah...(*al-Biḥār* 75/310)

الطوسي في أماليه: عن المفيد عن الحسين بن علي التمار عن أحمد بن محمد عن لعنزي عن علي بن الصباح عن أبي المنذر عن أبي صالح عن أبي هريرة ...

Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī* — al-Mufīd — Ḥusayn ibn ʿAlī al-Tammār — Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad — al-ʿAnazī — ʿAlī ibn Ṣabbāh — Abū al-Mundhir — Abū Ṣāliḥ — Abū Hurayrah...(*al-Biḥā*r 84/4)

الطوسي في أماليه: عن المفيد عن ا لحسين بن علي التمار عن محمد بن يحيى بن سليمان عن داود عن جعفر بن اسماعيل عن عمرو بن أبي عمرو عن المقيري عن أبي هريرة قال...

Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī* — al-Mufīd — Ḥusayn ibn ʿAlī al-Tammār — Muḥammad ibn Yaḥyā ibn Sulaymān — Dawūd — Jaʿfar ibn Ismāʿīl — ʿAmr ibn Abī ʿAmr — al-Muqīrī — Abū Hurayrah...(al-Biḥār 87/207)

الطوسي في أماليه: عن محمد بن محمد بن مخلد عن عثمان بن أحمد الدقاق عن عبيد بن عبد الواحد عن ابن أبي مريم عن نافع بن يزيد عن يحيى ابن أبي سليمان المدني عن يريد بن أبي القتاة وابن المقبري عن أبي هريرة قال...

Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī* — Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad ibn Mikhlad — ʿUthmān ibn Aḥmad al-Daqqāq — ʿUbayd ibn ʿAbd al-Wāḥid — Ibn Abī Maryam — Nāfiʿ ibn Yazīd — Yaḥyā ibn Abī Sulaymān al-Madanī — Yazīd ibn Abī al-Qatāh and Ibn al-Maqburī — Abū Hurayrah...(al-Biḥār 88/56)

الطوسي في أماليه: بالإسناد إلى الرقاشي عن أبيه عن محمد بن مروان عن المعارك أن عباد عن سعيد بن أبي سعيد عن أبيه عن أبي هريرة...

Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amāl*ī with an isnād to al-Raqāshī— his father — Muḥammad ibn Marwān — Maʿārik — ʿUbbād — Saʿīd ibn Abī Saʿīd — his father — Abū Hurayrah...(al-Biḥār 62/186)

الطوسي في أماليه: ابن بشران عن اسماعيل بن محمد الصفار عن الحسن بن عرفة عن حريز بن عبد الحميد عن عمارة ابن القعاقاع عن أبي زرعة عن أبي هريرة قال ...

Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī* — Ibn Bishrān — Ismāʿīl ibn Muḥammad al-Ṣaffār — Ḥasan ibn ʿArafah — Ḥarīz ibn ʿAbd al-Ḥamīd — ʿUmārah ibn al-Qaʿqaʿ — Abū Zurʿah — Abū Hurayrah...(*al-Biḥār* 96/178)

الطوسي في أماليه: المفيد عن الجعابي عن محمد ين يحيى بن سليمان المروزي عن عبيد الله بن محمد العبسي عن حماد بن سلمة عن أبوب عن أبي قلابة عن أبي هريرة قال...

Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī* — al-Mufīd — al-Juʿābī — Muḥammad ibn Yaḥyā ibn Sulaymān al-Marwazī — ʿUbayd Allāh ibn Muḥammad al-ʿAbsī — Ḥammad ibn Salimah — Ayyūb — Abū Qilābah — Abū Hurayrah...(al-Biḥār 96/366, 97/17)

الطوسي في أماليه: بالإاسناد المتقدم إلى حماد بن سلمة عن محمد بن عمر عن أبي سلمة عن أبي هريرة قال

Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī* — al-Mufīd — al-Juʿābī — Muḥammad ibn Yaḥyā ibn Sulaymān al-Marwazī — ʿUbayd Allāh ibn Muḥammad al-ʿAbsī — Ḥammad ibn Salimah — Muḥammad ibn ʿUmar — Abū Salamah — Abū Hurayrah... (*al-Biḥār* 96/366)

الطوسي في أماليه: الحفار عن أبي القاسم الدعبلي عن محمد بن غالب عن أبي عمير الحوصي عن الحسن بن أبي جعفر عن معمر عن الزهري عن سعيد بن المسيب عن أبي هريرة قال... Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī* — al-Ḥaffār — Abū al-Qāsim al-Daʿbalī — Muḥammad ibn Ghālib — Abū ʿUmayr al-Ḥūṣī — Ḥasan ibn Abū Jaʿfar — Maʿmar — al-Zuhrī — Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyab — Abū Hurayrah...(*al-Biḥār* 104/253—254)

الطوسي في أماليه : عن محمد بن محمد بن مخلد عن محمد بن يونس القرشي عن سعيد بن عامر عن محمد بن عمرو بن علقمة عن أبي سلمة ، عن أبي هريرة قال...

Al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī* — Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad ibn Mikhlad — Muḥammad ibn Yūnus al-Qurashī — Saʿīd ibn ʿĀmir — Muḥammad ibn ʿAmr ibn ʿAlqamah — Abū Salamah — Abū Hurayrah...(*al-Biḥār* 66/231, *al-Mustadrak* 16/421—424)

5. The Asānīd of al-Shaykh al-Rāwandī

ابن الرواندي في كتاب النوادر : عن أحمد بن محمد عن أحمد بن محمد عن محمد بن عبد الرحمن عن أبي بكر محمد عن محمد بن عمرو بن مذعورة عن أبي هريرة..

Ibn al-Rāwandī in *Kitāb al-Nawādir* — Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad — Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān — Abū Bakr Muḥammad — Muḥammad ibn ʿAmr ibn Madhʿūrah — Abū Hurayrah...(al-Biḥār 96/346, al-Mustadrak 7/481—482)

ابن الراوندي في كتاب النوادر : عن عبد الجبار بن أحمد عن الحاكم أبي الفضل الترمذي عن عبدالله بن صالح عن محمد بن أحمد عن اسماعيل بن اسحاق عن ابراهيم بن حمزة عن عبدالعزيز بن محمد عن سهيل بن مالك عن أبيه عن أبي هريرة قال..

Ibn al-Rāwandī in *Kitāb al-Nawādir* — ʿAbd al-Jabbār ibn Aḥmad — al-Ḥākim — Abū al-Faḍl al-Tirmidhī — ʿAbd Allāh ibn Ṣāliḥ — Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad — Ismāʿīl ibn Isḥāq — Ibrāhīm ibn Ḥamzah — ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz ibn Muḥammad — Suhayl ibn Mālik — his father — Abū Hurayrah...(al-Biḥār 96/384, al-Mustadrak 7/426)

ابن الراوندي في كتاب النوادر : عن الوراق عن أبي محمد عن عماد بن أحمد عن الحسين ابن علي عن محمد بن العلا عن أبي بكر بن عياش عن الأعمش عن أبي صالح عن أبي هريرة... Ibn al-Rāwandī in *Kitāb al-Nawādir* — al-Warrāq — Abū Muḥammad — ʿImād ibn Aḥmad — Ḥusayn ibn ʿAlī — Muḥammad ibn al-ʿAlā' — Abū Bakr ibn ʿAyyāsh — al-Aʿmash — Abū Ṣāliḥ — Abū Hurayrah...(*Al-Biḥār* 96/384, *al-Mustadrak* 7/429)

ابن الراوندي في كتاب النوادر: عن أحمد بن عمران بن موسى عن أحمد بن هشام عن أحمد بن عبدالله بن أبي نصر عن يزيد بن هارون عن هشام بن أبي هشام عن محمد بن محمد عن أبي سلمة عن أبي هريرة قال..

Ibn al-Rāwandī in *Kitāb al-Nawādir* — Aḥmad ibn ʿImrān ibn Mūsā — Aḥmad ibn Hishām — Aḥmad ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn Abī Naṣr — Yazid ibn Hārūn — Hishām ibn Abī Hishām — Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad — Abū Salamah — Abū Hurayrah...(al-Mustadrak 7/428)

6. The Asānīd of Ismāʿīl ibn Mūsā

اسماعيل بن موسى بن جعفر في الجعفريات : أخبرنا الشريف أبو الحسن علي بن عبد الصمد الهاشمي صاحب الصلاة بواسط حدثنا الأبهري حدثنا عبدالله بن محمد الحافظ قال: حدثنا محمد بن آدم المصيصي قال: حدثنا عبدالواحد بن سلمان قال: حدثنا عبدالله بن عون عن محمد بن سيرين عن أبي هريرة

Ismāʿīl ibn Mūsā ibn Jaʿfar in *al-Jaʿfariyyāt* — al-Sharīf Abū al-Ḥasan ʿAlī ibn ʿAbd al-Ṣamad al-Hāshimī (the one who performed excessive ṣalāh, from Wāsiṭ) — al-Abharī — ʿAbd Allāh ibn Muḥammad al-Ḥāfiẓ — Muḥammad ibn Ādam al-Miṣṣīṣī — ʿAbd al-Wāḥid ibn Salmān — ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAwn — Muḥammad ibn Sīrīn — Abū Hurayrah...(al-Mustadrak 8/278—279)

```
الجعفريات: عن محمد بن بريد المقرئ حدثنا أيوب بن النجار حدثنا الطيب بن محمد عن عطا عن أبي
هريرة قال
```

Ismā'īl ibn Mūsā ibn Jaʿfar in *al-Jaʿfariyyāt* — Muḥammad ibn Burayd al-Muqrī — Ayyūb ibn al-Najjār — Ṭayyib ibn Muḥammad — ʿAṭā — Abū Hurayrah...(al-Mustadrak 8/210) الجعفريات: قال محمد بن الأشعث أخبرنا الشريف أبو الحسن علي بن عبدالصمد الهاشمي صاحب الصلاة بواسط قال : أخبرنا أبوبكر محمدبن عبدالله الأبهري الفقيه المالكي حدثنا أبو عبدالله بكر بن محمد بن ابراهيم الضرير بن المصيص الزاهد، وكان ثقة، قال: حدثنا ابراهيم بن ربيعة عن أبي هريرة...

Ismā īl ibn Mūsā ibn Jaʿfar in *al-Jaʿfariyyāt* — Muḥammad ibn al-Ashʿath — al-Sharīf Abū al-Ḥasan ʿAlī ibn ʿAbd al-Ṣamad al-Ḥāshimī (the one who performed excessive ṣalāh, from Wāsiţ) — Abū Bakr Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd Allāh al-Abharī, the Mālikī jurist — Abū ʿAbd Allāh Bakr ibn Muḥammad ibn Ibrāhīm al-Ṣarir ibn al-Miṣīṣ al-Zāhid (who was trustworthy) — Ibrāhīm ibn Rabīʿah — Abū Hurayrah...(*Mustadrak al-Wasāʾil* 8/278—279)

الجعفريات: أخبرنا عبدالله أخبرنا محمد بن الأشعث قال: وحدثني الزبير محمد بن خلف بن عمر بن عبدالله بن الوليد بن عثمان بن عفان قال: حدثني علي بن عبدالله بن الجبار قال: حدثني محمد بن عبدالرحمن المزني عن محمد بن عجلان عن عجلان عن أبي هريرة قال...

Ismā'īl ibn Mūsā ibn Ja'far in *al-Ja'fariyyāt* — 'Abd Allāh — Muḥammad ibn al-Ash'ath — Zubayr Muḥammad ibn Khalaf ibn 'Umar ibn 'Abd Allāh ibn Walīd ibn 'Uthmān ibn 'Affān — 'Alī ibn 'Abd Allāh ibn al-Jabbār — Muḥammad ibn 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Muzanī — Muḥammad ibn 'Ajlān — 'Ajlān — Abū Hurayrah...(*al-Mustadrak* 12/339—340)

الجعفريات: أخبرنا عبدالله أخبرنا محمد بن الأشعث حدثنا محمد بن بريد المقرئ حدثنا أيوب بن النجار حدثنا الطيب بن محمد عن عطا عن أبي هريرة...

Ismāʿīl ibn Mūsā ibn Jaʿfar in *al-Jaʿfariyyāt* — ʿAbd Allāh Muḥammad ibn al-Ashʿath — Muḥammad ibn Burayd al-Muqrī — Ayyūb ibn al-Najjār — Ṭayyib ibn Muḥammad — ʿAṭā — Abū Hurayrah...(*Mustadrak al-Wasāʾil* 13/202)

الجعفريات: عن الشريف أبي الحسن علي بن عبدالصمد بن عبيدالله الهاشمي عن أبي بكر محمد بن عبدالله بن محمد بن صالح الأبهري الفقيه المالكي عن أحمد بن عميرعن ادريس عن أسباط عن العلاء بن هارون ع موسى بن اسحاق عن الزهري عن سعيد بن المسيب عن أبي هريرة قال...

Ismā'īl ibn Mūsā ibn Ja'far in *al-Ja'fariyyāt* — al-Sharīf Abū al-Ḥasan 'Alī ibn 'Abd al-Ṣamad al-Ḥāshimī (the one who performed excessive ṣalāh, from Wāsiţ) — Abū Bakr Muḥammad ibn 'Abd Allāh al-Abharī, the Mālikī jurist — Aḥmad ibn ʿUmayr — Idrīs — Asbāṭ — ʿAlā' ibn Hārūn — Mūsā ibn Isḥāq — al-Zuhrī — Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyib — Abū Hurayrah...(al-Mustadrak 13/281—282)

الجعفريات: أخبرنا عبدالله أخبرنا محمد بن الأشعث حدثنا محمد بن بريد المقرئ حدثنا أيوب بن النجار حدثنا الطيب بن محمد عن عطا عن أبي هريرة ...

Ismāʿīl ibn Mūsā ibn Jaʿfar in *al-Jaʿfariyyāt* — ʿAbd Allāh — Muḥammad ibn al-Ashʿath — Muḥammad ibn Burayd al-Muqrī — Ayyūb ibn al-Najjār — Ṭayyib ibn Muḥammad — ʿAṭā — Abū Hurayrah...(*Mustadrak al-Wasāʾil* 14/248)

الجعفريات: عن الشريف أبي الحسن علي بن عبدالصمد بن عبيدالله الهاشمي عن أبي بكر محمد بن عبدالله بن محمد بن صالح الأبهري عن عبدالله بن محمد بن وهب الدينوري الحافظ قال: حدثنا محمد بن آدم بن سليمان المصيصي قال: حدثنا عبدالواحد بن سلمان العبدي قال: حدثنا عبدالله بن عون عن محمد بن سيرين عن أبي هريرة قال..

Ismā'īl ibn Mūsā ibn Ja'far in *al-Ja'fariyyāt* — al-Sharīf Abū al-Ḥasan 'Alī ibn 'Abd al-Ṣamad al-Ḥāshimī (the one who performed excessive ṣalāh, from Wāsiṭ) — Abū Bakr Muḥammad ibn 'Abd Allāh al-Abharī — 'Abd Allāh ibn Muḥammad ibn Wahb al-Dinwarī al-Ḥāfiẓ — Muḥammad ibn Ādam ibn Sulaymān al-Miṣṣīṣī — 'Abd al-Wāḥid ibn Salmān al-'Abdī — 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Awn — Muḥammad ibn Sīrīn — Abū Hurayrah (*al-Mustadrak* 16/237)

7. The Asānīd of Jaʿfar ibn Aḥmad al-Qummī

جعفر بن أحمد القمي في الأخبار المسلسلات :حدثنا محمد بن علي الحسين وشبك بيدي قال:شبك بيدي عتاب بن محمد بن عتاب أبوالقاسم قال: شبك بيبدي أحمد بن محمد بن عمار ببغداد وقال لنا: شبك بيدي محمد بن همام العراقي قال: شبك بيدي اسماعيل بن ابراهيم قال:شبك بيدي عبدالكريم بن هشام قال شبك بيدي ابراهيم بن أبي يحيى قال: شبك بيبدي صفوان بن سليمان قال: شبك بيدي أبوب بن خالد قال: شبك بيدي رسول الله وقال: شبك بيدي أبو هريرة قال: شبك بيدي رسول الله وقال:

Jaʿfar ibn Aḥmad al-Qummī in *al-Akhbār al-Musalsalāt* — Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī al-Ḥusayn (whilst placing his fingers between mine and holding my hand) — ʿItāb ibn Muḥmmad ibn ʿItāb Abū al-Qāsim (whilst placing his fingers between mine and holding my hand) — Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn 'Ammār at Baghdād (whilst placing his fingers between mine and holding my hand) — Muḥammad ibn Humām al-'Irāqī (whilst placing his fingers between mine and holding my hand) — Ismā'īl ibn Ibrāhīm (whilst placing his fingers between mine and holding my hand) — 'Abd al-Karīm ibn Hishām (whilst placing his fingers between mine and holding my hand) — Ibrāhīm ibn Yaḥyā (whilst placing his fingers between mine and holding my hand) — Ṣafwān ibn Sulaymān (whilst placing his fingers between mine and holding my hand) — Ayyūb ibn Khālid (whilst placing his fingers between mine and holding my hand) — 'Ubayd Allāh ibn Rāfi' (whilst placing his fingers between mine and holding my hand) — Abū Hurayrah (whilst placing his fingers between mine and holding my hand) — Rasūlullāh said to me whilst placing his fingers between mine and holding my hand...(al-Biḥār 57/104)

8. The Asānīd of Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī al-Ḥusaynī

الشيخ محمد بن علي الحسيني في كتاب النعازي بإسناده : عن سهيل بن أبي صالح عن أبيه عن أبي هريرة قال....

Al-Shaykh Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī al-Ḥusaynī in *Kitāb al-Taʿāzī* with his isnād from Suhayl ibn Abī Ṣāliḥ — his father — Abū Hurayrah...(*al-Mustadrak* 2/246)

9. The Asānīd of al-Shaykh Muḥy al-Dīn ibn Akhī ibn Abī Zuhrah

ابن زهرة في أربعينه : عن أبي المحاسن يوسف بن رافع ، عن القاشي أبي الرضا سعيد بن عبدالله الشهرزوري ، عن أبي الفتح محمد بن عبد الرحمن الخطيب ، عن أبي القاسم هبة الله بن عبدالوارث ، عن أبي زرعة أحمد بن يحيى ، عن أبي محمد الحسن بن ابراهيم ، عن جعفر بن درستويه ، عن محمد بن عبدالله بن عمار عن المعافي عن محمد بن أبي حميد الأنصاري ، عن موسى بن وردان ، عن أبي هريرة ...

Ibn Zuhrah in his *Arbaʿīn* — Abū al-Maḥāsin Yūsuf ibn Rāfiʿ— al-Qāshī Abū al-Riḍā Saʿīd ibn ʿAbd Allāh al-Shahrzūrī — Abū al-Fatḥ Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān al-Khaṭīb — Abū al-Qāsim Hibbat Allāh ibn ʿAbd al-Wārith Abū Zur'ah Aḥmad ibn Yaḥyā — Abū Muḥammad Ḥasan ibn Ibrāhīm
Jaʿfar ibn Darstawayh — Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAmmār — al-Muʿāfā — Muḥammad ibn Abī Ḥumayd al-Anṣārī — Mūsā ibn Wardān — Abū Hurayrah...(*al-Mustadrak* 12/221–222)

ابن زهرة في أربعينه : أخبرنا القاضي الإمام شيخ الإسلام أبو المحاسن يوسف بن رافع بن تميم، بقراءتي عليه في الرابع عشر من جمادي الآخرة من سنة ثماني عشرة وستمائة قال: أخبرنا القاضي الإمام فخر الدين أبو الرضا سعيد بن عبدالله بن القاسم الشهرزوري سماعا عليه في جمادي الآخرة سنة أربع وسبعين وخمسمائة ، قال: أخبرنا الشيخ الإمام أبو الفتح محمد بن عبدالرحمن الخطيب الكشمهيني ، بقراءتي عليه يوم السبت سابع عشر شوال سنة إحدى وأربعين وخمسمائة ، قال: أخبرنا الشيخ أبوالقاسم هبة ولا بن عبد الوراث بن علي بن أحمد الشيرازي كتبه لي بخطه في شهر ربيع الأول سنة ست وثمانين وأربعمائة قال: أخبرنا أبو القاسم الحسين بن أحمد بن الحسين التميمي قال: أخبرنا الشيخ أبوالقاسم هبة وأربعمائة قال: أخبرنا أبو علي من أحمد الشيرازي كتبه لي بخطه في شهر ربيع الأول سنة ست وثمانين وأربعمائة قال: أخبرنا أبو القاسم الحسين بن أحمد بن الحسين التميمي قال: حدثنا أبو بحفص عمر بن مدرك القاضي قال: حدثنا أبو محمد المنتصر بن نصر بن المنتصر بن تميم قال: حدثنا أبو حفص عمر بن مدرك القاضي قال: حدثنا أبو عبدالرحمن العيشي قال: حدثنا حماد بن ميان ، عن عثمان بن مدرك القاضي قال.

Ibn Zuhrah in his *Arbaʿīn* — al-Qādī al-Imām Shaykh al-Islam Abū al-Maḥāsin Yūsuf ibn Rāfiʿ ibn Tamīm (14th Jumād al-Ākhirah 618 A.H) — al-Qādī al-Imām Fakhr al-Dīn Abū al-Riḍā Saʿīd ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn al-Qāsim al-Shahrzūrī (Jumād al-Ākhirah 574 A.H) — al-Shaykh al-Imām Abū al-Fatḥ Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān al-Khaṭīb al-Kashmahīnī (Saturday 17th Shawāl 541 A.H.) — Abū al-Qāsim Hibbat Allāh ibn ʿAbd al-Wārith ibn ʿAlī ibn Aḥmad al-Shīrāzī (Rabīʿ al-Awwal 486) — Abū al-Qāsim Ḥusayn ibn Aḥmad ibn Ḥusayn al-Tamīmī — Abū Bakr Aḥmad ibn Yaʿqūb al-Ṭābshī — Abū Muḥammad Muntaṣir ibn Naṣr ibn Muntaṣir ibn Tamīm — Abū Ḥafṣ ʿUmar ibn Mudrik al-Qādī — Abū ʿAbd al-Raḥmān al-ʿAyshī — Ḥammād ibn Salimah — Abū Sinān — ʿUthmān ibn Abī Sawdah — Abū Hurayrah...(al-Mustadrak 10/375)

ابن زهرة في أربعينه : أخبرني القاضي الإمام بهاء الدين شيخ الإسلام أبو المحاسن يوسف بن رافع بن تميم - بقرائتي عليه - قال: أخبرنا الإمام أبوالفضل عبدالله بن أحمد بن محمد بن عبد القاهر الطوسي الخطيب قال: أخبرنا الشيخ الإمام أبو القاسم عبدالله بن الحسين بن محمد الأسدي قال: أخبرنا الشيخ الإمام الأديب الثقة أبو محمد كامكار بن عبدالرزاق قال: أخبرنا الشيخ الإمام الحافظ أبو صالح أحمد بن عبدالملك بن علي المؤذن قال: أخبرنا الشيخ أبو زكريا يحيى بن ابراهيم بن محمد المزكي قال: حدثنا أبو بكر عبدالله بن يحيى الطلحي قال: حدثنا محمد بن عبدالله بن سليمان الحضرمي قال: حدثنا محمد بن الحسن الحضرمي قال: حدثنا اسحاق بن نجيح ، عن أبي جريح ، عن عطاء ، عن أبي هريرة قال...

Ibn Zuhrah in his *Arbaʿīn* — al-Qādī al-Imām Bahā' al-Dīn Shaykh al-Islam Abū al-Maḥāsin Yūsuf ibn Rāfiʿ ibn Tamīm — al-Imām Abū al-Fadl ʿAbd Allāh ibn Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd al-Qāhir al-Ṭūsī al-Khaṭūsī al-Khaṭīb al-Shaykh al-Imām Abū al-Qāsim ʿAbd Allāh ibn Ḥusayn ibn Muḥammad al-Asadī — al-Shaykh al-Imām al-Adīb al-Thiqah Abū Muḥammad Kāmkār ibn ʿAbd al-Razzāq — al-Shaykh al-Imām al-Ḥāfiẓ Abū Ṣāliḥ Aḥmad ibn ʿAbd al-Malik ibn ʿAlī al-Mu'adhdhīn — al-Shaykh Abū Zakariyyā Yaḥyā ibn Ibrāhīm ibn Muḥammad al-Muzakkā — Abū Bakr ʿAbd Allāh ibn Yaḥyā al-Ṭalḥī — Muḥammad ibn Sulaymān al-Ḥaḍramī — Muḥammad ibn Ḥasan al-Ḥaḍramī — Isḥāq ibn Najīḥ — Abū Jurayḥ — ʿAṭā — Abū Hurayrah...(al-Mustadrak 17/290)

These are but a few of the many asānīd recorded by them. A great amount of these asānīd are recorded by al-Ḥur al-ʿĀmilī in his book *Wasā'il al-Shī'ah*. However, we have omitted them for the sake of brevity. These narrations are found in their books of ḥadīth as well as their other books. As a matter of fact, hardly a book of theirs does not contain a narration of Abū Hurayrah in the form of proof and evidence. His narrations are found in most of the chapters of fiqh as well as the chapters of 'aqā'id (beliefs), 'ibādāt (worship), *muʿāmalāt* (monetary dealings), *jihād* (fighting in the path of Allah), *siyar* (the militant activities of Rasūlullāh *juādāt* (supplications), *riqāq* (subjects which soften the heart), *dhikr* (remembrance of Allah), *tasbī*ḥ (glorification of Allah), etc.

Keeping all of the above in mind, and adding to it the fact that many of the Şaḥābah and Tābiīn also narrated from him, who were no less than eight hundred scholars and jurists as counted by al-Bukhārī, it announces to us that the entire Islamic world — the scholars, jurists, propagators and leaders — all based a substantial amount of their knowledge, propagation and jurisprudence upon his narrations. These narrations are the foundation of every knowledge and jurisprudence. The fact that this ummah is rich with scholars and jurists, and all

of them depended on the narrations of Abū Hurayrah and the extent that they based their judgements upon it, convinces us that Abū Hurayrah and was a narrator par-excellence. Why else would they rely so greatly upon his narrations? His narrations can be found under any chapter, be it monotheism, monetary dealing, character, virtues, matters of the unseen, or any other chapter. At least one hadīth of Abū Hurayrah and the found in each chapter.

Thus far, we have discussed his merit purely on the basis of academics. Another perspective which deserves attention is the fact that every Muslim who existed and will exist from the demise of Rasūlullāh مَالَتَعَيَّفَتَ up until Qiyāmah, who worships Allah, adopts behaviour which pleases Allah or believes in the tenets of Islam; will find that these good deeds of his are based on some or other narration transmitted from Rasūlullāh مَالَتَعَيَّفَتَكُ by the illustrious narrator, Abū Hurayrah

Abū Hurayrah نهی is undoubtedly the greatest conveyor of the aḥādīth of Rasūlullāh مَاسَعَيْنَهُ to the Muslims. This means that his narrations have the greatest effect in shaping the lifestyle and actions of the Muslims up until the Day of Qiyāmah. This is not due to any personal endeavours of Abū Hurayrah نهی , rather it is the result of him being selected by Allah to fulfil this highly honourable task of conveying the aḥādīth of Rasūlullāh

The above mentioned facts shed light upon a possible reason as to why he was blessed with this supplication by Rasūlullāh من , i.e. this love will go a long way in motivating a person towards acquiring and encompassing all the narrations of Rasūlullāh من transmitted by Abū Hurayrah من . A listener is most attentive when there exists in his heart a liking for the speaker, and he is equally inattentive when he dislikes the speaker, even though the contents of the speech may be to his liking. A person is generally all ears when he listens to a speaker who he has love for. Nonetheless, the large number of narrations of Abū Hurayrah نواب recorded by the scholars of the Shī ah independently demystifies the ploy of Abd al-Ḥusayn.

The Narrations of $Ab\bar{u}$ Hurayrah in the Books of the $Sh\bar{i}\mbox{`ah}$

Hereunder are a few books which the Shīʿah consider reliable and from which they quote Abūndantly in their works. All their books contain narrations attributed to Abū Hurayrah (including some narrations which are weak and others which are fabricated. I will name some of those books, which — according to them — are highly reliable. They cover a wide range of subjects such as jurisprudence, ḥadīth, tafsīr, history, advices, virtues etc.

Furūʿ al-Kāfī, Mawsūʿat Bihār al-Anwār, Mustadrak al-Wasāʾil, Wasāʾil al-Shīʿah, Malādh al-Ikhbār, Kanz al-Daqā'iq, al-Anwār al-Nuʿmāniyyah, Ithbāt al-Hudā, Mīzān al-Ḥikmah, Dār al-Salām, Madīnat al-Maʿājīz, Hayāt al-Qulūb, al-Kharā'ij wa l-Jarā'ih, Kashf al-Ghummah, Amālī al-Ṭūsī, Amālī al-Mufīd, Ḥilyat al-Abrār, Kitāb al-Sarā'ir, Kitāb al-Khilāf, 'Awālī al-La'ālī, Manāgib Āl Abī Ṭālib, Mīkāl al-Makārim, Salūnī Qabl 'an Tafqudūnī, al-Rowdat al-Bahiyyah, Maʿālī al-Sibtayn, Ṣaḥīfat al-Abrār, ʿIlm al-Yaqīn fī Usūl al-Dīn, al-Farhat al-Insiyyah, Qalā'id al-Durar, Ihqāq al-Haq, Tafsīr al-Burhān, Tafsīr al-Tibyān, Tafsīr al-Majmaʿ, Tafsīr al-Kanz, Ta'wīl al-Āyāt, Tafsīr al-Mīzān, Tafsīr Nūr al-Thaqalayn, Tafsīr Mir'āt al-Anwār, Jāmiʿ al-Akhbār, al-Imām al-Mahdī, Thawāb al-Aʿmāl, al-Towhīd, Mashāriq Anwār al-Yaqīn, Kamāl al-Dīn, al-Fusūl al-Muhimmah, Misbāh al-Hidāyah, al-Thāqib fī l-Manāqib, al-Jawāhir al-Saniyyah, Amālī al-Sadūq, Qurb al-Isnād, al-Īgāz min al-Hajʿah, Maʿānī al-Akhbār, Iʿlām al-Warā, Saʿd al-Saʿūd, Kitāb al-Khiṣāl, ʿAṣr al-Zuhūr, ʿAlī fī l-Qur'ān, al-Lawāmiʿ al-Nūrāniyyah, Bughyat al-Ṭālib, Nawādir al-Muʿjizāt, Rowdat al-Wāʻizīn, Fadā'il al-Khamsah min al-Sihāh al-Sittah, Ta'wīl al-Āyāt al-Tāhirah, Shawāhid al-Tanzīl, Sayyid al-Mursalīn, al-Qatrah min Bihār Manāqib al-Nabī wa l-ʿItrah, Minhāj al-Barāʿah fī Sharḥ Nahj al-Balāghah, al-Mabsūṭ fī Fiqh al-Imāmiyyah, al-Ghadīr fī l-Kitāb wa l-Sunnah, al-Hadā'ig al-Nādirah, al-Mahajjat al-Baydā fī Tahdhīb al-Ahyā, 'Alī fī l-Qur'ān wa l-Sunnah, Jawāhir al-Kalām, Mir'āt al-ʿUgūl, Hayāt al-Imām al-ʿAskarī.

These are the books that are available to us. They make up only a fraction of the total amount of books in which his narrations are recorded. Another intriguing observation is that the aḥādīth quoted in their different books and sources from their Imām Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq (as they assume), including the four seminal books of Shīʿism (*al-Kāfī*, *al-Istibṣār*, *Man lā Yaḥḍuruhū al-Faqīh*, *Tahdhīb al-Aḥkām*) are identical to the aḥādīth of Abū Hurayrah

'Abd al-Husayn Rejects the Hadīth: "A Woman Entered Hell Due to a Cat"

On page 171, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, under the chapter, "a fourth imaginary narration aimed at establishing the evil outcome of oppression". *Al-Bukhārī* and *Muslim* report with their isnād from Abū Hurayrah نفائة , who narrates from Nabī

دَخَلَتِ امْرَأَةُ النَّارَ فِي هِرَّةٍ رَبَطُتُهَا فلا هِيَ تَطْعَمْهَا ولم تدعها تَأْكُلُ مِنْ خَشَاش الأرْض حتى مَاتَتْ هَزْلا

A woman was sent to hell for allowing a cat to die of hunger. She kept it tied up without feeding it or leaving it free to eat insects.¹

He starts raising doubts:

وهذا من رواياته الخيالية يرمي فيه إلى سوء عواقب الظلم والعدوان

This is from his imaginary narrations, in which he tries to establish the evil outcome of oppression and enmity.

Our comment: other Ṣaḥābah, such as Ibn ʿUmar نفی have also narrated this². Furthermore, this narration has been transmitted from the A'immah of the Ahl al-Bayt as well. Ḥafṣ ibn al-Bukhtarī reports from Imām Jaʿfar نفی :

إن امرأة عذبت في هرة ربطتها حتى ماتت عطشاً

A woman was punished for tying up a cat until it died out of thirst.³

Al-Majlisī quotes from Nawādir al-Rāwandī that Mūsā ibn Jaʿfar al-Kāẓim narrates from his forefathers that Rasūlullāh حَالَسْتَعَدِّوْسَتَرَّ said:

رأيت في النار صاحب العباء التي قد غلها ، ورأيت في النار صاحب المحجن الذي كان يسرق الحاج

¹ Al-Bukhārī Kitāb Bad' al-Khalq, Muslim

² Al-Bukhārī, al-Dārimī

³ Al-Wasā'il 8/397, al-Biḥār 65/64 Ḥadīth: 23, Thawāb al-Aʿmāl wa ʿIqābuhā pg. 557, Tafsīr al-Kanz 1/157

بمحجنه ، ورأيت في النار صاحبة الهرة نتهشها مقبلة ومدبرة كانت أوثقها لم تكن تطعمها ولم ترسلها تأكل من حشائش الأرض

I saw in the fire the one who unrightfully took the cloth, the one who would steal from the pilgrims using his staff and the women (who was punished due to the) cat. It bit the front as well as the back of her body. She tied it up and then neither fed it nor did she allow it to eat insects.¹

Is this narration of Imām Mūsā ibn Jaʿfartili among his imaginary narrations in which he tries to establish the evil outcome of oppression? We ask Allah to protect our intelligence and to keep us away from submitting to desires and deviation.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "A Woman was Forgiven for Giving Water to a Dog"

On page 172, he quotes two narrations under the title, "a fifth imaginary narration aimed at establishing the wonderful outcome of mercy". *Al-Bukhārī* and *Muslim* report from Abū Hurayrah نوالله who narrates from Rasūlullāh حيالتنايينيناً:

An immoral woman passed by a dog panting out of thirst at the mouth of a well. The dog was on the verge of dying out of thirst. She removed her shoe, tied it to her scarf and used it to draw water for the dog. On account of this, she was forgiven.

He Also Rejects the <code>Hadith:</code> "A Man was Forgiven for Granting Water to a Dog"

On page 172, he quotes a narration under the title, "another imaginary narration with the same goal as the previous one". *Al-Bukhārī* reports from Abū Hurayrah من المنتخبين who narrates from Nabī مترالتك :

¹ *Al-Biḥār* 8/316-317, Kitāb al-ʿAdl wa l-Maʿād Bāb al-Nār, al-Jawāhir 31/395

بَيْنَمَا رَجُلٌ يَمْشِي فِي طَرِيقِ اشْتَدَّ عَلَيْهِ الْعَطَشُ فَوَجَدَ بِثْرًا فَنَزَلَ فِيهَا فَشَرِبَ ثُمَّ خَرَجَ فَإِذَا كَلْبٌ يَلْهَتُ يَأْكُلُ الثَّرى مِنَ الْعَطَّشِ! قَالَ فَنَزَلَ الرَّجُلُ البترفَمَلاً خُفَّهُ ثُمَّ أَمْسَكُهُ بِفِيهِ فَسَقَى الْكَلْبَ فَشَكَرَ اللَّهُ لَهُ فَغَفَرَ لَهُ بذلك

A man was overtaken by thirst while he was walking upon the road. He then spotted a well, went down into it and drank of its water. When he left it, he found a dog panting and eating sand to overcome its thirst. Thus, he went back down into the well, filled his shoe with water (while he climbed back up) and then gave the water to the dog. Allah appreciated this act of his and forgave him on account of it.¹

He starts raising doubts saying:

وقد تعلم أن هذا الحديث والذي قبله إنما هما من مخلية أبي هريرة يمثل بهما حسن عواقب العطف والحنان ويحظ بهما على البر والاحسان

You are well aware of the fact that this $had\bar{i}th$ as well as the previous one are nothing but figments of Abū Hurayrah's imagination. He tries to demonstrate the wonderful outcomes of sympathy and compassion, and he encourages virtue and goodness by means of them.²

Our comment: it is indeed paradoxical that 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is reluctant to accept the aḥādīth of Abū Hurayrah ﷺ, yet he accepts similar narrations, when they are narrated by his A'immah. Their erudite scholar, Ayatollah Mullā Zayn al-ʿĀbidīn al-Gulpāyganī reports this ḥadīth in his book *Anwār al-Wilāyah* (page 338):

وفي الآثار: أن امراة زانية من جيران أهل المعصية وتعزية الحسين(ع) ذهبت تقتبس ناراً من مجلس العزاء فوجدتها قد خمدت فاشتعلتها وقدتها فدمعت عيناها من الدخان فغفر الله!! لها وتابت

From the narrations; an immoral woman from the neighbourhood of vice and those who would mourn over Husayn went to acquire (fuel for her) fire

¹ Al-Bukhārī, Muslim

² Al-Bukhārī, Muslim

from the mourning ceremony. However, she found that it had already been put out, so she tried igniting it and slicing a piece from it due to which her eyes began watering from the smoke. On account of this Allah forgave her and she repented.

وعن أبي الاحوص عن أبيه عن عمار الساباطي قال : قدم أمير المؤمنين (ع) المداين فنزل أيوان كسرى ! وكان معه دلف بن بحير كسرى فلما صلّى قام وقال لدلف قم معي وكان معه جماعة من أهل ساباط فما زال يطوف منازل كسرى ويقول لدلف كان لكسرى في هذا المكان كذاو كذا ويقول دلف والله ذلك فما الأشياء في هذه الأمكنة ثم نظر (ع) جميمة من كان عنده ودلف يقول: يا سيدي ومو لاي كأنك وضعت هذه إلى الأيوان وجلس فيه ودعا بطست فيه ماء فقال للرجل : دع هذه الجمجمة في الطست ثم قال أقسمت عليك لتخبرني من أنا ومن أنت ؟ فقال الجمجمة بلسان فصيح !: أما أنت فأمير المؤمنين !! ،وسيد عليك لتخبرني من أنا ومن أنت ؟ فقال الجمجمة بلسان فصيح !: أما أنت فأمير المؤمنين !! ،وسيد حالك فقال يا أمير المؤمنين إلى كنت ملكاً عادلا شفيقاً على الرعايا رحيماً لا أرضى بظلم ولكن كنت على حالك فقال يا أمير المؤمنين إلى كنت ملكاً عادلا شفيقاً على الرعايا رحيماً لا أرضى بظلم ولكن كنت على حالك فقال يا أمير المؤمنين إلى كنت ملكاً عادلا شفيقاً على الرعايا رحيماً لا أرضى بظلم ولكن كنت على ولد فهممت أن أومن به من كثرة ما سمعت من الزيادة من أنواع شرفات قصري ثلثة وعشرون شرفة في ليلة والارض ومن شرف أهل بيته ولكني تغافلت عن ذلك وتشاغلت عنه في الملك، فيها لها من نعمة ومنزلة والا من من من أومان به من كثرة ما سمعت من الزيادة من أنواع شرفات قصري ثلثة وعشرون شرفة في ليلة من عذين المجوس !! وقد ولد محمد في زمان ملكي وسقط من شرفات قصري ثلثة وعشرون شرفة في ليلة والارض ومن شرف أهل بيته ولكني تغافلت عن ذلك وتشاغلت عنه في الملك، فيها لها من نعمة ومنزلة والارض ومن شرف أهل بيته ولكني تغافلت عن ذلك وتشاغلت عنه في الملك، فيها لها من نعمة ومنزلة من عذاب النار!! ببركة عدلي وإنصافي بين الرعية فأنا في النار والنار محرمة عليّ!!! خلصي الله تعالى من عذاب النار!! ببركة عدلي وإنصافي بين الرعية فأنا في النار والنار محرمة عليّ!!! فواحسران الا أمنت من عذاب النار!! ببركة عدلي وإنصافي بين الرعية فأنا في النار والنار محرمة عليّ!!! فواحسرتاه لو أمنت

Abū al-Aḥwaṣ — his father — ʿAmmār al-Sābāṭī: "Amīr al-Mu'minīn arrived at al-Madā'in and he settled down inside the chambers of Kisrā. He was accompanied by Dalaf ibn Buḥayr Kisrā. After performing ṣalāh, he said to Dalaf: 'Come with me!' They were then accompanied by a group of people from Sābāṭ. He entered each of the rooms of Kisrā saying to Dalaf (on each occasion): 'Kisrā had such and such thing at this place!' Dalaf would reply: 'O my leader and master, it is as of you were the one who placed these items in their places!' Then, he spotted a snorting skull. He said to one of his companions: 'Pick up this skull.' Thereafter, he went to the chamber, sat in it, asked for a utensil with water in it and instructed a man: 'Place this skull in the water.' He then addressed the skull saying: 'I demand that you tell me who I am and who you are!' The skull replied in a most eloquent manner: 'You are Amīr al-Mu'minīn, Sayyid al-Waṣiyyīn (the chief of all the

awsiyā') and Imām al-Muttaqīn and I am your slave, the son of your slavegirl, Kisrā Awsherwan.' Amīr al-Mu'minīn asked: 'What is your condition?' It answered: 'O Amīr al-Mu'minīn, I was a just king who was compassionate and kind towards my sub-ordinates. I would not like oppression, however I followed the religion of the Zoroastrians. Muhammad was born during my era of rule and upon his birth twenty three balconies of my palace collapsed. I intended to follow him on account of the many virtues of various types which I heard regarding him, the status and honour that he enjoyed in the heavens and on the earth as well as the honour bestowed upon his household. Unfortunately, I was negligent towards it and became pre-occupied with my kingdom. O what a great opportunity and privilege have I missed out on by not believing in him! I am indeed quite unfortunate due to not believing in him. However, despite my disbelief, Allah saved me from the punishment of hell through the blessings of my justice and equaltreatment of my people. Now I am in the fire, but I am forbidden upon it. O how deep is my regret! If I believed in him, I would have been with you people, O masters from the household of Muhammad متألقة عليه ومنال , O Amīr al-Mu'minīn!""1

Al-Tūrsīkānī states in his book, al-La'ālī (4/217-218, 304):

It is reported in *Lu'lu'*: We will mention a story so that your heart may be convinced. A praiseworthy story has already passed, that there was a man who would rape small boys, but he had love for him (the Imām, and was thus forgiven).

Did 'Abd al-Ḥusayn read these types of profane narrations in the books of his people? How can the narrations of Abū Hurayrah www ever be likened to the narrations and statements of your scholars, who narrated derogatory claptrap such as the above?

¹ Al-La'ālī 4/327-328, al-Ṣaḥīfah 2/84, al-Faṣā'il pg. 71

رأيت في النار صاحب العباء التي قد غلها ، ورأيت في النار صاحب المحجن الذي كان يسرق الحاج بمحجنه ، ورأيت في النار صاحبة الهرة نتهشها مقبلة ومدبرة كانت أوثقها لم تكن تطعمها ولم ترسلها تأكل من حشائش الأرض ودخلت الجنة فرأيت صاحب الكلب الذي أرواه من الماء

I saw in the fire the one who unrightfully took the cloth, the one who would steal from the pilgrims using his staff and the women (who was punished due to the) cat. It bit the front as well as the back of her body. She tied it up and then neither fed it nor did she allow it to eat insects. I entered Jannah and saw the one who gave water to the dog, to drink from it.¹

Is this hadīth nothing but a figment of your infallible Imām's imagination? Does he try to demonstrate the wonderful outcomes of sympathy and compassion, and encourages virtue and goodness by means of them?

Niʿmat Allāh al-Jazā'irī reports in his book, *al-Anwār al-Nuʿmāniyyah* (4/66):

من الأخبار المروّحة للبال ما ورى من أنه كان رجل في بني اسرائيل منهمكاً في المعاصي فأتى في بعض اسفاره على بئر فإذا كلب قد لهت من العطش فرقٌ له فأخذ عمامته وشدّ بخفّه واستقى الماء وأروى الكلب فأوحى الله إلى نبي ذلك الزمان أن قد شكرت له سيعه وغفرت له ذنبه لشفقته على خلق من خلقي ، فسمع ذلك فتاب من المعاصي وصار ذلك سببا لتوبته وخلاصه من العقاب

From the narrations which bring comfort to the mind is the one in which it is reported that a man from the Banū Isrā'īl was immersed in sin. During one of his journeys, he came across a well and saw a dog upon which the signs of thirst were quite apparent. Taking pity on it, he tied his turban to his shoes, drew water and quenched its thirst. Allah revealed to the nabī of that time: "I have appreciated his effort and I have forgiven him for having

¹ Al-Biḥār 8/316-317, 65 Ḥadīth: 64, Nawādir al-Rāwandī pg. 28

mercy upon one of my creation." When he learnt of this, he repented from his sins. This became the means of his repentance as well as the means of him being saved from punishment.

Is this hadīth nothing but a figment of your infallible Imām's imagination? Does he try to demonstrate the wonderful outcomes of sympathy and compassion, and encourages virtue and goodness by means of them?

'Abd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "A Sinful Kāfir was Forgiven"

On page 173, under the title, "a sinful kāfir was forgiven", he states that *Muslim* reports from Maʿmar: "Al-Zuhrī said to me, 'Should I not narrate to you two amazing aḥādīth? Ḥumayd ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān reports from Abū Hurayrah who narrates from Rasūlullāh أَسْمَاتُوْمَاتُ

ٱَسْرَفَ رَجُلٌ عَلَى نَفْسِهِ فَلَمَّا حَضَرَهُ الْمَوْتُ أَوْصَى بَنِيهِ فَقَالَ: إِذَا أَنَا مُتُّ فَأَحْرِقُونِي ثُمَّ اسْحَقُونِي ثُمَّ اذْرُونِي في الرِّيح في الْبَحْرِ فَوَاللَّه لَنْ قَدَرَ عَلَيَّ رَبِّي لَيُعَذَّبُنِي عَذَابًا مَا عَنَّبَهُ به أَحَدًا فَفَعَلُوا ذَلَكَ به فَقَالَ الله للأرْضُ أَدِّي مَا أَخَذَتِ فَإِذَا هُوَ قَائِمٌ فَقَالَ لَهُ: مَا حَمَلَكَ عَلَى مَا صَنَعْتَ؟ فَقَالَ خَشْيَتُكَ يَا رَبَّ أَوْ قَالَ مَخَافَتُكَ فَنَغَرَ

A man¹ destroyed himself by sinning excessively. However, when he was about to pass away, he made the following bequest to his sons: "When I die, burn me, crush the ashes into powder and then throw me into the wind at the ocean. By the oath of Allah, if my Rabb gets hold of me, he will punish me like he has never punished anyone before." Thus they did as he asked. Thereupon Allah said to the earth: "Bring forth that which you have taken." Consequently, he stood upright. Allah asked him: "What drove you to do that which you have done?" He replied: "Fear for You" or "Your fear". Thus Allah forgave him due to it.²

¹ The author misinterprets the ḥadīth. This man was from the people of the book, prior to the nubuwwah of Nabī عَلَيْنَا يَعْدِينَا . Thus, he was a sinful believer and not a disbeliever.

² Al-Bukhārī in Aḥādīth al-Ambiyā', Muslim in al-Towbah

We have already mentioned that an immoral Shīʿī woman was forgiven simply because she lit a fire under the wall of Ḥusayn . Also, the great disbeliever, *Kisrā* (the Zoroastrian) —who neither believed in Allah nor His Rasūl — was saved from the fire due to holding onto the false concept of Wilāyah. The Shīʿī who would rape young boys was also saved from the fire for holding onto Wilāyah. All of these narrations are acceptable to ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn. He raises no objections concerning them. Alas! It is only when a narration is attributed to Abū Hurayrah , that it becomes subject to criticism. Here is a narration from your infallible Imām, the contents of which are very similar to the ḥadīth above. Niʿmat Allāh al-Jazāʾirī reports in his *al-Anwār al-Nuʿmāniyyah* (4/276):

روى الصدوق بإسناده إلى مولانا الامام زين العابدين على بن الحسين(ع) قال كان في بني اسرائيل رجل ينبش القبور فاعتل جار له فخاف الموت فبعث إلى النباش فقال كيف جواري لك ؟ قال أحسن جوار قال فإن لي إليك جاجة . قال قضيت حاجتك، قال فاخرج إليه كفنين فقال أحبّ أن تأخذ أحبهما إليك وإذا دفنت فلا تنبشني ، فامتنع النباش من ذلك وأبى أن يأخذه فقال له الرجل أحب أن تأخذ فلم يزل به حتى أخذ أحبهما إليه ومات الرجل فلما دفن قال النباش هذا قد دفن فما علمه بأني تركت كفنه أو أخذته لأخذنه، فأتى قبره فنبشه فسمع صايحاً يقول ويصيح به لا تفعل ففزع النباش من ذلك فتركه وترك ما كان عليه ، وقال لولده أي أب كنت لكم ؟ قالوا نعم الأب كنت لنا ، قال فإن لي إليكم حاجة قالوا قل ماشت فانا سنصير إليه ان شاء الله تعالى ، قال فأحب إذا أنا مت أن تأخذوني فتحرقوني بالنار فإذا صرت رمادا ما أوصاهم به فلما ذرّوه قال الله جل عالى ألم بلا ونصفي في البحر ، قالوا فلما ما ت فعل به ولده بين يدي الله تعالى الله عالى ، قال فأحب إذا أنا مت أن تأخذوني فتحرقوني بالنار فإذا صرت رمادا دائوني ثم تعمدوا بي ريحاً عاصفاً فذروا نصفي في البر ونصفي في البحر ، قالوا فلما ما ت فعل به ولده فانا سنصير إليه ان شاء الله تعالى ، قال فأحب إذا أنا مت أن تأخذوني فتحرقوني بالنار فإذا صرت رمادا فانون يري تركت كلم ؟ قالوا نعم الأب كنت له وال للبحر اجمع ما فيك والو فلما ما ت فعل به ولده فلا ولي في البار خلاء النه عالى ، قال فأحب إذا أنا مت أن تأخذوني فتحرقوني بالنار فإذا صرت رمادا فلاق سنصير إليه ان شاء الله تعالى ، قال فأحب إذا أنه ما أن تأخذوني فتحرقوني ونا فلما ما ت فعل به ولده فلا وعرت ك خوفك ، فقال الله جل جلاله للبي سأرضى خصومك وقد أمنت خوفك وغفرت لك

Al-Ṣadūq reports with his isnād from Imām Zayn al-ʿĀbidīn ʿAlī ibn Ḥusayn: "A man from the Banū Isrā'īl would steal (coffins) from the graves. When his neighbour fell ill and feared death, he called for the thief and asked him: 'What kind of a neighbour was I towards you?' He replied: 'A great neighbour.' The man then said: 'I need a favour from you.' He replied: 'I will definitely fulfil that favour for you.' The man took out two coffins and said: 'I want you to take the one that is more appealing to you, but do not open my grave once I am buried.' The thief denied and refused to accept the coffin. The man insisted that he takes the one which he finds more appealing, until he eventually accepted it. The man then passed away. After he was buried, the thief thought, 'He is now buried. How will he know whether or not I took his coffin? I am definitely taking it!' He thus came to the grave and opened it, but suddenly heard a voice calling out to him in a very loud manner: 'Do not do it!' Thus, he was overtaken by surprise, stopped dead in his tacks and even gave up his practice. He asked his sons: 'What kind of a father have I been towards you?' They replied: 'You were a wonderful father towards us.' He then said: 'I have a request that I wish you to carry out.' They replied: 'Say whatever you wish to. By the will of Allah, we will carry it out.' He said: 'I wish that when I die, you burn me. Then, take the ash and crush it further. Thereafter, look for a strong wind and throw half of my ash into the ocean and the other half onto the land.' They have reported that when he passed away, his sons fulfilled his request. Since they turned him into powder, Allah instructed the land as well as the ocean: 'Gather all that is within you.' Consequently, the man stood before Allah. Allah the Most Honoured and Glorified asked him: 'What made you request your sons to do that which they have done to you?' He replied: 'By the oath of Your grandeur, I done it on account of fear for You!' Allah the most glorified replied: 'I will satisfy your victims. I have taken care of your fear and I have forgiven you."

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth, "Nabī مَتَأَلَّسْمَاتِهُ was in an Impure State"

On page 175-176, ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn quotes yet another ḥadīth of Abū Hurayrah

From the stupidity of this man is his statement, "Iqāmah was called out for salāh and the rows were straightened while (we were) standing. Thereupon Rasūlullāh المنتشقية came out towards us. When he stood on his prayer place, he remembered that he was in an impure state."¹

¹ Al-Bukhārī in al-Ghusl and al-Adhān, Muslim in al-Masājid wa Mawāḍiʿ al-Ṣalāh

He raises doubts regarding it:

نبرأ إلى الله منه وممن يجيز على رسول الله(ص) الذي كان في جميع أوقاته على طهور وكان الوضوء على الوضوء عنده نوراً على نور وأنبياء الله كافة منزهون عن مضمونه معصومون عما هو دون مما لا يليق بالصديقين وصالحي المؤمنين

We distance ourselves in the court of Allah from him as well as those who consider it possible for Nabī - who was always in the state of purity, and performing wuḍū in his case was illumination upon illumination — -to be impure. All the ambiyā' of Allah are above the contents of his narration. They are protected from all those conditions which are not befitting for the siddīqīn and pious Muslims.

Our comment: firstly, there are many lessons in this hadīth. It is possible for the ambiyā' to forget, even regarding matters of worship. The benefit of this is that they are able to teach people what to do on such occasions.¹ Secondly, your Imām, whom you consider infallible, has reported that it is permissible for Rasūlullāh مَالَسَتَعَادِ (ʿAlī, Ḥasan and Ḥusayn to remain impure in the masjid.

Al-Tahdhīb reports from Muḥammad ibn Ḥumrān who reports that he asked Imām Jaʿfar if an impure person was allowed to sit in the masjid. He replied: "No, but he is allowed to walk through them, except al-Masjid al-Ḥarām and the masjid of al-Madīnah." He said: "Our scholars have narrated that Nabī مَرْسَتَنْمَدِينَةُ said:

لا ينام في مسجدي أحد ولا يجنب فيه أحد وقال: إن الله أوحى إلىّ أن اتخذ مسجداً طهو راً لا يحا, لأحد أن يجنب فيه إلاَّ أنا وعلى الحسن والحسين

No one is allowed to sleep or remain impure in my masjid. Allah revealed to me, "Purify the masjid." It is impermissible for anyone besides myself, 'Alī, Ḥasan and Ḥusayn to become impure in it.²

They have even narrated that 'Alī نفائله led the ṣalāh whilst being impure. 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn al-'Arzamī — his father— Imām Ja'far نفائد:

¹ Fatḥ al-Bārī 2/144

² Al-Tahdhīb 6/15

صلّى علي(ع) بالناس على غير طهر وكانت الظهر ثم دخل فخرج مناديه أن أميرالمؤمنين (ع) صلّى بالناس على غير طهر فأعيدوا وليبلغ الشاهد الغائب

'Alī led the people in ṣalāh, at the time of ẓuhr whilst being impure. Thereafter, his announcer went out informing the people: "Amīr al-Mu'minīn performed ṣalāh in an impure state, so repeat it. The one who is present should inform those who are absent."

Why do you not criticise your narrators, who narrate this kind of bunkum, O ignoramus? Do you distance yourself in the court of Allah from them?

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "Nabī كالمُنتَنِبُوتَة saying he is not Superior to Nabī Mūsā مَالمَعَانَةُ عَنْبُاتَكُمْ

On page 176, ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn quotes the ḥadīth: "the prohibition of saying that Nabī مَلْسَعَة مُوسَدً was more virtuous than Mūsā مَلْسَاسَة and the ḥadīth, "whoever says that I am better than Yūnus ibn Mattā, has lied."²

He then raises doubts:

The entire ummah have agreed upon considering him more virtuous. This is established through unambiguous authentic texts. It is part of the fundamentals of Islam.

Our comment: he has forgotten that this very ḥadīth has been narrated by his own infallible Imām as well. There is nothing beyond the truth except falsehood. It is reported in *Qiṣaṣ al-Ambiyā*' (page 495) from Imām Jaʿfar حَمَّاتَكُ that Nabī حَمَّاتَكُ said:

¹ Al-Tahdhīb 3/40, al-Istibṣār 1/433, al-Jawāhir 14/5

² Al-Bukhārī in al-Tafsīr and Aḥādīth al-Ambiyā', Muslim in Faḍā'il and Aḥmad

ما ينبغي لأحد أن يقول: أنا خير من يونس بن متى

It is not appropriate for anyone to say that I am better than Yūnus ibn Mattā.

Al-Jazā'irī, whilst commenting on this hadīth in his commentary says:

أقول لعل المعنى على تقدير صحة الخبر : أنه لا ينبغي لأحد أن يقول : أنا خير من يونس ، من حيث المعراج ، بأن يظن إني صرت من حيث العروج إلى السماء أقرب إلى الله تعالى منه ، فإن نسبته تعالى إلى السماء والأرض والبحار نسبة واحدة ، وإنما أراني الله تعالى عجائب صنعه في السماوات ، وأرى يونس عجائب خلقه في البحار ، وإني عبدت الله في السماء ويونس عبده في بطن الحوت ، ولكن التفضيل من جهات آخر لا تحصى

I say, if this hadīth is authentic, then the meaning is; it is not appropriate for anyone to say that I am better than Yūnus from the perspective of Mi'rāj. This means that he should not think that since I ascended to the sky, thus I was closer to Allah than him. The skies, earth and seas are all the same before Allah. Allah showed me His extraordinary creations in the skies, and He showed him the extraordinary creations of the sea. I worshipped Allah in the sky and Yūnus worshipped Him in the belly of the fish. However, there are many other uncountable angles from which superiority could be established.

'Abd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "Nobody's Actions will Gain Him Entry into Jannah, Except by the Mercy of Allah"

On page 176, he quotes the hadīth:

: لَنْ يُدْخِلَ أَحَدًا عَمَلُهُ الْجَنَّةَ قَالُوا وَلا أَنْتَ يَا رَسُولَ اللَّهِ؟ قَالَ: لا ولا أَنَا

Nobody's actions will gain him entry into Jannah. They asked: "Not even you, O Rasūlullāh?" He replied: "No. Not even me."¹

¹ As per habit, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn only quoted a portion of the narration. The next sentence is, "except if Allah enshrouds me in His favour and mercy."

Al-Bukhārī in al-Marḍā and al-Riqāq, Muslim in Ṣifat al-Qiyāmah wa l-Jannah wa l-Nār

He comments:

يضرب بهذا الحديث عرض الحائط لمخالفته كتاب الله في كثير من آياته ، وحسبك منها: { إن هذا كان لكم جزاء وكان سعيكم مشكورا

This hadīth deserves to go in the trash can as it opposes many verses of the book of Allah. We will suffice upon the verse: "[And it will be said:] Indeed, this is for you a reward, and your effort has been appreciated."

A group of Shī'ī exegetes such as al-Ṭabarsī, al-Fayḍ al-Kāshānī, 'Abd 'Alī al-Ḥuwayzī, al-Mīrzā Muḥammad al-Mashhadī, 'Abd Allāh Shibr and others have quoted in their commentaries from *Majma' al-Bayān* under the verse:

مَن يُصْرَفْ عَنْهُ يَوْمَئِذٍ فَقَدْ رَحِمَهُ وَذَلِكَ الْفُوْزُ الْمُبِينُ

He from whom it is averted that Day — [Allah] has granted him mercy. And that is the clear attainment.²

Al-Majlisī said whilst explaining the meaning of this verse:

:(ويحتمل أن يكون معنى الآية أنه لا يصرف العذاب عند أحد إلابرحمة الله كما روي أن النبي والذي نفسي بيده ما من الناس أحد يدخل الجنة بعمله قالوا: ولا أنت يا رسول الله قال: ولا أنا إلا أن يتغمدني الله برحمته منه وفضل ...

It is possible that this verse means that the punishment will not be averted from anyone except by the mercy of Allah, just as it has been narrated from Nabī المنتخفين "that he said: "By the oath of the being in whose control my life is, none will enter Jannah because of his actions.' They asked: "Not even you, O Rasūlullāh?" He منتخفين "replied: "Not even me, except if Allah enshrouds me in His mercy and favour."³

¹ Sūrah al-Insān: 22

² Sūrah al-Anʿām: 16

³ Majmaʿ al-Bayān 3/23, Tafsīr al-Ṣāfī 2/111, Nūr al-Thaqalayn 1/706, Kanz al-Daqā'iq 3/242, al-Maḥājjah 7/190, Kitāb al-Ṣabr wa l-Shukr pg. 265, Kitāb al-Khowf wa l-Rajā 6/282, Kitāb Dhamm al-Kibr wa l-ʿUjb, al-Biḥār 7/11, Tafsīr Min Hady al-Qur'ān 13/489

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth that Nabī مَكَالَمُعَادِهِيَالُهُ was a Shepherd

On page 176, ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn says: "His ḥadīth,

Allah did not send any nabī, except that he was shepherd.¹

He then searches for flaws, as usual:

وهذا في البعد إلى حد السقوط

This has reached the pinnacle of being far-fetched.

Our comment: if this hadīth is the 'pinnacle of being far-fetched', then O ignorant one, you have just accused your infallible imām and the one who you call Thiqat al-Islam.

Al-Biḥār (6/226, narration: 28) quotes from *al-Kāfī* with his isnād from Jābir who reports from Muḥammad al-Bāqir that Nabī عَالَنْهُ عَلَيْهُ said:

إني كنت انظر إلى الإبل والغنم وأنا أرعاها وليس من نبي إلا وقد رعى الغنم ...

I would look after camels, goats and sheep. I would herd them. There was no nabī except that he was a shepherd.

Al-Bāqir said, Rasūlullāh مَتَأَنَنَهُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَنَاتُم

إني كنت أنظر إلى الإبل والغنم وأنا أرعاها وليس من نبي إلاَّ وقد رعى الغنم وكنت أنظر إليها قبل النبوة...

I would look after camels, goats and sheep. I would herd them. Every single nabī was a shepherd. However, I was a shepherd before nubuwwah.²

Again we ask, why was all the criticism levelled against Abū Hurayrah again, when the very same subject matter was narrated by the Ahl al-Bayt?

¹ Al-Bukhārī in al-Ij'ārah and ibn Mājah in al-Tijārāt

² Refer to al-La'ālī 5/24, al-Maḥajjat al-Bayḍā 4/128, Ikmāl al-Dīn pg. 491 Ḥadīth: 7, al-Ambiyā' Qiṣaṣuhum wa Ḥayātuhum pg. 274, 278

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "Nabī Ibrāhīm المنابعة Underwent Circumcision after Reaching the Age of Eighty"

On page 177, 'Abd al-Husayn says: "Similar to it is the hadīth,

أن إِبْرَاهِيمُ (ع) قد وَاخْتَتَنَ بِالْقَدُوم بَعْدَ ثَمَانِينَ سَنَةً من عمره

Nabī Ibrāhīm \varkappa underwent circumcision after reaching the age of eighty.

Our comment: this objection can be answered in two ways:

Firstly, al-Muhallab says that the circumcision of Ibrāhīm على after the age of eighty is not something which is deemed compulsory on account of his action, this is because normally, people pass away before the age of eighty. He على however performed the circumcision when Allah revealed to him and commanded him to do so.²

Secondly, your infallible Imām narrated this. Niʿmat Allāh al-Jazā'irī reports in *Qiṣaṣ al-Ambiyā*' (page 113) with an isnād from al-Kāẓim who narrates that Rasūlullāh المَالَيَةُ عَالَيَةُ said:

The first person who fought in the path of Allah was Ibrāhīm Mark. The romans took Lūṭ Mark as a prisoner, so he went with an army and saved him, and the first person to undergo circumcision was Ibrāhīm Mark. He underwent circumcision upon reaching the age of eighty.

Why was Abū Hurayrah isingled out as a target for criticism?

¹ Al-Bukhārī in al-Isti'dhān and Aḥādīth al-Ambiyā', Muslim in al-Faḍā'il

² Refer to Fath al-Bārī 11/92

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth Regarding the Age of Nabī Ādam عَيْهِ السَكَرْ

On page 177, Abd al-Husayn says: "His hadīth is as follows,

إذ خلق الله آدم فمسح ظهره فسقط من ظهره كل نسمة هو خالقها الى يوم القيامة أمثال الذر ثم جعل بين عيني كل إنسان منهم وبيصاً – أي بريقاً – من نور ثم عرضهم على آدم فقال آدم فقال آدم من هؤلاء يا رب؟ قال: ذريتك فرأى آدم رجلا أعجبه وبيص ما بي عينيه فقال يارب؟ من هذا؟ قال هذا ابنك داود،قال آدم: كم جعلت له من العمر ؟ قال: ستين سنة ، قال: يا رب زده من عمري أربعين سنة حتى يكون عمره مائة سنة ، فقال الله إذن يكتب ويختم فلا يبدل فلما انقضى عمر آدم جاءه ملك الموت لقبض روحه قال آدم : أو لم يبق من عمري أربعون سنة قال له ملك الموت أولم تجعلها لابنك داود ؟ قال فجحد فجحدت ذريته!

When Allah created Nabī Ādam Juli, he passed his hand over his back. As a result, all the beings who were going to be created up until the Day of Qiyāmah fell from it in the form of tiny particles. Then Allah placed between the eyes of each human a spark of illumination. Then, He presented them before Nabī Ādam عليه who asked: "Who are these people, O my Rabb?" Allah replied: "They are your progeny." Nabī Ādam متالية saw a man whose spark between his eyes impressed him. Thus he said: "O my Rabb, who is this?" He replied: "He is your son, Dāwud." Nabī Ādam المنابقة asked: "How many years of life did You allocate for him?" Allah replied: "Sixty years." Nabī Ādam المنتخة said: "O my Rabb, add forty years from my age to his, so that he may live for a hundred years." Allah replied: "It will be written and sealed. Thereafter, it will not change." When the lifespan of Nabī Ādam with came to an end, the angel of death appeared before him to remove his soul. Nabī Ādam منابعة said: "Do I not have forty years left from my lifespan?" The Angel of Death replied: "Did you not give that to your son, Dāwūd?" He denied it. Consequently, his progeny also denied (matters).¹

Our comment: Your infallible Imām also narrated this ḥadīth, just as Abū Hurayrah المنتقرقة narrated it. A lengthy ḥadīth is recorded in *Tafsīr al-ʿAyyāshī*. Abū Ḥamzah al-Thumāli reports from Imām al-Bāqir المنتقرة:

¹ Mustadrak al-Hākim 2/325

إن الله تبارك وتعالى: فمسح على ظهر آدم ثم صرخ بذريته وهم ذر قال فخرجوا كما يخرج النحل من كورها فاجتمعوا فقال يا آدم هؤلاء ذريتك أخرجتهم من ظهرك لآخذ عليهم الميثاق – إلى أن قال – قال أبو جعفر (ع) ثم عرض الله على آدم أسماء الأنبياء وأعمارهم . قال فمر آدم باسم داود النبي (ع) فاذا عمره أربعون سنة فقال يا رب ما أقل عمر داود وأكثر عمري ؟! يارب إن أنا زدت داود من عمري ثلاثين سنة فانفذ ذلك له وأثبتها له عندك وأطرحها من عمري ، قال ثبت الله لداود من عمره ثلاثين سنة ولم يكن له عند الله مثبتا ومحى من عمر آدم ثلاثين سنة وكانت له عندالله مثبتا فقال أبو جعفر (ع) فذلك قولى: { يَمُحُو اللهُ مَا يَشَاءُ يُنْبِتُ وَعِندَهُ أُمُّ الْكَتَبِ } قال: يمحو الله ماكان عنده مثبتا لآدم وأثبته لداود ما عمري ذا ينه عند الله مثبتا ومحى من عمر آدم هبط عليه ملك الموت ليقبض روحه ، فقال له آدم يا ملك الموت قد يمَحُو اللهُ مَا يَشَاءُ يُنْبِتُ وَعِندَهُ أُمُّ الْكَتَبِ } قال: يمحو الله ماكان عنده مثبتا لآدم وأثبته لداود ما لم يكن عنده مثبتا قال فلما دنى عمر آدم هبط عليه ملك الموت ليقبض روحه ، فقال له آدم يا ملك الموت قد حيث عرض الله عليك أسماء الأنبياء من ذريتك وعرض عليك أعمارهم وأنت يومئذ بوادي الروحاء ؟ ويمحوها من عمرك فالله مالا به ملك الموت يقام لا بنك داود النبي واطرحتها من عمرك فقال آدم يا ملك الموت ما أذكر هذا ، فقال له ملك الموت يا آدم لا تجهل ألم تسأل الله أن أثبتها لداود حيث عرض الله عليك أسماء الأنبياء من ذريتك وعرض عليك أعمارهم وأنت يومئذ بوادي الروحاء ؟ ويمحوها من عمرك فائبتها لداود في الزبور ومحاها من عمرك من الذكر ؟ قال فقال آدم فاحذر الكتاب على الم مان الله العباد أن يكتبوا بينهم إذا تداينوا وتعاملوا إليأجل مسمى لنسيان آدم وجحود ما جعل على نفسه

Allah, the Most Blessed and Exalted... then he massaged the back of Ādam. Then he called out to his progeny, who were the size of a dust particle. They began emerging in the same way as bees emerge from their hives. Then they gathered. Allah said: "O Ādam, these (people) are your progeny. I extracted them from your back so that I could take from them the promise..." Imām al-Bāqir said: "Then Allah presented to Ādam the names of the ambiya' along with their lifespans. Adam came across the name of Dāwūd and found his lifespan to be a mere forty years. Thus he requested, 'O my Rabb, how short is the lifespan of Dāwūd and how lengthy is my lifespan! O my Rabb, I wish to donate thirty years of my lifespan to him, so allow him to have it. Establish it in your records for him and remove it from my lifespan.' Allah added thirty years to the lifespan of Dāwūd from Ādam, whereas it was not previously established by Allah and Allah removed thirty years from the age of Adam which was previously recorded for him." Imām al-Bāgir said: "That is the meaning of the statement of Allah, 'Allah eliminates what He wills or confirms, and with Him is the Mother of the Book.'1 Allah eliminated that which he had confirmed for Adam and he

¹ Sūrah al-Raʿd: 39

confirmed for Dāwūd that which was not previously confirmed for him. When the end of Adam's life drew close, the Angel of Death descended to remove his soul. Adam said to him, 'O Angel of Death, thirty years of my life are outstanding!' The angel replied, 'Did you not grant them to your son, Dāwūd, and remove them from your lifespan when Allah presented to you the names of the ambiya' from your progeny as well as their ages? You were at the valley of al-Rowhā on that day.' Ādam replied, 'O Angel of Death, I do not remember this.' The Angel of Death said to him, 'O Ādam, do not be ignorant. Did you not ask Allah to confirm them for Dāwūd and eliminate them from your lifespan, due to which he confirmed it for Dāwūd in the Psalms and eliminated them from your age?' Ādam replied, 'Bring the book, so that I may be convinced.'" Imām al-Bāqir said: "Ādam was honest. Neither was he lying nor was he acting ignorant." Imām al-Bāqir further said: "From that day onwards, Allah ordered the servants to record in written form when they loan out money and do dealings which involve a stipulated date, as Adam forgot and denied that which he had taken upon himself."1

Al-Majlisī states in *al-Biḥār* (14/10):

أقول قد مضت الأخبار في ذلك في أبواب قصص آدم وفي بعضها أنه زاد في عمر داود عليه ستين سنة تمام المائة ، وهو أوفق بسائر الأخبار، والله أعلم

I say: the narrations concerning this have already passed under the chapters of the stories of \bar{A} dam. Some of them state that he added sixty years to the lifespan of $D\bar{a}w\bar{u}d$, bringing it to a total of one hundred years. This is corroborated by all the narrations. Allah knows best.

¹ Al-Burhān 2/301, al-La'ālī 1/92-94, al-Anwār al-Nu'māniyyah 4/201,202-1/231, Qiṣaṣ al-Ambiyā' pg. 381, Anwār al-Wilāyah pg. 530, al-Biḥār 14/8,9 narration: 8, Tafsīr Nūr al-Thaqalayn 3/464, Furūʿ al-Kāfī 2/348-349, Tafsīr al-Qur'ān al-Karīm by Ṣadr al-Muta'ahhilīn 1/333, Kanz al-Daqā'iq 5/133

'Abd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "Mūsā and Ādam's Debate"

He says on page 177: "Similar is his ḥadīth, 'Mūsā and Ādam, the likes of them debating'. He then starts to raise doubts regarding the ḥadīth of Nabī مَكَانَسْتَكَدُوسَدُ as usual. He says:

على كيفية تدل أنهما كانا من القدرية، وقد ظهرفيها آدم على موسى فحجه إلى كثير مما لا يليق بالأنبياء ،و يجب تنزيههم عنه

...in a manner which is indicative of them being from the Qadariyyah¹. Mūsā was dominated by Ādam in the debate and the manner in which he debated contained many an aspect which is not befitting of the ambiyā'. It is incumbent to prove their innocence in respect to such behaviour.

O reader, have a look at the entire ḥadīth as reported by *al-Bukhārī* from Ḥumayd ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān who reports from Abū Hurayrah مَوْلَقَيْنَة that Rasūlullāh مَرَالَتُعَتِيوَمَة said:

احْتَجَّ آدَمُ وَمُوسَى فَقَالَ لَهُ مُوسَى أَنْتَ آدَمُ الَّذِي أَخْرَجَتْكَ خَطِيَتُكَ مِنَ الْجَنَّة فَقَالَ لَهُ آدَمُ أَنْتَ مُوسَى الَّذِي اصْطَفَاكَ اللَّهُ بِرِسَالاتِهِ وَبِكَلامِهِ ثُمَّ تَلُومُنِي عَلَى أَمْرٍ قُدَّرَ عَلَيَّ قَبْلَ أَنْ أُخْلَقَ فَقَالَ رَسُولُ اللَّهِ فَحَجَّ آدَمُ مُوسَى مَرَّتَيْنِ

Ādam debated Mūsā. Mūsā said to him: "You are Ādam. Your sin got you expelled from Jannah." Ādam replied: "You are Mūsā, the one whom Allah granted the privileges of being His Rasūl and speaking to Him? Despite this, you blame me for a matter that was decided regarding me, even before I was created." Rasūlullāh www then said the following statement twice, "Ādam defeated Mūsā."²

Our comment: the A'immah of the Ahl al-Bayt have reported this ḥadīth. Tafsīr al-Qummī reports with his isnād from Ibn 'Umayr — Ibn Miskān — Imām Jaʿfar المنافة:

¹ A deviant sect who deny the Islamic doctrine of Taqdīr (pre-destination).

² Al-Bukhārī in al-Ambiyā', al-Qadr, al-Khuluq, Tafsīr al-Qur'ān, Muslim in al-Qadr

أن موسى (ع) سأل ربه أن يجمع بينه وبين آدم (ع) فجمع ، فقال له موسى : يا أبت ألم يخلقك الله بيده ، ونفخ فيك من روحه ، وأسجد لك ملائكته ،وأمرك أن لا تأكل من الشجرة ؟ فلم عصيته ؟ قال : يا موسى بكم وجدت خطيئتي قبل خلقي في التوراة ؟ قال : بثلاثين سنة ، قال : فهو ذلك ، قال الامام الصادق(ع) فحج آدم موسى (ع)

Mūsā ﷺ asked his Rabb to arrange a meeting between him and Ādam ﷺ. They then got together. Mūsā asked: "O my beloved father, Did Allah not create you with Himself, blow into you a soul from Himself and command the angels to prostrate before you? Did He not command you to abstain from eating from the tree?' Why then, did you disobey Him?" Ādam replied: "O Mūsā, How many years prior to my creation did you find my sin (being pre-destined) in the Torah?" Mūsā answered: "Thirty years (prior to it)." Ādam said: "That is the reason." Imām al-Ṣādiq commented: "Ādam defeated Mūsā."¹

Al-Majlisī explains this hadīth:

وجدان الخطيئة قبل الخلق إما في عالم الأرواح بأن يكون روح موسى (ع) اطلع على ذلك في اللوح ، أو أنه وجد في التوراة أن تقدير خطيئة آدم (ع) كان قبل خلقه بثلاثين سنة ، ويدل على الأخير ما سيأتي في خبر مسعدة ، وقوله (ع):(فحجّ) أي غلب عليه في الحجة وهذا يرجع الى القضاء القدر

Finding (mention) of the sin before creation could either mean that this took place in the realm of souls, in the sense that the soul of Mūsā discovered it in the Lowḥ (the preserved tablet), or he found in the Torah that the error of Ādam was pre-destined thirty years before his creation. The second possibility is supported by the narration of Mas'adah. As for his statement, 'He defeated him,' it means he overpowered him as far as reasoning is concerned. This is a matter of pre-destination and the decision (of Allah).

¹ Tafsīr al-Qummī 1/44, al-Biḥār 5/89, 11/163,188, Nūr al-Thaqalayn 1/61 al-Anbiyā Ḥayātuhum wa Qiṣaṣuhum pg. 28-29, al-Anwār al-Nuʿmāniyyah 1/231, al-Burhān 2/7, Minhāj al-Barāʿah 1/37-38, Tafsīr al-Qurʾān al-Karīm 1/333
'Abd al-Ṣāḥib states in his book, *al-Ambiyā*' (page 28-29), whilst commenting on this narration:

What can be understood from the answer of \bar{A} dam to Mūsā is that the sin was pre-destined and pre-planned even before the creation of \bar{A} dam and the realm of particles. I say: the souls were created two thousand years before his existence. This is the same matter which caused a clash of opinions. Many have been destroyed on account of their poor understanding¹, contemplation and not being able to grasp the reality of the matter. It is a matter of pre-destination and planning of Allah with regards to his creation, long before \bar{A} dam's existence.

What does 'Abd al-Ḥusayn have to say regarding the narration of his Imām and the explanations of his scholars regarding this hadīth?

ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Rejects "The Walking of ʿAlā al-Ḥaḍramī with His Battalions upon the Sea"

On page 178, ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn says:

^{1 &#}x27;Abd al-Ḥusayn is an example of these dim-witted and ignorant people. He is ignorant regarding the Qur'ān, Sunnah and even his own religion!

So many of his narrations contradict the laws of nature. Sufficient for you, along with the rest that you have heard right now, are two narrations with which we will conclude this chapter. The first one is his narration in which he claims that he was with 'Alā ibn al-Ḥaḍramī, who was sent with four thousand men to Bahrain. They went out until they came to a gulf of the sea. None before them have traversed it and no one after them will do so. Abū Hurayrah says: "'Alā grabbed the reigns of his horse and walked upon the surface of the water and the army walked behind him. By the oath of Allah, none of our feet, socks or hoofs (of the animals) got wet."

Our comment: this narration has been classified as a fabrication by all the scholars. The masters of hadīth do not consider it worthy of being used as a proof. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn simply wishes to vent his feelings upon the narrations of Abū Hurayrah (irrespective of whether they are authentic, unreliable or even fabricated. Furthermore, if you really wish to see narrations which go against the laws of nature, then the claims of the Shīʿah as far as their A'immah (who are considered by them to be of a greater status than the ambiyā' and the angels) are concerned are the best avenue to fulfil this desire. We will present some of that which you're A'immah have narrated in this regard. Hāshim al-Baḥrānī compiled an entire book in which he recorded the miracles of the twelve A'immah. He named this book *Madīnat al-Maʿājiz*.

In this book, he mentions (1/430 narration: 290 chapter: 71) that a Jew walked across the water with his horse, while taking the name of Amīr al-Mu'minīn and he glanced at the water due to which it became firm. Al-Bursī says, the author of 'Uyūn Akhbār al-Riḍā says:

إن أمير المؤمنين(ع) مرّ في طريق فسايره خيبريُّ فمرّ بواد قد سال، فركب الخيبري مربطة، وعبر على الماء!!، ثم نادى أمير المؤمنين(ع): يا هذا لو عرفت ما عرفت لجزت كما جزت، فقال له أمير المؤمنين (ع) مكانك، ثم أوماً بيده إلى الماء فجمد!! ومرّ عليه فلما رأي الخيبري ذلك أكب على قدميه وقال له: يا فتى ما قلت حتى حوّلت الماء حجراً ؟!! فقال له أمير المؤمنين (ع) : فما قلت أنت حتى عبرت على الماء ؟!! فقال الخيبري: أنا دعوت الله باسم العظيم Amīr al-Mu'minīn was walking upon a path, when a person from Khaybar joined him. He came across a valley which had a stream flowing through it. The person from Khaybar mounted his horse and crossed the water. Then he called out to Amīr al-Mu'minīn: "O you! If you knew what I knew, you would have crossed it the way I crossed it." Amīr al-mu'minīn replied: "Stay at your place!" then he indicated to the water, which became firm. Thereafter, he went across. When the man from Khaybar saw this, he went down on his feet and asked him: "What did you say by means of which you turned the water into stone?" Amīr al-Mu'minīn responded: "What did you say when you walked across the water?" The man from Khaybar replied: "I called Allah, using His Glorious name."

Narration: 356(2/11) claims the Imām ascended into the air:

البرسي: قال: روى صاحب المنتخب أن علياً(ع) مرّ إلى حصن ذات السلاسل، فدعا بسيفه ودرقته، وترك الترس تحت قدميه والسيف تحت ركبته، ثم ارتفع في الهواء! ثم نزل على الحائط وضرب السلاسل ضربة ..

Al-Bursī: the author of *al-Muntakhab* narrates that 'Alī passed by a fort during the Battle of the Chains. He called for his sword and his shield. He placed his shield below his feet and his sword below his knees. Then he ascended into the air. He began coming down towards the wall whereupon he struck the chains with great force.

On page 11-12, narration: 357 he narrates that he followed the bird which snatched his shoes. Abū Jamīlah narrates from Imām al-Bāqir المعنائية:

نزع علي(ع) خفّه بليل ليتوضأ، فبعث الله طائراً فأخذ أحد الخفّين فجعل علي (ع) يتبع الطير وهو يطير !! حتى أضاء له الصبح ثُم ألقي الخفّ ...

'Alī removed his shoes one night to perform wuḍū. Allah sent a bird who snatched one of them. Thereupon 'Alī began chasing after it whilst flying. This continued until the morning became apparent, then it dropped the shoes. Narration: 1422 (5/10): He moulded an elephant out of clay and then flew with it to Makkah. Shādhān ibn ʿUmar-Murrah ibn Qabīṣah ibn ʿAbd al-Ḥamīd-Jābir ibn Yazīd al-Juʿfī:

رأيت مولاي الباقر(ع) وقد صنع فيلاً من طين فركبه وطار في الهواء حتى ذهب إلى مكة ورجع عليه، فلم أصدق ذلك منه حتى رأيت الباقر(ع) فقلت له : أخبرني جابر عنك بكذا وكذا ؟ فصنع فركب وحملني معه إلى مكة وردّني

I saw my master al-Bāqir who had moulded an elephant out of clay and flew with it in the air until he reached Makkah and thereafter returned with it. I did not believe that until I saw al-Bāqir and asked him: "Jābir narrated to me such and such things about you." Thereupon, he moulded one, mounted it and took me with him to Makkah. Thereafter, he brought me back.

Narration: 1916 (6/158), drawing out two horsemen from the bed of sea under the earth. Abū Baṣīr says:

I was with Imām Jaʿfar, who had a man with him from Khurāsān. He spoke to him about something which I did not understand. Thereafter, they began speaking about something which I could understand. I heard Imām Jaʿfar saying whilst he scraped the earth with his foot... all of a sudden, a sea below the earth became visible, at the bed of which there were two horsemen who placed their chins upon the saddlebows. Imām Jaʿfar said: "These are among the helpers of al-Qā'im."

Narration: 1917 (159-160), the narration of the sea splitting. $D\bar{a}w\bar{u}d$ al-Raqqī says:

جاء إلى أبي عبد الله(ع) فقال له: ما بلغ من علمكم؟ قال: ما بلغ من سؤالكم – إلى أن قال– فأخذ بيد الرجل ، ثم انطلق حتى أتى شاطئ البحر، فقال: أيها العبد المطيع لربّه أظهر ما فيك فانفلق البحر عن آخر ما فيه وظهر ماء أشد بياضاً من اللبن، وأحلى من العسل،وأطيب رائحة من المسك ...قال: ثم رفع رأسه فرأى في الهواء خيلاً مسرّجة ملجمة ولها أجنحة، فقلت: يا با عبد الله، ما هذه الخيل ؟ فقال: هذه خيل القائم !!

A man came to Imām Ja'far and asked: "How much knowledge do you possess?" He replied: "Proportionate to the amount of questions you have."... Then he took the hand of the man and walked with him until they arrived at the shore of the ocean. He commanded: "O obedient servant of Allah, show me all that you have. The sea exhibited all that it possessed. Among that was water that was whiter than milk, sweeter than honey and more fragrant than musk... thereafter he lifted his head, whereupon he saw a saddled and bridled horse with wings in the air. I asked: "What kind of horse is this?" He replied: "This is the horse of al-Qā'im."

Narration: 1945 page 201, he ascends to the sky and descends using a spear. Ibrāhīm ibn al-Aswad:

رأيت موسى بن جعفر (ع) صعد إلى السماء ونزل ومعه حربة من نور ...

I saw Mūsā ibn Jaʿfar ascending to the sky and descending therefrom with a spear of illumination.

Narration: 851 (3/232), his ascension to the sky and disappearance into the sky. Jābir says:

رأيت الحسن بن علي وقد علا في الهواء وغاب في السماء فأقام بها ثلاثاً ثم نزل بعد الثلاث وعليه السكينة. والوقار ...

I saw Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī who ascended into the air and disappeared into the sky. He stayed there for three days after which he descended in a most tranquil and suitable state. Narration: 1029 (5/513):

أنه (ع) أُعطي ما أُعطي النبيّون من إحياء الموتي وإبراء الأكمة والأبرص والمشي على الماء

He was granted that which was granted to the ambiyā', i.e. reviving of the dead, curing those who were born lepers as well as the bald and walking upon water.

The Imām Ascends to the Sky and Fills the Horizon

In the book, Hayāt al-Imām al-ʿAskarī (page 361):

The narrator says that he said to himself that he will see a clear sign from Imām al-ʿAskarī. Suddenly, the Imām ascended towards the sky and filled the horizon.

The above-mentioned meaningless miracles are sufficient at this juncture. Indeed the aḥādīth regarding the A'immah really defy the laws of nature. Why then did this author not criticise their narrations in the manner that he criticised the narrations of Abū Hurayrah

'Abd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "The Prohibition of Walking in One Sock"

'Abd al-Husayn states on page 197:

ومنها: أنه روى حديثاً في النهي عن المشي بالمخف الواحد فبلغ عائشة ذلك فمشت بخف واحد وقالت لأخالفنّ أبا هريرة

From those narrations is a narration in which a prohibition is sounded for walking in one sock. The narration reached 'Ā'ishah who then walked in one sock and said: "I will most definitely oppose Abū Hurayrah!" **Our comment:** this hadīth was used by Naẓẓām as well, to criticise Abū Hurayrah . Ibn Qutaybah debunked his ridiculous claims. Abū al-Qāsim al-Balkhī reported this hadīth from ʿĀ'ishah . A thorn entered a sock of ʿĀ'ishah . So she walked with one sock. Then she said, "I will make Abū Hurayrah go against his word... He says that one should not walk in one sandal or one sock.¹"

Further, Abū Hurayrah نوانی is not the sole narrator of this hadīth. Rather it is narrated by the A'immah of the Ahl al-Bayt as well. *Al-Biḥār* (76/328-329, the chapter of the comprehensive prohibitions of Nabī مركانتينيوت and the miscellaneous ones), with his isnād from al-Ṣādiq Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad حكانتين – his father – his fore-fathers – Amīr al-Mu'minīn

نهى رسول الله عن الأكل عن الجنابة - إلى أن قال - ونهى أن يمشي الرجل في فرد نعل أو يتنعّل وهو قائم ..

Rasūlullāh المنتخبين prohibited from eating whilst being impure... and he prohibited that a man should walk in one sandal and that he should put on his sandals while standing.

Do not drink while you are standing... and do not walk in one sandal, as the devil is really swift in getting hold of humans in some of these conditions...

How does 'Abd al-Ḥusayn view these narrations which have been narrated by the Ahl al-Bayt?

¹ Qubūl al-Akhbār pg. 57,59

'Abd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "Bad Omen is Confined to Women and Animals"

On page 197, 'Abd al-Husayn states:

ومنها: أن رجلين دخلا على عائشة فقالا: أن أبا هريرة يحدث عن رسول الله(ص) أنه قال: إنما الطيرة في المرأة والدابة فطارت عائشة شغفاً ثم قال:كذب والذي أنزل القرآن على أبي القاسم من حدث بهذا عن رسول الله(ص) ؟ الحديث

From it (his narrations) is two men visited 'Ā'ishah and said: "Abū Hurayrah narrates from Rasūlullāh المستعمد that he said, 'Bad omen is only found in women and animals.' ʿĀ'ishah flew into a fit of rage and said, 'By the oath of the one who revealed the Qur'ān upon Abū al-Qāsim, whoever narrates this from Rasūlullāh has lied.'"1

Our comment: why does 'Abd al-Ḥusayn reject the narration of Abū Hurayrah whereas he has no issues with the aḥādīth of the A'immah which correspond to the aḥādīth of Abū Hurayrah نهایته and are at times identical to his? Why does he single out Abū Hurayrah نهایته as a target for his criticism? Khālid ibn Najīḥ reports from Imām Jaʿfar المالية:

تذاكروا الشؤم عنده، فقال: الشؤم في ثلاثة : في المرأة والدابة الدار ، فأما شؤم المرأة فكثرة مهرها وعقوق زوجها ، أما الدابة فسوء خلقها ومنعها ظهرها ، وأما الدار فضيق ساحتها وشر جيرانها وكثرة عيوبها

A discussion concerning bad omen ensued in his presence, so he commented: "Bad omen can be found in three objects; a woman, an animal and a house. As for a woman, it is on account of her excessive expenses and her disobedience towards her husband. With regards to the animal, it is when it misbehaves and does not offer its back (to the rider). The house is included when it has a very small courtyard, the neighbours are evil people and it has many defects."²

^{1 &#}x27;Abd al-Ḥusayn says in his footnote: "Reported by Ibn Qutaybah in *Ta'wīl Mukhtalaf al-Ḥadīth* (page 126 onwards)"

² Al-Kāfī 5/568, Ḥilyat al-Muttaqīn pg. 586, al-Biḥār 73/149 Ḥadīth: 6, al-Tahdhīb 7/399, al-Wasā'il 14/78 Ḥadīth: 1

'Abd al-Ḥusayn's Gripe About Abū Hurayrah for Sitting Beside the Room of 'Ā'ishah Whilst Narrating

On page 197, 'Abd al-Husayn says:

أنه جلس مرّة إلى جنب حجرة عائشة يحدث عن النبي(ص) وهي مشغولة في سبحتها فقالت بعد فراغها : ألا يعجبك أبو هريرة يجلس إلى جنب حجرتي يحدّث عن النبي(ص) يسمعني ذلك ؟ وكنت اسبح فقام قبل أن اقضي سبحتي ولو أدركته لرددت عليه الحديث

Once he sat beside the room of ʿĀ'ishah, narrating from Nabī من whilst she was busy performing her mid-morning prayer. Upon completing them she commented: "Does Abū Hurayrah not amuse you? He sits beside my room, narrating from Nabī so that I can hear him while I am busy performing ṣalāh. Then, he goes away before I can finish. If I found him (here), I would have rejected his ḥadīth."

Our comment: read these narrations and have a look at that which was said by your infallible Imām! *Al-Biḥār* (7/339 narration 32) reports from Isḥāq ibn Ḥārith — his father — Amīr al-Mu'minīn:

I visited Nabī المنتعنية whilst Abū Bakr and 'Umar were by him, so I sat between him and 'Ā'ishah. 'Ā'ishah said to me: "You found nowhere (to sit) besides my thighs or the thighs of Rasūlullāh?"²

Jundub ibn ʿAbd Allāh al-Bajalī reports from ʿAlī 🕮 (22/244 narration: 11):

دخلت على رسول الله قبل أن يضرب الحجاب وهو في منزل عائشة فجلست بينه وبينها!، فقالت: يا ابن أبي طالب ما وجدت مكان لإستك غير فخذي ا

I visited Rasūlullāh المستشعينية before the command of the veil was revealed, while he was in the house of ʿĀ'ishah, so I sat between him and her. She

¹ Muslim in Faḍā'il Abū Hurayrah

² Refer to 22/241, narration: 6, 39/194, narration: 4, Tafsīr al-Burhān 4/225

said to me: "O son of Abū <code>Ţā</code>lib, you found no place for your bottom besides my thighs?"¹

Another narration (27/155, narration: 27):

فجلس بين النبي وبين عائشة فقالت: يابن أبي طالب ما وجدت مقعداً غير فخذي ؟!!

He sat between Nabi and 'Ā'ishah, so she said: "O son of Abū Ṭālib, you found no place to sit besides, my thighs?"²

Narration 3 (38/297):

وروي أنه سافر ومعه علي (ع) وعائشة ، فكان النبي ينام بينهما في لحاف!!!

It has been narrated that he once journeyed taking along 'Alī and 'Ā'ishah. The Nabī would sleep in between them under one cloth.³

O 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, look at these blasphemous actions which will be despised by people of a much lower status than them (which you have recorded in your books)!

'Abd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "When One of You Wakes Up from His Sleep, He Should Wash His Hands"

On page 197, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn says:

أنه روى عن النبي(ص) أنه قال: متى استيقظ أحدكم من نومه فليغسل يده قبل أن يضعها في الإناء فإن أحدكم لا يدري أين باتت يده ؟ فانكرت عائشة عليه فلم تأخذ به وقالت: كيف نصنع بالمهراس

He narrates that Nabī said: "When one of you awakes from his sleep, then he should wash his hands before placing them in the utensil, as you do not know

¹ Ibid, 37/303, pg. 336, 39/201

² Ibid, 37/297, 37/329-330

³ Ibid, 40/1-2, pg. 314, 104/49

where your hands were during the course of the night." 'Ā'ishah doubted it and did not accept it saying: "What will we do with a utensil that is made of concrete?"

Our comment: this hadith has been narrated by your scholars as well. The pride of your scholars, al-Majlisī reports this ḥadīth from Abū Hurayrah (which you have rejected, O fraudster) in his *Biḥār* (80/333) under the chapter, "the sunnah acts of wuḍū and its etiquettes," which appears under the book of purity. Also, Abū Hurayrah (which and the sole narrator of this ḥadīth. It has been narrated by the A'immah of the Ahl al-Bayt as well. *Al-Biḥār* (80/333, the book of purity, chapter of the sunnah acts of wuḍū and its etiquettes) reports from Abū Baṣīr — 'Abd al-Karīm ibn 'Utbah:

سألته عن رجل يستيقظ من نومه ولم يبل يدخل يده في الإناء قبل أن يغسلها قال: لا ، لأنه لا يدري أين باتت يده فيغسلها

I asked him about a man who awakes from his sleep, but does not urinate; is he allowed to put his hands into water before washing them? He replied: "No. He does not know where his hands were during the night."

'Abd al-Ḥusayn Rejects the Ḥadīth: "Whoever Keeps a Dog Will Lose One Qīrāṭ of His Reward Every Day"

On page 198, he says:

ومثله ما في صحيح مسلم أيضاً عن أبي هريرة مرفوعاً : من اتخذكلباً الاكلب ماشية أو صيد أو زرع انتقص من أجره كل يوم قيراط ، فذكر لابن عمر قول أبي هريرة هذا فقال: يرحم الله أبا هريرة كان صاحب زرع – يتهمه يزيادة كلب الزرع ايثاراً لمصلحته – وقد اتهمه بهذا أيضاً سالم بن عبدالله بن عمر في حديث اخرجه مسلم أيضاً "

It is reported in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim from Abū Hurayrah that Nabī المنتيسية said: "Whoever keeps a dog, except for the purposes of herding, hunting and farming, his reward will be decreased by one qīrāt¹ every day." Ibn 'Umar was informed of the statement

¹ A measurement equal to approximately 200 mg of gold.

of Abū Hurayrah, so he said: "May Allah have mercy upon Abū Hurayrah — he was a farmer." He was hinting that Abū Hurayrah added on the word farming to suit his own needs. Sālim ibn 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar also accused him of the same crime in another ḥadīth which was reported by Muslim.

Our comment: it will be sufficient at this juncture for us to give a brief reply to these allegations of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn by reproducing the narrations of the A'immah of the Ahl al-Bayt, whom he relies upon and whose integrity he does not doubt. *Al-Kāfī* (6/552, the chapter of dogs) reports from Zurārah — Imām Jaʿfar Lika:

ما من أحد يتخذ كلباً إلا نقص في كل يوم من عمل صاحبه قيراط

No one keeps a dog, except that the deeds of that person are decreased by one q $\bar{\mathbf{r}}\bar{\mathbf{r}}\bar{\mathbf{a}}t$ daily.^1

'Awālī al-La'ālī (1/143-144):

من اقتنى كلباً إلاضارباً، أوكلب زرع نقص من أجره كل يوم قيراطان

Whoever keeps a dog, except for hunting or farming purposes, his reward will be diminished by two qīrāts daily.

What is the opinion of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn regarding his Imām? Did he also keep a dog?

'Abd al-Ḥusayn Objects the Ḥadīth: "Whoever Attends a Funeral Will be Granted One Qirāt of Reward"

'Abd al-Ḥusayn states on page 199:

أن ابن عمر سمعه يحدث:" بأن من اتبع جنازة فله قيراط من الأجر " فقال أكثر علينا أبو هريرة ولم يصدقه حتى بعث إلى عائشة يسألها عن ذلك فروت له فصدّق حينمُذ والحديث في هذا ثابت

¹ Al-Biḥār 65/51, al-Wasā'il 8/388, Ḥilyat al-Muttaqīn pg. 607

Ibn 'Umar heard him narrating: "Whoever attends a funeral will be granted one qīrāt of reward." He commented: "Abū Hurayrah exaggerated this for us." He did not believe him until he sent someone to confirm this with 'Ā'ishah. She narrated this for him, after which he accepted him. The hadīth regarding this is established.

Our comment: I cannot ascertain whether 'Abd al-Ḥusayn is genuinely ignorant of the aḥādīth of the Ahl al-Bayt, or is he just overtaken by the idea of finding fault and doubting the great narrator of Islam, Abū Hurayrah and the seeds as if his only goal is to plant the seeds of hatred and distrust in the hearts of the believers, regarding Abū Hurayrah and the seeds of hatred and distrust in the hearts of the believers, regarding Abū Hurayrah and the seeds of hatred and distrust in the hearts of the believers, regarding Abū Hurayrah and the seeds of hatred and distrust in the hearts of the believers, regarding Abū Hurayrah and the seeds of hatred and distrust in the hearts of the believers, regarding Abū Hurayrah and the seeds of hatred and the criticise him for narrating the aḥādīth which are identical to the aḥādīth of his A'immah? *Furūʿ al-Kāfī* (3/173) reports from Abū Baṣīr:

I heard Abū Jaʿfar saying: "Whoever walks along with the bier until he performs ṣalāh for it, and then he returns, will be granted a reward of one qīrāt. If he remains with it until it is buried, he will be granted two qīrāts. One qīrāt equivalent to Mount Uḥud."¹

It is reported (3/173) from Asbagh ibn Nubātah that Amīr al-Mu'minīn is said:

Whoever accompanies a funeral, Allah will record for him four q $\bar{\mathbf{rr}}$ at of reward; one for accompanying it, one for performing salāh with it, one for waiting until it is buried and one for consoling (the bereaved)².

¹ Al-Wasā'il 2/821-824, Man Lā Yaḥḍurhu al-Faqīh 4/10

² Al-Wasā'il 2/822, al-Mustadrak 2/298

'Abd al-Ḥusayn Objects to the Ḥadīth: "Whoever Longs to Meet Allah, Allah Loves to Meet Him"

'Abd al-Husayn goes about on his usual rant on page 199 saying:

وكذلك فعل عامر بن شريح بن هاني إذ سمع أبا هريرة يحدث: بأن من أحب القاء الله أحب الله لقاءه ومن كره لقاء الله كره الله لقاءه " فلم يصدق أبا هريرة بذلك حتى سأل عائشة فرته له وفاهمته المرادى منه والحديث في ذلك ثابت أيضاً

'Āmir ibn Shurayḥ ibn Hānī did the same when he heard Abū Hurayrah narrating the ḥadīth: "Whoever longs to meet Allah, Allah loves to meet him and whoever dislikes meeting Allah, Allah also dislikes meeting him." He did not believe Abū Hurayrah until he asked 'Ā'ishah about it. She then narrated it to him and explained the correct meaning thereof. The ḥadīth regarding this is established.

'Abd al-Husayn comments in his footnotes:

ولو أردنا استقصاء الموارد التي ردّ فيها السلف حديث أبي هريرة وأنكروا فيها عليه لطال بنا الكلام، وهذا القدر كاف لما أردناه والحمد لله

If we wished to gather and include all the different texts which relate to us the rejection of the aḥādīth of Abū Hurayrah by the pious predecessors, as well as their disparagement of him, then our discussion will become unduly lengthy. What has been mentioned thus far is sufficient to prove our object. All praise is due to Allah.

Our comment: all praise is due to Allah, who helped me to pen down this humble work, despite the great amount of haste that was involved in it. I left out many sources¹ after seeing that the book was beginning to become lengthy. Thus I

¹ Whoever seeks more information on the subject, I advise them to refer to those books in which our scholars have written clear and detailed answers to the baseless objections. These books include:

^{1.} DifāʿʿAn Abī Hurayrah 🕬 by ʿAbd al-Munʿim Ṣāliḥ al-ʿIllī

^{2.} DifāʿʿAn al-Sunnah by Muḥammad Abū Shuhbah

^{3.} Al-Radd ʿAlā Man Yunkir Ḥujjiyat al-Sunnah by ʿAbd al-Ghanī ʿAbd al-Khāliq

^{4.} Al-Anwār al-Kāshifah by ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Yaḥyā al-Yamānī

^{5.} Al-Sunnat wa Makānatuhā fī al-Tashrīʿ al-Islamī by Muṣtafā Sibāʿī

^{6.} Abū Hurayrah Rāwiyat al-Islam by Muḥammad ʿIjāj al-Khaṭīb continued

was forced to summarise it.¹ Nonetheless, we have clearly explained and proven everything from the statements of the 'truthful members of the Ahl al-Bayt' as claimed by the opposition. This was my motive behind compiling the book.

As for the claim of `Abd al-Ḥusayn:

ولو أردنا استقصاء الموارد التي ردّ فيها السلف حديث أبي هريرة وأنكروا فيها عليه لطال بنا الكلام

If we wished to gather and include all the different texts which relate to us the rejection of the aḥādīth of Abū Hurayrah by the pious predecessors, as well as their disparagement of him, then our discussion will become unduly lengthy.

I say: from the first to the last of these allegations and accusations cast by 'Abd al-Ḥusayn against Abū Hurayrah (1996), none of them have any basis. They are nothing but a conglomeration of nonsense. All of his narrations that were quoted were corroborated by the Ahl al-Bayt. All of their scholars accepted them as proof and established them in their books.'

This specific $had\bar{t}h$ has been reported in 'the most authentic and well-preserved book of the Shī'ah' (as claimed by yourself in your alleged correspondences), i.e. $al-K\bar{a}f\bar{t}$.

وأحسن ما جمع منها – أي من الأصول الأربعمائة – الكتب الأربعة التي هي مرجع الإمامية في أصولهم وفروعهم من الصدر الأول إلى هذا الزمان وهي : الكافي وهي متواترة ومضامينها مقطوع بصحتها والكافي أقدمها وأعظمها وأحسنها وأتقنها ...

The best books which gathered the four hundred original scrolls is the four books. They have been the primary sources of the Imāmiyyah with regards to their primary as well as subsidiary matters - from the first century

^{....} continued from page 446

¹ However, my book stands out in the sense that I have proven everything from the sources and books of the Shī'ah. I established all the narrations from the aḥādīth of their 'infallible' A'immah. This eats away at the core of the allegations of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn as well as all of his doubts regarding the narrations of Abū Hurayrah ﷺ.

up until today. They are *al-Kāfī*... it is mutawātir, the contents thereof are undoubtedly correct. *Al-Kāfī* was the first one of them to be compiled. It is the greatest, best and most well preserved.

ʿAbd al-Ṣamad ibn Bashīr from one of the scholars: "I said to Imām Jaʿfar: 'Are you the one who said:

أصلحك الله من أحب لقاء الله أحب الله لقاءه ومن أبغض لقاء الله أبغض الله لقاءه؟ قال: نعم. قلت: فوالله إنا لنكره الموت، فقال: ليس ذلك حيث تذهب إنما ذلك عند المعاينة إذا رأى ما يحب فليس شيئ أحب إليه من أن يتقدم والله تعالى يحب لقاءه وهو يحب لقاء الله حينئذ وإذا رأى ما يكره فليس شيئ أبغض إليه من لقاء الله والله يبغض لقاءه

May Allah reform you, whoever longs to meet Allah, Allah loves to meet him and whoever dislikes meeting Allah, Allah dislikes meeting him. He replied: "Yes." I asked: "By the oath of Allah, we dislike death!" He replied: "It does not refer to the moment when you will depart; rather, it refers to the moment when you see that which you love. At that moment nothing is more beloved to him than drawing closer. Allah loves to meet him and he loves to meet Allah. As for seeing that, which he dislikes, then there is nothing that he despises more than meeting Allah and Allah also dislikes meeting him."¹

Imām al-Sajjād also narrates this hadīth:

هذا ما ورد من قوله من أحب لقاء الله أحب الله لقائه، ومن كره لقاء الله كره الله لقائه ، لأن هذا كما جاء في الروايات إنما هو حال الموت ...

Whoever loves to meet Allah, Allah loves to meet him and whoever dislikes meeting Allah, Allah dislikes meeting him. This has been explained in the narrations to be at the time of death.

There is nothing beyond the truth except deviation!

¹ Furūʿ al-Kāfi 3/134, al-Anwār al-Nuʿmāniyyah 4/200, Tafsīr al-Qur'ān al-Karīm 1/46

Under the heading, "the final section of the book," (page 221) he says:

ولنختم إملاءنا هذا بكلمتين لرسول الله(ص) تتعلقان بأبي هريرة ضربهما النبي(ص) على غرار فذ أغرته الحكيمة في التدليل على زيغ الزائغين والتحذير منهم . الكلمة الأولى يشترك فيها أبو هريرة والرحال بن عنفوة والفرات بن حبان وذلك أنهم خرجوا ذات يوم من مجلسه الشريف فقال مشيراً إليهم " لضرس أحدكم في النار أعظم من أحد، وأن معه لقفا غادر

We will conclude this discussion of ours with two sayings of Rasūlullāh with which were said regarding Abū Hurayrah. He pronounced them suddenly, in an unusual manner. He was compelled by his foresight to do so in order to point out to (others) the crookedness of the crooked ones and to warn about them. The first statement was concerning him, Riḥāl ibn 'Unfuwah and Furāt ibn Ḥibbān. It was said one day, when they left his blessed gathering. He said indicating towards them: "The canines of one of you in Hell will be larger than Mount Uḥud and he will have the back of a deserter."

Our comment: 'Abd al-Ḥusayn quotes this narration from *al-Istīāb* and *al-Isābah*. Both of them are dependent upon Sayf ibn 'Umar al-Tamīmī as recorded in the book *al-Futūḥ wa l-Riddah*. Ibn Maʿīn said regarding this Sayf ibn 'Umar: "His narrations are unreliable. A small coin is worth more than him." Abū Ḥatim said: "His narrations should be discarded. They are similar to the narrations of al-Wāqidī." Abū Dāwūd said: "He is a non-entity." Al-Nasā'ī and al-Daraquṭnī said: "He is unreliable." Ibn 'Adī said: "A few of his narrations are known. The rest are unknown and they are not narrated by anyone besides him." Ibn Ḥibbān said: "He narrates fabrications from (ascribing them to) reliable people." They have said: "He would fabricate aḥādīth and he was suspected of irreligiousness." Al-Barqānī quotes al-Dāraquṭnī: "He should be discarded." Al-Ḥākim said: "He was accused of irreligiousness and his narrations have no value."¹

Therefore, this narration is baseless. It should be thrown in the trashcan. It was expected of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn to either clarify the position and status of Sayf ibn 'Umar for the readers or to produce this narration on the strength of another

¹ Mīzān al-Iʿtidāl 2/255

narrator, so that it could be used as proof. However, he did not do either of the two, since his only objective is to misguide his readers. If this narration has to be accepted, then too it is sufficient to apply it to the case of 'Unfuwah, who turned renegade and was killed whilst fighting for the army of Musaylamah the great liar. It has absolutely no relation with Abū Hurayrah

The irony of the matter is that these very Shīʿah discredited and pounced upon Sayf ibn ʿUmar when he narrated that the first person who spread the idea of "every Nabī has a waṣī" was ʿAbd Allāh ibn Saba'. Muḥammad Jawād, a contemporary Shīʿī scholar states:

وسيف هذا كان من الوضّاعين للروايات ،وقد نقل الناقد السيد مرتضى العسكري في كتابه عبدالله بن سبأ آراء عدد من علماء الحديث في شأن سيف قال ابن معين المتوفى (٣٣٢ هـ) " فيه سيف بن عمر ضعيف الحديث ..

This Sayf used to fabricate narrations. The great critic, Sayyid Murtaḍā al-ʿAskarī quoted the views of many scholars of ḥadīth regarding him, in his book ʿ*Abd Allāh ibn Saba*'. Ibn Maʿīn (d. 332 A.H) said: "In (this isnād) is Sayf ibn ʿUmar, whose narrations are unreliable."¹

O reader, did you not see how vociferous they were in rejecting his narration when he said that 'Abd Allāh ibn Saba' was the first person to introduce the concept "every Nabī has a waṣī", yet they readily accepted his narration, "the canines of one of you in Hell will be larger than Mount Uḥud..." Thus, at times he is upright and reliable and he is a liar and a fraudster at times. Allah aptly described these people and their likes:

أَفَتُوْمِنُونٌ بِبَعْض الْكِتَبِ وَتَكْفُرُونَ بِبَعْضٍ فَمَا جَزَاءُ مَن يَفْعَلُ ذَلِكَ مِنكُمْ إِلاَّ خِزْيُ في الْحَيَوةِ الْدُنْيَا وَيَوْمَ الْقَيَـمَة يُرِدُّونَ إِلَى أَشَدّ الْعَذَاب

So do you believe in part of the Scripture and disbelieve in part? Then what is the recompense for those who do that among you, except disgrace

¹ Amīr al-Mu'minīn pg. 364

in worldly life; and on the Day of Resurrection they will be sent back to the severest of punishment.¹

'Abd al-Ḥusayn says in his Murājaʿāt (page 392):

وأما مؤلفو سلفنا من أهل الطبقة الثانية (طبقة التابعين) فإن مراجعاتنا هذه لتضيق عن بيانهم . والمرجع في معرفتهم ومعرفة مصنفاتهم وأسانيدها إليهم على التفصيل إنما هو فهارس علمائنا ومؤلفاتهم في تراجم الرجال ..

As for the authors among our predecessors who belonged to the second category (the category of the Tābiʿīn), our correspondences are far too brief to encapsulate them. The most appropriate sources which can be used to identify them, their writings and the asānīd of these writings up until them are the index books of our scholars and their books on the biographies of the narrators.

He goes on to state (page 392):

.... ولا يمكن في هذا الاملاء احصاء ما ألفه تلامذة الأئمة الستة من أبناء الصادق(ع) بيد إني أحيلك على كتب التراجم والفهارس فراجع منها أحوال محمد بن سنان وعلي بن مهزيار والحسن بن محبوب والحسن بن محمد بن سماعة وصفوان بن يحيى وعلي بن يقطين وعلي بن فضال عبد الرحمن بن نجران والفضل بن شاذان (فإن له مئتي كتاب) و محمد ابن مسعود العياشي (فإن كتبه تربو على المئتين) ومحمد بن عمير،وأحمد بن محمد عيسى فإنه روى عن مئة رجل من أصحاب الصادق(ع) و محمد بن علي بن محبوب وطلحة بن طلحة بن زيد وعمار بن موسى الساباطي وعلي بن النعمان والحسين ابن عبد الله وأحمد بن عبد الله بن مهران المعروف بابن خانة وصدقة بن المنذر القمي وعبيد الله بن علي الحلبي الذي عرض كتابه على الصادق(ع) فصححه واستحسنه وقال أترى لهؤلاء مثل هذا الكتاب وأبي عمرو الطيب وعبد الله بن سعيد الذي عرض كتابه على أبي الحسن(ع) ويونس بن عبد الرحمن الذي عرض كتابه على الإمام أبي محمد الزي العسكري (ع)

... it is not possible to encapsulate in this treatise all that which the students of the six A'immah — from the progeny of al-Ṣādiq — have written. However, I will refer you to the books of biographies and indexes. There, you can study the lives of Muḥammad ibn Sinān, ʿAlīibn Mihzayar, Ḥasan ibn Maḥbūb, Ḥasan ibn Muḥammad ibn Samāʿah, Ṣafwān ibn Yaḥyā, ʿAlī ibn Yaqṭīn, ʿAlī ibn Fuḍal ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān

¹ Sūrah al-Baqarah: 85

ibn Najrān, Faḍl in Shādhān (who authored two hundred books), Muḥammad ibn Masʿūd al-ʿAyyāshī (whose books exceed two hundred), Muḥammad ibn ʿUmayr, Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ʿĪsā (he narrated from one hundred students of al-Ṣādiq), Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī ibn Maḥbūb, Ṭalḥah ibn Ṭalḥah ibn Zayd, ʿAmmār ibn Mūsā al-Sābāṭī, ʿAlī ibn al-Nuʿmān, Ḥusayn ibn ʿAbd Allāh, Aḥmad ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn Mahrān (ibn Khānah), Ṣadaqah ibn al-Mundhir al-Qummī, ʿUbayd Allāh ibn ʿAlī al-Ḥalabī (The one who showed his book to al-Ṣādiq, who authenticated it and expressed his liking for it saying: "Do you think they can produce a book of this nature?"), Abū ʿAmr al-Ṭayyib, ʿAbd Allāh ibn Saʿīd (who presented his book before Abū al-Ḥasan), Yūnus ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān (who presented his book to Imām Abū Muḥammad al-Zakī al-ʿAskarī).

On page 388, he praises his pious predecessors:

وهناك أبطال لم يدركوا الإمام زين العابدين، وإنما فازوا بخدمة الباقرين الصادقين (عليهما السلام)

There are some great men who did not have the good fortune of meeting Imām Zayn al-ʿĀbidīn. However, they were fortunate enough to be of service to the two, Bāqir and Ṣādiq.

Page 392:

قال المحقق في المعتبر أعلا الله مقامه وكان من تلامذة الجواد(ع) فضلاً، كالحسين بن سعيد وأخيه الحسن وأحمد بن محمد بن أبي نصر البزنطي وأحمد ابن محمد بن خالد البرقي وشاذان وأبي الفضل العمى أيوب بن نوح وأحمد بن محمد ابن عيسى وغيرهم ممن يطول تعدادهم ... وكتبهم إلى الآن منقوله بين الأصحاب دالة على العلم والغزير

Al-Muḥaqqiq (May Allah elevate his status) states in al-Muʿtabar: "There were some outstanding students of al-Jawwad like Ḥusayn ibn Saʿīd, his brother Ḥasan, Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Abī Naṣr al-Bazanṭī, Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Khālid al-Barqī, Shādhān, Abū al-Faḍl al-ʿAmmī, Ayyūb ibn Nūḥ, Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn ʿĪsā and others. The list is too lengthy to mention all of them. Their books have been passed down by the scholars and continue to be passed down up until today. They bring to the fore the great amount of knowledge possessed by them." He exaggerated in his praise for his pious predecessors on page 387:

ومنهم أبو حمزة الثمالي ثابت بن دينار كان من ثقاة !!سلفنا الصالح !واعلامهم! أخذ العلم عن الأئمة الثلاثة(الصادق والباقر وزين العابدين (ع) وكان منقطعاً إليهم مقرباً عندهم . أثنى عليه الصادق، فقال(ع): أبو حمزة في زمانه مثل سلمان الفارسي في زمانه ..

Abū Ḥamzah al-Thumālī, his name was Thābit ibn Dīnār. He was among the reliable narrators and the luminaries of our pious predecessors. He studied under the three A'immah (al-Ṣādiq, al-Bāqir and Zayn al-ʿĀbidīn. He dedicated all of his time to their service and thus earned a very close relationship with them). Al-Ṣādiq praised him in the following manner: "Abū Ḥamzah is the Salmān al-Fārsī of his time."

Page 388:

ومنهم أبو القاسم بريد بن معاوية العجلي،وأبو بصير الأصغر ليث بن مراد البختري المرادي، وأبوالحسن زرارة بن أعين، وأبوجعفر محمد بن مسلم بن رباح الكوفي الطائفي الثقفي،وجماعة من اعلام الهدى، ومصابيح الدجي، لا يسع المقام استقصاءهم

Among them were Abū al-Qāsim Burayd ibn Muʿāwiyah al-ʿijlī, Abū Baṣīr al-Aṣghar Layth ibn Murād al-Bukhtarī al-Murādī, Abū al-Ḥasan Zurārah ibn Aʿyun, Abū Jaʿfar Muḥammad ibn Muslim ibn Rabāḥ al-Kūfī al-Ṭāʾifī al-Thaqafī and a many other luminaries who were beacons of guidance and the lanterns in the dark. The platform does not accommodate encompassing all of them.

Our comment: 'Abd al-Ḥusayn has branded Abū Hurayrah is and other Ṣaḥābah is as people who have disbelieved and they are destined for hell. It is not a claim with regards to Abū Hurayrah is alone. Now, we wish to present the details of his pious predecessors to whom he granted generous praise in his *Murājaʿāt*, saying that they were among the companions of his A'immah and also some of the greatest scholars. You will see the extent of his lies and deception.

You will see that all of his claims are in complete contrast to reality. You will be surprised to see the manner in which 'Abd al-Ḥusayn disparages the best of people, the best of nations and the best of generations, who were taken out for the benefit of mankind. Their loyalty, justice, honesty and trustworthiness have been attested to by none other than Allah Himself. 'Abd al-Ḥusayn and his cohorts have chosen to differ with that, labelling those very individuals as renegades and disbelievers! May Allah, the Most Exalted, protect us! On the other hand, his 'pious predecessors' are the ones who he considers to be believers, just, truthful, reliable and great scholars.

Muhammad ibn Sinān

Al-Najāshī says in his *Rijāl* (2/208 number: 889):

أنه روى عن الرضا(ع)،(قال): وله مسائل عنه معروفة !! . وهو رجل ضعيف جداً لايعول عليه، ولا يلتفت إلى ما تفرد به

He narrated from al-Riḍā. Some of his rulings are well-known. He is a very untrustworthy person who cannot be relied upon. A second glance should not be given to those narrations in which he is the sole narrator.

Page 209:

قال أبو محمد الفضل بن شاذان، لا أحلّ لكم أن ترووا أحاديث محمد بن سنان !! وقال في حاشيته:(من أدلة القائلين بضعفه رميه بالغلو ..

Abū Muḥāmmad ibn al-Faḍl ibn Shādhān said: "I do not consider it permissible for you narrate the aḥādīth of Muḥammad ibn Sinān." He says in the footnote: "Among the proofs of those who consider him unreliable is the fact that he was accused of being an extremist."

The biography of Muḥammad ibn Sinān appears in the book *Aḥsan al-tarājim* (2/87-88) which also states:

وفيه من الغلاة .علي بن مهزيار والحسن بن محبوب والحسن بن محمد بن سماعة وصفوان بن يحيى وعلي بن يقطين وعلي بن فضال عبد الرحمن بن نجران والفضل بن شاذان و محمد بن مسعود العياشي(فإن كتبه تربو على المئتين) ومحمد بن عمير،وأحمد بن محمد عيسى محمد بن علي بن محبوب وطلحة بن طلحة بن زيد وعمار بن موسى الساباطي وعلي بن النعمان والحسين ابن عبد الله وأحمد بن عبد الله بن مهران المعروف بابن خانة وصدقة بن المنذر القمي وعبيد الله بن علي الحلبيوأبي عمرو الطيب وعبد الله بن سعيد ويونس بن عبد الرحمن

From among the extremists are, ʿAlī ibn Mihziyār, Ḥasan ibn Maḥbūb, Ḥasan ibn Muḥammad ibn Samāʿah, Ṣafwān ibn Yaḥyā, ʿAlī ibn Yaqṭīn, ʿAlī ibn Fuḍal ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Najrān, Faḍl in Shādhān (who authored two hundred books), Muḥammad ibn Masʿūd al-ʿAyyāshī (whose books exceed two hundred), Muḥammad ibn ʿUmayr, Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ʿĪsā, Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī ibn Maḥbūb, Ṭalḥah ibn Ṭalḥah ibn Zayd, ʿAmmār ibn Mūsā al-Sābāṭī, ʿAlī ibn al-Nuʿmān, Ḥusayn ibn ʿAbd Allāh, Aḥmad ibn ʿAbd Allāh ibn Mahrān (ibn Khānah), Ṣadaqah ibn al-Mundhir al-Qummī, ʿUbayd Allāh ibn ʿAlī al-Ḥalabī, Abū ʿAmr al-Ṭayyib, ʿAbd Allāh ibn Saʿīd, Yūnus ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān.

Page 421:

قال ابن مسعود: قال أبو الحسن عبي بن الحسن بن فضال: علي بن أبي حمزة: أنه أقعد في قبره فسئل عن الأئمة، فأخبرنا بأسمائهم حتى انتهى إليّ فسئل فوقف فضرب على رأسه ضربة امتلاً قبره ناراً

Ibn Masʿūd said, Abū al-Ḥasan ʿAlī ibn Ḥasan ibn Fuḍāl said: "ʿAlī ibn Abī Ḥamzah; he was made to sit in his grave whereupon he was asked regarding the A'immah. He informed us of all of their names. When he came to my name, he paused. Thereupon, he was struck on his head, which caused a fire that filled the entire grave."

Al-Najāshī records the details of one of their narrators, Ḥafṣ ibn al-Bukhtarī, in his *Rijāl* (1/324, narration: 342):

أصله كوفي ثقة !! روى عن أبي عبد الله(ع) وأبي الحسن(ع) ... فغمزوا عليه بلعب الشطرنج !!

He is originally from Kūfah. He narrated from Imām Jaʿfar, Imām Jaʿfar and Imām ʿAlī al-Riḍā. They criticised him for playing chess. منهم أبو القاسم بريد بن معاوية العجلي وأبو بصير الأصغر ليث بن مراد البختري المرادي، وأبوالحسن زرارة بن أعين، وأبو جعفر محمد بن مسلم بن رباح الكوفي الطائفي الثقفي

Among them (extremists) were Abū al-Qāsim Burayd ibn Muʿāwiyah al-ʿIjlī, Abū Baṣīr al-Aṣghar Layth ibn Murād al-Bukhtarī al-Murādī, Abū al-Ḥasan Zurārah ibn Aʿyun, Abū Jaʿfar Muḥammad ibn Muslim ibn Rabāḥ al-Kūfī al-Ṭāʾifī al-Thaqafī.

Abū Hurayrah هله was a great Ṣaḥābī, the greatest narrator of Islam and the guardian of the Sunnah of Nabī حَالَتَعَبَّوْتَكَ. He memorised and narrated the most amount of ḥadīth from all the Ṣaḥābah of Rasūlullāh عَالَتَكَ . The bigots — who were influenced by the ideas of the orientalists — deceptively began to raise doubts and objections against the narrations of Abū Hurayrah عَالَتَكَ , as well his personality, which will be revered by generations right up until the Day of Judgement, especially by those who understand the position of the Ṣaḥābah, their sincerity, firm belief, jihād and steadfastness as explained in the Qur'ān and the Sunnah.

These bigots and their allies wished to do away with the honourable Sunnah, so they adopted Abū Hurayrah المنتقافة — by disparaging him — as the pathway to their ultimate goal, as he is the one who narrates the most amount of aḥādīth from Rasūlullāh متراتفة لله منه المنتقابة. Attempts to realise their dreams took the form of books such as Abū Hurayrah by ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Sharf al-Dīn, Shaykh al-Muḍīrah Abū Hurayrah by Maḥmūd Abū Rayyah among others.

By the sheer grace and mercy of Allah, I penned down the answers of these doubts concerning his narrations and I debunked all of their claims, lies and allegations against him. The details have already passed in this book. This will educate the reader regarding the complete innocence of Abū Hurayrah as far as the false and baseless accusations of the followers of desires and innovators are concerned.

Also, I disproved their claims using their own narrations and the seminal works of the Ahl al-Bayt, who are regarded by them to be infallible and absolute

authorities in all that which they narrate. This is the distinguishing feature of my refutation.

I beseech Allah that he inspires the Muslims to follow their guidance by means of which they will not abandon the glorious Qur'ān and the pure Sunnah of Nabī ترکیک الله . They will also become aware of the dangers surrounding them, and will rise in defence, so that their progenies may remain steadfast upon Islam.

Allah is the Guardian of that, and He is able to do it. My ability is only from Allah, the Lofty, the Magnificent.

Section Two

The Allegations of Mahmud Abu Rayyah

Abū Rayyah was nothing more than a tape-recorder, repeating the claims and following the footsteps of the others. He also adds a few comments, inspired by his whims and ignorance regarding hadīth. The result is that he created a mirage which attracts the thirsty, leaving him with nothing when he eventually gets to it. The reality however, is that none of his writings are the product of his own effort, rather he is a scoundrel who skilfully hijacks the works of others —especially his teacher, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn.

He grants generous praise to his erudite teacher, thus we see the son of his teacher returning the favour by praising him excessively in his forward to the book. He describes the book of his teacher as an, 'invaluable book', and he mostly repeats his teachers arguments. After Dr Sibāʿī laid to waste the claims stated in the book of his teacher, the son of the teacher came to the rescue by publishing this 'wonderful' book.

The following claim that he makes (whilst practising dissimulation) in his forward to the book of Abū Rayyah, *Shaykh al-Muḍīrah Abū Hurayrah* (page 5, 6) does not correspond to reality:

لقد عرفته اول مرة في كتاب "السنة" للدكتور السباعي اذا استهدفه هذا بنقد عاطفي دلني على القيمة في ابي رية – هكذا وجدته- وفي اضوائه الصافية الامر الذي اتاح لي شرف الدفاع عن الحقيقة فيه و في كتابه المذكور دون معرفة به ولا المام بكتابه و عرفته بعد ذلك من خلال اضواؤه فعرفت عالما متبحرا يلين بيده الموضوع الصعب...و في الحق انه من انفس ما انتجته الدراسات الاسلامية الحديثية و اههدانا فن الوصول الى الحقيقة...بقي ان السباعي و امثاله سيؤكدون للبسطاء من قراؤهم تهمة تشييع "ابو رية" و يسوقون التهمة...

The first time that I got to know him was when Dr Sibā'ī went on an emotional attack against him in his book, al-Sunnah. This pointed out to me the true value of Abū Rayyah and his Adwā' — a very refined book. This is what afforded me with the opportunity of defending the truth that is mentioned in it as well as the other

book, without even knowing who he is or reading his book. Thereafter, I came to know him through his Adwā'. I found him to be a widely read scholar who is able to write on the most difficult subjects with utmost ease... the reality is that he is one of the best products produced by the (institutions of) Islamic studies on hadīth and the most guided one as far as finding the truth is concerned... al-Sibā'ī and his ilk will emphasise their accusation of Abū Rayyah being a Shī'ī to the simple-minded among their readers.

If he really did not know him, then why did he try so hard to offer a silencing reply on his behalf and on behalf of his book to the extent that he describes him saying, "He is one of the best products produced by the (institutions of) Islamic studies on ḥadīth"? There is no doubt that the son of the teacher was drowned in dissimulation, just as his father was when he was visited by al-Sibāʿī نشنگ . He refused to admit the reality. Let us look at the truth, as stated by al-Sibāʿī:

بقى ان اقول كلمة عن صدر الدين الذى احتضن كتاب ابى رية الجديد و طبعه و قدم له واتهمنى باننى ساطعن ابا رية بالتشيع كما طعنته من قبل و زعم انه وجد فيه العلم المحقق الذى لا يشق له الغبار وقد عذرته فى ذلك لان له معنا قصة تحدثت عنه فى العدد التاسع من السنة الاولى من مجلة حضارة الاسلام...ولقد قلت هناك ان ابا رية قد يرضى الشيعة فيما كتب و لم اقل ان ابا رية قد تشيع كما زعم صدر الدين...و قلت انه من العبث ان يتظاهر بعض الناس بالرغبة فى الوحدة وهم يؤلفون مثل هذه الكتب المثيرة الداعية للشقاق و النزاع كما فعل عبد الحسين نفسه فقد كنت اتحدث اليه فى "صور" عن ضرورة وحدة الصف بين العاملين للاسلام ووجوب عقد مؤتمر من علماء الفريقين لهذه الغاية فكان يبدى حماسا بالغا لهذه الفكرة بينما كان يطبع كتابه عن ابلاى هريرة للطبعة الثانية و يبيع لجميع الناس ترجمة كتابه بمختلف اللغات ...

It is necessary for me to comment regarding Ṣadr al-Dīn, who promoted the new book of Abū Rayyah, published it and wrote a forward to it. He claims that I have accused Abū Rayyah of being a Shīī, just as I have accused him, and that he sees in Abū Rayyah a great researcher and scholar who is unsurpassable. I excuse him in this regard as we had an episode with him which I wrote about in detail in the ninth issue of the first year of the magazine Hadārat al-Islam. There, we revealed how he earns support by means of fanaticism regarding his madh-hab. This is the methodology adopted by him and his likes. In the preface to my book, *al-Sunnah*, I commented regarding the book of his father 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, concerning Abū Hurayrah المنتخفين. It is in this book that he claims that Abū Hurayrah نفقت was a disbeliever and that Rasūlullāh المنتخفين himself prophesised that he would be from the dwellers of hell. This is what 'Abd al-Ḥusayn does to a Ṣaḥābī who narrated more than just one ḥadīth concerning the love of Rasūlullāh منتخفين for Ḥusayn and his brother منتخفين his nurturing of them and his supplication on behalf of those who love them. May Allah deal with him in a befitting manner!

His book served as a foundation for the lies that Abū Rayyah cooked up against Abū Hurayrah (1996), as well as the foul and derogatory language used against him. I had stated there that Abū Rayyah brings great pleasure to the Shī'ah through his writings. I did not say that he is a Shī'ī, as claimed by Ṣadr al-Dīn. There is no doubt that this will open the door for others to rebuke them, as it will stir up the majority of the Islamic world, who view Abū Hurayrah (1996) as the leading Ṣaḥābī who preserved the Sunnah of Rasūlullāh (1996) and conveyed it meticulously to the next generation (the Tābi'īn). Thus, it is impossible for them to tolerate this kind of defamatory and malicious speech regarding him.

All this is done at a time when the sincere ones from the Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shīʿah are supposed to direct their efforts towards achieving unity, to dispel all the dangers that surround the Islamic world and Islamic beliefs, some of which target the very foundations thereof. There is a greater fear of the Shīʿī youth abandoning their religion as opposed to Sunnī youth.

I have stated that it is utterly ridiculous for some to display great enthusiasm as far as unity is concerned, when they continue to author books of this nature, which demand a breach of unity and stir up arguments. This is exactly what 'Abd al-Ḥusayn did. I would discuss with him (in Ṣuwar) the need for unity between those striving for the cause of Islam and the importance of holding a conference for the scholars of both parties in order to achieve this goal. He would reply by showing great fervour towards this idea, whilst at the same time he published the second edition of his book regarding Abū Hurayrah . He even sold the book in other languages to as many people as he could, seeking a reward from Allah.

This is what I stated regarding 'Abd al-Ḥusayn in the preface of my book al-Sunnah. it is no surprise that this upset Ṣadr al-Dīn, as he was overawed by his father, Ḥujjat al-Islam, just as his father was impressed with him (as he stated in the preface of his sons book, <code>Halīf Makhzūm</code>)... with regards to his adoration of the knowledge of Abū Rayyah even though his ignorance and lies were exposed — as will appear shortly — this can only be the result of one of two things; his own ignorance or his biased stance on the subject. May Allah destroy sectarian fanaticism, which thrives upon hatred and allows those who hold onto it to be reviled in this era just as it brought upon them calamities in the previous eras due to their foul tongues.

Finally, we do not know which one of the two luminaries are worthy of admiration. Should we envy Ṣadr on account of him finding this invaluable treasure and unique knowledge in the form of Abū Rayyah, or should we envy 'the reviver of Islam in the twentieth century', as he finally found someone (Ṣadr) who is willing to appreciate his knowledge, acknowledge his virtue and publish his book? Their condition is as described by Allah Taʿālā, "And whoever is blinded from remembrance of the Most Merciful — We appoint for him a devil, and he is to him a companion. And indeed, they (i.e., the devils) avert them from the way (of guidance) while they think that they are (rightly) guided.¹"²

Our comment: this is the reality; the son of the teacher only praised his father's student on account of the formers praise for the father who was taken to be an outstanding scholar. He even went as far as saying that it is an invaluable book. Below, we will present a few examples wherein the student praises his teacher and his teacher's book:

¹ Sūrah al-Zukhruf: 36-37

² Al-Sunnah by al-Sibāʿī

وقد كان ابو هريرة يسوغ كثرة رواياته بانه كان يلزم النبي (ص) وحده اما المهاجرون فكان يشغلهم الصفق بالاسواق و كان الانصار يشغلهم عمل اموالهم و قد فند هذا الزعم الباطل و دحضه العلامة عبد الحسين شرف الدين بادلة قاطعة

Abū Hurayrah would justify his excessive narrations by claiming that he alone would accompany Nabī سلمتعيد under all circumstances, whilst the Muhājirīn would engage in trade and business and the Anṣār were preoccupied with their wealth. ʿAllāmah ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Sharaf al-Dīn disproved and destroyed this claim on the basis of clear cut proofs.¹

He states further:

ولان حديث بسط الثوب مهم في تاريخ ابي هريرة واختلفت رواياته وهو في نفسه يعتبر خرافة او من اهم غراءبه ولم نجد احدا وا اسفاه قد ناقش هذا الحديث مناقشة علمية تحليلية غير العلامة الكبير الاستاذ عبد الحسين شرف الدين في كتابه "ابو هريرة" فقد رءينا ان نمد القراء بملخص لما ناقش به هذا الحديث لان كلامه في ذلك طويل قال...

The hadīth regarding the spreading of the cloth holds a pivotal position as far as the biography of Abū Hurayrah is concerned. The narrations in this regard vary. In essence, this narration is nothing but hogwash and one of his most important strange narrations. It is quite sad indeed that we have not found anyone who did an academic and critical study of this hadīth besides the erudite scholar, the teacher, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn Sharaf al-Dīn in his book — Abū Hurayrah. We deem it appropriate to present a synopsis of that discussion, as the entire discussion is quite lengthy.²

The student once again praises his teacher:

ملاحظة دقيقة لمن يفهم للعلامة الكبير السيد عبد الحسين شرف الدين كلمة قيمة علق بها على كمية حديث ابي هريرة...

A convoluted reflection for those who understand, by the erudite scholar Sayyid 'Abd al-Ḥusayn Sharaf al-Dīn. It is a priceless statement which was expressed by him concerning the volume of narrations by Abū Hurayrah, which (as we have

¹ Shaykh al-Muḍīrah Abū Hurayrah pg. 124

² Op. cit. pg. 212

explained previously) are 5374 in total, as recorded in the books of hadīth. He compared this unrealistically high number to that which was narrated from the four Khulafā'. He deduced from this comparison that their narrations combined, authentic as well as unauthentic are equivalent to only twenty eight percent of his narrations. We wish to repeat a few lines of his discussion here, as it is the most suitable place in our book for this discussion. He (May Allah have mercy upon him and grant him retribution) says, "One should study Abū Hurayrah using his intellect..."¹

He praises the book of his teacher in his footnotes:

من اراد ان يقف على كل ما قاله العلامة شرف الدين فليرجع الى كتابه "ابو هريرة " وهو من الكتب القيمة

Whoever wishes to see all that which the erudite scholar Sharaf al-Dīn has written, should read his book Abū Hurayrah. Indeed it is among the best of books.²

Our comment: I have a question which was neither answered by the son of the teacher nor the student. Why did the 'erudite scholar' not comment on the excessive narrations of his 'reliable' narrators? Instead, he praised them Abūndantly in his Murājaʿāt, whereas their narrations are four times more than the narrations of Abū Hurayrah . His law with regards to the number of narrations of the 'infallibles' is "Narrate without any restrictions or repercussions."

As for the comparison of your teacher (the great scholar), it is, on account of a very simple reason, a laughable comparison. This reason is known even to the novice, hence it is indeed astounding that this reason escaped the knowledge of the 'erudite' scholar. This is the kind of knowledge that one requires to please the likes of his protagonists. Al-Sibāī explained the reason behind the low number of narrations attributed to the four khulafā' ﷺ, especially Abū Bakr and 'Umar ﷺ. It was due to the fact that they were occupied with the responsibilities

¹ Op. cit. pg. 132

² Op. cit. pg. 212

of khilāfah and spreading Islam and not because they did not hear much from Rasūlullāh مَرْاللَّعَانِينَا or forgot whatever they had heard. Neither was it on account of them having suspicions regarding those Ṣaḥābah who devoted themselves towards the cause of listening to, memorising and spreading ḥadīth, as this 'taperecorder' repeatedly claims in his book. Previously, I have explained in detail that the Abūndance of his narrations are based on the following factors; dedicating himself to the cause, an exceptional memory, very little worldly duties, his late death and his occupying the seat of teaching and passing verdicts. It is important to remember these factors.¹

It has now become clear to us that the author was nothing more than a follower. It is inappropriate for a researcher to be a 'copy-cat' and follower in everything that he writes. However, it is unfair to expect anything else from someone like him as he is a destitute as far as the subject of ḥadīth and its narrators are concerned... Thus, do not be surprised when you see him exhibiting his cheap and baseless views on the subject. Despite all of this, the Shīʿah have praised him greatly, to the extent that one of their influential men even penned down a biography of him in Persian, which was then published by one of their libraries. This is because the man offered a valuable to service to them by displaying *Rafḍ* (dissociation) and reviling the companions of Rasūlullāh <code>..., especially the first three khulafā'.</code>

It is possible for me to summarise my observations regarding his book and expose his lies in this treatise which is being written despite time constraints in the following manner; firstly, he uses Ibn Qutaybah's name fraudulently on the cover of his book. Under the title, *Abū Hurayrah*, he writes, "The first narrator to be suspected in Islam." He then places Ibn Qutaybah's name next to this unfounded statement and accusation, to create the impression that this was his statement. Ibn Qutaybah, on the other hand quoted the criticisms levelled by al-Naẓām the Mu'tazilī against the Ṣaḥābah of Rasūlullāh such as Abū Bakr, 'Umar, 'Alī, Ibn Masʿūd and Abū Hurayrah

¹ Difāʿʿan al-Sunnah pg. 179

These are the claims of al-Nazām. We have explained them and presented the answers to his objections.¹

Can any degree of credibility be expected from the one who is so bold in attributing statements to the wrong people? He claims on page 154 and 203 of his $Adw\bar{a}$:

بان الكاتب صادق الرافعي قال في ابي هريرة انه اول راوية اتهم في الاسلام في كتابه تاريخ ادب العرب

The writer Ṣādiq al-Rāfiʿī mentions that Abū Hurayrah was the first narrator who was suspected in Islam in his book Tārīkh Ādāb al-ʿArab (1/2787)

He says on page 213 of his Adwa':

ولقد عرف بنو امية صنيعه معهم...فاغدقوا عليه من افضالهم و غمروه برفدهم و اعطيتهم...وبعد ان كان يستر جسمه بنمرة بالية صار يلبس الخز و الكتان الممشق

¹ Ta'wīl Mukhtalif al-Ḥadīth pg. 15-32

² Muḥammad Abū Shahbah pg. 100

The Banū Umayyah were well aware of his manner of dealing with them... Hence they spared none of their favours from him and drowned him in their bounties and gifts... He began wearing fine cloth of cotton and silk after being one who would just manage to cover his body with worn out material.

The ironic part is that after he wrote his book, he complained about those scholars who refuted his book. He tried defending himself as well as his book by saying:

فيه شيئ من العنف والتهكم

There is some harshness and mockery in the book...

He goes on to claim that he was forced to adopt this methodology and he did not choose it. He was compelled to do so. On the same page he claims:

ذلكبان هؤلاءالقوم الذى نخاطبهم قداتفقت كامتهم على عداوتنا وتظاهر وعلى سبناو شتمناو تمادوافي قذفنا

This is because those who we are having this dialogue with have united in their stance of being our enemies and they have openly reviled us, sworn at us and they have exceeded the limits in accusing us.

Our dear friend, who is the one who began this by selecting Abū Hurayrah as a target of enmity and openly reviled him, swore at him and exceeded the limits in accusing him? Why do you now shed crocodile tears, O 'oppressed' one, when you are the one who started this mockery by mocking such a great Ṣaḥābī as well as the intelligence of our scholars? Is this the brilliance that afforded the son of your teacher with the opportunity of defending you without even knowing you or having any idea of your book'? Is the mere mockery of a Ṣaḥābī all that it takes to classify a piece of writing as 'a conclusive study', O tape-recorders?

The student wrote on the cover of his book:

دراسة محررة تناولت حياة الحديث المحمدي و تاريخه و كل ما يتصل به من امور الدين و الدنيا و هذه الدراسة الجامعة قامت على قواعد التحقيق العلمي هي الاولى في موضوعها لم ينسج احد من قبل على منوالها A conclusive study which covers the life and history of the Muḥammadan ḥadīth as well as everything that is related to it from religious and worldly matters. This comprehensive study is based upon the laws of academic research. It is the first of its kind. None have written in this manner before.

O master of misguidance, what is it that makes this a conclusive study, the fact that you have named it *Shaykh al-Muḍīrah*? Yes, for a change you mistakenly spoke the truth, it is the first of its kind in many aspects; manipulation of facts, foul language, mockery, evilness and lowliness. It is no surprise that you are unbeaten, as which sane person would author a book according to your 'principles'? In fact, most of the statements and aḥādīth which he mentioned in his attack on Abū Hurayrah and the Sunnah were (as previously mentioned) a result of him aping his teacher who he refers to as 'the outstanding erudite scholar 'Abd al-Ḥusayn', who in turn apes Aḥmad Amīn's *Fajr al-Islam wa Duḥāhū*, which is based upon the writings of Goldziher and other orientalists.

In summary, the student aped his teacher in every matter, big or small. He treaded the path of his teacher foot by foot, to the extent that he even mentioned some of his teacher's statements without attributing them to him, thus committing academic theft. Thus, he did not manage to add on to his teachers writings except a few aḥādīth. To disprove this, we will produce undoubtable evidence and we will also prove the degree of deception employed by all those who say that their religion is Shī ism. Their religion is as they have stated, "Dissimulation is my religion and the religion of my forefathers. There is no religion for the one who does not practice dissimulation." He wishes to disprove all the aḥādīth of Abū Hurayrah

We will now present to you some of the sayings of this deceiver:

He rejects the aḥādīth of the two utensils, spreading of the cloths and of the provisions (page 9). He makes a mockery of them. He rejects the ḥadīth in which it is stated that Allah created Ādam upon his form (page 97). On page 207, he claims that Abū Hurayrah supported Muʿāwiyah
and that the Banū Umayyah showered him with favours. He quotes al-Iskāfī on page 243, who claims that Abū Hurayrah would concoct ahādīth against ʿAlī 🕬. He copied and pasted the argument of his teacher concerning the claim that 'Umar struck Abū Hurayrah 🕬 on page 104 and 105, just as he done so when accusing Abū Hurayrah 🛶 of claiming that he witnessed certain events which he did not witness (the likes of the conquest of Khaybar and the Kufr of Abū Tālib). He rejects the hadīth in which Nabī متاشقينية ordered the killing of shepherd dogs (page 143), the hadīth of waking up impure (135-136), the hadīth of bad omen (139), the hadīth of the one who bathes a dead person (139), the hadīth of mortar (61), the hadīth, "There is no contagious...", the hadīth of the forgetting of Nabī مالتنظيرة (112), the hadīth of the prohibition of walking in only one sandal (134), the hadīth of the angel of death (244), the hadīth of the debate between Jannah and Jahannam (245), the hadīth of Nuzūl (245), the ḥadīth of Nabī Dāwūd المستعدد (246), the ḥadīth of the filling of Jahannam and the hadīth of Sulaymān visiting one hundred women (269), the hadīth of Shaytan interfering with Nabī مَالْتَعَبِيسَة, the hadīth of viewing Allah (246), the hadīth of the transformation of a nation from Banū Isrā'il (246), the hadīth of the Qur'ān (Qirāʿah) being made easy for Nabī Dāwūd 🕬 (255), the hadīth of Abū Hurayrah being appointed to safeguard the zakāh (255), as well as other ahādīth.

He also accuses Abū Hurayrah is of learning those aḥādīth from Kaʿb al-Aḥbār and Wahb ibn Munabbih. In fact, he even accuses the great scholar of the ummah, Ibn ʿAbbās is of learning from the Jews. Thus his accusations are not confined to Abū Hurayrah is only. On page 23, Abū Rayyah says:

The narrator of the ḥadīth, Abū Hurayrah, was a student of the soothsayers of the Jews. He would learn from them and then spread it among the masses as if it was the words of Rasūlullāh المتقاطية.

On page 29, he claims:

كرواية الحبر عبد الله بن عباس...و ابي هريرة وانس و غيرهم عن كعب الاحبار هو و ابو هريرة و ابن عباس كانا اكثرا من نشر علم كعب الاحبار

Like the narration of the great rabbi 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās… and Abū Hurayrah, Anas and others, who narrated from Kaʿb al-Aḥbār. Abū Hurayrah and Ibn 'Abbās went to great lengths to spread the knowledge of Kaʿb al-Aḥbār…

On page 89 and 90, under the title, 'Abū Hurayrah studies under Kaʿb al-Aḥbār', he says:

ما كان ابو هريرة يرجع الى المدينة معزولا على ولايته بالبحرين حتى تلقفه الحبر الاكبر كعب الاحبار اليهودى و اخذ يلقنه من اسرائيلياته و يدس له من خرافاته...ولا يزال هذا السيل يتدفق بالاحاديث الخرافية والمشكلة وقد سمعت مرة من احد احرار الفكر المحققين ان ابا هريرة و كعبا هما اللذان افسدا الاسلام بما بثا فيه من الخرافات والاوهام وقد نال اكثر ما نال من كعب و اعتبره الصهيوني الاول

Abū Hurayrah hardly returned to Madīnah, after being fired from the governance of Baḥrayn, before the great rabbi Kaʿb al-Aḥbār (the Jew) got hold of him and began teaching him the Isrā'ilī narrations, adding on some of his bunkum...This flood kept bringing forth nonsensical and non-comprehendible aḥādīth. I once heard from one of the free thinking researchers that Abū Hurayrah and Kaʿb are the ones who have corrupted dīn by spreading absurdities and baseless narrations. He learnt most of his knowledge from Kaʿb, who was considered the first Zionist.

He says on page 93:

و كان الاستاذ سعيد الافغاني قد نشر مقالا بمجلة الرسالة المصرية قال فيه:"...من هذه المجلة اثبت فيه بالادلة القاطعة ان كعب الاحبار الصهيوني الاول

The teacher Saʿīd al-Afghānī published an article in the magazine al-Risālat al-Miṣriyyah, wherein he says: "In this magazine, I have proven by the means of clear proof that Kaʿb al-Aḥbār was the first Zionist." Wahb ibn Munabbih is one of the greatest and most reliable Tābiʿīn. We do not know of anyone who doubted him or called him a fabricator besides the author¹. The narrations of Kaʿb and Wahb from Nabī مَرْتَعَيْدَيَنَ are not many in number and they are classified as mursal narrations (when the narrator omits the name of his teacher), as they did not meet Nabī مَرْتَعَيْدَيَنَّهُ. Mursal narrations are not accepted by all as proof. It is highly unlikely that the Ṣaḥābah would accept the mursal narrations of Kaʿb, when they were the same ones who would take pains in affirming that which another Ṣaḥābī had narrated.² As for Wahb, he came much later. They have narrated a few statements from some of the Ṣaḥābah and Tābiʿīn, but the scholars of ḥadīth have scrutinised these narrations, just as the narrations of other Tābiīn were scrutinised.³

Further, we challenge Abū Rayyah to gather ten different narrations and prove that Abū Hurayrah and narrated them from Kaʿb also, if his claims regarding Abū Hurayrah, Ibn ʿAbbās and Kaʿb also are correct, then this would mean that his great and leading scholars also narrated from Kaʿb. I will briefly present examples wherein they have narrated from Kaʿb al-Aḥbār. Al-Majlisī, in his *Mawsūʿāt al-Biḥār* quotes the narrations of Kaʿb as proof. *Al-Bihār* (36/240, Ḥadīth: 44) reports from *al-Khiṣāl* of al-Ṣadūq with this (the same as the previous one in the book) isnād:

بهذا الاسناد عن الوليد بن مسلم عن صفوان بن عمرو عن شريح ابن عبيد عن عمرو البكائي عن كعب الاحبار قال...

Walīd ibn Muslim — Ṣafwān ibn ʿAmr — Shurayḥ ibn ʿUbayd — ʿAmr al-Bukā'ī-Kaʿb al-Aḥbār...

¹ Difāʿʿan al-Sunnah by Abū Shuhbah pg. 70-71

² This was obviously not on account of them suspecting the next بِعَبُقَهَا of fabricating the narration. Rather, this was due to the high standard maintained by them in preserving the aḥādīth of Rasūlullāh مَسْتَعَيْتُ

³ Al-Anwār al-Kāshifah pg. 101

⁴ Op. cit. pg. 178

...عن القاسم بن خلف قال سئل رجل كعب الاحبار فقال...

Abū al-Maḥāsin — Abū ʿAbd Allāh al-Ṣādiq ﷺ — Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn Sahl ibn ʿAbd Allāh — ʿAbd Allāh ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥīm — ʿUbayd Allāh ibn Yaʿqūb — Isḥāq ibn Maymūn — al-Qāsim ibn Khalaf: "A man enquired from Kaʿb al-Aḥbār…"¹

Al-Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī also quotes a few narrations from Kaʿb al-Aḥbār in his book, *Al-Jawāhir al-Saniyyah fī Aḥādīth al-Qudsiyyah* (refer to pages 61 and 284 for the narrations of Kaʿb al-Aḥbār²). Thus, did his scholars and teachers also learn from the Jews? Are all their narrations also Isrā'ilī narrations, nonsense and bunkum? Why did he not attack his own people before interfering with Abū Hurayrah, Kaʿb and Anas ? Who is the real deceiver and the real student of the Jews? We present to you more of the bunkum of this deviant man. Let us see what he says about Wahb ibn Munabbih. On page 93, he says:

The teacher Saʿīd al-Afghānī published an article in the magazine Al-Risālat al-Miṣriyyah in which he said: "Wahb ibn Munabbih was the first Zionist."

On page 24 he says:

ولقد كان على الشيخي عبد الحليم -خاصة- وجماعة دار الحديث عامة ان يرجعوا- قبل ان ياخذوا بهذا الحديث الى ما قاله العلماء فيه كالذهبي و ابن رجب والخطابي وما ذكره ابو نعيم في الحلية من انه منقول وهب بن منبه اليهودي

رواية عن كعب الاحبار قال

Who narrates from Kaʿb al-Aḥbār, that he said...

¹ Refer to 57/206 Hadīth: 159, 77/43 Hadīth: 11, 90/54 Hadīth: 14 for more examples.

² His exact words are:

It was necessary for Shaykh 'Abd al-Ḥalīm especially, and all the members of Dār al-Ḥadīth to have referred to (before accepting this ḥadīth) the views of the scholars such as al-Dhahabī, Ibn Rajab, al-Khaṭṭābī as well as that which Abū Nu'aym has stated in <code>Ḥilyah</code> that it is narrated from Wahb ibn Munabbih, the Jew...

He states on pages 151 and 262:

...و ينبوعي الخرافات هما كعب الاحبار و وهب بن منبه

The two fountainheads of nonsensical (aḥādīth) were Kaʿb al-Aḥbār and Wahb ibn Munabbih.

On page 269, he says:

There is no doubt that he was affected, as far as his strange narrations are concerned, by his most influential mentor — the Jewish luminary, Kaʿb al-Aḥbār — who would spread strange Isrā'ilī narrations among the Muslims... Similarly, he was affected by the Jewish rabbi Wahb ibn Munabbih.

Our comment: did the brilliant author forget that the books of the madh-hab of his most outstanding teacher contain narrations which his leading scholars have narrated from Wahb ibn Munabbih? Al-Majlisī states in al-Bihar (1/63):

فى ذكر بعض ما لا بد من ذكره مما ذكره اصحاب الكتب الماخوذ منها فى مفتتحها"...اسناد كتابى المبتداء عن وهب بن منبه اليمانى و ابى حذيفة. حدثنا القطيفى عن الثعلبى عن محمد بن الحسن الازهرى عن الحسين بن محمد العبدى عن عبد المنعم بن ادريس عنهما

We mention some extremely important things which have been mentioned in the beginning of the books of those whose books are relied upon... The isnād of the two books of al-Mubtadā are from Wahb ibn Munabbih al-Yemānī and Abū Ḥudhayfah. Al-Quṭayfī narrated to us — from al-Thaʿlabī — Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan al-Azharī — al-Ḥusayn ibn Muḥammad al-ʿAbdī — ʿAbd al-Munʿim ibn Idrīs — Wahb and Abū Ḥudhayfah...

Al-Majlisī quotes in the same volume of his book, (page 89, narration: 15):

فی امالی المفید عن لسماعیل بن محمد الکاتب عن عبد الصمد عن علی عن محمد بن هارون بن عیسی عن ابی طاحة الخزاعی عن عمر بن عباد عن ابی فرات قال قرئت فی کتاب لوهب بن منبه

Amālī of al—Mufīd states: "Ismāʿīl ibn Muḥammad al—Kātib — ʿAbd al-Ṣamad — ʿAlī —Muḥammad ibn Hārūn ibn ʿĪsā — Abū Ṭalḥah al-Khuzāʿī — ʿUmar ibn ʿUbād — Abū Furāt: 'I read in a book of Wahb ibn Munabbih…'"

Thereafter al-Majlisī quotes the narrations of Wahb ibn Munabbih and Kaʿb al-Aḥbār. Here is brief presentation of a few of them:

In al-Biḥār (6/4, narration: 4):

It is mentioned in the Amālī of al-Mufīd: Ḥusayn ibn Muḥammad al-Tammār — Muḥammad ibn al-Qāsim al-Anbārī — his father — Ḥusayn ibn Sulaymān ibn Zāhid: "I heard Jaʿfar al-Ṭā'ī the orator saying: 'I heard Wahb ibn Munabbih saying, I read a few lines from the Psalms of Dāwūd…"

في حديث وهب بن منبه ان نوحا (ع) كان اول نبي...

In the hadīth of Wahb ibn Munabbih that Nūh was the first Nabī... (11/299)

In *'Ilal al-Sharā'i*': the isnād is from Wahb ibn Munabbih who said...(11/355 Ḥadīth: 12), (14/179 Ḥadīth: 15) عن قصص الانبياء: بالاسناد الى الصدوق باسناده الى وهب بن منبه عن ابن عباس

Qiṣaṣ al-ambiyā': with an isnād to al-Ṣadūq whose isnād links up to Wahb ibn Munabbih who narrates from Ibn ʿAbbās (13/178 Narration: 8, page 192, page 393 Narration: 2)

عن قصص الانبياء: بالاسناد الي الصدوق باسناده الي وهب بن منبه

Qiṣaṣ al-ambiyā': with an isnād to al-Ṣadūq whose isnād links up to Wahb ibn Munabbih...(11/357 Narration: 15), (14/179 Narration: 23)

عن قصص الانبياء: بالاسناد الي الصدوق باسناده الي وهب بن منبه

Qiṣaṣ al-ambiyā': with an isnād to al-Ṣadūq whose isnād links up to Wahb ibn Munabbih (14/161 Ḥadīth: 2, page 340, page 364 Ḥadīth: 6, page 367 Ḥadīth: 6)

اقول: روى في المجمع نحوا من ذلك عن وهب بن منبه

I say: "Something similar has been narrated from Wahb ibn Munabbih in *al-Majma*[°]." (11/369 Narration: 2)

Al-Majlisī says:

اقول: قال الشيخ ابو الحسن البكرى استاذ الشهيد الثاني قدس الله روحهما في كتابه المسمى بكتاب الانوار: حدثنا اشياخنا و اسلافنا الرواة لهذا الحديث عن ابي عمر الانصارى سالت عن كعب الاحبار و وهب بن منبه وابن عباس قالوا جميعا لما اراد الله ان يخلق محمدا

I say: Shaykh Abū al-Ḥasan al-Bakrī, the teacher of the Shahīd al-Thānī (may Allah sanctify their souls) states in his book Kitāb al-Anwār: "Our scholars and predecessors, the narrators of this ḥadīth have narrated to us from Abū 'Amr al-Anṣārī, 'I asked Kaʿb al-Aḥbār, Wahb ibn Munabbih and Ibn 'Abbās. All of them replied, 'When Allah decided to create Muḥammad… '"(15/26 Narration: 48) It is stated in *Kitāb al-Miʿrāj* from al-Ṣadūq from Wahb ibn Munabbih:

عن وهب بن منبه قال: ان موسى عليه السلام نظر ليلة الخظاب الى كل شجرة في الطور و كل حجر و نبات ينطق بذكر محمد و اثنى عشر وصى له من بعده

Mūsā ﷺ saw every tree, stone and plant at al-Ṭūr taking the name of Muḥammad and his twelve Awṣiyā' on the night that he spoke to Allah. (26/308 Narration: 73)1

Refer to (51/149 Narration: 24) in the book *Muqtadab al-Athar fī l-Naṣṣ ʿalā l-Ithnā* ʿ*Ashar*. This narration also appears in the Mustadrak of al-Nūrī (12/186)

Al-Khişāl of al-Ṣadūq:

...عن ابي اسامة عن ابن مبارك عن معمر عمن سمع وهب بن منبه

Abū Usāmah — Ibn Mubārak — Maʿmar — those who heard from Wahb ibn Munabbih... (36/240 narration 42, 370). Refer to al-Khisāl (2/76).

و ذکر وهب بن منبه عن ابن عباس

...from some of the old books on merits, Wahb ibn Munabbih narrates from Ibn ʿAbbās.2 (43/214 Narration: 44)

This narration is also established by their scholar al-N $\bar{u}r\bar{i}$ al-Ṭabars \bar{i} in his *Mustadrak* from Wahb ibn Munabbih. (2/186, 309)3

احمد بن ادريس عن ابن قتيبة عن الفضل عن مصبح عن ابي عبد الرحمان عمن سمع وهب بن منبه يقول ابن عباس في حديث طويل انه قال...

2 For more information, refer to this isnād in al-Biḥār, 51/68 Ḥadīth: 11 and 52/276 Ḥadīth: 173

¹ The Jews have invented concepts such as these; Awṣiyā' (successors), reincarnation and badā... they are similar in nature.

³ Refer to al-Mustadrak 2/487, 7/420, 8/40 and 6/289

Aḥmad ibn Idrīs — Ibn Qutaybah — al-Faḍl — Miṣbaḥ — Abū ʿAbd al-Raḥmān — the one who heard from Wahb ibn Munabbih: "Ibn ʿAbbās says in a lengthy narration..." (*Al-Ghaybah* of al-Ṭūsī, page 27)

Narrated from Wahb that he said... $(57/208, Narration: 171)^1$

Taʿyīn al-Aʿmāl: From that is the narration from Rasūlullāh المستخدة, we found it from Muḥammad ibn Abū Bakr al-Madīnī al-Ḥāfiẓ from the book Dustūr al-Madhkūrīn with his unbroken isnād from Wahb ibn Munabbih — Ibn ʿAbbās — Rasūlullāh المستخدة, (98/336 Narration: 1).

Al-Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī quotes a few narrations from Wahb ibn Munabbih in his book *Al-Jawāhir al-Saniyyah fī Aḥādīth al-Qudsiyyah* (page 13, 17, 56, 74, 75, 208, 209)

عن الحسن بن احمد بن ادريس عن ابيه عن محمد بن احمد بن يحيى بن عمران الاشعرى عن احمد بن ابي عبد الله عن ابيه عن وهب بن منبه عن الصادق عن ابيه عن ابائه قال قال رسول الله

Hasan ibn Aḥmad ibn idrīs — his father — Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn Yaḥyā ibn ʿImrān al-Ashʿarī — Aḥmad ibn Abī ʿAbd Allāh — his father — Wahb ibn Munabbih — al-Ṣādiq — his father — his forefathers — Rasūlullāh نائىتىيەتۇ said... (page 111)

¹ For more, refer to *al-Biḥār* 75/19 Ḥadīth: 12, pg. 175 Ḥadīth: 10, pg. 19 Ḥadīth: 20, 25, 26, 59/261 Ḥadīth: 37, 377, 65/62 Ḥadīth: 19, 61/286 Ḥadīth: 1, 70/16 Ḥadīth: 6, 72/69 Ḥadīth: 1, 77/42 Ḥadīth: 10, 78/446 Ḥadīth: 4, 97/48 Ḥadīth: 36, 12/254 Ḥadīth: 18, 15/276 Ḥadīth: 24, 39/110

Our comment: I am sure that you have noticed O reader that this isnād goes through Wahb ibn Munabbih to the infallible Imām Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq who narrates from his forefathers who narrate from Nabī حَالَتُعَبِّوَتَاً. It has become quite clear that the scholars of his madh-hab have taken the narrations of Wahb, Kaʿb and Ibn ʿAbbās as evidences. Did they also learn from the Jews and spread bunkum and blasphemy among the Muslims?

Below, I will reproduce some of the narrations which were rejected by $Ab\bar{u}$ Rayyah in detail. Before reproducing them, it is necessary to point out an important factor, i.e. I will use the narrations of the Shīʿah for a few reasons:

Firstly, because of the Shīʿī beliefs of Abū Rayyah and his clear statement at the end of his book (page 271):

و هناك طوائف من المسلمين لا يعترفون بكتب السنة المشهورة ولهم كتب في السنة والفقه خاصة بهم يتبعونها و ياخذون بها مثل الشيعة الامامية والزيدية و غيرهم والشيعة الامامية بخاصة لا يعتبرون من الاحاديث الا ما صح لهم من طرق اهل البيت عن جدهم يعنى ما رواه الصادق عن ابيه الباقر عن ابيه زين العابدين عن الحسين السبط عن ابيه امير المؤمنين عن رسول الله سلام الله عليهم اجمعين. اما ما يرويه مثل ابي هريرة و سمرة بن جندب و مروان بن الحكم و عمران بن حطان و عمرو بن العاص و نظائرهم فليس له عند الامامية اي اعتبار. و هؤلاء الطوائف جميعا لا يمكن لاحد ان يطعن في دينهم او يستريب في ايمانهم...ولكل قوم سنة و امامها

There are many groups of Muslims who do not accept the famous books of the Sunnah. They have their own books on fiqh and Sunnah which they follow, such as the Imāmiyyah, Zaydiyyah and others. The Imāmī Shī'ah do not accept any aḥādīth except those which are authentically narrated through the Ahl al-Bayt from their forefathers, i.e. from al-Ṣādiq — his father, al-Bāqir — his father, Zayn al-ʿĀbidīn — Ḥusayn al-Sibṭ, — his father Amīr al-Mu'minīn, ʿAlī — from Rasūlullāh, May the salutations of Allah be upon all of them. As for that which is narrated by the likes of Abū Hurayrah, Samurah ibn Jundub, Marwān ibn al-Ḥakam, ʿImrān ibn Hiṭṭān, ʿAmr ibn al-ʿĀṣ and their likes; this holds no weight according to the Imāmiyyah... No one is allowed to find fault with the religiousness of any of these groups or doubt their īmān... Every nation has their own sunnah and their own Imām.

Secondly, because the son of his teacher wrote a preface for his book and his book was translated to Persian. They also wrote prefaces for him.

A point worthy of mentioning is that Abū Rayyah criticised thirty odd aḥādīth in his biography of Abū Hurayrah ﷺ. These aḥādīth are of different types;

- 2. Approximately ten aḥādīth, the asānīd of which contain liars, accused narrators, weakness or a disruption in the chain. This category also has nothing to do with Abū Hurayrah (as it is not established from him.
- 3. It is disputed whether these narrations are authentically narrated from Abū Hurayrah عليه and Nabī عليه . This is similar to the above category. This is because, if it is proven that the text is not accurate, then it is unlikely that it is the words of Abū Hurayrah عليه , as inaccuracy in aḥādīth is attributed to those who appear later.
- 4. Those which are authentically narrated from Abū Hurayrah Other Ṣaḥābah (two, three or more) have also narrated the meaning of these aḥādīth. These narrations have been narrated by the Ahl al-Bayt as well (as claimed by the Shīʿah).

Abū Rayyah Rejects the Hadīth: "Where Does the Sun Go To After It Sets"

On page 23, Abū Rayyah quotes the ḥadīth regarding, "Where does the Sun go to after it sets". Abū Rayyah casts doubts and mocks this ḥadīth of Nabī مَكَاسَمَتَ يَعَالَمُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْ

ان اسناده جيداً ذلك الذي يضحك الاطفال لانه يخالف دليل العلم و شاهد الحس اذا يفيد ان الشمس عندما تغرب تصعد الى عرش الرحمان فتسجد تحته ثم تستاذن ربها في الطلوع في اليوم الثاني فلا يؤذن لها و تظل تستاذن حتى يجيئها الاذن فيجرها سبعون الف ملك من المغرب الى المشرق لكى تطلع على الناس في اليوم الثاني...ثم تبين للعلماء انه قد تلقاه عن كعب الاحبار اليهودي-و هذا مخالف لنص القران الكريم

The isnād of it is good, the same ḥadīth which makes the children laugh as it goes against the proofs of knowledge and what is witnessed with the senses. This is because it suggests that when the sun sets, it rises to the 'Arsh of Allah. There it prostrates under it and then seeks permission from its Rabb to rise again the next day. It is not granted permission, so it continues to seek permission until it is eventually granted permission. Then, seventy thousand angels pull it from the west to the east for it to rise for the people the next day... thereafter, the scholars realised that he learnt it from Kaʿb al-Aḥbār the Jew, and this is against the clear verses of the Qurʾān.

Our comment: O liar, your lies and criticism is not restricted to Abū Hurayrah Weige. You have belied and criticised the Book of Allah Taʿālā and His verses. The prostration of all the creation has been established just as it is stated in this noble verse of Allah Taʿālā:

Do you not see (i.e. know) that to Allah prostrates whoever is in the heavens and whoever is on the earth and the sun, the moon, the stars, the mountains, the trees, the moving creatures and many of the people?¹

Allah established in the Qur'ān the prostration of the sun, the moon, the stars and other creations. We have no idea of the manner in which they prostrate, just as have no idea of the manner in which they glorify Allah. We have no option but to believe that which Allah informs us of in His magnificent book. Rejecting this is clear disbelief and deviation. This is what is what he chose to do when he denied the prostration of the sun and others, so take a lesson, O people of intelligence.

¹ Sūrah al-Ḥajj: 18

If you, your teacher and your cohorts are adamant that you will not have anything to do with that narrated by Abū Hurayrah (), then we will narrate to you that which, according to you, is authentically narrating from the Ahl al-Bayt from their forefathers, al-Ṣādiq — his father, al-Bāqir — his father, Zayn al-ʿĀbidīn — Ḥusayn al-Sibṭ, — his father, Amīr al-Mu'minīn — Rasūlullāh (), may the salutations of Allah be upon all of them. This (claim of authenticity) is your view and the view of the Shīʿah.

Abū al-Ṣabāh al-Kinānī — Aṣbagh ibn Nubātah — Amīr al-Mu'minīn said:

ان للشمس ثلاثمائة و ستين برجا كل برج منها مثل جزيرة من جزائر العرب فتنزل كل يوم على برج منها فاذا غابت انتهت الى حد بطنان العرش فلم تزل ساجدة الى الغد ثم ترد الى موضع مطلعها و معها ملكان يهتفان معها وان وجهها لاهل السماء وقفاها لاهل الارض ولو كان وجهها لاهل الارض لاحترقت الارض ومن عليها من شدة حرها و معنى سجودها ما قال الله سبحانه و تعالى:

اَلَمْ تَرَ اَنَّ اللَّهَ يَسْجُدُ لَه مَنْ فِي السَّمٰوٰتِ وَمَنْ فِي الْاَرْضِ وَ الشَّمْسُ وَالْقَمَرُ وَالنُّجُوْمُ وَالْجِبَالُ وَالشَّجَرُوَالدَّ وَابُّ وَكَثِيْرٌ مِّنَ النَّاسِ

The sun has three hundred stations. Each station is the size of one of the islands of the 'Arabian Peninsula. Every day it comes down to one of the stations. When it disappears, it goes underneath the throne. It remains there in prostration until the next day. Thereafter, it is returned to its place from where it rises. It has two angels, who keep calling it. Its face faces the inhabitants of the sky whilst its back faces the inhabitants of the Earth. If it had to face the Earth, the inhabitants of the earth would all burn due to its heat. What is meant by its prostration is as mentioned by Allah Ta'ālā:

Do you not see (i.e. know) that to Allah prostrates whoever is in the heavens and whoever is on the earth and the sun, the moon, the stars, the mountains, the trees, the moving creatures?¹

Al-Ikhtiṣāṣ of Abū al-Ṣabāḥ al-Kinānī states, I asked Abū ʿAbd Allāh al-Ṣadiq تعنائلة regarding the statement of Allah: "Do you not see that to Allah prostrates

¹ Sūrah al-Ḥajj: 18

whoever is in the heavens and whoever is on the earth and the sun, the moon, the stars, the mountains, the trees, the moving creatures?, and he replied:

ان للشمس اربع سجدات كل يوم و ليلة: سجدة اذا صارت في طول السماء قبل ان يطلع الفجراً قلت بلى جعلت فداك قال ذاك الفجر الكاذب لان الشمس تخرج ساجدة وهي في طرف الارض فاذا ارتفعت من سجودها طلع الفجر و دخل وقت الصلاة و اما السجدة الثانية فانها اذا صارت في وسط القبة وارتفع النار ركدت قبل الزوال فاذا صارت بحذاء العرش ركدت و سجدت فاذا ارتفعت من سجودها زالت عن وسط القبة فيدخل وقت صلاة الزوال. واما السجدة الثالثة انها اذا غابت من الافق خرت ساجدة فاذا ارتفعت من سجودها زال الليل كما انها حين زالت حين وسط السماء دخل وقت الزوال زوال النهار

The sun prostrates four times during every day and night. The first prostration is when it occupies the length of the sky, before dawn. I responded: "Yes, may I be sacrificed for you." He went on to say: "That is the false dawn. This is because the sun emerges in the state of prostration while it is in a portion of the earth. When it rises from its prostration, dawn arrives and the time of şalāh enters. The second prostration is when it is in the in the centre of the dome and the fire rises, it stops before zenith. When it is in line with the 'Arsh, it stops and prostrates. When it rises from prostration, it moves from the centre of the dome, and the time for Şalāt al-Zawāl arrives. The third prostration is when it disappears from the night disappears, just as when it goes away from the middle of the sky the time of zenith enters, the zenith of the day."¹

O reader, look at how this author, the worst of the creation, belies and discredits this ḥadīth which is corroborated and confirmed by the Qur'ān and sunnah of Nabī مَرَاسَتَهُوَمَة. How can it contradict the Qur'ān when the A'immah have used this verse of the Qur'ān to support it? Can we say that the A'immah learnt this ḥadīth from Kaʿb al-Aḥbār, 'the Jew'? Their hatred for Abū Hurayrah المَرَاسَةُ has blinded their hearts and their vision, thus they can see no more.

¹ Al-Ikhtişāş of al-Mufīd (pg. 213-214), Bāb Sujūd al-Shams li Llāh Taʿālā, Al-Anwār al-Nuʿmāniyyah 1/181 Bāb Nūr Shamsī

Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth: "A Slave Keeps Getting Close to Me on Account of Optional Prayers"

On page 24, Abū Rayyah says:

لقد اقحموا في كتبهم هذا بغير ما مناسبة حديثا رواه البخاري عن ابي هريرة واعتبروه صحيحا ما دام البخاري قد رواه و هذا نصه: "من عادي لي وليا فقد اذنته بالحرب وما زال عبدي يتقرب الي بالنوافل حتى احبه فاذا احببته كنت سمعه الذي يسمع به و بصره الذي يبصر به و يده التي يبطش بها و رجله التي يمشى بها وان سالني اعطيته و لئن استعاذني لاعيذنه

He then goes on to claim:

Abū Nuʿaym has mentioned in al-Ḥilyah that this is narrated from Wahb ibn Munabbih, the Jew, and that Abū Hurayrah, the narrator of the ḥadīth, was a student of the soothsayers of the Jews. He would learn from them and thereafter spread whatever he learnt among the people as if it was from Nabī

Our comment: Firstly, Abū Hurayrah is not the sole narrator of this hadīth. It was narrated by other Ṣaḥābah as well including ʿĀ'ishah, ʿAlī, Abū Umāmah, Ibn ʿAbbās, Anas, Ḥudhayfah, Muʿādh ibn Jabal among others is. Secondly, if you think that Abū Hurayrah is was a liar, then we will narrate for you that which is authentic according to you, as it is narrated from the Ahl al-Bayt who narrates from their grandfather مَتَاسَتَنَعَدُونَتَاحَ.

Ḥammād ibn Bashīr says: "I heard Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Ṣādiq حَمَّاتَنَهُ saying that Rasūlullāh حَالَتَنْعَادِيتَدَّ said:

قال الله: من اهان لي وليا فقد ارصد لمحاربتي وما تقرب الي عبد بشيئ احب الي مما افترضت عليه وانه ليتقرب الي بالنافلة حتى احبه فاذا احببته كنت سمعه الذي يسمع به و بصره الذي يبصر به و لسانه الذي ينطق به و يده التي يبطش بها و ان دعاني اجبته و ان سالني اعطيته

Allah says: "Whoever belittles a friend of mine, has decided to go to war with me. A slave gains closeness to me by doing that which is most beloved to me, which I have made compulsory upon him. (Then) he gains more closeness to be by carrying out optional acts until I love him. When I love him, I become his hearing with which he hears, his sight with which he sees, his tongue with which he speaks and his hand with which he holds. If he supplicates to me I will definitely respond to him and if he asks of me, I will most definitely grant him (that which he asks for)."

و عن ابان بن تغلب عن ابى جعفر قال لما اسرى بالنبى قال يا رب ما حال المؤمن عندك؟ قال يا محمد من اهان لى وليا فقد بارزنى بالمحاربة و انا اسرع شيئ الى نصرة اوليائى...وما يتقرب الى عبد من عبادى بشيئ احب الى مما افترضت عليه وانه ليتقرب الى بالنافلة حتى احبه فاذا احببته كنت سمعه الذى يسمع به و بصره الذى يبصر به و لسانه الذى ينطق به و يده التى يبطش بها و ان دعانى اجبته و ان سالنى اعطيته

Abān ibn Taghlib reports from Abū Jaʿfar (al-Bāqir): "When Rasūlullāh was taken for miʿrāj, he asked Allah, 'O my Rabb, what is the condition of a mu'min in your court?' Allah replied: 'O Muḥammad, Whoever belittles a friend of mine, has decided to go to war with me and I am extremely swift in helping my friends. A slave gains closeness to me by doing that which is most beloved to me, that which I have made compulsory upon him. (Then) he gains more closeness to be by carrying out optional acts until I love

¹ Ușul al-Kāfi 2/351, 352, al-Fawā'id al-Ṭūsiyyah pg. 45-46, 71, Jāmiʿ al-Akhbār of al-Sabzwārī pg. 205, Kitāb al-Maḥāsin 1/454, al-Biḥār 75/155, 84/257, 70/22, 16, 87/31, al-Jawāhir al-Saniyyah pg. 99, 100, 123, 129, 130, 260, 264, 270, 273

him. When I love him, I become the his hearing with which he hears, his sight with which he sees, his tongue with which he speaks and his hand with which he holds. If he supplicates to me I will definitely respond to him and if he asks of me, I will most definitely grant him (that which he asks for)."¹

Al-Khomeini also established the authenticity of this narration in his book Zubdat al-Arbaʿīn Ḥadīthan (Page 246), which is reported by Abū Jaʿfar from Nabī عَالَمُعَامُونَا. You may refer to it there. Will Abū Rayyah accuse the Ahl al-Bayt of false narrations just as he accused Abū Hurayrah

Abū Rayyah Objects to the Appetite of Abū Hurayrah

On page 54, Abū Rayyah states under the title, "the appetite of Abū Hurayrah":

لشخصية ابي هريرة نواح كثيرة منها نهمه الشديد ومن اجل ذلك كان-كما علمت- يتكفف الابواب و يستنكف الناس و هذا النهم كان له ولا ريب اثر بعيد في حياته و قد لازمته هذه الصفة طول عمره...

Abū Hurayrah had a pitiable and lamentable personality. One quality of that nature was his huge appetite. It is for this reason that — as you know — he would beg at the doors of people and annoy them. There is no doubt that this appetite had a far-reaching consequence in his life, and this quality remained with him for his entire life.

On page 55, under the title, *Shaykh al-Mudīrah*, he asserts:

و كان ابو هريرة يلقب بشيخ المضيرة وهو صنف من الطعام كان مشهورا بين اطعمة معاوية الفاخرة و قد نالت هذه المضيرة من عناية الكتاب و الشعراء ما لم ينله صنف اخر من الطعام و ظلوا يتندرون بها و يغمزونه قرونا طويلة من اجلها. و اليكم بعض ما كتبوه فيها قال الثعالبي في كتابه "ثمار القلوب في المضاف و المنسوب" ما يلي: شيخ المضيرة: كان ابو هريرة على فضله و اختصاصه بالنبي مزاحا اكولا و كان يدعى الطب فيقول اكل التمر امان من القولنج و شرب العسل على على الريق امان من الفالج و اكل السفرجل يحسن الولد و اكل الرمان يصلح الكبد و الزبيب يشد العصب و يذهب الوصب والنصب

¹ Ușul al-Kāfī 2/352, al-Anwār 1/134, 218, Anwār al-Wilāyah pg. 308, al-ʿAwālī 1/408

و الكرفس يقوى المعدة والقرع يزيد في اللب و يرق البشرة و اطيب اللحم الكتف و جواشى فقار العنق والظهر وكان يديم اكل الهريسة والفالوذج و يقول: هما مادتا الوللأو كان يعجبه المضيرة جدا فكان ياكل مع معاوية

Abū Hurayrah was given the nick-name Shaykh al-Mudīrah. It is a famous type of food which was among the prime dishes of Muʿāwiyah. This Mudīrah caught the attention of writers and poets more than any other type of food. They have always been amused by it and they have mocked him due to it for many centuries. Here are some of their comments regarding it; al-Thaʿālabī says in his book, Thimār al-Qulūb fī l-Mudāf wa l-Mansūb: "Shaykh al-Mudīrah, Abū Hurayrah, despite his virtue and special relationship with Nabī متالِقَتَهُ بوسَدَة, was a jovial person who ate a lot. *He also claimed to have knowledge regarding the field of medicine. He would say:* 'Eating dates prevents colic, drinking honey with saliva prevents paralysis, eating quince beautifies the child, eating pomegranate treats the liver, raisons strengthen the nerves and alleviate discomfort and tiredness, celery strengthens the stomach, gourd increases the intelligence and clarifies the skin. The best meat is that of the shoulder and the area around the vertebrae in the neck and the back. He would always eat harīsah and fālūdhaj (delicacies and deserts) saying, 'They are the sources of children.' Al-Mudīrah was also very appealing to him. He would eat it with Muʿāwivah."

Our comment: the A'immah and scholars of hadīth have laid a basic principle, i.e. the books of history and language cannot be relied upon as sources of ahādīth. The amount of tales and fabrications found in these books cannot be overlooked. That is why a hadīth should only be quoted from a book of one of the reliable a'immah whose knowledge in distinguishing between authentic, unauthentic reliable and rejected narrations can be relied upon.

Narrations such as the above are to be found in Abūndance in books of language. Neither are they authentically narrated from their sources, nor do they make sense. They are added into such books which have no purpose but to kill time, occupy the bored person and entertain the reader. It is inappropriate to add these books to an academic discussion. Adding it to a book which supposedly discusses the history of the sunnah, and using it to disparage a great scholar, or rather, a great Ṣaḥābī who was praised by Rasūlullāh مَالَقَعَةُ and the first and best generation of Muslims is something that is foreign to the idea of a research, according to both former and latter day standards.¹

His accusation against Abū Hurayrah ways, that he ate a lot and he also claimed to have knowledge regarding the field of medicine is not something that is confined to him. The greatest problem and catastrophe is that this applies to the A'immah of the Ahl al-Bayt as well, to the extent that they authored a book called *Ţibb al-A'immah* (Health: According to the A'immah). These aspects of medicine and health form part of the jurisprudence of the Shī'ah. I will quote their narrations shortly. Before quoting their narrations, I would like to clarify the fact that al-Muḍīrah was not only a dish consumed by Abū Hurayrah ways.

Here is a very brief presentation of the authentic aḥādīth narrated from the Ahl al-Bayt who narrates from the grandfather. *Mustadrak al-Wasā'il* (17/41) reports from ʿAbd Allāh ibn Sinān who reports from Imām Jaʿfar Abū ʿAbd Allāh (مَعَنَاتَهُ):

شكا نبى من الانبياء الى الله الضعف فقيل له اطبخ اللحم باللبن فانهما يشدان الجسم قال قلت هي المضيرة قال لا ولكن اللحم باللبن الحليب

One of the ambiyā' complained to Allah about weakness. It was said to him: "Cook meat with milk, as this strengthens the body." I asked: "Is this al-Muḍīrah?" He replied: "No, meat with milk is al-Ḥalīb."

Al-Wasā'il (17/5, The chapters of the virtue of choosing al-Sawīq over others). Sulaymān al-Jaʿfarī reports from Imām al-Bāqir:

نعم القوت السويق ان كنت جائعا امسك و ان كنت شبعانا هضم طعامك

What a wonderful provision is al-Sawīq, if you are hungry, it fills you, and if you are satiated, it digests your food.

¹ Muḥammad Abū Shahbah pg. 100

السويق ينبت اللحم و يشد العظم

Bakr ibn Muḥammd reports from Abū ʿAbd Allāh al-Ṣādiq : "Al-Sawīq harnesses the flesh and strengthens the bones." (6/17)

It is reported from Abū ʿAbd Allāh al-Ṣādiq النه: "Al-Sawīq is the food of the messengers or ambiyā."

Al-Mustadrak (16/390) reports from Nabī مَتَأَنَنَهُ عَلَيْهُ وَمَسَلَمَ Al-Mustadrak (16/390) Al-Mustadrak (16/390) مُتَأَنَنَهُ عَلَيْهُ وَمَسْلَمَ المُعَالِي عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ مَعْلَيْهُ عَلَيْهُ عَلَيْ

من اكل سبع تمرات عند منامه عوفي من القولنج و قتلن الدود في بطنه

Whoever eats seven dates before sleeping will be protected from colic and it will kill the germs in his stomach.

Al-Wasā'il (17/164, chapter regarding carrots), Ibn ʿUmayr reports from one of our scholars that Abū ʿAbd Allāh al-Ṣādiq منافق said:

الجزر امان من القولنج والبواسير و يعين على الجماع

Carrots prevent colic and haemorrhoids, and they assist in intercourse.

Al-Wasā'il (17/15) reports that 'Alī مَعَالِيَهُ said:

عليكم بالقرع فانه يزيد في الدماغ

Keep eating gourd as it increases the intelligence.

On page 16, it is reported from 'Alī (مَعَوَلِيَةُعَنَّهُ):

¹ Refer to *Wasā'il al-Shī'ah* 17/9, pg. 9, 10, 11, 22, 23, 32, 45, 46 Bāb Akl al-Tharīd, 49 Bāb Istiḥbāb Akl al-Harīsah, 101, 48 Bāb Istiḥbāb Akl al-Kabāb li l-Þayf al-Quwwah, 31, 17/20, 21, 121, 122-Bāb al-Rummān, 141, 142, 143, 146 Bāb al-Hindbā

اكل التفاح نضوح المعدة مضغ اللبان يشد الاضراس و ينقى البلغم و يذهب بريح الفم اكل السفرجل قوة للقلب و الضعيف و يطيب المعدة يزيد قوة الفؤاد و يشجع الجبان و يحسن الولد اكل احدى و عشرين زبيبة حمراء في كل يوم على الريق يضعف جميع الامراض

Eating apples moistens the stomach, chewing gum strengthens the molars, cleans the phlegm and eradicates bad breath. Eating quince strengthens the heart and the weak. It helps the stomach, increases the strength of the heart, makes the cowardly brave and beautifies the foetus. Eating twenty one raisins on an empty stomach weakens all illnesses.

Al-Mustadrak (16/402) reports from Nabī مَتَأَلِنَةُ مَلَيَ وَوَسَلَمَ

كلوا السفرجل فانه يزيد في الدهن و يذهب بطخاء الصدر و يحسن الولد

Eat quince, as it increases the intelligence, removes heaviness of the chest and beautifies the child.

On page 416, Sayyārī reports from Nabī سَأَلَنْتُعَلَيْهُ وَسَلَّرَ

عليك بالهندباء فانه يزيد في المساء و يحسن الولد وهو حار يزيد في الولد الذكور

Hold onto wild chicory, as it lengthens the evening, beautifies the foetus and it is hot in nature, so it increases the chances of having male children.¹

Țibb al-A'immah (page 135) reports from Jābir al-Juʿfī — Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī al-Bāqir — his forefathers — Amīr al-Mu'minīn:

كلو الكمثري فانه يجلى القلب

Eat pear as it brightens the heart.

Al-Wasā'il (17/20) has an isnād to Rasūlullāh صَلَاللَهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَةَ

¹ Hilyat al-Muttaqīn pg. 373, 455

ما من رمانه الا وفيها حبة من الجنة قال فانا احب ان لا اترك منها شيئا

Every pomegranate has a seed from Jannah in it, thus I do not like to spare any seed from it.

Al-Wasā'il (17/130, 131) reports from Muʿallā ibn Muḥammad who reports from Abū ʿAbd Allāh al-Ṣādiq زَحَمُالَكُ

من اكل سفرجلة على الريق طاب ماءه و حسن ولده

Whoever eats quince on an empty stomach, his sperm will be of a good quality and his child will be beautiful.

Mustadrak al-Wasā'il reports (16/424-425, chapter regarding the gourd) from Ja'far ibn Muḥammad — his father — his grandfather, ʿAlī ibn Ḥusayn — his father — ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib:

Eating pumpkins increases the intelligence.

Page 425, chapter regarding gourd reports from 'Alī

Hold onto gourd as it increases the intelligence.

What can we do when these statements and tales have become part of Shīībeliefs? These are the absurdities which disgraces them before other nations and forces school children to laugh at them. How unfortunate is it that they ascribe these narrations to the infallible A'immah, preserve them in their authentic books and spread them among the masses by publishing them and then defending them.

When we show them the truth, open the eyes of the blind, the ears of the deaf and hearts which are blocked by proving the innocence of the A'immah and their dissociation from such statements, then they accuse us of being enemies. May Allah guide them and cure them of their sicknesses of ignorance, negligence and foolishness, especially those who wrote forwards, spread and supported this book.

Al-Wasā'il (17/114) reports from Abū ʿAbd Allāh al-Ṣādiq رَحْمُاللَهُ:

خمس من فاكهة الجنة في الدنيا: الرمان الامليسي و التفاح والسفرجل والعنب والرطب المشان

Five fruits of this world are from Jannah; pomegranate, apples, quince, grapes and ripe dates.

اكل الطين حرام على بني ادم ما خلا طين قبر الحسين من اكله من وجع شفاه الله

Man is prohibited from eating all types of sand except the sand of the grave of Husayn. Whoever eats it due to pain, Allah will cure him.

Muḥammad ibn Sulaymān al-Baṣrī reports from his father who reports from Imām Jaʿfar المعانية:

طين قبر الحسين الشفاء من كل داء وهو الدواء الاكبر

The sand of the grave of Husayn cures all illnesses and it is the greatest medicine.

Yūnus ibn Ṣabyān reports from Imām Jaʿfar حَمَدُأَنَكَ:

طين قبر الحسين الشفاء من كل داء فاذا اكلت فقل بسم الله و بالله اللهم اجعله رزقا واسعا و علما نافعا و شفاءا من كل داء انك على كل شيئ قدير The sand of the grave of Ḥusayn is a cure for all illnesses. When you eat it, say, "In the name of Allah and with Allah, O Allah, make it plentiful sustenance, beneficial knowledge and a cure from every illness. Undoubtedly, You are in full control of everything."

Al-Wasā'il (16/97-398) reports from Imām Jaʿfar زَحَمُاْلَنَا الله:

ان طين قبر الحسين مسكة مباركة من اكله من شيعتنا شفاه الله من كل داء ومن اكله من عدونا ذاب كما يذوب الالية

The sand of the grave of Husayn is blessed. Whoever eats it from our Shī ah will be cured from all sicknesses, and whoever eats it from our enemy will melt just as the fat tail of a sheep melts.

We wish all the best to Abū Rayyah, let him eat this sand and pebbles, maybe Allah will cure him from this illness which has befallen him.

Abū Rayyah Rejects that the Fasting of Ramaḍān Along with Three Fasts of Each Month are Equivalent to Fasting Throughout the Year

On page 68, Abū Rayyah says:

قال ابو هريرة اني سمعت رسول الله (ص) يقول: صوم رمضان و صوم ثلاثة ايام من كل شهر صوم الدهر

Abū Hurayrah said: "I heard Rasūlullāh المنتغين saying, 'fasting for the month of Ramaḍān and thereafter fasting for three days in every month is equivalent to fasting entire year."

Our comment: this hadīth is reported by your Shīʿah, who do not accept anything unless it is narrated by the Ahl al-Bayt from their grandfather.

Maʿānīal-Akhbār (page 251), reports from Abū Baṣīr: "Al-Ṣādiq, Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad reports from his forefathers who narrate from ʿAlī مَوْلَيْكَ that Rasūlullāh مَوْلَيْعَة said: ان في الجنة غرفا يرى ظاهرها من باطنها و باطنها من ظاهرها يسكنها من امتى-الى ان قال- واما ادامة الصيام فهو ان يصوم الرجل شهر رمضان و ثلاثة ايام في كل شهر يكتب له صوم الدهر

There are some cottages in Jannah, the exterior can be seen from inside and the interior can be seen from outside. It will be occupied by those from my ummah... As for fasting perpetually, it is when a man fasts in the month of Ramaḍān as well as three days of every month. He will be granted the reward of fasting the entire year.

Al-Biḥār (10/89-101) reports from Abū Baṣīr and Muḥammad ibn Muslim, who report from Imām Jaʿfar المتناقة: "My father related to me from my grandfather who relates from his forefathers:

ان امير المؤمنين علم اصحابه في مجلس واحد اربعمائة باب مما يصلح للمؤمن في دينه و دنياه-الي ان قال- صوموا ثلاثة ايام من كل شهر فهي تعدل صوم الدهر

Amīr al-Mu'minīn taught his companions, in one sitting, four hundred chapters which are sufficient for the believer as far as his dīn and worldly needs are concerned... Fast three days every month as it is equivalent to fasting the entire year.¹

Abū Rayyah Rejects the Aḥādīth Concerning the Virtues of Jumuʿah

Abū Rayyah says (on page 93) under the title, "the manner in which Abū Hurayrah would learn from Kaʿb al-Aḥbār":

روى ابو هريرة عن رسول الله "ان فى الجمعة لساعة لا يوافقها رجل مسلم يسئل الله خيرا الا اعطاه اياه و فى يوم الجمعة خلق ادم و فيه اهبط الى الارض الحديث- و روى مالك عن ابى سلمة ان ابا هريرة قال قدمت الطور فوافقت كعبا فحدثنى عن التوزاة و حدثته عن رسول الله حديث يوم الجمعة فقال كعب: فيه خلق ادم و فيه هبط الى الارض و بذلك يكون ابو هريرة قد حدث ببعض الحديث عن رسول الله ثم تلقى بعضه عن كعب و نسب الحديث كله الى النبى

¹ Refer to *al-Biḥār* 16/270, 100, 101, 97/92, 97-99, 100, 103 Bāb Ṣawm al-Thalāthat al-Ayyām fī Kul Shahr, *ʿUyūn al-Akhbār* 2/118

Abū Hurayrah reports from Rasūlullāh Abū Hurayrah reports from Rasūlullāh Abū Hurayrah reports from Rasūlullāh for any goodness, He will grant it to him. It was on the day of Friday that Ādam was created. It was also on this day that he was sent to the earth." Mālik reports from Abū Salamah that Abū Hurayrah said: "I arrived at al-Ṭūr where I met Ka'b. He narrated to me from the Torah and I narrated to him the ḥadīth of Rasūlullāh are regarding the day of Friday. Ka'b replied: "It was on this day that Ādam was created and it was also on this day that he descended to the earth." Thus Abū Hurayrah reports half the ḥadīth from Rasūlullāh and the remainder from Ka'b al-Aḥbār.

Our comment: al-Majlisī has a chapter in his *Biḥār* (89/274), under the book of ṣalāḥ which he titled, "chapter of the virtues of Jumuʿah, its night and its special moment." He reports from Nabī عَالَيْنَا يَدَوَاتُ:

```
فعن النبي : خير يوم طلعت عليه الشمس يوم الجمعة فيه خلق ادم و فيه ادخل الجنة و فيه اخرج ولا تقوم
الساعة الا في يوم الجمعة
```

The best day upon which the sun had risen is the day of Friday. On this day Ādam was created, entered into Jannah and removed from it. Qiyāmah will not take place except on a Friday.

He narrates (89/276):

في الحديث ان رسول الله ذكر يوم الجمعة فقال: فيه ساعة لا يوافقها عبد مسلم سئل الله شيئا الا اعطاه اياه

It appears in a hadīth that Rasūlullāh المالتينيين mentioned the day of Friday and then said: "There is a moment in it in which, if a Muslim asks Allah for anything, He will grant it to him."

He reports (11/109) from Lubābah who narrates from Rasūlullāh سَتَأَلِّنَهُ عَلَيْهُ ع

Allah created Ādam on a Friday.

This ḥadīth is narrated by your Shīʿah, "who do not accept any ḥadīth unless it is authentically reported from the Ahl al-Bayt", who in turn narrate it from their grandfather. *Furūʿ al-Kāfī* (3/416) reports from 'Ammār, who asked Imām Jaʿfar i

الساعة التي في يوم الجمعة التي لا يدعو فيها مؤمن الا استجيب له

Which is the moment on Fridays in which the supplication of a believer is definitely accepted?

Hazīr reports from Zurārah who reports from Imām al-Bāqir:

وقت الجمعة ساعة تزول الشمس الى ان تمضى ساعة تحفظ عليها فان رسول الله قال: لا تسئل الله عبد فيها خيرا الا اعطاه الله

The moment on Fridays is immediately after zenith. It is a moment that should not be missed as Rasūlullāh المنتخبين said: "Whatever goodness a slave asks of Allah in it, Allah will grant it to him."

Al-Majlisī says:

بيان: يوم السبت ليس في بعض النسخ وهو اظهر و على تقديره خلاف مشهور...او يكون بناء الحساب على التلفيق بان يكون ابتداء الخلق من ظهر يوم السبت و انتهاءه عند ظهر يوم الجمعة

Note:- Saturday does not appear in some copies. However it makes more sense, and based upon it, there is a famous difference of opinion. Otherwise the calculation will be based upon combining (the narrations), i.e. the beginning of the creation will be at the time of Zuhr on Saturday and its completion will be at the time of Zuhr on Friday.²

Al-Biḥār (89/280-281) reports from 'Alī نَعَلَيْكَ :

¹ Al-Biḥār 89/200, 217, 263, 279, 280

² Al-Biḥār 57/71, 77, 88, 211, 214

كنا مع رسول الله اذ جاء رجل فقال: يا رسول الله بابى انت و امى اخبرنى عن يوم الاحد كيف سمى يوم الاحد؟ فقال: لانه احد يوم خلق الله الدنيا وهو اول يوم خلقه الله... فقال بابى انت و امى يا رسول الله اخبرنى عن يوم الجمعة فبكى رسول الله وقال سئلتنى عن يوم الجمعة فقال نعم فقال رسول الله تسميه الملائكة فى السماء يوم المزيد يوم الجمعة يوم خلق الله فيه ادم عليه السلام يوم الجمعة يوم نفخ الله فيه ادم الروح يوم الجمعة اسكن الله فيه ادم الجنة يوم الجمعة اسجد الله ملائكته لادم يوم الجمعة يوم مغم الله فيه ادم و حواء... يوم الجمعة يوم غفر الله فيه ذنب ادم

We were with Rasūlullāh المعنوني when a man came to him and asked: "O Rasūlullāh, may my parents be sacrificed for you, tell me about Sunday and why it was named Yowm al-Aḥād (in 'Arabic)?" He replied: "This is because it was one of the days in which Allah created the world and it is the first day that was created by Allah..." He then asked: "May my parents be sacrificed for you, O Rasūlullāh, tell me about Friday?" Rasūlullāh we about Friday?" He replied: "Yes." Rasūlullāh said: "Did you ask me about Friday?" He replied: "Yes." Rasūlullāh sthe day in which Allah created Ādam المعنوني, Friday is the day in which Allah blew life into him, Friday is the day in which Allah blew life into him, Friday is the day in which Allah made the angels prostrate to him, Friday is the day in which Allah united Ādam and Ḥawwā... Friday is the day in which Allah forgave the sin of Ādam."

The 'Ilal of Muhammad ibn Ibrāhīm reports:

كان مكث ادم في الجنة نصف ساعة ثم اهبط الى الارض من يوم الجمعة

Ādam stayed in Jannah for half an hour, then he was placed on the earth on a Friday.

Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "The Sun and Moon Will be Wrapped up on the Day of Qiyāmah"

Abū Rayyah says (page 93-94):

و مما يدل على ان هذا الكاهن الداهية قد طوى ابا هريرة تحت جناحه حتى جعله يردد كلامه بالنص و

يجعله حديثا مرفوعا الي النبي (ص) ما نورد لك شيئا منه

روى البخاري عن ابي هريرة ان انبي قال: الشمس والقمر مكوران يوم القيامة

وهذا الكلام نفسه قد قاله كعب الاحبار بنصه فقد روى ابو يعلى الموصلى قال: يجاء بالشمس والقمر يوم القيامة كانهما ثوران عقيران فيقذفان في جهنم يراهما من عبدهما

We will present to you some evidence which proves that this expert soothsayer wrapped up Abū Hurayrah under his wing to the extent that he got him to repeat his sayings word for word and then ascribe them to Nabī Aseas

The exact same statement was said by Kaʿb al-Aḥbār. Abū Yaʿlā al-Mowṣilī reports that he said:

The sun and moon will be brought on the day of Qiyāmah as if they are feetless bulls. Thereafter, they will be flung into hell. Whoever worshipped them will see this.

Our comment¹: I will summarise our discussion on this subject by reproducing their statements. This is in conformity to their claim, "The Imāmī Shī ah do not accept any aḥādīth except those which are authentically narrated through the Ahl al-Bayt from their fore-fathers, i.e. from al-Ṣādiq — his father, al-Bāqir his father, Zayn al-ʿĀbidīn — Ḥusayn al-Sibț — his father, Amīr al-Mu'minīn — Rasūlullāh; May the salutations of Allah be upon all of them. As for that which is narrated by the likes of Abū Hurayrah, Samurah ibn Jundub, Marwān ibn al-Ḥakam, ʿImrān ibn Hiṭṭān, ʿAmr ibn al-ʿĀṣ and their likes; this holds no weight according to the Imāmiyyah."

¹ Refer to Al-Anwār al-Kāshifah by Shaykh 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Yamānī pg. 179 for more details. He has a complete rebuttal of this accusation.

Abū Rayyah, his teacher, and Kāshif al-Ghiṭā¹ have admitted that the narrations of Abū Hurayrah www hold no weight according to the Imāmiyyah. Thus, we will quote for them the authentic narrations of the Ahl al-Bayt who narrate from their grandfather. *Al-Biḥār* (8/12-13) reports from Muḥammad ibn Muslim who reports that one of them were asked regarding the verse. (Mention, O Muḥammad), the Day We will call forth every people with their record (of deeds), and he replied:

فقال: ما كانوا ياتمنون به في الدنياً و يؤتى بالشمس والقمر فيقذفان في جهنم و بمن كان يعبدهما

That which they would follow in the world. The sun and the moon will be brought. They will be thrown into the fire along with those who would worship them.

Abū Baṣīr reports from Imām Jaʿfar زَحَمُ أَلَنَهُ:

اذا كان يوم القيامة اتى بالشمس والقمر في صورة ثورين فيقذفان بهما و بمن كان يعبدهما في النار

The sun and moon will be brought in the form of two bulls on the day of Qiyāmah. They will be thrown into the fire along with those who would worship them.²

Does the author have reservations regarding the aḥādīth of the A'immah just as he accuses and forges allegations against Abū Hurayrah aga?

Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "Allah Has a Rooster Whose Neck is Below the ʿArsh"

Abū Rayyah says (Page 94):

روى الحاكم في المستدرك و الطبراني و رجاله رجال الصحيح عن ابي هريرة ان النبي قال ان الله قد اذن لي ان احدث عن ديك قد مرقت رجلاه في الارض و عنقه مثبتة تحت العرش وهو يقول سبحانك ما اعظم شانك قال فيرد عليه ما يعلم ذلك من حلف بي كاذبا

¹ Așl al-Shīʿah wa Uṣūluhā by Kāshif al-Ghițā pg. 79 (Fourth Print)

² Tafsīr Nūr al-Thaqalayn 3/549, Al-Biḥār 57/159 Kitāb al-Samā wa l-Ālam, Bāb al-Shams wa l-Qamar wa Aḥwāluhumā

وهذا الحديث من قول كعب الاحبار و نصه:

ان لله ديكا عنقه تحت العرش و يراثته في اسفل الارض فاذا صاح صاحت الديكة فيقول سبحان القدوس الملك الرحمان لا اله غيره

Al-Ḥākim reports in al-Mustadrak and al-Ṭabarānī also narrates (with an isnād wherein the narrators are the same as the narrators of Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī) from Abū Hurayrah that Nabī عنائل said: "Allah granted me permission to speak about a rooster whose legs have pierced the earth and its neck is stationed under the 'Arsh. It says: 'Glory be to Thee, how lofty is Thy status.' A reply is given to it: 'The one who swears falsely by my name does not realise that.'"

This hadīth is from the sayings of Kaʿb al-Aḥbār. The exact wording is, "Allah has a rooster whose neck is stationed under the 'Arsh and its legs are in the lowest portion of the earth. When it screams, all the roosters say, 'Glory be to Lofty one, the King, the most benevolent. There is no deity besides Him."

Our comment: al-Majlisī has a chapter in his *Biḥār* (65/8-9), under which he has a few narrations. Refer to the chapter, "the virtue of keeping a rooster and a chicken." He quotes the narration with the narration of al-Ḥākim which was narrated by Abū Hurayrah ^{wages}. It is the same narration which was rejected by the 'trustworthy' author! Furthermore, have a look at some of the aḥādīth which have been authentically narrated from the Ahl al-Bayt, who narrate from their grandfather:

ʿAbd al-Munʿim ibn Idrīs reports from his father — Wahb — Ibn ʿAbbās — Nabī مَكَاتَسْتَغَيْدِينَتَدُ:

ان لله تبارك و تعالى ديكا رجلاه في تخوم الارض السابعة و راسه عند العرش ثاني عنقه تحت العرش

Allah has a rooster whose legs are in the depths of the seventh earth and its neck is under the 'Arsh, it bends its neck under the 'Arsh.¹

¹ Al-Biḥār 87/181, 183

Jābir al-Juʿfī reports that he heard Imām Jaʿfar مَعْنَاتَكَ saying:

ان لله ديكا رجلاه في الارض و راسه تحت العرش و في رواية و راسه في السماء تحت العرش جناح له في الشرق و جناح له في الغرب يقول سبحان الملك القدوس فاذا قال ذلك صاحت الديك و اجابته فاذا سمع اصوات الديك فليقل احدكم: سبحان ربي الملك القدوس

Allah has a rooster whose legs are below the earth and its head is under the 'Arsh. Another version states, its neck is in the sky, under the 'Arsh. One of its wings is in the east and the other is in the west. It says: "Glory be to the King, Lofty one." Therefore, when one of you hears the crowing of a rooster, then you should say: "Glory be my Rabb, the King, Lofty one."

Rowḍat al-Kāfī reports from Muḥammad ibn al-Fuḍayl who reports from Imām al-Bāqir:

ان لله ديكا رجلاه في الارض السابعة و عنقه مثبتة تحت العرش و جناحاه في الهواء اذا كان في نصف الليل الثلث الثاني من اخر الليل ضرب بجناحه و صاح صبوح قدوس ربنا الله الملك الحق المبين فلا اله غيره رب الملائكة والروح فتضرب الديكة باجنحتها و تصبح

Allah has a rooster whose feet are in the seventh earth, its neck is stationed under the 'Arsh and its wings are in the air. After half the night, or the second third of the night, it flaps its wings and screams: "Glorification and exaltation! Our Rabb is Allah, the King, the Absolute Truth, the Dominant. There is no deity besides Him. The Rabb of the angels and rūḥ." Thereupon, all the roosters flap their wings and wake up.

Al-Biḥār (87/181, chapter on the reason why a rooster crows) Darim ibn Qabīṣah — al-Riḍā — his forefathers — that Rasūlullāh مَكَاتَسْتَهُ said:

ان لله ديكا عنقه تحت العرش و رجلاه في تخوم الارضين السابعة السفلي اذا كان في الثلث الاخير من الليل سبح الله تعالى ذكره بصوت يسمعه كل شيئ ما خلا الثقلين الجن والانس ف تصبح عند ذلك ديكة الدنيا

¹ Al-Biḥār 65/3 Bāb Fadl Ittikhādh al-Dīk wa l-Dajāj, 87/183-185 Bāb 'Illat Ṣirākh al-Dīk, Mishkāt al-Anwār pg. 263, Rowdat al-Wā 'izīn 2/468, Ḥilyat al-Muttaqīn pg. 597, al-Towḥīd by al-Ṣadūq pg. 279

Allah has a rooster whose neck is under the 'Arsh and its legs are in the depths of the seventh earth. When the final third of the night arrives, it glorifies Allah, whose remembrance is elevated, in a manner that is hears by all except jinn and man. All the roosters of the earth awake upon this.

Did these A'immah and their narrators also learn from Ka'b al-Aḥbār? Did they also learn from the Jews as this fraudster claims? All of these aḥādīth have been authentically established from the Shī'ah. As for Abū Hurayrah ﷺ, this ḥadīth cannot be established authentically from him. Ibn al-Jowzī classified the text of this ḥadīth as a fabrication and it is most deserving to be classified so. Al-Ḥākim is well known for his inaccuracy in classifying narrations as authentic. Another indication that this ḥadīth is not established is the statement of Ibn al-Qayyim in *al-Ajwibat al-Ṭarābulusiyyah*, which he made after quoting a number of narrations regarding the rooster. He said: "All the aḥādīth concerning the rooster are fabrications except one, viz. when you hear the crowing of the rooster then ask Allah from His bounties as it saw an angel."¹

As for him quoting al-Nuwayrī as a reference, he was a linguist of the seventh century. He had no idea of where he took this narration from. Thus, the ḥadīth is concocted and fabricated. It is neither established from Abū Hurayrah المكانية المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية on from Nabī المكانية المعالية. Hence, his argument is based upon a foundation which cannot even hold itself. This also means that if it is proven that this statement is a statement of Kaʿb, which was taken from the widespread statement of the Jews, then it is your infallible A'immah who have narrated it.

Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "The Nile, Sayḥān, Jayḥān and the Euphrates are from the Rivers of Jannah"

On page 94, Abū Rayyah says:

روى احمد و مسلم عن ابي هريرة ان رسول الله قال: النيل و سيحان و جيحان و الفرات من انهار الجنة

¹ Muḥammad Abū Shahbah pg. 126

وهذا القول نفسه رواه كعب الاحبار اذقال: اربعة انهار من الجنة وضعها الله عز و جل في الدنيا فالنيل نهر العسل في الجنة والفرات نهر الخمر و سيحان نهر ماء في الجنة و جيحان نهر اللبن في الجنة

Aḥmad and Muslim report from Abū Hurayrah that Rasūlullāh ﷺ said, 'The Nile, Sayḥān, Jayḥān and the Euphrates are from the Rivers of Jannah.'' Ka'b al-Aḥbār made the exact same statement. He said: "There are four rivers from Jannah which Allah placed upon the earth. Nile is the river of honey in Jannah, Euphrates is the river of wine in Jannah, Sayḥān is the river of water in Jannah and Jayḥān is the river of milk in Jannah."

ان القول بان هذه الانهار تنبع من الجنة اسطورة قديمة ليست اسرائيلية فحسب و انما يرجع الى ما وراء ذلك باحقاب طويلة...و فى الاسلام ايضا ورد حديث رواه ابو هريرة ان رسول الله (ص) قال: النيل و سيحان و جيحان و الفرات من انهار الجنة و فى حديث اخر عن ابن عباس مرفوعا:- انزل الله تعالى من الجنة الى الارض خمسة انهار: سيحون و جيحون و دجلة والفرات والنيل انزلها الله من عين واحدة من عيون الجنة من اسفل درجة من درجتها على جناحى جبرئيل و استودعها الجبال و اجراها فى الارض و جعل فيها منافع للناس- وفى حديث اخر نهران مؤمنان و نهران كافران اما المؤمنان فالنيل والفرات واما الكافران فدجلة و نهر بلخ وقد فسر ايمانهما بانهما يفيضان على الارض فيسقيان الحرث بلا مؤنة ولا كلبة و فسر كفرهما بانهما لا يسقيان و لا ينفع بهما الا بمؤنة و كلفة

The belief that these rivers are from Jannah is an old tale, it is not only an Isrā'ilī narration. It has its roots in the earlier generations... In Islam also, a ḥadīth is narrated by Abū Hurayrah, in which Rasūlullāh said: "The Nile, Sayḥān, Jayḥān and the Euphrates are from the Rivers of Jannah." Another ḥadīth is attributed to Nabī the seafer by Ibn 'Abbās: "Allah sent five rivers from Jannah to the earth; Sayḥūn, Jayḥūn, Dajlah, Euphrates and the Nile. Allah sent them from one of the springs of Jannah, from one of the lowest levels upon the wings of Jibrīl. He kept them in the mountains, allowed them to flow on the earth and placed benefits in them for the people." Another ḥadīth states: "There are two believing rivers and two disbelieving rivers. The believers are the Nile and Euphrates and the disbelievers are the Tigris and the river of Balkh." Their belief has been explained to mean that they flow upon the earth and water plantations without any price or difficulty and the disbelief of the others has been explained to mean that they do not water (plantations) and they do not benefit except after some difficulty and struggle. و هكذا يتسلل الى ديننا مثل هذه الخرافات و الاساطير التي تفضحنا بين الامم و يضحك حتى اطفال المدارس منها وللاسف فانهم يرفعون اخبارها الى النبي (ص) و يثبتونها في كتبهم الصحيحة و يروجونها بين الناس بنشرها ثم يدافعون عنها واذا بصرناهم بالحقائق و فتحنا عيون العمى والاذان الصم والقلوب الغلف و نزهنا مقام النبي (ص) عن هذه الاساطير رمونا بالشتائم و قذفونا بالسباب و قالوا: اننا نطعن في صحابي جليل غفر الله لهم و شفاهم من داء الجهل والغفلة والحماقة

It is in this manner that nonsensical statements and tales of this sort have found their way into our dīn. They embarrass us in front of other nations. Even school children have a good laugh at these narrations. How regrettable is the fact that they attribute these tales to Nabī here are stated. They preserve them in their most authentic books and spread them among the masses by publishing them. Then they go all out in defence of it. When we show them the truth, open the eyes that have become blind, ears that have become deaf and hearts that have become covered, and we defend the status of Nabī here by clearing him of these tales, then they swear us and accuse us of being vulgar. They say that we are criticising a great Ṣaḥābī. May Allah forgive them and cure them from the sicknesses of ignorance, negligence and stupidity.

Our comment: I will quote these aḥādīth from the infallible A'immah. In this way it will become clear to him and his likes that if there is any dīn in which absurdities and tales have entered, then it is their dīn which has been corrupted by these aḥādīth which are 'authentically established' from their A'immah.

'Īsā ibn 'Abd Allāh al-Hāshimī — his father — his grandfather — his forefathers — 'Alī — that Rasūlullāh مَالَشَمَاتِيمَوَسَدَّ said:

اربعة انهار من الجنة الفرات و النيل و سيحان و جيحان فالفرات الماء في الدنيا والاخرة و النيل العسل و سيحان الخمر و جيحان اللبن

Four rivers are from Jannah; Euphrates, the Nile, Sayḥān and Jayḥān. The Euphrates is the water of this world as well as the hereafter, the Nile is honey, Sayḥān is wine and Jayḥān is milk.¹

¹ *Al-Biḥār* 8/130, 10/104, 40/38, 57/101, 59/363, 60/35-36, 65/125, 134, 135, 99/243, 100/227, *Rowḍat al-Wāʿiẓīn* 2/406, *al-Khiṣāl* by Ṣadūq pg. 250, 291 Bāb Arbaʿ Anhār min al-Jannah

Al-Biḥār (99/243 and 60/41) quotes Amīr al-Mu'minīn:

الاطلاع في بئر زمزم يذهب الداء فاشربوا من ماءها مما يلى الركن الذي فيه الحجر الاسود فان تحت الحجر الاسود اربعة انهار من الجنة: الفرات و النيل و سيحان و جيحان وهما نهران

Looking into the well of Zam Zam cures illnesses. Drink its water from the portion which is close to the side in which the Ḥajar Aswad is. There are four rivers from Jannah under the Ḥajar Aswad; Euphrates, the Nile, Sayḥān and Jayḥān. They are two rivers.

Abū Baṣīr reports from Imām Jaʿfar زَحَمُأَلَنَهُ:

نهران مؤمنان و نهران کافران و نهران کافران نهر بلخ و دجلة والمؤمنان نیل مصر والفرات فحنکوا اولادکم بماءالفرات

Two rivers are believers and two are disbelievers. The disbelievers are the river of Balkh and Tigris and the believers are the Nile of Egypt and the Euphrates. Therefore, let the water of the Euphrates be the first water liquid that your children drink.¹

Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "In Jannah There is a Tree, A Rider Will Ride in its Shade..."

Abū Rayyah says on page 101:

وقد بلغ من دهاء كعب الاحبار و استغلاله لسذاجة ابي هريرة و غفلته ان كان يلقنه ما يريد بثه في الدين الاسلامي من خرافات و اساطير حتى اذا رواها ابو هريرة عاد هو فصدق ابا هريرة لياكد هذه الاسرائيليلت و ليمكن لها في عقول المسلمين كان الخبر قد رواه ابو هريرة عن النبي وهو في الحقيقة عن كعب الاحبار و اليك مثلا اخر من الاحاديث التي رواها ابو هريرة عن النبي (ص) وهي في الحقيقة من الاسرائيليات: روى احمد والبخارى و مسلم و غيرهم عن ابي هريرة ان رسول الله قال: ان في الجنة شجرة يسير الراكب في ظلها مائة عام لا يقطعها اقرؤوا ان شئتم (وَّ ظِلِّ مَّمْدُوادٍ)...و هكذا يتعاونان على نشر هذه الخرافات بين المسلمين و يسوس الدين...

¹ Al-Biḥār 60/42, 10/103-104, 100/230, 104/115
The shrewdness of Ka'b and his extraordinary talent in taking advantage of the simple mindedness of Abū Hurayrah led him to repeat before Abū Hurayrah all the bunkum and fairy tales that he wished should be incorporated into the religion of Islam. As soon as Abū Hurayrah would narrate them, he would reappear on the scene to affirm these Isrā'ilī narrations, and to dupe the Muslims into thinking that Abū Hurayrah really was narrating that from Rasūlullāh , whereas the reality was that it was a narration from Ka'b al-Ahbār. We present to you another example from the aḥādīth which Abū Hurayrah narrates from Nabī , whereas they are Isrā'ilī narrations. Aḥmad, al-Bukhārī Muslim and others report from Abū Hurayrah that Rasūlullāh , aligu said: "In Jannah there is a tree, a rider will ride in its shade for a hundred years but he will not get past it." Read if you wish, "And shade extended¹..." This is the manner in which they would help one another to spread absurdities and monopolise the dīn.

Our comment: this is an indication of his ignorance regarding the noble Qur'ān. Further, Abū Hurayrah نهزه is not the sole narrator of this ḥadīth. It is also narrated by Anas, Sahl ibn Saʿd and Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī نهزه 2.° What does the pride of the researchers of this century have to say regarding the other three Ṣaḥābah? Did Kaʿb take advantage of their "simple mindedness" just as he took advantage of the "simple mindedness" of Abū Hurayrah in the sole as you claim? Have some shame, O human tape-recorder! Alas! You are proof of the wisdom of Nabī , who said: "When you lose your shame, then do as you wish!"³

A question comes to mind; if Kaʿb al-Aḥbār managed, due to his extraordinary talent in taking advantage of the simple mindedness of Abū Hurayrah to incorporate into the religion of Islam and the minds of the Muslims, then what happened to you're A'immah, who are divinely protected by Allah, as you believe? How did they end up narrating the same "absurdities and fairy tales"? It is reported in *Al-Biḥār* (8/117, 118, 131, chapter of Jannah and its bounties), from Abū Baṣīr — Imām Jaʿfar — his forefathers — Amīr al-Mu'minīn:

3 Difāʻ ʻan al-Sunnah pg. 138

¹ Sūrah al-Wāqiʿah: 30

² Al-Bukhārī Kitāb Bad' al-Khalq, Bāb Ṣifat al-Jannah wa l-Nār, Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim Sharḥ al-Nawāwī 17/167-168

طوبي شجرة في الجنة اصلها في دار النبي وليس من مؤمن الا و في داره غصن منها لا تخطر على قلبه شهوة شيئ الا اتاه به ذلك الغصن ولو ان راكبا مجدا سار في ظلها مائة عام ما خرج منها ولو طار غراب ما بلغ اعلاها حتى يسقط هرما الا في هذا فارغبوا

Tubā is a tree in Jannah, the roots of it are in the house of Nabī بالتنتيرية. There is no believer who will not have a branch of it in his house. No desire will cross his heart except that the branch will bring it to him. If a prominent rider travels in its shade for one hundred years, he will not emerge from it. If a crow flies, it will not reach the top before falling due to old age. Listen well! This is what you should be desirous of.

Al-Biḥār (8/408-409) reports from one of the scholars who says that Rasūlullāh مكاتنعة عنائية: said to Fāṭimah محتايته:

انه لما اسرى بى الى السماء-الى ان قال- فلما دخلت الجنة رءيت فى الجنة شجرة طوبى...وسطها ظل ممدود عرض الجنة كعرض السماء والارض...يسير الراكب فى ذلك الظل مسيرة مائة عام فلا يقطعه و ذلك قوله وَّ ظِلِّ مَّمْدُوْدٍ

When I was raised to the sky... when I entered Jannah, I sawn in it the \overline{Tuba} tree... the centre of it is extended shade, the width of Jannah is equivalent to the breadth of the heavens and the earth... A rider will ride in its shade for a thousand years, but he will not be able to emerge from it. It is referred to in the statement of Allah: "And shade extended."¹.

Tafsīr al-Qummī states:

قرء ابو عبد الله (ع) وَّ طَلْحٍ مَّنْضُوْدِ قال: بعضه اللي بعض وَّ ظِلِّ مَّمْدُوْدِ قال ظل ممدود وسط الجنة في عرض الجنة و عرض الجنَّة كعرض السماء والارض كعرض السماء والارض يسير الراكب في ذلك الظل مسيرة مائة عام فلا يقطعه

Imām Jaʿfar مَعَانَكُ recited: "And trees layered (with fruit)."² He explained: "They will overlap one another." Then he recited: "And shade extended,"

¹ Sūrah al-Wāqiʿah: 30

² Sūrah al-Wāqiʿah: 29

and he explained, "in the centre of the breadth of Jannah and the breadth of Jannah is equivalent to the breadth of the heavens and the earth. A rider will ride in its shade for a thousand years, but he will not be able to emerge from it."¹

Al-Majlisi states in his *Biḥār* (8/109):

It appears in a narration that in Jannah there is a tree that a rider will ride in its shade for a thousand years, but he will not be able to emerge from it. . Read if you wish, "And shade extended."²

Al-Biḥār (8/151-The chapter of Jannah and its bounties) has a narration from Amīr al-Mu'minīn ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib ﷺ:

لما نزلت على رسول الله وَّ ظِلِّ مَّمْدُوْد قام مقداد بن الاسود الكندى الى النبى فقال يا رسول الله و ما طوبي؟ قال: يا مقداد شجرة في الجنة لو يسير الراكب الجواد لسار في ظلها مائة عام قبل ان يقطعها ورقها و قشورها...

When the verse, "And shade extended,"³ was revealed to Rasūlullāh برالتنبينية Miqdād ibn al-Aswad al-Kindī approached Rasūlullāh سالتنبينية and asked: "O Rasūlullāh, what is Ṭūbā?" Rasūlullāh سالتنبينية replied: "O Miqdād, it is a tree in Jannah. If a fast rider rides in its shade, he will ride for one hundred years before emerging from it..."

ان رسول الله سئل عن قول الله يوم نحشر المتقين الى الرحمن وفدا فقال: يا على ان الوفد لا يكونون الا ركبانا اولئك رجال اتقوا الله-اللى ان قال- و على باب الجنة شجرة ان الورقة منها ليستظل تحتها الف رجل من الناس

¹ Tafsīr al-Qummī 2/348, al-Ṣāfī 5/122-123, Kanz al-Daqā'iq 10/200, Nūr al-Thaqalayn 5/216, Al-Burhān 4/278, al-Biḥār 8/109, 134, 137, 155 Bāb al-Jannah wa Naʿīmuhā

² Sūrah al-Wāqiʿah: 30

³ Sūrah a-Wāqiʿah: 30

Rasūlullāh was asked regarding the verse: "On the Day We will gather the righteous to the Most Merciful as a delegation.1" He said: "O 'Alī, a delegation always has conveyances. Those are people who feared Allah... there is tree at the entrance of Jannah, one leave of it provides shade for a thousand men."

A lengthy ḥadīth from ʿAbd Allāh ibn Salām is quoted (60/255). He says: "You have spoken the truth, O Muḥammad. Now describe to me the trees of Jannah." Nabī مَكَاتَسْتَعَيْدِوْسَاً replied:

في الجنة شجرة يقال لها طوبي اصلها من در و اغصانها من الزبرجد و ثمرها الجوهر ليس في الجنة غرفة ولا حجرة ولا موضع الا و هي متدلية عليه قال صدقت يا محمد..

"There is a tree in Jannah which is called Ṭūbā. The roots are from pearls, the branches are green jewels and its fruit are gems. There is no room, cubicle/stone or area in Jannah, except that a portion of the tree hangs over it." Ibn Salām said: "You have spoken the truth, O Muḥammad.'

Did Kaʿb manage to fool the infallible A'immah just as he managed to fool Abū Hurayrah المنتقرعة؟ The truth is that if we wish to go along with Abū Rayyah and the one who was called Ṣadr al-Dīn, as far as belying all the narrations which describe the vastness or greatness of Jannah and Jahannam, then we will end up belying all the Ṣaḥābah as well as the A'immah of the Ahl al-Bayt, without any exception. This is because every single narrator relates from Nabī مَتْ المُعْتَى a ḥadīth in which some mention of the greatness of either Jannah or Jahannam is mentioned. So, why was Abū Hurayrah

Each and every aspect of Jannah is magnificent; its rivers, mountains, trees, fruit and everything else in it. Whoever believes in it, imagining it to be small, will believe in it when he learns of its huge size. This is because it is more difficult for Abū Rayyah, Ṣadr and their likes to believe in its existence and the fact that one

¹ Sūrah Maryam: 85

will remain there forever, than for them to believe in its vastness. Abū Rayyah and Ṣadr do not believe in the very existence of Jannah, which is the reason why they hurriedly belied the narrations concerning its vastness and magnificence.

Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "A Child Born from an Illicit Relationship Will Not Enter Jannah"

Abū Rayyah says (page 140):

^A'ishah reported his hadīth, "A child who was born from an illicit relationship will not enter Jannah," and then commented: "He will not be responsible for the burden of his parents in any way." She then recited the verse" "And no bearer of burdens will bear the burden of another."¹

Our comment: this ḥadīth has been reported by those A'immah who asked for your book, those who claim that they do not accept any narration unless it is reported authentically by the Ahl al-Bayt from their grandfather. Al-Ṣadūq reports with his isnād to Imām Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad al-Ṣādiq كَمَانَتُكُ

An illegitimate child will say: "O my Rabb, what is my sin? I had no share in my matter." A voice will say to him: "You are the worst of the three. Your parents committed a sin and you culminated the evidence against them. You are filth, and only pure things will enter Jannah."²

Al-Biḥār (5/285, narration 6) reports from Zurārah who narrates from Imām al-Bāqir:

¹ Sūrah al-Isrā: 15

² Al-Anwār al-Nuʿmāniyyah 4/248, al-Biḥār 5/285 Narration: 5

لاخير في ولد الزنا ولا في بشره ولا في شهره ولا في لحمه ولا في دمه ولا في شيئ منه يعني ولد الزنا

There is no goodness in an illegitimate child. His skin, hair, flesh, blood and every other substance in him is devoid of goodness.

It is also reported from Abū Khadījah who reports from Imām Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq المنانة (5/285 Narration: 7):

لو كان احد من ولد الزنا نجا نجا سائح بنى اسرائيل فقيل له وما سائح بنى اسرائيل؟ قال كان عابدا فقيل له ان ولد الزنا لا يطيب ابدا و لا يقبل الله منه عملا قال: فخرج يسيح بين الجبال و يقول ما ذنبى؟

If any illegitimate child was successful, it was the wanderer of Banū Isrā'īl. He was asked: "What is the wanderer of Banū Isrā'īl." He replied: "He was a worshipper from the Banū Isrā'īl. It was said to him that an illegitimate child will never prosper and Allah will not accept any action from him. Thereupon, he went out, wandering between the mountains shouting out, 'What is my sin?'"

Narration: 12 (5/285) is from Abū Bakr, who says: "We were in his presence and 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Ajlān was with us. 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Ajlān said: 'There is a man with us who knows all of that which we know, but it is said that he is the product of an illicit relationship. What is your opinion?' I added: "He is only accused of it." Thereupon, he said:

ان كان ذلك كذلك بني له بيت في النار من صدر يرد عنه وهج جهنم و يئتي رزقه

If that is the reality, a house will be built for him at the entrance Hell, which will keep away from him the flames thereof and his sustenance will be brought to him.

Niʿmat Allāh al-Jazāʾirī, in his book al-Anwār al-Nuʿmāniyyah (4/246-247) states:

و قد نقل عن المرتضى والصدوق و ابن ادريس انه كافر نجس يدخل النار كغيره من الكفار ...عن المرتضى و هذه عبارته و سئل عن ولد الزنا وما روى فيه انه فى النار و انه لا يكون من اهل الجنة فاجاب عنه ان هذه الرواية موجودة فى كتب اصحابنا... It is reported from al-Murtaḍā, al-Ṣadūq and Ibn Idrīs that he is impure and he is a disbeliever. He will enter Hell, just like other disbelievers. Al-Murtaḍā was asked regarding an illegitimate child and the narrations which state that he is destined for Hell and he will never enter Jannah. He replied: "This narration is found in the books of our scholars."

On page 248, he says:

والحق ان الاخبار متظافرة في الدلالة على سوء حاله و انه من اهل النار

The truth is that there are plenty narrations which indicate that his end result is evil and that he is from the people of Hell.

I would like to know Abū Rayyahs view concerning these narrations of the Ahl al-Bayt, "who have narrated it from their grandfather," as well as the opinions of his scholars, which conform to the narrations.

Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth: 'Dung and Bones are the Food of the Jinn'

On page 247-248, Abū Rayyah says:

و روى البخارى عنه انه كان يحمل مع النبى اداوة لوضوءه و حاجته فبينما هو يتبعه بها فقال من هذا؟ فقال انا ابو هريرة فقال ابتغى احجارا استنفض بها ولا تاتى بعظم و لا بروثة فاتيته باحجار احملها فى طرف ثوبى حتى وضعته الى جنبه ثم انصرفت حتى اذا فرغ اذا مشيت معه فقلت: ما بال العظم و الروثة؟ قال هما من طعام الجن و انه قد اتانى وفد جن نصيبين و نعم الجن فسالونى الزاد فدعوت الله لهم ان لا يمروا بعظم و لا بروثة الا وجدوا عليه طعاما

Al-Bukhāri reports from him that he was carrying a container for Nabī following Nabī المالية , Nabī المالية asked: "Who is this?" He replied: "I am Abū Hurayrah." Nabī المالية then said: "Find some stones which I can use to purify myself, but do not bring bones or dung." Abū Hurayrah "Thus, I brought a few stones which I carried using the side of my clothes. I left them at his side and then went away. When he was done, I walked with him and asked: "What is wrong with bones and dung?" Rasūlullāh replied: "They are from the food of the Jinn. A delegation of Jinn came to me from Naṣībīn. They were wonderful Jinn. They asked me for provisions, so I asked Allah on their behalf that they should not pass any bone or dung, except that they should find food on it."

He comments:

فانظر الى تركيب الفاظ هذا الحديث قبل ان تنظر الى معانيه

Look at the sentence structure of this hadith before looking at its meaning.

Our comment: this hadīth has been reported by those A'immah who asked for your book, those who claim that they do not accept any narration unless it is reported authentically by the Ahl al-Bayt from their grandfather.

Layth reports from Imām Jaʿfar المنتخة: "I asked him regarding a man using bones, wood and dung to purify himself. He replied:

اما العظم و الروث فطعام الجن و ذلك مما اشترطوا على رسول الله فقال: لا يصلح بشيئ من ذلك

Bones and cow dung are the food of the Jinn, this is the condition that they laid down with Rasūlullāh Jina, due to which he said: 'It will not be correct to use any of that.'"¹

It is stated in al-Faqīh:

لا يجوز الاستنجاء بالروث و العظم... فلذلك لا ينبغي ان يستنجى بهما

It is impermissible to purify ones private parts using dung and bone as a delegation of Jinn came to Rasūlullāh مرالتنايين and said: "O Rasūlullāh, give us something." Thus, he gave them dung and bones. It is for this reason that it is inappropriate to use them for purification.²

¹ Al-Biḥār 63/82, 288, 332, al-Wasā'il 1/252 Bāb Karāhat al-Istinjā bi l-ʿAẓm wa l-Rawth

² *Al-Biḥār* 63/72-73 Bāb Ḥaqīqat al-Jinn wa Aḥwālihim, *al-Faqīh* 1/20, *al-Wasā'il* 1/252, *al-Mustadrak* 1/279, 280 Bāb Karāhat al-Istinjā bi l-ʿAẓm wa l-Rawth

Abū Rayyah Rejects the Hadīth of the Fly

On page 248, under the title, "The hadīth of the fly," Abū Rayyah says:

روى البجارى و ابن ماجه عن ابى هريرة ان النبى (ص) قال: اذا وقع الذباب في اناء احدكم فليغمسه كله فان في احد جناححيه داء والاخر شفاء

Al-Bukhārī and *Ibn Mājah* report from Abū Hurayrah that Nabī المنتفسة said: "If a fly falls in one your utensils then you should dip the entire fly into it. This is because the one wing contains a disease and the other contains the cure."

The 'expert' author then gives in to his desires by commenting on the hadīth in the following manner:

هذا الحديث قد وجد من نقد الباحثين ما لم يجده حديث اخر ذلك بان الذباب في نفسه قدر تنفر النفوس من رؤيته فكيف يامر النبي بغمسه اذا سقط في الاناء الذي فيه طعام او شراب ثم يتعاطون بعد ذلك ما في الاناء؟

This hadīth is the most criticised hadīth by researchers. This is because the fly is a dirty creature. A person dislikes seeing it, so how could Nabī command that it should be dipped if it falls into the utensil which has food or drink in it, especially when that utensil will be used to serve whatever is it?

On page 254, he says:

ومن اجل ذلك قلنا: ان ابا هريرة قد اتى بهذا الحديث من كيسه ليحقق به حاجة في نفسه

It is for this reason that we say: "Abū Hurayrah produced this hadīth from his pocket to achieve one of his own interests."

Our comment: Abū Hurayrah is not the sole narrator of this ḥadīth. Imām Aḥmad, al-Nasa'ī and Ibn Mājah have narrated it from Abū Sa'īd al-Khudrī, and al-Bazzār narrates it from Anas ﷺ. Further, we say to Abū Rayyah: 'Calm down, we

will narrate this ḥadīth from those whom you feel comfortable with and whose sayings you believe. Those regarding whom you have said, "the Imāmī Shī'ah do not accept any aḥādīth except those which are authentically narrated through the Ahl al-Bayt from their fore-fathers, i.e. from al-Ṣādiq — his father, al-Bāqir — his father, Zayn al-'Ābidīn — Ḥusayn al-Sibṭ — his father, Amīr al-Mu'minīn — from Rasūlullāh, May the salutations of Allah be upon all of them. As for that which is narrated by the likes of Abū Hurayrah... this holds no weight according to the Imāmiyyah."

Jābir reports from Imām Abū Jaʿfar Muḥammad al-Bāqir حَمَّانَكَ that Rasūlullāh حَمَّانَكَ said:

اذا وقع الذباب في اناء احدكم فليغمسه كله فان في احدى جناحيه شفاء وفي الاخز سما و انه يغمس جناحه المسموم في الشراب و لا يغمس الذي فيه الشفاء فاغمسوها لئلا يضركم

If a fly falls in one of your utensils then you should dip the entire fly into it. This is because the one wing contains the cure and the other contains poison. It only dips the poisonous wing in the drink and not the one with cure, so you should dip it in so that it does not harm you.¹

Al-La'ālī al-Akhbār (5/329, 2/317) reports from Nabī مَتَأَلَّنَهُ عَلَيْهُ وَاسَلَهُ

اذا وقع الذباب في اناء احدكم فليغمسه فيه فان في احدى جناحيه شفاء وفي الاخز سم

If a fly falls in one your utensils then you should dip the entire fly into it. This is because the one wing contains the cure and the other contains poison.

Abū Baṣīr al-Murādī reports from Imām Jaʿfar مَعَنَاتَة: "I asked him regarding a fly, i.e. if it falls into oil, fat or food. He replied:

¹ Al-Mustadrak 16/328, al-Ḥilyah pg. 606, al-Biḥār 61/312 Ḥadīth: 7, 64/312 Bāb al-Dhubāb wa l-Baqq wa l-Zanbūr, Ṭibb al-A'immah pg. 106, al-ʿAwālī 1/58

لا باس كل

There is no problem, eat.¹

The above quoted narrations will make it Abūndantly clear to anyone searching for the truth that the hadīth of the fly has been narrated by many Ṣaḥābah as well as the A'immah of the Ahl al-Bayt. There is nothing beyond the truth except falsehood!

Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "Ajwah is from Jannah and it Contains the Cure to Poison"

On page 254, Abū Rayyah says:

Al-Tirmidhī reports in his Jāmi' from Abū Hurayrah that Rasūlullāh saids said: "'Ajwah is from Jannah and it contains the cure to poison." Another narration has the addition, "Mushrooms are from Mann, and its water is a cure for the eyes."

Our comment: al-Nūrī al-Ṭabarsī has a chapter in his Mustadrak under the book of food and drink, which he titled, "chapter of the mushroom". In this chapter, he quotes this ḥadīth of Abū Hurayrah بالمنتخب , which is reported from him by al-Shaykh al-Ṭūsī in his *Amālī*. Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad ibn Makhlad — Muḥammad ibn Yūnus al-Qurashī — Saʿīd ibn ʿĀmir — Muḥammad ibn ʿAmr ibn ʿAlqamah — Abū Salamah — Abū Hurayrah — Rasūlullāh

الكماة من المن و ماءها شفاء العين

Mushrooms are from Mann, and its water is a cure for the eyes. $^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$

¹ Al-Wasā'il 16/378, al-Tahdhīb 2/36

² Al-Mustadrak 16/423-424 Bāb al-Kam'ah, Amālī al-Ṭūsī 1/394, al-Biḥār 66/231 Ḥadīth: 2

Al-ʿĀmilī, in his *Wasā'il*, has a chapter under the book of food and drink, which he titled, "chapter of the mushroom". In this chapter, he quotes this hadīth from the A'immah. ʿAbd al-Raḥmān ibn Yazīd reports from Imām Jaʿfar حَمَانَتُنَهُ that Rasūlullāh حَمَانَتُهُ said:

الكماة من المن والمن من الجنة ماءه نافع من وجع العين و في رواية و العجوة من الجنة

Mushrooms are from Mann, and Mann is from Jannah. Its water is beneficial for sore eyes. One narration goes on to state, "... and 'Ajwah is from Jannah."¹

Daʿā'im al-Islam reports from ʿAlī تَعَوَلَيْهُ عَنَّهُ .

```
الكماة من المن و ماءها شفاء العين
```

Mushrooms are from Mann, and its water is a cure for the eyes.

Zayd ibn ʿAlī ibn Ḥusayn رَحْمَدُأَنَنَهُ says:

صفة ذلك ان تاخذ كماة فتغسلها حتى تنقيها ثم تعصرها بخرقة و تاخذ ماءها فترفعه على النار حتى ينعقد ثم يلقى فيها قيراط من مسك ثم تجعل ذلك في قارورة و تكتحل منه في اوجاع العين كلها فاذا جف فاسحقه بماء السماء او غيره ثم اكتحل منه

The manner in which it will be done is that you will take a mushroom and wash it until it is clean. Then you squeeze it with a cloth. Then take the water of it and place it upon the fire until it thickens. Thereafter add a q $\bar{r}r\bar{a}t$ of musk and pour the mixture into a glass container. You can then use it as antimony for all eye aches. If it hardens, add rainwater or any other water to it and use it.²

¹ Al-Wasā'il 17/132-133, 159, 160, 109 Bāb al-Kam'ah, al-Ḥilyah pg. 411, al-Mustadrak 16/378, 424, 389, al-Baṣā'ir pg. 524, al-Baṣā'ir pg. 524 Ḥadīth: 8, Ṭibb al-A'immah pg. 82, al-Daʿā'im 2/148 Ḥadīth: 520, Ṭibb al-Nabī wa l-A'immah pg. 43 Narration: 3, chapter seven-curing the eyes and ears, pg. 198, Bāb al-Kam'ah 2 Al-Daʿā'im 2/147 Ḥadīth: 520, Mustadrak al-Wasā'il 16/424

Dārim ibn Qabīṣah reports from al-Riḍā — his forefathers — from Rasūlullāh مَكَاتَنَتَ بَعَيْدَتَهُ

Mushrooms are from the Mann which Allah sent down for Banū Isrā'īl, and it cures the eyes.¹

With regards to 'Ajwah, al-Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī in his *Wasā'il*, has a chapter under the book of food and drink, which he titled, "chapter of the 'Ajwah". Here, he narrates this ḥadīth. 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Zayd ibn Aslam reports from Imām Jaʿfar 🏭

العجوة من الجنة و فيها شفاء من السم

^cAjwah is from Jannah and it contains the cure to poison.²

Al-Ṣādiq said:

Al-Ṣarfān is ʿAjwah and it contains the cure to sicknesses.³

What does the deceiver have to say regarding these ahadīth from the A'immah?

The Sand of Husayn's Grave Cures all Illnesses

From the dim-wittedness of this man is that he rejects the aḥādīth of Rasūlullāh مَرَّاتَنْتَعَيْمَوْتَ on the basis of his corrupted intellect. He is amazed that ʿAjwah contains cure in it, yet on the other hand he is not amazed by the sand (of the grave of

¹ Al-Mustadrak 16/423, Bāb al-Kam'ah, Makārim al-Akhlāq pg. 181, al-Biḥār 66/217 ḥadīth 9, Ramz al-Ṣiḥḥāh, Ṭibb al-Nabī wa l-A'immah pg. 198 Narration: 1

² Al-Wasā'il 17/109, 110 Bāb al-ʿAjwah, al-Biḥār 60/40, al-ʿAwālī 1/107-108, 184, al-Mustadrak 16/385, al-Daʿā'im 2/111 ḥadīth 364, Ṭibb al-Nabī wa l-A'immah pg. 124 Narration: 5 Bāb al-Tamr

³ Țibb al-Nabī wa l-A'immah pg. 127, al-Wasā'il 17/110, Al-Mustadrak Al-Wasā'il 16/385 Bāb al-ʿAjwah

Husayn), which they claim has the cure of everything besides death. We present to you a few of the narrations cited by the Shīʿah; al-Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī has a chapter in his *al-Wasāʾil* which he titled, "the permissibility of eating the equivalent of one chickpea of sand from the grave of Ḥusayn with the intention of cure and the manner in which it should be eaten, and the impermissibility of eating it simply to fulfil ones desire as well as the impermissibility of eating from the graves of the other A'immah besides Ḥusayn". *Al-Wasāʾil* (16/395) reports from Abū Yaḥyā al-Wāsitī who reports from another person that Imām Jaʿfar ﷺ said:

```
الطين حرام كله كلحم الخنزير ومن اكله ثم مات منه لم اصل عليه الا طين القبر فان فيه شفاء من كل داء
ومن اكله بشهوة لم يكن له فيه شفاء
```

All sand is forbidden, just as swine is forbidden. I will not pray for the one who dies from eating it, unless it is the sand from the grave, as it contains the cure to all sicknesses. However, if someone eats it to fulfil his desires, then it will not cure him.

Abū Ḥamzah al-Thumālī reports from Imām Jaʿfar المنافع who was asked if a certain sand had any curative effects. He replied:

یستشفی ما بینه و بین القبر علی رءس اربعة امیال و کذلك قبر جدی رسول الله وکذا طین قبر الحسین و علی و محمد فخذ منها فانها شفاء من کل داء

Whatever is within four miles (between it and the grave) has cure in it. The same could be said about the grave of my grandfather, Rasūlullāh as well the graves of Ḥusayn, ʿAlī and Muḥammad, so take from them. They contain the cure to every ailment, sickness and craziness that you fear...¹

Look at this warped intellect and your surprise will know no bounds!

Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "In Jannah there will be Music"

On page 255, Abū Rayyah says:

¹ Al-Wasā'il 16/396, 397, Mustadrak al-Wasā'il 16/203, 204

ومن غرائبه التي كان لا يفتئ يطالع الناس بها ليستهويهم كماكان يضحك الصبيان بلعبة الغراب وهو امير على المدينة من قبل معاوية تلك الغريبة التي عثرنا عليها اثناء قراءتنا لكتاب الكافي الشاف في تخريج احاديث الكشاف للحافظ ابن حجر (ص ١٢٩) روى اسحاق في مسنده من رواية مجاهد قيل لابي هريرة هل في الجنة من سماع؟ قال نعم شجرة اصلها من ذهب و اغصانها من فضة و ثمارها الياقوت والزبرجد يبعث لها ريح فيحرك بعضها بعضا فما سمع شيئ قط احسن منه

Among his strange narrations which he used to tell people to fascinate them, just as he would amuse children by playing with a crow when he was appointed as the governor by Muʿāwiyah, is a strange narration which we came across whilst reading the book al-Kāfī al-Shāf fī Takhrīj Aḥādīth al-Kashshāf by Ḥāfiẓ Ibn Ḥajar (page 129). Isḥāq reports in his Musnad from Mujāhid: "Abū Hurayrah was asked, 'Will there be music in Jannah?' He replied: 'Yes, there is a tree which has golden roots, silver branches and its fruits are pearls and jewels. A wind will be sent upon it, due to which some of it will clatter. (The sound that will be produced is such that) nothing better than it was ever heard before.'

Our comment: if this hadīth is from the strange narrations of Abū Hurayrah ties, then why is it also narrated by your infallible A'immah?

Al-Biḥār (8/126-127) reports from ʿĀṣim ibn Ḥumayd — Imām Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq مُنْسَة:

ما من عمل حسن يعمله العبد الا و له ثواب في القران الا صلاة الليل-الى ان قال- قال قلت: جعلت فداك انى اردت ان اسئلك عن شيئ استحيي منه قال: سل اقلت : هل في الجنة غناء؟ قال ان في الجنة شجرا يامر الله ريحها فتهب فتضرب تلك الشجرة باصوات لم يسمع الخلائق بمثلها حسناً ثم قال: هذا عوض لمن ترك السماع في الدنيا من مخافة الله

The reward of every good action that a slave carries out is mentioned in the Qur'ān except the salāḥ of the night... I said: "May I be sacrificed for you, I wanted to ask you something that I feel ashamed of." He said: "Ask!" I asked: "Will there be music in Jannah?" He replied: "There is a tree in Jannah, whose wind will be commanded by Allah. It will then blow, which will cause the tree to give off such sounds, the beauty of which was not heard by the creation before that." Thereafter he said: "This is the replacement for the one who abandoned listening to music in the world out of fear for Allah." On page 138, it is reported from Imām al-Bāqir as well as Imām Jaʿfar that Rasūlullāh حَالَتُنْعَادِيَتُهُ said to ʿAlī مَوَالَقَعَة:

يا على انه لما اسرى بى رءيت فى الجنة نهرا ابيض من اللبن-الى ان قال-ان فى الجنة لشجرا يتصفق بالتسبيح بصوت لم يسمع الاولون والاخرون بمثله

O 'Alī, when I was taken for the ascension, I seen a white river of milk in Jannah... There is a tree in Jannah which clatters in glorification of Allah, in such a way that neither have the people of the former times, nor those of the latter times heard something similar to it.

On page 146:

سئل النبي عن انهار الجنة كم عرض كل نهر منها؟ فقل :عرض كل نهر مسيرة خمسين عام يدور تحت القصور والحجب تتغنى امواجه و تسبح و تطرب في الجنة كما يطرب الناس في الدنيا

Nabī سيانتغين was asked regarding the rivers of Jannah; what is the breadth of each of them? He replied: "The breadth of each river is the equivalent of a five hundred year journey. They flow under palaces and veils. Their waves sing and glorify and dance in Jannah just as people dance in this world."

It is reported from Ibrāhīm (8/196, the chapter of Jannah and its bounties):

ان في الجنة لاشجارا عليها اجراس من فضة فاذا اراد اهل الجنة السماع بعث الله ريحا من تحت العرش فتقع في تلك الاشجار فتحرك تلك الاجراس باصوات لو سمعها اهل الدنيا لماتوا طربا

There are trees in Jannah which have golden bells on them. When the inhabitants of Jannah will desire music, Allah will send a wind from below the 'Arsh which will shake that tree, causing the bells to move and produce such sounds that if the people of the world had to hear it, they would die out of happiness.

"A rare chapter" (60/241-255) states:

وجدت في بعض الكتب القديمة فاوردتها بلفظها ووجدتها ايضا في كتاب ذكر الاقاليم والبلدان والجبال والانهار مع اختلاف يسار في المضمون و تباين كثير في الالفاظ اشرت الى بعضها في سياق الرواية وهي هذه: مسائل عبد الله بن سلام و كان اسمه اسماويل فسماه النبي عبد الله عن ابن عباس قال لما بعث النبي امر عليا ان يكتب كتابا الى الكفار و الى النصارى و الى اليهود فكتب كتابا اولاه جبرئيل على النبي فكتب بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم من محمد رسول الله الى يهود خيبر...-الى ان قال- يا محمد فصف لى اشجار الجنة قال: في الجنة شجرة يقال لها طوبي اصلها من در و اغصانها من الزبرجد و ثمرها الجوهر ليس في الجنة غرفة ولا حجرة ولا موضع الا وهي متدلية عليه قال: صدقت يا محمد...

I found something in some of the old books, so I quoted it verbatim. I found the same in the book of continents, countries, mountains and rivers, with a slight difference in the meaning and a great difference in the wording. I have pointed out to some of them whilst quoting the narration. This is what I found; the laws concerning 'Abd Allāh ibn Salām whose name was Ismāwīl. Nabī تواليت renamed him 'Abd Allāh. It is reported from Ibn 'Abbās that when Nabī تواليت was made a Rasūl, he commanded 'Alī to write a letter to the disbelievers, Christians and Jews. Thus, he wrote the letter, which was dictated to Nabī تواليت by Jibrīl. He wrote: "In the name of Allah, the Beneficent, the Merciful. From Muḥammad the Rasūl of Allah to the Jews of Khaybar" ... O Muḥammad, describe to me the trees of Jannah. He said: "There is a tree in Jannah which is called Ṭūbā. The roots are from pearls, the branches are green jewels and its fruit are gems. There is no room, cubicle or area in Jannah, except that a portion of the tree hangs over it." He responded: "You have spoken the truth, O Muḥammad..."

21/317 states that Sayyid Ibn Ṭāwūs says in his book, Iqbāl al-Aʿmāl:

روينا بالاسانيد الصحيحة و الروايات الصحيحة الصريحة الى ابى المفضل محمد بن عبد المطلب الشيبانى من كتاب المباهلة...قال عيسى الهى وما طوبى؟ قال: شجرة فى الجنة ساقها و اغصانها من ذهب ورقها حلل و حملها كثدى الابكار احلى من العسل و الين من الزبد و ماءها من نسيم لو ان غرابا طار وهو فرخ لادركه الهرم من قبل ان يقطعها و ليس منزل من منازل الجنة الا و ظلاله من تلك الشجرة

We have narrated with authentic isnād and authentic explicit narrations to Abū al-Mufaḍḍāl Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd al-Muṭṭalib al-Shaybānī from the book of taking oaths and cursing... ʿĪsā asked: "O my Rabb, what is Ṭūbā?" Allah replied: "It is a tree in Jannah, the trunk and branches of which are made from gold, the leaves from jewels and its fruits are like the breasts of virgins; sweeter than honey and softer than butter. The water of it is from Nasīm. If a baby crow flies beneath it, it will reach old age without being able to cross it. Every station in Jannah is shaded by that tree."

Niʿmat Allāh al-Jazāʾirī states in his *Anwār*, (4/295, illumination regarding Jannah and its bounties), "number seven, the types of entertainment and the greatest form being music". It is reported that a villager came to Nabī مَالَسْتَعَيْسَاتُ and said: "O Rasūlullāh, you have stated that Jannah will have everything, so where will the music be?" He replied:

Yes, O villager, in Jannah there are bells hanging on the trees. When one of them is sounded, beautiful sounds emerge, such that if the people of the world were to hear one sound from it, they would die from desire and happiness.

Our comment: when they wish to disparage this great Ṣaḥābī, they employ all types of deception, lies and propaganda. Their only goal is to raise doubts regarding his narrations. At times they accuse him of lying, at times, they say that all of his narrations are absurdities, nonsense and fairy tales and at times they accuse him of taking his information from Ka'b al-Aḥbār and Wahb ibn Munabbih, "the Jew". These are among the many lies forged against him. We hope that the reader has managed to see truth in this discussion of the lies of 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, Abū Rayyah and others. Glory be to Allah, the greatest irony is that these accusations rebound back onto their A'immah and apply to them to a greater extent, a fact that they did not realise! Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "The Punishment of the One Who Refuses to Pay Zakāh on the Day of Qiyāmah…"

On page 256, Abū Rayyah quotes the following hadīth under the title, "the (condition of the one who) refuses to pay zakāh on the Day of Qiyāmah":

مانع الزكاة يوم القيامة يطوق بشجاع اقرع له زبيبتان يوم القيامة متفق عليه

A bald serpent which has two black dots will be placed around the neck of the one who refuses to pay zakāh on the Day of Qiyāmah. Reported by al-Bukhārī and Muslim.

Our comment: have a look at the narrations which they consider as authentic aḥādīth from the Ahl al-Bayt who report from their grandfather. Muḥammad ibn Muslim reports from Imām al-Bāqir المنافح:

ما من عبد منع من زكاة ماله شيئا الا جعل الله ذلك يوم القيامة ثعبانا من نار مطوقا في عنقه ينهش في لحمه حتى يفرغ من الحساب و ذلك قول الله عز و جل (يطوقون ما بخلوا به يوم القيامة)

Whoever holds back any portion of his zakāh, Allah will turn that into a serpent of fire which will be wrapped around his neck and it will bite into his flesh until his reckoning is over. This is the meaning of the statement of Allah, "Their necks will be encircled by what they withheld on the Day of Resurrection.¹⁷²

Al-Wasā'il (6/10-11, the chapter of the prohibition of holding back zakāh) reports from Harīz who reports from Imām Jaʿfar المنافة:

ما من ذي مال ذهب او فضة يمنع زكاة ماله الا حبسه الله يوم القيامة بقاع قرقر و سلط عليه شجاعا اقرع يريده عنه فاذا راي انه لا يتخلص منه امكنه من يده فقضمها كما يقضم الفجل ثم يثير طوقا في عنقه

¹ Surah Āl 'Imrān: 180

² Man Lā Yaḥḍurhu l-Faqīh 2/6, al-Wasā'il 6/11 Bāb Taḥrīm Manʿ al-Zakāh, Furūʿ al-Kāfī 3/504, 505, al-Biḥār 7/183, Al-ʿAwālī 1/84-85

Any wealthy person, whether he possessed gold or silver who held back the zakāh of his wealth will be held by Allah at an uneven plain and a bald serpent will be let upon him. It will seek it from him. When he sees that he will not escape from it, he will offer his hand to it and it will bite it like how reddish is bitten. Thereafter, it will form a ring around his neck.

Our comment: we hope Abū Rayyah is satisfied with that which they have narrated authentically from the Ahl al-Bayt, who narrates from their grandfathers.

Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth Regarding the Crowing of the Rooster and the Braying of the Donkey

Under the title, "the crowing of the rooster and the braying of the donkey," (page 258), he says:

This ḥadīth is reported by Bukhārī and Muslim from Abū Hurayrah, these are the words of al-Bukhārī: "Nabī said: 'When you hear the crowing of the rooster then ask Allah from his bounty, as it saw an angel, and when you hear the braying of a donkey then seek the protection of Allah from the devil as it saw a devil."

When you hear the barking of a dog and the braying of a donkey then seek the protection of Allah from the devil, the accursed, as they see that which you do not see, so do as you are instructed.¹

Al-Biḥār (63/199-200, the chapter of Iblīs and his stories) Rasūlullāh تَوَالَّسْتَعَيْدُوسَتَدُ said:

لا تؤوو منديل اللحم في البيت فانه مربض الشيطان... و اذا سمعتم نباح الكلاب و نهيق الحمير فتعوذوا بالله من الشيطان فانهم يرون ولا ترون فافعلوا ما تؤمرون

Do not leave a cloth with meat on it in the house at night as it is the toilet of the devil... When you hear the barking of a dog and the braying of a donkey then seek the protection of Allah from the devil, as they see and you do not see, so do as you are instructed.²

Abū Rayyah Rejects the Hadīth, "Yawning is from Shayṭān"

Under the title, "yawning is from Shaytān," (page 258) Abū Rayyah says:

روى البخارى عن ابى هريرة عن النبى قال: التثائب من الشيطان فاذا تثائب احدكم فليرده ما استطاع فان احدكم اذا قال: ها ضحك الشيطان

Al-Bukhārī reports from Abū Hurayrah who narrates from Nabī المنظبية: "Yawning is from Shayṭān. Thus, when any of you is about to yawn, then he should hold it back as much as he can, because when one of you says, 'Haa', then the devil laughs."

¹ Al-Biḥār 65/64, 62/64, al-Ḥilyah pg. 608, al-Wasā'il 3/573

² Al-Biḥār 65/64, 62/64 Ḥadīth: 221, al-Ḥilyah pg. 608, al-Wasā'il 3/573

Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "Allah Loves the Sneeze and Dislikes Yawning"

He says under the title, "Allah loves the sneeze and dislikes yawning:"

روى البخاري عن ابي هريرة عن النبي قال: ان الله يحب العطاس و يكره التثائب

Al-Bukhārī reports from Abū Hurayrah who narrates from Nabī حيات "Allah loves the sneeze and dislikes yawning."

Our comment: this hadīth is reported by your A'immah, and is that which is 'authentically' narrated from their grandfather. Fuḍayl ibn Yasār reports from one of them that he said regarding the one who yawns:

هو من الشيطان ولم يملكه

It is from the devil and he did not withhold it.1

Makārim al-Akhlāq of al-Ṭabarsī reports from Imām Jaʿfar مَنْاسَة and *al-Kāfī* reports from al-Riḍā المَاسَة:

```
التثائب من الشيطان و العطاس من الله عز و جل
```

Yawning is from the devil and sneezing is from Allah, the exalted and glorified.²

Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "Allah Recited Yāsīn and Ṭāhā Two Thousand Years Before He Created Ādam"

On page 258, under the title, "Allah recites Ṭāhā and Yāsīn," Abū Rayyah says:

¹ Al-Kāfī 3/301 Kitāb al-Ṣalāh Bāb al-Khushūʿ fī l-Ṣalāh, al-Tahdhīb 2/324

² Al-Biḥār 76/52 Bāb al-ʿIṭās wa l-Tasmīt, al-Kāfī 2/654 Bāb al-ʿIṭās wa l-Tasmīt, al-Wasā'il 8/461

واسند الدارمي عن ابي هريرة ان رسول الله قال: ان الله تبارك و تعالى قرء طه و يس قبل ان يخلق ادم بالفي عام

Al-Dāramī reports from Abū Hurayrah that Rasūlullāh said: "Allah the Most Blessed and Exalted recited Ṭāhā and Yāsīn two thousand years before he created Ādam."

Our comment: the great Shīī exegete (who was given the title, 'the erudite and well-read muḥaddith') al-Ḥuwayzī quotes this ḥadīth, which you have rejected, from Abū Hurayrah in his *Tafsīr Nūr al-Thaqalayn* (3/366) under the virtues of Sūrah Ṭāhā:

عن ابی هریرة ان رسول الله قال: ان الله تبارك و تعالی قرء طه و یس قبل ان یخلق ادم بالفی عام فلما سمعت الملائكة القران قالوا: طوبی لامة ینزل هذا علیها و طوبی لاجواف تحمل هذا و طوبی لالسن تكلم بهذا

It is reported from Abū Hurayrah that Rasūlullāh said: "Allah the most blessed and exalted recited Ṭāhā and Yāsīn two thousand years before he created Ādam. When the angels heard the Qur'ān, they said, 'Glad tidings to the nation upon whom this will be revealed. Glad tidings to the bodies which will carry this and glad tidings to the tongues which will recite this."

Our comment: Abū Rayyah is surprised by the narrations of Abū Hurayrah فلي but he is not moved in any way by the narrations of the Ahl al-Bayt! Read along with me, O reader, and see the narrations which are 'authentically established from the Ahl al-Bayt', who narrate from their grandfathers. *Al-Biḥār* reports from Sahl ibn Saʿd al-Anṣārī (3/12 narration 24) that he asked Rasūlullāh مَتَالَنَا اللهُ ألمُ اللهُ ال

¹ Sūrah al-Qaṣaṣ: 46

كتب الله عز و جل كتابا قبل ان يخلق الخلق بالفي عام في ورق اس ثم وضعها على العرش نادي يا امة محمد: ان رحمتي سبقت غضبي...

Allah, the exalted and glorified wrote a letter on myrtle paper two thousand years before he created the creation, and then he placed the letter upon the 'Arsh. He called out, O ummah of Muḥammad, verily, My mercy has overpowered My anger.

ʿAlī ibn Sulaymān reports (27/138 Narration: 140) from the one who related to him from Imām Jaʿfar نها المعالية regarding the statement of Allah: "And (by) a Book inscribed. In parchment spread open¹":

كتاب كتبه الله عز و جل في ورقة اس و وضعه على العرش قبل خلق الخلق بالفي عام...

A book which Allah the exalted and glorified wrote on myrtle paper and placed it upon the 'Arsh two thousand years before he created the creation.

Dāwūd ibn Kathīr reports (36/400 Narration: 10) that he visited Imām Jaʿfar المنافئة in Madīnah. He goes on to say:

...واستخرج منها رقا ابيض ففضه و دفعه الى وقال: اقرءه فقرئته اذا فيه سطراناً السطر الاول لا اله الا الله محمد رسول الله والثانى (ان عدة الشهور عند الله اثنا عشر شهرا فى كتاب الله يوم خلق السموات و الارض منها اربعة حرم ذلك الدين القيم/ً امير المؤمنين بن ابى طالب الحسن بن على...-الى ان قال-يا داود اتدرى متى كتب هذا فى هذا؟ قلت الله اعلم و رسوله و انتم قال: قبل ان يخلق الله ادم بالفى عام

... he removed a white parchment, opened it, gave it to me and told me to read it. Thus I read it. It contained two lines. The first line read, "There is no deity besides Allah and Muḥammad is the Rasūl of Allah." The second line read, "Indeed, the number of months with Allah is twelve (lunar) months in the register of Allah (from) the day He created the heavens and the earth; of these, four are sacred. That is the correct religion (i.e., way)²,

¹ Sūrah al-Ṭūr: 2-3

² Sūrah al-Towbah: 36

Amīr al-Mu'minīn Ibn Abī Ṭālib, Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī..." O Dāwūd, do you know when this was written on this parchment? I replied: "Allah, His Rasūl and you people know best. He replied: "Two thousand years before he created Ādam."

Dāwūd ibn Kathīr al-Raqqī reports (page 401 Narration: 11) that he said to Imām Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad تحكانك: "May I be sacrificed for you, tell me about the statement of Allah:

وَ السّٰبِقُوْنَ السّٰبِقُوْنَ عَنْ ١٠ ﴾ ٱلَّئِكَ الْمُقَرَّبُوْنَ عَلَامًا ﴾

And the forerunners, the forerunners — those are the ones brought near (to Allah). $^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$

He replied:

نطق الله بهذا يوم ذرء الخلق في الميثاق و قبل ان يخلق الخلق بالفي عام...

Allah said this on the Day that he created the creation and took the covenant from them and two thousand years before he created \bar{A} dam...

<code>Ḥasan</code> ibn Muqātil reports (57/369 Narration: 9) from the one who heard Zurārah saying: "Imām Jaʿfar was asked regarding the beginning of progenies from \bar{A} dam..." He goes on to report:'

Allah instructed the pen, so it wrote all that which is to occur up until the Day of Qiyāmah upon the preserved tablet. This happened two thousand years before the creation of \bar{A} dam. All the books of Allah were among that which as written by this pen, i.e. the four famous books of this world; the Torah, the Injīl, the Zabūr and the Qur'ān.

¹ Sūrah al-Wāqiʿah: 10-11

Bukayr reports (5/250 narration 4) that Imām Bāqir would say:

ان الله تعالى اخذ ميثاق شيعتنا بالولاية لنا وهم ذر يوم اخذ الميثاق على الذر بالاقرار له بالربوبية و لمحمد بالنبوة –الى ان قال– و خلق ارواح شيعتنا قبل ابدانهم بالفي عام

Allah took the covenant of supporting us from our Shī'ah when they were seeds, the same day that he took the covenant from all the seeds (of humans) regarding accepting Him as the Rabb and Muḥammad as a Nabī... and he created the bodies of our Shī'ah two thousand years before he created their souls.

Jābir narrates from Nabī سَأَلْنَةُ عَلَيْهُ وَسَلَمَ (8/131):

مكتوب على باب الجنة: لا اله الا الله محمد رسول الله و على اخو رسول الله قبل ان يخلق الله السماوات والارض بالفي عام

It is written in the door of Jannah two thousand years before Allah created the heavens and the earth: "There is no deity besides Allah, Muḥammad is the Rasūl of Allah and ʿAlī is the brother of Rasūlullāh."

Yaḥyā ibn Muḥammad al-Fārsī reports (page 315-316 Narration: 95) from his father — Imām Jaʿfar — his father — from Amīr al-Mu'minīn:

خرجت ذات يوم الى ظهر الكوفة- الى ان قال- فقال: او ما قرئت على ساق العرش- وكنت قرئته قبل ان يخلق الله الدنيا بالفي عام لا اله الا الله محمد رسول الله ايدته و نصرته بعلى...

I went out one day to the centre of Kūfah... did you not read that which is written on the leg of the 'Arsh. I read it two thousand years before Allah created the creation: "There is no deity besides Allah and Muḥammad is the Rasūl of Allah. I strengthened him and helped him by means of 'Alī."

What is the opinion of this erudite scholar regarding these narrations?

On page 259, under the title, "among his strange narrations", Abū Rayyah quotes a few narrations of Abū Hurayrah

strange narrations". We will quote some of them, followed by the strange narrations of the A'immah.

Abū Rayyah Rejects the Hadīth, "The Reason Behind the Name of Khidr"

روى البخارى عن ابى هريرة عن النبى انه قال: انما سمى الخضر لانه جلس على فروة بيضاء فاذا تهتز من خلفه خضراء

Al-Bukhārī reports from Abū Hurayrah that Nabī المنتشرة said: "Khiḍr was given his name because he sat upon a white skin. Suddenly, whatever was behind him turned lush and green."

He comments:

ولدينا من مثل هذه الاحاديث ما يملا كتابا براسه وتراجع احاديثه التي تلقاها عن استاذه كعب الاحبار في مكانها من هذا الكتاب

We have a great number of this kind of aḥādīth, such that it can fill an entire book. You can refer to the aḥādīth which he learnt from his teacher, Kaʿb al-Aḥbār, in its appropriate place in this book.

Our comment: we will reproduce the 'authentically established aḥādīth of the Ahl al-Bayt, which is narrated by them from their grandfathers.' *Ikmāl al-Dīn* of al-Ṣadūq (page 362 Ḥadīth: 6) reports from Abū al-Ḥasan ʿAlī ibn Mūsā al-Riḍā المناحة:

لما قبض رسول الله اتاهم ات فوقف على باب البيت فعزاهم به اهل البيت يسمعون كلامه ولا يرونه. فقال على (ع): هذا هو الخضر (ع) اتاكم يعزيكم بنبيكم

When Nabī سَالَتَعَيْسَةُ passed away, a stranger came to them and stood at the door of the house. He offered his condolences to them regarding him. The Ahl al-Bayt heard his speech, but they could not see him. 'Alī said: "This is Khiḍr, he came to you to offer you condolences regarding your Nabī."

وكان اسم الخضر خضرويه بن قابيل بن ادم وانه انما سمي الخضر لانه جلس على ارض بيضاء فاهتزت

خضراء فسمى الخضر لذلك...و قد اخرجت الخبر في ذلك مسندا في كتاب علل الشرائع والاحكام والاسباب

The name of Khidr was Khudruwayh ibn Qābīl ibn Ādam. He is also referred to as Khudrūn as well as Jaʿd. the only reason why he is called Khadir is because he sat upon a white piece of land which turned lush and green. That is why he is called Khidr... The complete narration with its isnād is reported in the book *'Ilal al-Sharā'i' wa l-Aḥkām wa l-Asbāb.*¹

Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "Avarice and Īmān Cannot Get Together in One Heart"

On page 260, Abū Rayyah quotes the hadīth:

Īmān and avarice cannot get together in one heart.

Our comment: al-Majlisī has a chapter in his *Biḥār* (73/302) regarding miserliness. He quotes this ḥadīth, which you have rejected, in that chapter from Abū Hurayrah المنتقية. Qaʿqāʿ ibn al-Lajlāj reports from Abū Hurayrah that Rasūlullāh نوتيت said:

Īmān and avarice can never be together in the heart of a slave.

On page 302 he reports from al-Jāzī who narrates from Imām Jaʿfar from his father:

¹ Refer to al-Biḥār 64/291, 13/303 Ḥadīth 24, Al-'Ilal chapter 54, Tafsīr al-Ṣāfī 3/251, Nūr al-Thaqalayn 3/273, 276, al-Mīzān 13/352, Majmaʿ al-Bayān 6/483, al-Tibyān 7/70

A man who has avarice, jealousy and cowardice in him cannot be a believer.

On page 302 (Narration: 9) he reports from Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī from Rasūlullāh مَاكَاتَسْتَعَدَيدَسَتَهُ:

خصلتان لا يجتمعان في مسلم البخل و سوء الخلق

Two qualities will never gather in a Muslim, miserliness and bad manners.

Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "Wealth is not the Accumulation of Assets, Rather, Wealth is the Contentment of the Heart"

On page 260, Abū Rayyah says:

وحديث ليس الغنى كثرة العرض ولكن الغني غنى النفس رواه البخاري في الادب المفرد

The hadīth, "wealth is not the accumulation of assets, rather, wealth is the contentment of the heart," is reported by al-Bukhāri in al-Adab al-Mufrad.

Our comment: this hadīth, from Abū Hurayrah www.was established by 'the great Shī'ī exegete, the outstanding researcher' Mīrzā Muḥammad al-Mash-hadī in his *Tafsīr Kanz al-Daqā'iq* (1/261). It is the exact same ḥadīth which you have rejected on the basis of lies and accusations. This ḥadīth is also among those that have been narrated authentically and established from their grandfather. Thus, we cannot fathom the reason behind this rejection. It is reported from al-Ṣādiq:

غنى النفس اغنى من البحر

Contentment of the heart is more valuable than the sea. $^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$

Al-Kinānī reports from al-Ṣādiq that Nabī مَتَأَلَقَهُ عَلَيْهُ وَمَتَلَعَ said:

¹ Al-Biḥār 75/105, 71/381, 383, 394, 395, Amālī al-Ṣadūq pg. 146, al-Khiṣāl 2/5, Maʿānī al-Akhbār pg. 177, al-Kāfī 2/103, al-Wasā'il 8/504

خير الغني غنى النفس

The best wealth is the contentment of the heart. $\ensuremath{^1}$

Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "A Man Earns the Status of the One Who Stands in Prayer at Night by Means of His Good Character"

On page 260, Abū Rayyah says:

و حديث ان الرجل ليدرك بحسن خلقه درجة القائم بالليل

And the hadīth: "A man earns the status of the one who stands in prayer at night by means of his good character."

Our comment: this hadīth is also among those that have been narrated authentically and established from their grandfather. *Al-Biḥār* reports (10/89-99) from Abū Baṣīr and Muḥammad ibn Muslim from Imām Jaʿfar (10/89-99).

Amīr al-Mu'minīn taught his companions four hundred chapters in one session, which are enough for the worldly and religious needs of a believer. Indeed a Muslim slave earns the status of the one who stands in prayer and fasts by means of his good character.

Bakr ibn Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad reports from Fāṭimah bint al-Riḍā who reports from her father —his father — Jaʿfar ibn Muḥammad — his father and uncle, Zayd — their father, ʿAlī ibn Ḥusayn — his father and uncle (Ḥasan) — ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib — from Nabī عَالَيَتُ عَالَيَتُ :

من كف غضبه كف الله عنه عذابه ومن حسن خلقه بلغه الله درجة الصائم القائم

¹ *Al-Biḥār* 75/106, 168, 78/115 Bāb Mawāʿiẓ al-Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī, 447, 454

Whoever holds back his anger, Allah will hold back from him His punishment and whoever beautifies his character, Allah will elevate him to the status of the one who fasts and stands (in şalāh).

Abū Rayyah Rejects the Ḥadīth, "A Mu'min is the Mirror of His Brother, When He Sees a Fault in Him, He Corrects it"

On page 260, he says:

وحديث المؤمن مراة اخيه أاذا راي فيه عيبا اصلحه

And the hadīth: "A believer is the mirror of his brother, when he sees a fault in him, he corrects it."

Our comment: This hadīth is also among those that have been narrated authentically and established from their grandfather. Mūsā ibn Jaʿfar reports from his fore fathers that Rasūlullāh مَالَسْتَعَدِيسَةُ said:

المؤمن مراة لاخيه المؤمن ينصحه اذا غاب عنه و يميط عنه ما يكره اذا شهد و يوسع له في المجلس

A believer is a mirror of his believing brother. He wishes well for him in his absence, removes from him that which he dislikes when he is present and he makes space for him in a gathering.¹

Al-Biḥār (74/270 and 77/414) reports from Ḥārith ibn Mughīrah who says that Imām Jaʿfar المنافئة said:

المسلم اخو المسلم وهو عينه و مراته و دليله لا يخونه ولا يظلمه ولا يخدعه ولا يكذبه ولا يغتابه

A Muslim is the brother of his fellow Muslim. He is the eye, mirror and guide of his brother. He does not cheat him, oppress him, deceive him belie him or backbite regarding him.

¹ Al-Biḥār 74/233, 268, al-Mustadrak 8/320, al-Jughrāfiyyāt pg. 197, 548, 9/45, Muṣādaqat al-Ikhwān pg. 14

Section Three

The Allegations Made by Muhammad al-Tījānī al-Samāwī

Al- $T\bar{I}j\bar{a}n\bar{I}^1$ was no better than his predecessor. He was another skilled thief who simply pounced upon the ideas and beliefs of others, attributed them to himself and bragged about them. He admits in his joke-book, *Ittaqū Allāh* (page 55), after quoting a number of their lies:

راجع كتابي محمود أبو رية المصري، والسيد اشرف الدين في أبي هريرة

Refer to the books of Maḥmūd Abū Rayyah al-Miṣrī and al-Sayyid Sharaf al-Dīn regarding Abū Hurayrah.

He further states (page 46): "My friend asked me, whilst offering me dry clay, if I wanted to perform şalāh. I replied in a harsh manner that we do not perform şalāh around graves. He then said: 'Wait a little for me, so that I may perform two raka'āt.' Whilst waiting for him, I began reading the plaque on the tomb, and I began staring at the golden pocket behind it which had inscriptions on it. I saw that it was full of cash, containing currencies of different types; dirhams, riyāls, dīnārs, līras, etc. The visitors donate this, hoping for blessings and fulfilling the religious rite of charity demanded by the occasion. Due to its large amount, I thought that it was accumulated over a period of a few months, however my friend later informed me that those who are responsible for cleaning the place remove it every night after Şalāt al-'Ishā. I remained baffled! It is as if I wished that they would grant me a share from it, or they would distribute it among the poor and destitute, who were many in number in that area."

For more information regarding his lies and propaganda, refer to the books, *Kashf al-Jānī Muḥammad* al-Tījānī, Bal Dalalta, al-Intiṣār.

¹ Al-Tījānī: This is with reference to a Sūfī order. Refer to the book *al-Tījāniyyah* - A Study of the Important Beliefs of the Tījānis in the Light of the Qur'ān and Sunnah by ʿAlī ibn Muḥammad ibn al-Dakhīl Allāh. Indeed he lied when he said that he was previously from the Ahl al-Sunnah. Does he think that the Ahl al-Sunnah are ignorant people who could be mocked, just as the Shī ah are mocked? He is nothing but a Bāținī Ṣūfī come Shī ah. This man was guided towards the madh-hab of the Ahl al-Bayt by his greed for wealth. He admits this in his own words in his book, *Ṭarīq al-Hudā* (page 175). His exact words are: "Just as Sayyid al-Kho'ī, who we were following, granted me the authority to control the khumus and zakāħ."

This is an admission from him that he adopted the ideas of his teachers and scholars in his approach towards the subject of criticising Abū Hurayrah www. whether it was criticism regarding his personality or his narrations. The most amazing aspect is that this 'Doctor' (or rather skilled thief) followed their books (i.e. the book of Abū Rayyah and the book of his teacher, 'Abd al-Ḥusayn) in every matter, major or minor. He also concocted a few more things in his book. His followers, on the other hand, fell prey to the deception and regarded him to be a master of narrations and sayings. Little did they know that he was a mere thief of sayings and narrations.

A summary of my observation regarding his book is as follows: he intentionally misconstrues the meanings of texts and he bases his understanding upon his own desires, instead of academics. I will list a few examples to prove this. He says in his book, *Ittaqū Allāh* (page 54-55):

إن أبا هريرة كان كذوباً غير معتمد عليه، إن كذب أبي هريرة في أحاديثه ملاً الخافقين ، وقد دلت أحاديث أهل السنة على التهمة له بالكذب كانت معلومة بين الصحابة حتى أن عمر ضربه بالدرة المعهودةوأن رسول الله (ص) أمر بقتل الكلاب إلا كلب صيد أو كلب غنم أو ماشية فقيل لابن عمر : أن أبا هريرة يقول أو كلب زرع فقال ابن عمر أن لإبي هريرة زرعا .وفي مسند أبي هريرة يروي عن النبي: من تبع جنازة فله قيراط من الأجر فقال ابن عمر لقد أكثر علينا أبو هريرة

There are many other examples of these lies and forgeries. With the help of Allah, we have already answered them in detail in the first chapter, when dealing with

'Abd al-Ḥusayn. Thus there is no need to repeat them here. As for exposing the lies of this 'guided doctor', we are compelled to reproduce some of his deception. In this way, the extent of his bigotry and hatred for the great narrator of Islam, Abū Hurayrah نَعْنَيْنَا الله bought to the fore. This will also highlight his hatred for the other Ṣaḥābah, his hunt for flaws in the Sunnah of Nabī مَرْاللَهُ مَعْنَا اللهُ مُعْلَمُ (*al-Bukhārī* and *Muslim*) as well as the aḥādīth of Nabī مَرْاللَهُ مَاللهُ and the Ahl al-Bayt. All of this will be manifested when we quote his rejection of a few authentic aḥādīth.

He says in his book, *Fas'alū Ahl al-Dhikr* (page 272), under the chapter: "Nabī مكاتنا و compromises on the commands of Allah according to his whims":

عن أبي هريرة قال: بينما نحن جلوس عند النبي إذ جاءه رجل فقال يا رسول الله هلكت قال: ما لك قال: وقعت على امرأتي وأنا صائم فقال هل تجد رقبة تعتقها قال: لا قال: فهل تستطيع أن تصوم شهرين متتابعين قال: لا فقال: فهل تجد إطعام ستين مسكيناً قال: لا قال فمكث النبي فينا نحن على ذلك أتي النبي بعرق فيها تمر والعرق المكتل قال أين السائل فقال: أنا قال خذها فتصدق به فقال: الرجل أعلى أفقر مني يا رسول الله فوالله ما بين لابتيها يريد الحرتين أهل بيت أفقر من أهل بيتي فضحك النبي حتى بدت أنيابه ثم قال أطعمه أهلك

¹ Al-Bukhārī Kitāb al-Ṣowm, Hibah, al-Nafaqāt, al-Adab, Kaffārāt, al-Aymān, Muslim Kitāb al-Ṣiyām

أنظر كيف تصبح أحكام الله وحدود الله التي رسمها لعباده من تحرير رقبة على الموسرين والذين لا يقدرون على تحرير رقبة فما عليهم إلا اطعام ستين مسكيناً وإذا تعذر وكان فقيراً فما عليه إلا بالصوم وهو كفارة الفقراء الذين لا يجدون أموالا كافية لتحرير أو لإطعام المساكين ولكن هذه الرواية تتعدى حدود الله التي رسمها لعباده ويكفي أن يقول هذا الجاني كلمة يضحك لها الرسول حتى تبدو أنيابه فيتساهل في حكم الله ويبيح له أن يأخذ الصدقة لأهل بيته، وهل هناك أكبر من هذه الفرية على الله ورسولهفيصبح الجاني مجازا على ذنبه الذي تعمده بدلا من العقوبة وهل هناك تشجيعاً أكبر من هذا لأهل المعاصي والفسقة الذين سيتشبثون بمثل هذه الروايات المكذوبة ويرقصون لها، وبمثل هذه الرويات أصبح دين الله وأحكامه لعباً وهزؤا وأصبح الزاني يفتخر بارتكابه الفاحشة ويتغنى باسم الزاني في الأعراس والمحافل كما أصبح المفطر في شهر الصيام يتحدى الصائمين

Look at how the commands of Allah, which he stipulated for his slaves (have been ignored). It is incumbent upon the wealthy to set free a slave. Those who cannot afford this are instructed to feed sixty poor people. When this too is not possible, due to the person's poverty, then fasting becomes incumbent. This is the atonement for the poor ones who cannot afford to free slaves or feed the poor. However, this narration challenges the commands of Allah, which He stipulated for His servants. It was sufficient for this perpetrator so utter a statement which brought a smile to the face of Rasūlullāh is to the extent that his canines became visible. Thereupon he compromised upon the law of Allah and made the charity permissible for his family.

Is there a greater lie that has been attributed to Allah and His Rasūl? A perpetrator is rewarded for his intentional disobedience instead of being taken to task for it! Is this not the greatest encouragement for perpetrators and sinners? Will they not latch onto these types of fabricated narrations to justify their persistence upon sin? Will they not dance in celebration, (upon reading this)? These types of narrations turn the dīn of Allah into an absolute joke. A fornicator will find leeway for him to brag about his sin, and he will announce it in many different public gatherings. Similarly, a person who eats during the month of fasting will not hesitate to challenge those who are fasting.

Our comment: I cannot understand how this man found guidance in his new religion, i.e. Shī ism. He does not even know that this hadīth has been narrated
by his A'immah — whom he considers infallible! I will now reproduce for you the narrations which have been established as the narrations 'Alī, al-Bāqir and al-Ṣādiq from the books of hadīth and other books of your new religion. *Al-Bihār* (96/282 narration: 13, the book of fasting, the chapter regarding those acts which necessitate a penalty and the laws thereof). It has been narrated to us from 'Alī that he said:

أتى رجل إلى رسول اللهفي شهر رمضان فقال: يا رسول الله إني قد هلكت، قال: وما ذاك؟ قال: باشرت أهلي فغلبتني شهوتي حتى وصلت قال: هل تجد عتقاً ؟ قال: لا والله، وما ملكت مملوكا قط قال: فصم شهرين قال: والله ما أطيق عليّ الصوم قال: فانطلق فاطعم ستين مسكينا قال: والله ما أقوى عليه قال: فأمر له رسول اللهبخمسة عشر صاعاً وقال: اذهب فاطعم ستين مسكيناً لكل مسكين مدّ، قال: يا رسول الله والذي بعثك ما بين لابتيها من بيت أحوج منّا، قال: فانطلق فكله أنت وأهلك .

A man came to Rasūlullāh المعنين during the month of Ramaḍān and said: "O Rasūlullāh, I am doomed." He asked: "What is the matter with you?" The man said: "I touched my wife, which resulted in my passions going out of my control, until I eventually cohabited with her." Nabī منتين asked him: "Can you set a slave free?" He replied: "By the oath of Allah, No. I have never owned a slave." Nabī منتين said: "Then fast for two consecutive months." He replied: "By the oath of Allah, I am unable to fast." Nabī commanded him: "Go and feed sixty poor people." He replied: "By the oath of Allah, I am unable to do that." Nabī be given twenty five ṣāʿi and then said to him: "Go and feed sixty poor people, one mudd² for each poor person." The man said: "O Rasūlullāh? By One Who sent you (as a Rasūl), there is no family between the mountains more in need of it than us." Nabī منتي توانط: "Go, you and your family may consume it."

Narration: 2 (96/276); ʿAbd al-Mu'min al-Anṣārī narrates from al-Bāqir كَعَنَاسَة:

إن رجلا أتى النبي فقال: هلكت هلكت، فقال: وما أهلكك؟ قال: أتيت امرأتي في شهر رمضان وأنا صائم فقال له النبي أعتق رقبة فقال: لا أجد قال: فصم شهرين متتابعين فقال: لا أطيق فقال: تصدق على

¹ A unit of measurement equal to approximately 3 litres

² A unit of measurement equal to approximately 750 ml

ستين مسكيناً قال: لا أجد قال: فأتى النبي بعرق أو مكتل فيه خمسة عشر صاعا من تمر فقال النبي خذها وتصدق بها فقال: والذي بعثك بالحق بينا ما بين لابتيها أهل بيت أحوج إليه منا فقال: خذه وكله أنت وأهلك فإنه كفّارة لك

A man came to Rasūlullāh موالله عليه معني and said: "O Rasūlullāh, I am doomed. I am doomed" He asked: "What is the cause of your doom?" The man said: "I cohabited with my wife during the month of Ramaḍān whilst I was fasting." Nabī المعنية instructed him: "Free a slave." He replied: "I am unable to do so." Nabī المعنية معنا: "Then fast for two consecutive months." He replied: "By the oath of Allah, I am unable to do that." Nabī معنية دوم commanded him: "Go and feed sixty poor people." He replied: "I am unable to do that." Thereafter, a bunch of dates as well as a basket thereof, which contained twenty five ṣā', was brought to Nabī معنية معنية معنا: "Take it and give it out in charity." He replied: "By the oath of the being who sent you with the truth, there is no family between the mountains more in need of it than us." Nabī مالية معنية والواط: "Take it, you and your family may consume it. It will serve as an atonement for you."

Narration: 9 (page 208); Jamīl ibn Dirāj narrates that Imām Jaʿfar مَعَنَاسَة was asked about a man who intentionally eats during the day in Ramaḍān and he replied:

وقال ابن أبي عمير: فـوالذي بعـثك بالحق – مـا تركت في البيت شيئـاً قليلا ولا كثيراً قال: فدخل رجل من الناس بمكتل تمر فيه عشرون صاعا يكون عشرة أصوع بصاعنا هذا هنا فقال رسول الله خذ هذا التمر فتصدق فقال: يا رسول الله على من أتصدق به وقد أخبرتك أنه ليس في بيتي قليل ولا كثير فقال: خذه واطعمه عيالك واستغفر الله

A man came to Rasūlullāh مستعمد and said: "O Rasūlullāh, I am doomed." He asked: "What is the cause of your doom?" The man said: "The fire." Nabī المعتمد (again) asked: "What is the cause of your doom?" He replied: "I cohabited with my wife during the month of Ramaḍān." Nabī مستعمد replied: "Give charity and ask Allah for forgiveness." He replied: "By the oath of the being who granted you great rights," Ibn Abī 'Umayr reported: "By the oath of the being who sent you with the truth, I did not leave anything behind at home." Just then, a man entered with twenty ṣāʿ of dates — ten of those ṣāʿs are equivalent to one of our ṣāʿs here. Thus, Rasūlullāh said: "Take these dates and give them out in charity." The man asked: "O Rasūlullāh, who should I give it to when I have already informed you that there is nothing in my house?" Nabī ماليتينية replied: "Take it, feed it to your family and seek forgiveness from Allah."

Why did you not take to task your A'immah and ask them, "how the commands of Allah, which he stipulated for his slaves (have been ignored). It is incumbent upon the wealthy to set free a slave. Those who cannot afford this are instructed to feed sixty poor people..." O deceptive liar! Why did you not take them to task for forging narrations against Nabī مَوَالَا عَمَالَةُ as Abū Hurayrah مَوَالَا فَعَالَى had done (according to you), O Dr? The reader will notice the extent of ignorance and deception resorted to by al-Tījānī in supporting his new religion.

This 'guided one' failed to produce any new information. He was the last one to try and find fault in and discredit *Şaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī* and *Muslim* by objecting to some aḥādīth. This is irrespective of whether the narrator was Abū Hurayrah will or any other Ṣaḥābī. They wish to tell the Ahl al-Sunnah that our religion is false and the religion of the Ahl al-Bayt is the true religion. This is their only goal. However, it has been proven that all the narrations of Abū Hurayrah were corroborated by the Ahl al-Bayt. Thus, there is no weight to their claim.

Al-Tījānī Rejects the Ḥadīth: "Ṣalāh was Reduced from Fifty to Five"

Among the lies of this fraud is that he raises doubts concerning the most authentic hadīth and a hadīth which is accepted by both parties, i.e. the hadīth which explains that ṣalāh was reduced from fifty ṣalāh to five. These are the exact words of this 'doctor':

وأخرج البخاري في صحيحه قصة عجيبة وغريبة تحكي معراج النبي ولقاءه مع ربه ، وفيها يقول الرسول ثم فرضت عليّ خمسون صلاة فأقبلت حتى جئت موسى ، فقال: ما صنعت؟ قلت: فرضت عليّ خمسون صلاة . قال: أنا أعلم بالناس منك عالجت بني اسرائيل أشد المعالجة وإن أمتـك لا تـطيق، فـارجع إلى ربـك فسلـه، فرجعت فسألته فجعلها أربعين ، ثم مثله، ثم ثلاثين ثم مثله فجعل عشرين، ثم مثله فجعل عشراً، فأتيت موسى فقال: مثله فجعلها خمساً، فأتيت موسى فقال: ما صنعت؟ قلت جعلها خمساً فقال مثله، قلت فسلمت فنودي إلى قد أمضيت فريضتي وخففت عن عبادي وأجزي الحسنة عشراً

Al-Bukhārī narrates a weird and ridiculous story in his Ṣaḥīḥ regarding the Mi'rāj (ascension to the heavens) of Nabī and his meeting with his Rabb. The narration includes the following: "Rasūlullāh said, 'Then fifty ṣalāh were made compulsory upon me. I began returning, until I met Mūsā who asked, 'What did you do?' I replied, 'Fifty ṣalāh have been made compulsory upon me.' He said, 'I am more aware regarding people than you. I had a tough time with the Banī Isrā'īl. Your ummah will not manage. Return to your Rabb and ask Him (to reduce it).' Thus I returned and asked Him to reduce it, so He made it forty. Thereafter the same transpired and He brought it down to thirty. The same happened for a third time due to which He dropped it to twenty. After the fourth time, He reduced it to ten. When I got to Mūsā, he again said the same thing, so He made it five. When I reached Mūsā, he asked, 'What did you do?' I replied, 'He reduced them to five and I accepted.' It was announced, 'I have affirmed my command, decreased (the effort) for my slaves and the reward of virtue will be multiplied tenfold.'"

وفي رواية أخرى نقلها البخاري أيضاً، وبعد مراجعة محمد ربه عديد المرات وبعد فرض الخمس صلوات، طلب موسى من محمد أن يراجع ربه للتخفيف لأن أمته لا تطيق حتى الخمس صلوات ، ولكن محمد أجابه: قد استحييت من ربي .

نعم اقرأ وأعجب من هذه العقائد التي يقول بها علماء السنة والجماعة، ومع ذلك فهم يشنعون على الشيعة أتباع أئمة أهل البيت في القول بالبداء .

وهم في هذه القصة يعتقدون بأن الله سبحانه فرض على محمد وأمته خمسين صلاة، ثم بدا له بعد مراجعة محمد إياه أن يجعلها أربعين،ثم بدا له بعد مراجعة ثانية أن جعلها ثلاثين، ثم بدا له بعد مراجعة ثالثة أن جعلها عشرين ثم بدا له بعد مراجعة رابعة أن جعلها عشراً، ثم بدا له بعد مراجعة خامسة أن جعلها خمساً.

ومن يدري لولا استحياء محمد من ربه لجعلها واحدة، أو لأسقطها تماماً

Another narration, reported by al-Bukhārī as well, states that after Muḥammad repeatedly went back to His Rabb, and after the number was fixed at five, Mūsā still

told Muḥammad to ask his Rabb to reduce it, as his ummah would not even manage five ṣalāh. However, Muḥammad replied by saying: "I feel shy of my Rabb".

O reader, read and express your surprise at the beliefs held by the scholars of the Ahl al-Sunnah wa l-Jamāʿah. Despite this, they criticise the Shīʿah, the followers of the Aimmah of the Ahl al-Bayt on account of their belief in Badā¹. On the basis of this story, they believe that Allah stipulated fifty salāh upon the ummah. Thereafter, upon the request of Muhammad, He thought it appropriate to reduce it to forty. After another request he realised that He should reduce it to thirty. A third request resulted in Him making it twenty, a fourth request ten and finally upon the fifth request He understood that He should keep it to five. Who knows, maybe if Muḥammad was not shy of his Rabb, he might have brought it down to one, or He might have even cancelled them completely.²

I seek Allah's forgiveness regarding this foul statement. It is not due to their belief in bad \bar{a} that I am condemning them...³

بني الاسلام على خمس : على الصلاة ، والزكاة، والصوم ،والحج ، الولاية ، ولم ينادي بشيئ كما نودي بالولاية

Islam is based on five pillars; ṣalāh, zakāh, ṣowm, ḥajj and Wilāyah. Nothing has been announced as much as Wilāyah.

Another narration (al-Kāfī 2/18) states:

Islam is based on five pillars; ṣalāh, zakāh, ṣowm, ḥajj and Wilāyah.

Zurārah asked: "Which of them is most virtuous?" He replied: "Wilāyah."

3 In his book, *Li Akūna Min al-Ṣādiqīn* (so that I may be from the truthful ones), page 150-151. It would have been more appropriate for him to name his book, so that I may be from the liars, as he misled the Shī'ah by his countless lies.

¹ An absolutely blasphemous Shīī doctrine which suggests that Allah, the All-Knowing, learns of events as they happen, i.e. He does not have knowledge regarding matters prior to their occurrence. 2 Al-Tījānī most probably found guidance in Shīism as a result of them abrogating ṣalāh. In fact, they have discarded all the other acts as well claiming that "Wilāyah is greater than ṣalāh". Al-Kulaynī reports in his *Kāfī* (2/18-21) from Imām al-Bāqir that he said:

ولكن تشنيعي أنا لهذه القصة بالذات وهي – مساومة محمدربه في فرض الصلوات – لما فيها من نسبة الجهل إلى اللهومن انتقاص لشخصية أعظم إنسان عرفه تاريخ البشرية، وهو نبينا محمدإذ تقول الرواية بأن موسى قال لمحمد: أنا أعلم بالناس منك. وتجعل هذا الرواية الفضل والمزية لموسى الذي لولاه لما خفف الله عن أمة محمد . ولست أدري كيف يعلم موسى بأن أمة محمد لا تطيق حتى خمس صلوات في حين أن الله لا يعلم ذلك ويكلف عباده بما لا يطيقون فيفرض عليهم خمسين صلاة؟! وهل تتصوّر معي أخي القارئ كيف تكون خمسين صلاة في اليوم الواحد فلا شغل ولا عمل ، ولا دراسة ولا طلب الرزق ولا سعي ولا مسئوولية، فيصبح الإنسان كالملائكة مكلف بالصلاة والعبادة، وما عليك إلا بعملية حسابية بسيطة لتعرف عدم صحة هذه الرواية، فإذا ضربت عشر دقائق – وهو الوقت المعقول لإداء فريضة واحدة للصلاة الجماعة – في الخمسين فسيكون الوقت المفروض بمقدار عشر ساعات، وما عليك إلا بالصبر، أو أنك ترفض هذا الدي يكلف أتباعه فوق ما يتحملون ويفرض عليهم م عذر يبقى ولا مليو عدم صحة هذه الرواية، فياذا ضربت عشر دقائق – وهو الوقت المعقول لإداء فريضة واحدة للصلاة الجماعة - في الخمسين فسيكون الوقت المفروض بمقدار عشر ساعات، وما عليك إلا بالصبر، أو أنك ترفض هذا الدي الذي يكلف أتباعه فوق ما يتحملون ويفرض عليهم ما عذر يبقى لهم في اتباع محمد الذي وضع عنهم اصرهم والأغلال التي كانت عليهم، فإذا كان أهل السنة والجماعة يشنعون على الشيعة قولهم بالبداء، وأن الله سبحانه يبدو له فيغير ويبدل كيف يشاء فلماذا لا يشنعون على أنفسهم في قولهم بأن الله سبحانه يبدو له فيغير ويبدل كيف يشاء فلماذا لا يشنعون على أنفسهم في قولهم بأن الله سبحانه يبدو له فيغير ويبدل ميف مؤما لانا تر وفي ليلة واحدة وهي ليلة المعراج ...

I have problems with this story itself. How could Muḥammad ﷺ bargain with his Rabb regarding the stipulation of salāh? That is an attribution of ignorance to Allah. Secondly, it belittles the greatest person known to human history, i.e. our Nabī-Muḥammad ﷺ. The narration says that Mūsā said to him, "I am more aware regarding people than you." This narration attributes a distinguishing virtue and merit to Mūsā, as if to say that had it not been for him, Allah would have never lessened the salāh upon the ummah of Muhammad

Further, I have no idea how Mūsā¹ knew that the ummah of Muḥammad will

كنًا مع أبي عبد الله جماعة من الشيعة في الحجر فقال: علينا عين؟ فالتفتنا يمنه ويسره فلم نر أحداً فقلنا: ليس علينا عين فقال: ورب الكعبة ورب البينة ثلاث مرّات لو كنت بين موسى والخضر لأخبرتهما أني أعلم منهما ولأنبأتهما بما ليس في أيديهما...

¹ If you do not know, then that is indeed a calamity and if you do know, then the calamity is even greater! Do you not claim that your Imāms have knowledge regarding the unseen? al-Kulaynī has a chapter "The īmāms know all that took place, and all that will take place, nothing remains hidden from them." Here is one of their many narrations in which it is claimed that Ja'far had more knowledge than Mūsā as well as Khadir! Sayf al-Tammār says:

not even be able to perform five salāh¹, whereas Allah did not know that and He stipulated for them fifty! Can anyone assist me in trying to understand how would fifty salāh fit in one day? Will there be no work, studies, seeking of sustenance, effort or responsibility. Humans would then be like the angels, having no responsibility except salāh and worship!

All you need to do is a simple calculation of a (daily) schedule to realise the falseness of this narration.² If you multiply ten minutes (the minimum time required to perform one compulsory salāh with the congregation) by fifty, you will find that the compulsory salāh require ten hours. Either you will need a lot of patience or you will bid farewell to this religion which burdens its followers with tasks beyond their capabilities. The Jews and Christians might be excused for rebelling against Mūsā and ʿĪsā, but what excuse will they have for not following Muḥammad hourded, who removed their burdens and the difficulties which were placed upon them?

If the Ahl al-Sunnah condemn the Shīʿah for believing in badā, i.e. Allah, the Most Sublime, learns (of happenings) and then changes (his decisions) according to His wish, then why do they not condemn themselves for believing that Allah, the Most Sublime, learnt and then changed His law five times in one night regarding one command, i.e. the night of Miʿrāj?³

Refer to al-Kāfī (1/260-261 ḥadīth 1), al-Baṣā'ir (pg. 230 ḥadīth 3)-he also has a chapter in his Baṣā'ir, 'The Imāms are more virtuous than Mūsā and Khaḍir' Chapter 6 pg. 229, Taſsīr al-Burhān 2/488 ḥadīth 36, Taſsīr al-Ṣāfī 3/252, Nūr al-Thaqalayn 3/275

1 Mūsā $\approx \infty$ was aware that people like you will be found. You have switched from five salāh's to three. Al-'Āmilī has a chapter in his book *al-Wasā'il* (3/160) titled, 'The permissibility of joining two salāhs without a valid excuse'.

2 This is what ignorance and deviation does to a person. This 'guided one' has just ridiculed the narrations of the Ahl al-Bayt, thereby destroying the religion of the Ahl al-Bayt and doing away with their most authentic narrations. Take a lesson, o people of intelligence!

3 Li Akūna min al-Ṣādiqīn pg. 152

continued from page 546

We, a group of Shī as were with Imām Ja'far in the room. He asked, 'Is there anyone spying on us?' We looked to the right and left, but we did not see anyone so we said, "There is no one spying upon us." He then said, 'By the oath of the Rabb of the Ka'bah and the Rabb of proof (he said this thrice), if I was with Mūsā and Khaḍir, I would have told them that I am more learned than them and I would have informed them of things that they have no idea of.'

Our comment: Allah is indeed above all shortcomings! The ignorance of this 'doctor' is indeed extraordinary! He wishes to prove his point by saying that time does not permit for fifty salāh to be performed in twenty-four hours, yet he does not raise an objection against his A'immah, who were reported to perform one thousand rakaʿāt in twenty-four hours! Al-Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī has a chapter in his *al-Wasā'il* (3/71, the book on salāh) titled, "It is commendable to perform one thousand rakaʿāt for the day and night, and if possible then for each of them". In this chapter, he quotes nine aḥādīth from the A'immah of the Ahl al-Bayt. You may refer to it. Also (5/176) has a chapter, "it is commendable to perform one thousand rakaʿāt for the day and night, and if possible then for each of them in the month of Ramaḍān as well as other months". This chapter has one ḥadīth.

Here is one of those aḥādīth. *Al-Biḥār* (82/310, narration: 16) Abū Jaʿfar al-Bāqir said:

والله إن كان علي (ع) ليأكل أكلة العبد -إلى أن قال- وكان يصلي في اليوم والليلة ألف ركعة .

By the oath of Allah, 'Alī would eat the meal of a slave... and he would perform one thousand rakaʿāt during the day and night.

Al-Bihar (41/15, narration: 6 and 82/309, narration: 10):

وعنه أنه قال: كان على بن الحسين يصلّى في اليوم والليلة ألف ركعة كما كان يفعل أمير المؤمنين ..

He also narrates: "'Alī ibn Ḥusayn would perform in one day and night one thousand rakaʿāt, just as Amīr al-Mu'minīn would do."

Look at the gross-ignorance of this 'guided doctor'! It would have never been possible for the one who had the responsibility of seeing to the affairs of the Muslims as well as his own family. The only case in which that would be possible, is if he performed salāh like the pecking of a crow, which is the salāh of the hypocrites. Undoubtedly, 'Alī www was free from this. Why is there any objection against fifty salāh, when this is the distinguishing act of the Shīʿah? Their al-Ṣadūq, reports from Abū Baṣīr who reports from al-Ṣādiq: شيعتنا أهل الورع – إلى أن قال – وأهل الزهد والعبادة أصحاب إحدى وخمسين ركعة في اليوم والليلة!!!

Our Shī´ah are scrupulous people... ascetics, and worshippers. They are the ones who perform fifty-one rakaʿāt within every twenty-four hours.¹

In fact, the sum total of the compulsory and optional prayers adds up to fifty-one according to the Shīʿah. Mīrzā Ḥusayn al-Ḥāʾirī states in his book, Aḥkām al-Shīʿah (1/172), under the chapter, "daily optional prayers":

وأما النوافل اليومية فمجموعها ضعف مجموعة فرائضها، فهي ٣٤ ركعة

The daily optional prayers add up to double the amount of the obligatory ones. They are 34 rakaʿāt.

Another question that we would like to pose to al-Tījānī is, "Did you peek into the knowledge of the unseen to determine the manner in which the fifty obligatory ṣalāh were to be performed?" Let us rather try to work out a schedule in which one thousand rakaʿāt could be performed. If we dedicate just two minutes to every rakʿah, we will need a minimum of two thousand minutes. If two thousand minutes are divided by sixty, we get a total of thirty-three hours. This is equivalent to two and a half days. When did the Imām find time to perform his obligatory ṣalāh? Did he have any time working, teaching, etc.?

Indeed Allah's words describe these people quite aptly. He says:

خَتَمَ اللهُ عَلَى قُلُوْبِهِمْ وَعَلَى سَمْعِهِمْ وَ عَلَى أَبْصَارِهِمْ غِشْوَةٌ

Allah has set a seal upon their hearts and upon their hearing, and over their vision is a veil. $\!\!^2$

¹ Al-Biḥār 68/166, narration: 33, Mīzān al-Ḥikmah 5/231, narration: 9931, Tafsīr al-Kanz 8/472

² Sūrah al-Baqarah: 7

He said: "On the basis of this story, the Ahl al-Sunnah believe that Allah stipulated fifty salāh upon the ummah. Thereafter, upon the request of Muḥammad..."

Our comment: this type of narrations is found in Abūndance in the fiqh, ḥadīth and tafsīr books of the Shīʿah. Their scholars have counted this as one of the miracles of Nabī المالية . We present to you, O objective reader, the narrations of those who are considered by them to be beyond mistakes and forgetfulness. Ibn Bābūwayh al-Qummī (al-Ṣadūq) reports in his book *al-ʿIlal* (page 132, ḥadīth: 1, chapter: The reason why Nabī المالة did not ask his Rabb to reduce the number of ṣalāh from fifty for his ummah until Mūsā asked him to, and the reason why he did not ask for any reduction after it was brought down to five): Ḥusayn ibn ʿAlwān ibn ʿAmr ibn Khālid — Zayd ibn ʿAlī says:

سألت أبي سيد العابدين(ع) فقلت له يا أبت أخبرني عن جدّنا رسول الله لما عرج به إلى السماء أمره ربه بخمسين صلاة كيف لم يساله التخفيف عن أمته حتى قال له موسى بن عمران ارجع إلى ربك فاسأله التخفيف فإن أمتك لا تطبق ذلك فقال يا بني أن رسول الله كان لا يقترح على ربه ولا يراجع في شيئ يأمره به فلما سأله موسى (ع) ذلك فكان شفيعاً لأمته إليه لم يجز له رد شفاعة أخيه موسى فرجع إلى ربه فسأله التخفيف إلى أن ردّها إلى خمس صلوات قال: قلت له يا أبه فلم لا يرجع إلى ربه ويسانه التخفيف عن خمس صلوات وقد سأله موسى(ع) أن يرجع إلى ربه ويسأله التخفيف؟ فقال له : يا بني أراد أن يحصل لأمته التخفيف مع أجر خمسين صلاة يقول الله من جاء بالحسنة فله عشرة أمالها

I asked my father, the leader of the worshippers: "O my beloved father, tell me about our grandfather Rasūlullāh , when he was raised to the sky. Why did he not ask his Rabb to reduce the number for his ummah when He ordered fifty şalāh, until Mūsā ibn 'Imrān told him, 'Go back to your Rabb and ask Him to reduce it, as your ummah will not manage that?" He replied: "O my beloved son, Rasūlullāh would not object or negotiate anything with his Rabb. When Mūsā asked him that, he done so in the capacity of an intercessor on behalf of his ummah. Thus, he did not want to reject the intercession of his brother, Mūsā. Therefore, he returned to his Rabb to ask him to reduce the amount until it was reduced to five şalāh." I asked him: "Why then did he not return to his Rabb to ask that it should be reduced from five, even though Mūsā asked him to do so?" He replied: "He wished that his ummah should be granted ease and still acquire the reward of fifty ṣalāh. Allah says, 'Whoever comes [on the Day of Judgement] with a good deed will have ten times the like thereof [to his credit].''1

Your own Imām admits that this reduction was on account of the mercy and compassion of Allah towards His believing slaves. Why then do you display ignorance, O ignorant one?

Al-Tuwaysirkāni comments on this narration in his $al-La'\bar{a}l\bar{i}$ (4/22-23, chapter the reason why fifty salāh were made five but the reward of fifty will be written):

أقول: والوجه أن من جاء من هذه الأمة المرحومة بالحسنة فله عشر أمثالها وقد مرّ حديث مبسوط ... وما يدل على سهولة أمر التوبة لهذه الأمة وصعوبتها على الأمم الماضية مضافاً إلى ما مرّ فيه ... ومما يشعر بفضل التوبة أن الله جعل صاحب اليمين أميراً على صاحب الشمال مما دلّ أن مطلق الحسنة من هذه الأمة يكتب لعامله عشراً

I say; the reason is that whoever from this ummah, who have been shown mercy, does one good deed, he will be rewarded tenfold. A lengthy hadīth has already passed... That which indicates the ease of repenting for this ummah and the difficulty thereof for the previous ummah's compared to that which passed regarding it... the virtue of repentance is highlighted by the fact that Allah made the person of the right a leader over the person of the left. This indicates that a normal good act is written as ten acts for the one who carries it out from this ummah.

We will suffice upon this verdict passed by their most revered jurist, the great Ayatollah al-Mīrzā al-Shaykh Jawād al-Tabrezī in his book *Ṣirāṭ al-Najāh fī Ajwibat al-Istiftā'āt* (3/423, question: 1233):

¹ Al-Biḥār 3/320-321, 10/42-43, 82/257, 258, 297, 18/408, 303, al-Wasā'il 3/7, 10-12, Ithbāt al-Hudā 1/257, al-Maṣābīḥ 2/226 ḥadīth 101, al-Burhān 2/393, 395, 397, 398, Tafsīr al-Kanz 9/651, Man Lā Yaḥḍurhū al-Faqīh 1/125-126, 198 Ḥadīth: 603, Nūr al-Thaqalayn 3/111-112, 5/114 Ḥadīth: 39, Tafsīr al-Qummī 2/12, al-Mīzān 13/6, al-Anwār al-Nuʿmāniyyah 1/220, Rowḍat al-Wāʿizīn 1/85, al-Jawāhir al-Saniyyah pg. 117

قال السائل: ما رأيكم في الرواية التي يذكرها القمي في تفسيره، عن أبيه،عن ابن أبي عمير عن هشام بن سالم، عن أبي عبد الله (ع) التي يذكر أن النبي في انحداره ليلة المعراج مرّ على الكليم فسأله عما فرض الله تعالى على أمته، فأجابه خمسون صلاة فقال: إن أمتك لا تقدر عليها فأرجع إلى ربك ... فرجع إلى ربه حتى بلغ سدرة المنتهى الرواية . هل هي معتبرة من جهة الدلالة أم لا ؟

قال سماحتهم التبريزي:(الرواية بحسب السند لا بأس بها، فقد رواها الصدوق في "الفقيه" أيضاً وقد رود في بعض الروايات، أن النبي طلب من ربّه تخفيف الصلاة عن الأمة، فخففها الله سبحانه إلى عشر ركعات، ثم أضاف إليها النبي سبع ركعات، وطلبه هذا الأمر من ربّه فهو لإشفاقه على الأمة، وأجاب ربّه إليه فهو كرامة له..

The person asked: "What is your opinion regarding the narration mentioned by al-Qummī in his Tafsīr from his father — Ibn Abī 'Umayr — Hishām ibn Sālim — Imām Jaʿfar? In it, he mentions that whilst Rasūlullāh was descending on the night of Miʿrāj, he came across al-Kalīm (Mūsā weight, who asked him what was it that Allah had ordained upon his ummah. He replied that it was fifty ṣalāh. Al-kalīm responded: "Your ummah will not cope with that, return to your Rabb." He returned to his Rabb, to the Sidrat al-Muntahā... is this narration acceptable despite the indications therein?

Their honourable al-Tabrezī replied: "The narration has no short-coming as far as the isnād is concerned. Al-Ṣadūq has also narrated it in al-Faqīh. Also, it appears in some narrations that Nabī asked his Rabb to decrease the (amount of rakaʿāt in) ṣalāh for his ummah. Thus Allah decreased it to ten rakaʿāt. Then, he added on seven rakaʿāt and asked his Rabb to accept this. Therefore, it is on account of his compassion for his ummah and his Rabb accepted his request, so that is in honour of him."

What is the opinion of the 'doctor' regarding this narration and the verdict that was passed by his scholar? Will he be allowed to criticise the aḥādīth of the A'immah of the Ahl al-Bayt just as he accused and criticised al-Bukhārī and found fault with this authentic ḥadīth? In this manner, it has become evident that al-Tījānī has very little knowledge regarding ḥadīth and their narrators. He lacks the basic qualifications thereof. Therefore, do not be intimidated by his deviation, i.e. the 'guidance' that he claims to have found.

Conclusion

Abū Hurayrah and managed to escape from all of those cyclones which were made to happen around him as well as the waves of treachery which kept on reaching his feet. He defied all of that and earned the respect, love and honour of the majority, who understood the great position held by him. The deviant attacks had no option but to silently withdraw in utter disgrace and humiliation.

This is what Allah has given me the ability to pen down. I ask him to make it a beneficial act. All praise is due to Allah who guided us. If Allah did not guide us, we would have never found guidance. May the mercy and salutations of Allah pour down upon the best of humans, his pure and untainted family and his noble and righteous Ṣaḥābah.

Below are a few poems regarding Abū Hurayrah

وعشت سعيداً بقرب النمبي	حبّاك النسبي بألطــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ
وروّاك مــــن فيه الأعذاب	هـــداك إلمي صالحات الأمور
ويحنو علميك حمنو الأب	وكنت أثيراً لــــدى المصطفى
فملمم تتمسأول ولمم تكذب	وأنــــت الــــوفي لـهـــدي النبي
صحيح) العبــــارة والمطلب)	وعيت (الحديث) وأدّيّته
وحمدثت بالكلم الطيب	حفظيت لنسا سنة المصطفى
مـــن المشــرقـين إلى المـغـرب	يـسير على هـديـك المـؤمنـــون
إلى المنهج الأصدق الأصوب	ويقبس مسن نـورك السالــكون
وصدق المقـــال بـعـزم أبي	يحتيون فيك ثببات الرجسال
فلم يتردد وليسم يسرتب	فاللـــه صـدرك مـــن حـافـــظ
يسمح على الخلسق بالصيمب	وخازن علم كمثل السحاب
خبيــــث اللســـان حقــود غــبي	فماذا يضـــيرك مــن حـامـــد
و (باطنــــه) أســــود عقربـــي	(تســـتر مـــن ظـاهر (بالبحوث
ولـــوم (صليبيــة) الأجنـــبي	(كغدر (اليهود) وخبث (المجوس
(مـن (الخيبــيريين) في (مـــأرب	(يىرددما قالــــه (أســـــياده
فتمهـرب منــه إلى الغيهـــب	خفافيـــش ليست تطيــق الضياء إلى الغيهـــب
فتمضي (تنقنق) في الطحلــب	تعماف الضفمادع صفو الغديبر

My Master, Abū Hurayrah by Walīd al-Aʿẓamī

Nabī المنتقبية favoured you with his benevolence, and you lived a fortunate life, being close to Nabī المنتقبية.

He guided you towards meritorious acts, and he quenched your thirst from his pleasant stream.

You were preferred by the chosen one, and he would bless you with fatherly compassion.

You were the one who was loyal to the guidance of Nabī in the misinterpreting them nor lying about them.

You preserved the $a \dot{h} \bar{a} d\bar{\imath} th$ and transmitted them, in their most pristine and accurate form.

You have preserved for us the Sunnah of the chosen one, and you have uttered the best of speech.

The believers, from east to west, all follow your mannerisms.

Those seeking the absolute truth and the correct path keep drawing from your illumination.

They discover, in the form of your personality, men of steadfastness and honesty.

Allah made you the fore-runner among those who memorised, and did not hesitate or doubt thereafter.

And a treasurer of knowledge who is like the clouds, constantly showering beneficial rain upon the creation.

How can you be harmed by any dim-witted foul mouthed and jealous bigot?

He hides behind an image of scholarship, whereas he is in reality a black scorpion.

Imitating the Jews in their deception, the Zoroastrians in their filth and the worshippers of the cross in their wickedness.

Repeating the hogwash of his masters, the journalists and thereby acceding to their whims.

Like a bat, which rushes towards darkness as it cannot stand light, and a frog which cannot take the purity of a well, so it gets into a water moss and begins to rant.¹

ومن عذوبه ذاك النبع مغمترف من أجل بعث الهدي الإسلام معتكف وهممه بجملال الوحممي تتصف قلب عظيم وحسن ثاقب يقفظ جب الشريعة في أسرارها كَلفُ أبو هريرة) هذا من عرف به) وراح مسن نبيعه الروحتي يبرتشف تتبع المدى في شوق وفي ليهف وذاك سرّ به الأرواح تأتلف والقلب يلمزم ممن يهوي فيتبعه فسعيمه دون ريمه كلَّه شمر ف ومن سعى خلف (طه) في مسيرته وقد يضيق بذاك الفضل من يصف رعمي الرسمالة في صبر وفي جملد ماغرةه طمع فيهسا ولاتسرف وساريزهد في الدنيا وبهجتها ركب الحياة، وما في الركب مختـلف مين النجوم الميتي شعّت ممينورة أعماله لأولى الألباب بينسة ولـن تـرى (حاقدا) للفضـل يعــــترف ومنه نالموا ثممار العلم واقتطفموا إني لأعجب مـن (قـوم) بـه طعنــوا ما نـال منــه سـوى المأجــور تدفعــه روح الريساء وفي الأهمواء منجرف أخو (يهود) ومن للذنب مقترف يكفى (الصحابي) هـذا ما رمـاه به وعنه جماءت الأخبار والصحف وفي (يهودا) نوايا السوء باقية وذاك في طبعهم أصل به عرفموا تستروا بخبيث الفكر من قسدم وسير (دعوتهم) لليناس منكشيف جاءوا يعــدون للإســلام عدتهــم وينتهمي (حاقد) بالمكر ملتحصف والهادمون ستفنيهمم مسيرتسنا كـف (الأجير) فيما ينجبو بها السلف شر السباسية أفكرار تحركها بهده، وعدانا خلفنا تقف واضيعية المجيد ماذلنا بمعيولنا

To a Ṣaḥābī by Maḥmūd Dalalī Āl Jaʿfar al-Ḥadīthī

As a result of the guidance of Islam being sent, he held onto it, and he took a dive into that sweet stream.

A great heart accompanied by alert and vigilant senses, with his only concern being that he should adopt that which appeared in the magnificent revelation.

As he moves, the splendour of this $d\bar{l}n$ covers him, raises him, possesses him, revives him and protects him.

¹ DifāʿʿAn Abī Hurayrah pg. 461-462

Abū Hurayrah, he is the one who is known for delving into the deep intricacies and secrets of the sharīʿah.

He followed the sharī ah when it suited him and when it went against him, drinking from its spiritual stream.

The heart is attached to those who incline towards him and follow him, this is a secret which the souls have become accustomed to.

Whoever walks in the footsteps of Ṭāhā (Muḥammad المستعمد), undoubtedly each step of his will be honourable.

He fulfilled the role of being the messenger with perseverance, tolerating beatings, this merit alone exhausts the one who wishes to praise him.

He passed through, shunning the world and it adornment, never being deceived by its greed or its luxuries.

He was from the stars who lit up (the pathway) for the travellers, a fact that all of them have agreed upon.

His actions stand as proof for the intelligent, as for the jealous, he never acknowledges any virtue.

I am bewildered by a nation who criticises him, yet they found no source to their knowledge besides him.

None have condemned him except sell-outs who were motivated by the winds of ostentation, which then eroded their intellect.

The accusations of the brother of the Jews, and the one delves into sin are sufficient for (the elevation of) this Ṣaḥābī.

The Jews still have many more evil intentions, the narrations and scriptures have informed us of this.

They have a long history of operating behind evil agenda. That is part of their nature and in this way they have been recognised.

They made preparations to (destroy) Islam, but the secret of their call has become known to people.

Our activities will soon eliminate the ones who wish to destroy (Islam), and the bigot will be enveloped in his evil plans.

It is the worst of politics, and it is spread by the hand of a sell-out. It does not even spare the pious predecessors.

He is of low status. We have been guiding him with our stick, but others have stood behind $\ensuremath{\mathsf{us.}}^1$

Abū Hurayrah-A History and a (cause of) Pride by Ustādh ʿAbd al-Jalīl Rāshid

وأقبس المهدى من تماريخك العطمر أشدو بذكرك شدو الطير في الســحر معماً لمماً في طريق الموعمي والفحر وأذكر الصفحات الغز أنشرهما فكم تملّيت ممنها روعمة الصور فتسردهمي صور راقست ملاممحهما فقلت يبا تفس همذا موطمن العمر حدثت نفسمي عنبها-وهي معجبة تطوي الفجاج وتعلمو ذروة الظفر وعمن جهماد عملت رايمات موكمبه وعمن صحائمف فسيها أروع السير وعين بلاء الألى ضبحوا ومسا ببخلبوا أفدي بنفسى تاريخاً لهم عبقا بالمكرمات فملا تمذكر شمذي الزهمر وأنت- يا سبدي- قد ظَلْتَ معتكفاً تصغي وتحفظ في وعي وفي حذر هـذه الأحاديــث تر ويــها وتجمعـها فنعم ماحرزته من رائم الأثمر ترمى حماه بكيد الباطل الأشمر حرست كنز الهدى من كل غائلة فكنست أحرص ممن أم على ولمد وأحفظ القوم من بدو ومن حضر وكنت تتبعمه في الحمل والسفر لازمت بيت رمسول الله تسرقبسه وعيت كمل دقميق ممن محادثممة لــه، وكــل فـعــال منـــه مـبـتــدر فكيف تنسى وأنت الثبت في الخبر دعــالـك الله لا تنــسى لــه خيــراً ريشت سهمام تمال حاقمدة وقد وقفت ترد السهم في النصحر تحمي حمى سنة المختار من ضرر وقفست طمودا منيعما في وجوههمم فاستفرغوا الجهد أحقماداً وموجمدة فكمان سعيهـــم دوما إلى خسـر تعلبو ، وراياتهم مطموسة الأثمر قد غاظهم أن يسروا رايسات سنتسنا وفي سجاياه دوماً ساطع الغرر أبو هريرة فيلذفي مكارميه وحسبه خصلة عطف على الهمرر فذى هريراته في العطف شاهدة فمن يكن في الوري في العطف مشتهراً فليس يُعرف عنه الإفك في الخبسر فمهل لـه في اغتنـام المـال من وطـر ومن يكن في الورى في الزهـد مشـهراً هل جنوا ما سوى الخذلان من ثـــمر ؟ كم لفقوا ثمم ردالله بغيهم فملتتميق الله في المعقميني وتمستتر عــصابة قــد بـلو نـــا أمــر هــا عُـصــُر آ نفح الهدايسة تيّساه على العصسر أبسو هريسرة تساريسخ يضمخمه وليمس ضمائمره إرجماف مستمتر فليسبس ضيائيه حقيد شبائنيه فلبدر أسطع ضوء في الدجي العكر فما دجي الكفر يخفى نبور سنّتنا

¹ Difāʿ ʿAn Abī Hurayrah pg. 463-464

I sing your praises just as a bird sings before dawn, and I draw guidance from your fragrant biography.

I make mention of the glorious pages, and I spread them out simultaneously while trying to preserve and reflect.

It once again becomes images with appealing features, how enjoyable were those images!

I told myself about them, who was thereby surprised. I then said, 'O my soul, this is a lesson!'

After a struggle, the flags of his convoy were raised. They wrapped up the valleys and reached the pinnacles of victory.

They sacrificed at the most crucial moments, without holding back, as well as the pages which held the most glorious biographies.

I sacrifice my life for a history, which gives off such a fragrance that will make you forget the fragrance of flowers.

O my master, you remained in one place, paying attention and memorising during normal as well as tense moments.

You have narrated and collected these ahādīth, how wonderful are the effects of that which you have achieved.

You have guarded the treasure of aḥādīth from every calamity, which was formed by the evil plots of falsehood.

You were more protective than a mother over her child, and you were the one who memorised in the best manner, better than all the villagers as well as the people of the city.

You were always at the house of Rasūlullāh بوالمنتغيرة, awaiting him, and you would follow him at home as well as when he was on a journey.

You were quick to memorise every detail of his speech as well as his actions.

He supplicated on your behalf. Do not forget his favour, how can you forget when you are the best memoriser.

Arrows were let out due to jealousy, but you repelled them and sent them back to the necks of the archers.

You stood like a protected fort in their faces, protecting the Sunnah of the choicest one from any harm.

They exerted themselves, acting upon their emotions and jealousy, but their efforts always ended in vain.

They were angered by the fact that the flags of our narrations flew high, whilst theirs were left without any trace.

Abū Hurayrah was an embodiment of merit, and his temperament always beamed with light.

His kittens bear testimony to his compassion, it is sufficient a merit to take pity upon kittens.

Whoever is known among the people for being compassionate cannot be known as a fabricator of narrations as well.

The one who is known for being an ascetic, will he have any interest in stealing wealth?

How many lies did they not make up, but Allah washed away their oppression. Did they achieve anything besides disgrace?

A group whose matter we have experienced for centuries, they should fear Allah regarding the final destination and veil themselves.

Abū Hurayrah is a history, which the fragrances of guidance spread across each era.

Thus, the jealousy of the bigot will not harm him, nor will the actions of the veiled one affect him.

The darkness of kufr can never dim the light of our Sunnah, as a moon only glows brighter when it is surrounded by pitch black darkness.¹

¹ Difāʻ ʿAn Abī Hurayrah pg. 466-467

Anwār Ṣāḥib al-Muṣṭafā by ustādh al-Ḥāj Ṣāliḥ Ḥayāwī

لـو كنـت تـروي حـديثـاً فيه أخبــــار عن يزدجر فأنبت اليوم مخستار عهن الحقيقة حتبي إنهمهم ثساروا ما کان ذنبے اِذ حدثےت سائلھے وإن أبواً بُغضه صاقت به النار والناس حبهم كفر إذا رغبوا وماانتهى واضع إلاله عار أبسا هريرة للتساريخ مسسا وضعسوا أتسات وهن مسن المصدور تنهسار وفي الحشما لموعة آب المزممان بهما لاليسس تجدي فساذ الحسد بتتار يا صاحب المصطفي قبول وأشعبار تحدّثون فما في القسوم سُمّار أباهريرة لوعماد الزممان بكم ولايدينون :إلا للذي صاروا لايرضون لقول لايوافقهمم الـدس ديـدنـهـم والـهمّ ديـــنار من ذاك (ريـــة) أشكـــال منوعـــة ضل الطريق ولم يُسعفه إنكرار ومثله يسدعي عملما ومعرفسة للغافلمسين كمان العملم أوزارُ ألقى الضلالة في قرل ينمَقّر ومذهب القدأن النماس أحرار والهب الحقمد نماراً عمند حامله صدق الحديث فيفي الأحشياء أوار لله درّ أبـيـكــم كيـف أرقّــهـــم وزاد تأويلَهم في الكفر أشرار وأولواما يشاء الحقد فعلتهمم تغشى العيون فكلت عنك أبصار يبا صاحب المصطف بحاطتيك أنبوار سراً خفياً وما حاطتك أسرار ما كان قولى فيكم كاشفاً أبداً في كشف زيف بإسم البحث ينمهار لكنها تفشية حيرتي أصيدرها لـ(منعم) ممن كرام الناس أخيمار فبارك الله سعياً سوف يذكره تدس سماً بسمن فهم ف غمار قدزين الكذب شيطان كتابت ما دام للكــذب عنـد البـيـع أسـعـار لايرعوى أن يكون الكذب مهنته ما شاء طالبها للسحت تـــجار فلقمة السحت أقوال يؤولها طعن وضرب بأعراض وإنكمار أهكذا الرزق في الأعراض منشوه

If you had to narrate a hadīth which covered one of the tales of Yazdegerd, then you would have been a favoured one.

What was your sin, that when you narrated to their questioner the reality, then they went into a fit of rage?

(These) People love kufr and are inclined towards it even though they deny it. Their hatred will fill up the fire.

They could not forge a biography of Abū Hurayrah, and whoever tried failed miserably.

There is an ardour of love within us, by means of it, time scolds the weakness of the feeble ones.

O companion of the chosen one, speeches and poems can never encapsulate your virtues, the target is far too great.

O Abū Hurayrah, if time could bring you back, you would have no competitors at night from their camp.

They do not accept that which does not please them, and they do not believe in anything except that which has become...

The source of different types of objections. Deception is their modus operandi and their object is nothing but a few coins.

This has been called knowledge and recognition; they have lost the path, and denying that did not help them.

He (the critic) presented deviation in his speech, which he decorated for the unaware, as if knowledge and lies are synonyms.

Jealousy lit a fire in the heart of the one holding onto it. The belief of the codfish is that people are free (to do as they wish).

To Allah belongs the excellence of your father, true speech really melted them, and left them burning inside!

Jealousy got them to interpret in whichever way it wanted, and mischief mongers provoked them to increase the kufr therein.

O companion of the chosen one, you were covered by illumination which weighed heavily upon the eyes, so they did not manage to glance at you.

My speech regarding you (bigots) can never reveal the hidden secrets, but you (Abū Hurayrah) have nothing to hide.

They are a mere group of facts that I have put together to expose the deviation which was displayed as research.

May Allah bless an effort which will be remembered by the best of the people who will benefit from it.

The devil beautified his writings with lies, mixing poison with fat, thus he is a fraudster.

He does not desist from exhausting his energies in lies, as long as lies can

be sold.

A morsel of filth is acquired by misinterpreting sayings to the suit the whims of the one who asks for it. He is nothing but a merchant of filth.

Is this how sustenance is accrued? He dishonours by falsely accusing denying (the truth) and condemning on account of other agenda.¹

 $^{1\,}$ Difāʿ ʿAn Abī Hurayrah pg. 468-469. Also refer to pg. 470 and pg. 474

Bibliography

Abū Hurayrah-ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Sharaf al-Dīn Mūsawī (Fifth Print-1406/1986)

Abū Hurayrah-Maḥmūd Abū Rayyah (Third Print)

Uṣūl al-Kāfī-Muḥammad Yaʿqūb al-Kulaynī (Fourth Print-Beirut 1401 A.H.)

*Ithbāt al-Hudāt-*Muḥammad ibn Ḥasan al-Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī (al-Maṭbaʿah al-ʿIlmiyyah-Qum)

Iʿlām al-Warā-Faḍl ibn Ḥasan al-Ṭabarsī-(Dār al-Maʿrifah-Beirut)

*Amālī al-Ṣadūq-*Ibn Bābuwayh al-Qummī (Fifth Print-1980-Mu'assasat al-Aʿlamī-Beirut)

Amālī al-Ṭūsī-al-Ṭūsī, Shaykh al-Ṭā'ifah (first Print-1965-Beirut)

Amālī al-Mufīd-Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad ibn Nuʿmān al-Mufīd-Qum

Aḥsan al-Tarājim Li Aṣḥāb al-Imām Mūsā al-Kāẓim-ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn al-Shabastarī-Qum

*Aşl al-Shīʿah wa Uşūluhā-*Muḥammad Ḥusayn Āl Kāshif al-Ghiṭā-(Fourth Print 1982-Beirut)

*Iḥqāq al-Ḥaq wa Izhāq al-Bāțil-*Nūr al-Dīn al-Marʿashī al-Tastarī-(Dār al-Kitāb-Beirut)

Anwār al-Wilāyah-Mullā Zayn al-ʿĀbidīn al-Kalbāykānī-Qum

Aḥkām al-Shīʿah-al-Ḥāj Mīrzā Ḥasan al-Ḥāʾirī (Second Print-Manshūrāt Makatabat al-Imām al-Ṣādiq)

Al-Towhīd-Ibn Bābuwayh al-Qummī-Beirut

Al-Dharīʿah ilā Taṣānīf al-Shīʿah-Āqā Buzurg al-Ṭahrānī-Mu'assasat Ismāʿīlyān-Qum

Al-Fahrist-Muḥammad ibn Jaʿfar al-Ṭūsī, Shaykh al-Ṭāʾifah (Third Print-1983-Beirut) *Al-Qaṭrah Min Biḥār Manāqib al-Nabī wa l-ʿItrah-Aḥmad al-Mustanbi*ț-(Third Print-Tehran)

Al-Jawāhir al-Saniyyah fī Aḥādīth al-Qudsiyyah-Muḥammad ibn Ḥasan al-Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī (First Print-1982)

Al-Nūr al-Mubīn fi Qiṣaṣ al-Ambiyā' wa l-Mursalīn-Niʿmat Allāh al-Jazā'irī (Eighth Print-1978)

Ilzām al-Nāșib fī Ithbāt al-Ḥujjat al-Ghā'ib-ʿAlī al-Yazdī al-Ḥā'irī-(Fifth Print-1984)

Al-Kharā'ij wa l-Jarā'iḥ-Quṭb al-Dīn al-Rawandī-(Qum Print)

Al-Ṣaḥīfat al-ʿAlawiyyah al-Mubārakah-Amīr al-Mu'minīn ʿAlī منابعة-(First Print-1986-Beirut)

Al-Ghadīr-ʿAbd al-Ḥusayn Aḥmad al-Amīnī al-Najafī-(Fifth Print-1983-Beirut)

Al-Wāqifiyyah Dirāsah Taḥlīliyyah-Riyāḍ Muḥammad al-Nāsirī-(1409 Print)-Qum

Al-Jāmiʿ li Ruwāt wa Aṣḥāb al-Imām al-Riḍā-Muḥammad Mahdī-Najaf-Iran

Al-Maḥajjah al-Bayḍā-Muḥsin al-Kāshānī-(Third Print-1983-al-Aʿlamī-Beirut)

*Al-Istibṣār-*Muḥammad ibn Jaʿfar al-Ṭūsī-(Third Print-1406-Dār al-Aḍwā-Beirut)

Al-Iḥtijāj-Aḥmad ibn ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib al-Ṭabarsī (Third Print-1983-Beirut)

Al-Ikhtiṣāṣ-Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad ibn Nuʿmān al-Mufīd-(Third Print-1982-Beirut)

Al-Irshād-Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad ibn Nuʿmān al-Mufīd-(Third Print-1979-Beirut)

*Al-Anwār al-Nuʿmāniyyah-*Niʿmat Allāh al-Jazāʾirī-(Fourth Print-1984-al-Aʿlamī-Beirut)

*Al-Ambiyā'-Qiṣaṣuhum wa Ḥayātuhum-*ʿAbd al-Ṣāḥib al-Ḥasanī al-ʿĀmilī-(First Print-1971-Beirut)

*Biḥār al-Anwār-*Muḥammad Bāqir al-Majlisī-(Third Print-1403-Dār Iḥyā' al-Turāth-Beirut) Baṣā'ir al-Darajāt-Muḥammad ibn Ḥasan al-Ṣaffār al-Qummī-Qum

Tahdhīb al-Aḥkām-Muḥammad ibn Jaʿfar al-Ṭūsī-(Third Print-1406-Dār al-Aḍwā') Tuhfat al-ʿAwām Maqbūl-(Lahore Print)

Tafsīr al-Ṣāfī-al-Fayḍ al-Kāshānī-Mu'assasat al-Aʿlamī-Beirut

*Tafsīr al-ʿAyyāshī-*Muḥammad ibn Masʿūd al-ʿAyyāshī-Mu'assasat al-Aʿlamī-Beirut

Tafsīr al-Qummī-ʿAlī ibn Ibrāhīm al-Qummī-(Najaf)

Tafsīr al-Mīzān-Muḥammad ibn Ḥusayn al-Ṭabṭabā'ī (Second Print 1971-Mu'assasat Ismāʿīliyān-Qum)

Tafsīr Nūr al-Thaqalayn-ʿAbd ʿAlī ibn Jumuʿah al-ʿUrsī al-Ḥuwayzī-Qum

Tafsīr al-Burhān fī Tafsīr al-Qur'ān-Hāshim al-Baḥrānī-(Third Print-1983-Beirut)

Tafsīr al-Qur'ān al-Karīm-Ṣadr al-Dīn al-Shīrāzī-(First Print 1364 A.H.-Qum)

Tafsīr al-Kāshif-Muḥammad Jawād Mughniyah-(First Print-1968-Dār al-ʿIlm-Beirut)

Tafsīr Bayān al-Saʿādah-Sulṭān Muḥammad al-Janābidhī-(Second Print-1988-Al-Aʿlamī-Beirut)

Tafsīr Kanz al-Daqā'iq-Mīrzā Muḥammad al-Mash-hadī-(First Print-1412-Qum)

Tafsīr al-Tibyān fī Tafsīr al-Qur'ān-Shaykh al-Ṭā'ifah al-Ṭūsī-iḥyā' al-Turāth al-ʿArabī-Beirut

Tafsīr Majmaʿ al-Bayān fī Tafsīr al-Qur'ān-Faḍl ibn Ḥasan al-Ṭabarsī-Tehran

Tafsīr Mir'āt al-Anwār wa Mishkāt al-Asrār-Abū al-Ḥasan al-ʿĀmilī al-Iṣfahānī-Qum

*Tafsīr Khulāṣat Manhaj al-Ṣādiqīn-*Mullā Fatḥ Allāh al-Kāshānī-Intishārāt al-Islamiyyah-Qum

Hāwī al-Aqwāl fī Maʿrifat al-Rijāl-ʿAbd al-Nabī al-Jazāʾirī (First Print-1418 A.H.)

*Ḥilyat al-Abrār-*Hāshim al-Baḥrānī al-Aʿlamī-Part One-(First Print-1411 A.H.-Qum)

565

Hilyat al-Abrār-Hāshim al-Baḥrānī al-Aʿlamī-Part Two-Beirut

Ḥilyat al-Muttaqīn fi l-Ādāb wa l-Sunan-Muḥammad Bāqir al-Majlisī-(First Print-1994-Beirut)

Hayāt al-Qulūb-Muḥammad Bāqir al-Majlisī-Persian-1963 Print-Intishārāt ʿIlmī-Tehran

Dalā'il al-'Imāmah-Muḥammad Jarīr ibn Rustum al-Ṭabarī-Mu'assasat al-Aʿlamī-Beirut

Daʿāʾim al-Islām-al-Qāḍī Abī Ḥanīfah ibn Muḥammad al-Tamīmī al-Maghribī-(Second Print-Cairo)

Rijāl al-Najāshī-Aḥmad ibn ʿAlī al-Najāshī-Dār al-Aḍwā-Beirut

*Rijāl al-ʿAllāmah al-Ḥillī-*Ibn ʿAlī ibn al-Muṭahhir al-Ḥillī-(Second Print-1961-Qum)

Rijāl al-Ṭūsī-Muḥammad ibn Jaʿfar al-Ṭūsī Shaykh al-Ṭāʾifah-Qum

Rijāl al-Kashshī-Muḥammad ibn ʿUmar al-Kashshī

Rawḍāt al-Jannāt fī Aḥwāl al-ʿUlamā' wa l-Sādāt-Mīrzā Muḥammad al-Bāqir al-Khawānsārī-Qum

*Zubdat al-Arbaʿīn Ḥadītha -*al-Khomeini (summarised by Sāmī Khaḍrā)-First Print-1995-Dār al-Murtaḍā

Salūnī Qabl an Tafqidūnī-Muḥammad Riḍā al-Ḥakīmī (Seventh Print-1985-Al-Aʿlamī-Beirut)

Ṣaḥīfat al-Sājidiyyah al-Kāmilah-Imām Sajjād-1984 Print-Dār Ahl Bayt

*Ṣirāt al-Najāt fī Ajwibat al-Istifta'āt-*Sayyid al-Ko'ī-(First Print-1997)

Ṣaḥifat al-Abrār-Mīrzā Muḥammad Taqī-(Fourth Print-1986-Dār al-Jayl)

ʿAyn al-Ḥayāt-Muḥammad Bāqir al-Majlisī-(Persian)-Qum

'Ilm al-Yaqīn fī Uṣūl al-Dīn-Muḥsin Kāshānī (First Print-1990-Beirut)

'Awālī al-La'ālī al-ʿAzīzah fī l-Aḥādīth al-Dīniyyah-Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī (ibn Abī Jumhūr) ʿAqāʾid al-Imāmiyyah-Muḥammad Riḍā al-Muẓaffar-(1973 Print-Beirut)

ʿAlī min al-Mahd ilā l-Laḥd-Muḥammad Kāẓīm al-Qazwīnī-(Seventh Print)

ʻIlal al-Sharā'iʿ-Ibn Bābuwayh al-Qummī, al-Ṣadūq-(1966 Print Najaf)

*Faḍāʾil al-Khamsah min Ṣiḥāḥ al-Sittah-*Murtaḍā al-Ḥusaynī al-ʿĀbādī-(Third Print-Tehran)

Furūʿ al-Kāfī-Muḥammad ibn Yaʿqūb al-Kulaynī-(1405 Print-Beirut-Dār al-Aḍwā)

Firaq al-Shīʿah-Ḥasan ibn Mūsā al-Nūbakhtī-Dār al-Aḍwā'-Beirut

*Kamāl al-Dīn wa Tamām al-Niʿmah-*Ibn Bābuwayh al-Qummī al-Ṣadūq (First Print 1412-Beirut)

Kitāb al-Khiṣāl-Ibn Bābuwayh al-Qummī al-Ṣadūq-Iran

*Kulliyāt fī ʿIlm al-Rijāl-*Jaʿfar al-Subḥānī-Beirut and Manshūrāt al-Ḥawzah al-ʿIlmiyyah-Qum

Kashf al-Ghummah-ʿAlī ibn ʿIsā al-Irbīlī-Dār al-Aḍwā-Beirut

*Kitāb al-Rijāl-*Taqī al-Dīn Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī ibn Dāwūd al-Ḥillī-(1972 Print-Najaf)

Kanz al-Fawā'id-Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī ibn ʿUthmān al-Karājkī-(1985 Print-Beirut)

La'ālī al-Akhbār-al-Tuwaysīrkānī-Qum

*Man Lā Yaḥḍurhū al-Faqīh-*Ibn Bābuwayh al-Qummī al-Ṣadūq-(Sixth Print 1405-Dār al-Aḍwā)

*Mir'āt al-'Uqūl li Sharḥ Akhbār Āl al-Rasūl-*Muḥammad Bāqir al-Majlisī-(First Print-Tehran)

*Mustadrak al-Wasā'il-al-*Nūrī al-Ṭabarsī-(Second Print 1408-Mu'assasat 'Āl al-Bayt-Beirut)

Mihāj al-Barāʿah fī Sharḥ Nahj al-Balāghah-Ḥabīb Allāh al-Kho'ī-(Third Print-1983-Beirut)

Manāqib Āl Abī Ṭālib-Shahr Āshūb al-Māzindarānī-(1956 Print-Najaf)

Maʿānī al-Akhbār-Ibn Bābuwayh al-Qummī al-Ṣadūq (1370 A.H. Maktabat al-Ṣadūq-Tehran) Mīzān al-Ḥikmah-Muḥammadī Rī Shahrī- al-Dār al-Islamiyyah-Beirut (1985 Print)

Madīnat Maʿājiz-Hāshim al-Baḥrānī-(First Print-1413-Muʾāssasat al-Maʿārif al-Islamiyyah-Iran)

*Maṣābīḥ al-Anwār fī Ḥall Mushkilāt al-Akhbār-*ʿAbd Allāh Shibt-(Second Print-1987-Beirut)

Malādh al-Akhbār-Muḥammad Bāqir al-Majlisī-(1407 Print-Qum)

Miftāḥ al-Jinān fī l-Ad'iyah wa l-Ziyārāt wa l-Adhkār-(Authenticated by a group of qualified individuals)

Mafātīḥ al-Jinān-ʿAbbās al-Qummī-(transalted into ʿArabic by al-Nūrī al-Najafī-Mu'assasat al-Nuʿmān-Beirut)

Wasā'il al-Sḥīʿah ilā Taḥṣīl Masā'il al-Sharīʿah-Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī-(Fifth Print-1403-Beirut)